This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

+ *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.

+ *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.

+ *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.

+ *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at `http://books.google.com/`

Lt 1.583
B

Harvard College Library

FROM

The library of

John Graham Brooks

BOHN'S CLASSICAL LIBRARY.

TACITUS.

THE

WORKS OF TACITUS.

The Oxford Translation, Revised.

WITH NOTES.

VOL. II.

THE HISTORY, GERMANY, AGRICOLA, AND DIALOGUE ON ORATORS.

LONDON:
HENRY G. BOHN, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.
1854.

§ 3

HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY
FROM THE LIBRARY OF
JOHN GRAHAM BROOKS
APRIL 25, 1939

CONTENTS OF VOL. II.

BOOK I.

State of Affairs at Rome—Galba's Entry into the City—Condition of the Provinces—Legions in Upper Germany revolt—Piso adopted by Galba—Galba's Address to Piso—Piso's Adoption announced—Otho plots against Galba—The Soldiers corrupted—Otho proclaimed by the Soldiers—Attempts to stay the Revolt—Galba leaves the Palace—Otho's Address to the Legions—End of Galba—Murder of Piso—Licence of the Soldiery—Titus Vinius—Origin of the Revolt under Vitellius—Vitellius, Verginius, and Cæcina—The Legions in Upper Germany revolt—Vitellius raised to the Empire—Indolence of Vitellius—Feud between Lyons and Vienne—Cæcina chastises the Helvetians—Cæcina advances into Italy—Vain Attempts at Accommodation—The Provinces declare for Otho—The Sarmatians defeated—Panic among the Soldiers—Otho's Address to the Troops—Prodigies and Omens—Public Feeling at Rome—Otho marches from Rome 1—67

BOOK II.

Temple of the Paphian Venus—Titus arrives in the East—False Report respecting Nero—Law against Public Accusers—Disorderly Conduct of Otho's Army—A Battle fought in Narbon Gaul—Spurinna reduces his Troops to Order—Unsuccessful Attack on Placentia—Abortive Stratagem of Cæcina—Mutinous Spirit of the Batavians—Characters of Cæcina and Valens—Otho's Affairs begin to decline—Conflicting Views of Historians—Battle near Bedriacum—Otho's Army defeated—Fidelity of the Prætorians—Death of Otho—Embarrassment of the Senate—Excesses of the Victors—Extravagant Conduct of Vitellius—Dolabella murdered—Insubordination of the Army—Vitellius visits the Field of Battle—Resources of Vespasian—Address of Mucianus—Vespasian proclaimed in Judæa—Preparations for the War—Conduct of the Legions in Mœsia—Progress of Vitellius—Courts the Favour of the Mob—Licentiousness of the Troops—News of the Revolt reaches Vitellius—Treachery of Cæcina . . . . . 67—134

BOOK III.

Deliberations of the Flavians—Chiefs of the Revolt—The War commenced—Correspondence between the Generals—The Fleet declares for Vespasian—Antonius resolves on a Battle—Successes of the Fabian Army—Conflict in the Night—A Father slain by his Son—Assault on Cremona—Cremona taken—Sack and Destruction of the City—Death of Junius Blæsus—Indecision of Valens—Valens taken Prisoner—Pontus declares for Vitellius—Antonius advances toward Rome—Letter of Antonius to Vespasian—Imbecility of Vitellius—Consternation at Rome—Antonius attempts to calm the Troops—Sabinus, Brother of Vespasian—Vitellius abdicates—Sabinus seizes the Capitol—The Capitol stormed and burnt—Sabinus taken Prisoner—Sack of Tarracina—Antonius receives a Check—Conflicts before the City—Capture and Death of Vitellius. . . . . 135—194

CONTENTS.

A TREATISE ON THE MANNERS OF THE GERMANS.

Origin of the People of Germany—The German Hercules—Character of the Country—Description of Armour—Election of Kings—Respect paid to Women—Divination—Public Assemblies—Youths invested with Arms—Their Indolence—Their Clothing—Chastity of both Sexes—Ties of Relationship—Drunkenness—Slavery—Funeral Rites—Various Tribes—The Catti—Tencteri and Bructeri—The Chauci—The Cimbri—Battles against the Romans—The Suevi—The Hermunduri—The Lygian Tribes—The Suiones—Gathering Amber—The Peucini and Fenni . . . . . 286—342

THE LIFE OF CNÆUS JULIUS AGRICOLA.

Apology for writing the Life—Retrospect—In Britain, under Suetonius Paulinus—Joins the Party of Vespasian—Britain—Inhabitants of Britain—Climate and Soil—First Invasion of Britain—Defeat of Boadicea—The Ordovices of North Wales—He adopts a milder Policy—The Firths of Clyde and Forth—Great Battle in Caledonia—He reaches the Grampians—Calgacus's Address to the Britons—Agricola's Address before the Battle—Battle of the Grampians—Defeat of the Britons—His Recal from Britain—He retires from Public Life—His Death—Withdrawn from impending Evils—Concluding Reflections . . . . . 343—389

A DIALOGUE CONCERNING ORATORY, OR THE CAUSES OF CORRUPT ELOQUENCE . . . . . 390—452

INDEX 453

THE HISTORY OF TACITUS.

BOOK I.

1. My narrative commences with the second consulship of Servius Galba, in which Titus Vinius was his colleague. For of the antecedent period of eight hundred and twenty years from the foundation of Rome, the history has been composed by various authors; who, as long as they had before them the transactions of the Roman people, wrote with as much eloquence as freedom. After the battle of Actium,[1] when, to close the scene of civil distraction, all power was centred in a single ruler, those noble examples of the historic character quitted the field. Truth was then violated in various ways; first from indifference and ignorance of public affairs, the administration of which had now passed into other hands; soon after, from an extravagant propensity to flattery, or, on the other hand, from detestation of those who held the sovereign power. Between both parties, one cringing, the other burning with resentment, the care of posterity was lost sight of. There is, however, this difference: men are naturally disgusted with the time-serving historian; while spleen and calumny are received with a greedy ear: for flattery labours under the odious charge of servility, while malignity wears the imposing appearance of independence. Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, were neither known to me by favours nor injuries. I will not deny that my own elevation, begun by Vespasian,[2] was advanced by Titus, and carried to

[1] The battle of Actium was in the year of Rome 723; from that time the reigns of Augustus and the succeeding emperors form a period of 98 years to the end of Nero, who died A.U.C. 821. (A.D. 68.)

[2] Tacitus was probably raised to the office of quæstor by Vespasian, and perhaps to the senatorian rank. Under Titus he advanced, in the regular gradation of the magistracy, to the functions either of tribune or ædile; and in the time of Domitian he was one of the quindecemviral college, as well as prætor. See Annals, xi. 11.

a still greater extent by Domitian: but the historian who enters on his office with a profession of inviolable integrity, must not allow himself to be influenced by affection or antipathy in delineating any character. The history of the sovereignty of the deified Nerva,[1] and the reign of Trajan, eminently rich as they are in materials, and free from danger, I have reserved for the evening of my days, if my life continues—times when men were blessed with the rare privilege of thinking with freedom, and uttering what they thought.

2. The period now before me is fertile in vicissitudes, pregnant with sanguinary encounters, embroiled with intestine dissensions, and, even in the intervals of peace, deformed with horrors: four princes[2] put to death; three civil wars;[3] with foreign enemies more; and, in some conjunctures, both at once: prosperity in the East, disasters in the West; Illyricum convulsed; both the Gauls on the eve of revolt; Britain conquered,[4] and, in the moment of conquest, lost again; the Sarmatians and the Suevians rising up at once against us; the Dacians renowned for defeats given and sustained; and even the Parthians well nigh induced to take up arms by the trick of a pretended Nero.[5] Italy afflicted moreover with

[1] It is evident from this passage that Tacitus published his History in the reign of Trajan, since Nerva is called the deified Nerva, and the apotheosis of the emperors was always after their death. Nerva began his reign A.U.C. 819, and died in the year 851, when Trajan succeeded by adoption.

[2] The History included the whole time from the first of Galba to the assassination of Domitian: the four princes put to the sword were, therefore, Galba, Otho, Vitellius, and Domitian.

[3] The three civil wars were: 1. Otho and Vitellius; 2. Vitellius and Vespasian; 3. Lucius Antonius and Domitian, A. D. 94. The account of this last war is lost. All that can be collected at present is, that Antonius, who commanded the legions on the Upper Rhine, formed a league with some of the German nations, and declared war against Domitian. He hazarded a battle with Lucius Maximus, and met with a total overthrow. He was slain in the engagement. (Suet. Life of Domitian, s. 6.) The foreign wars that distracted the empire, during the rage of civil commotions, were, one in Judea, and the other with Civilis, the Batavian chief.

[4] Britain was finally subdued in the reign of Domitian. See the Life of Agricola.

[5] For more of the pretended Nero, see below, ii. 8. The Parthians were on the point of declaring war in favour of another impostor, who took the name of Nero, in the reign of Titus, A. D. 81, and afterwards in the reign of Domitian, A. D. 88.

calamities, unheard of, or occurring again after a long series of ages; cities overwhelmed [1] or swallowed up by earthquakes in the fertile country of Campania; Rome laid waste by fire; her most ancient temples destroyed; the Capitol itself wrapt in flames by the hands of citizens;[2] the ceremonies of religion violated; enormous adulteries; the sea crowded with exiles; rocks stained with blood of murdered citizens; Rome itself a theatre of still greater horrors: there nobility and wealth, dignities borne and declined, were alike treated as crimes: there virtue was a source of certain ruin; the guilty acts of informers, and their wages, were alike detestable; for some of them having obtained priesthoods and consulates, which they regarded as spoils; others, imperial procuratorships, and posts of greater influence with the prince, they carried rapine and plunder in every direction, impelled by personal hate, and armed with terror. Slaves were practised upon against their masters; freedmen betrayed their patrons; and he who had no enemy, died by the treachery of friends.

3. And yet this period, barren as it was of virtue, produced some honourable examples. Mothers went with their sons into voluntary exile; wives followed their husbands in banishment; relations stood boldly forth in the cause of their kindred; sons-in-law shrunk not; slaves, even on the rack, scorned to renounce their fidelity; eminent citizens, doomed to die, bore their lot with fortitude, and their deaths were nothing inferior to those of the applauded characters of antiquity. In addition to the misfortunes incident to humanity, the earth and skies teemed with prodigies, terrific warnings by thunder and lightning, and prognostics, auspicious or disastrous, ambiguous or plain. Indeed never was it established by more terrible calamities on the Roman people, or by more decisive indications, that the gods are not concerned about the protection of the innocent, but the punishment of the guilty.

4. Before, however, I proceed in the execution of my plan, it will be proper, I think, to inquire what was the state of affairs at Rome, what the feeling in her armies; how the

[1] The cities of Herculaneum and Pompeii were destroyed by an eruption of Mount Vesuvius, in the beginning of Titus's reign, A.D. 79.

[2] See the conflagration of the Capitol, below, iii. 67, 71.

provinces stood affected, wherein consisted the strength or weakness of the empire, that we may not only have a recital of events, and the issues of things which are often ascribable to chance, but may learn the plans pursued, and the causes of events. As the death of Nero, in the first burst of joy, was hailed with exultation, so the senate, the people of Rome, the prætorian guards, and the legions, wherever stationed, were variously affected by that event. A secret of empire was then let out, namely, that elsewhere than at Rome an emperor might be created. The fathers were highly pleased, as they were at once restored to their legislative independence, which they exercised freely, considering that the prince[1] was new to his office, and absent. The principal Roman knights were next to them the most gratified. Honest men among the people, such as were connected with families of credit; and the clients and freedmen of condemned and exiled men, were animated with hope. The inferior populace, who loitered in the theatre and circus; the slaves of abandoned character, and those who, having wasted their substance, were supported by the vices of Nero, were plunged in grief, and eager to learn the floating rumour.

5. The prætorian guards, by habit, and the obligation of their oath, had been always devoted to the imperial family. Their revolt from Nero was not so much their own inclination as the management of their leaders. They saw the promise of a donative in the name of Galba still unperformed. They reflected that peace affords no opportunity to gain the recompence due to valour; and that the favours of the new prince would be engrossed by the legions to whom he owed his elevation; strongly inclined of themselves to bring about a change, they were further instigated by the arts of Nymphidius Sabinus, their commanding officer, who aimed at the sovereignty. The conspiracy was crushed in the bud, and Nymphidius perished in the attempt. But the soldiers had thrown off the mask, and the sense of guilt remained. They even talked of Galba with contempt, and inveighed against his advanced age and avarice: the rigorous discipline by which he had acquired his military character,[2]

[1] Galba, who was not arrived from Spain.

[2] The rigour with which Galba supported and enforced military discipline is stated by Suetonius, Life of Galba, s. 6.

inflamed the prejudices of men, who had been trained to such habits during a long peace of fourteen years, by Nero, that they now loved the vices of the princes as much as formerly they venerated their virtues. To this cause was added an expression of Galba, commendable for its constitutional character, but dangerous to himself. He said, he chose his soldiers, but did not buy them. But his other proceedings were not framed according to this model.

6. Galba, being now in the decline of life, resigned himself altogether to Titus Vinius and Cornelius Laco; the former the most profligate of men, and the latter despised for his sluggish inactivity. By those pernicious ministers he was involved in the popular hatred due to their own flagitious deeds. The wickedness of Vinius, and the incapacity of Laco, proved his ruin in the end. He made his approach to Rome by slow journeys, marking his way with blood. Cingonius Varro, consul elect, and Petronius Turpilianus, of consular rank, were put to death; the former as an accomplice in the enterprise of Nymphidius, and the latter because he had been appointed general under Nero. They were condemned unheard and undefended; and, for that reason, thought the innocent victims of a barbarous policy. Galba's entry into the city of Rome, after the massacre of several thousands of unarmed soldiers,[1] formed a disastrous omen of things to come; and even the men who executed the orders of their general had reason to fear the consequences. Rome was filled with a strange and unusual body of troops. Besides the forces drawn from the fleet,[2] and left as a garrison by Nero, Galba, when he entered the city, brought with him a legion from Spain. To these must be added the several companies from Germany, from Britain, and Illyricum, which had been sent forward towards the Caspian straits to serve in the war then intended against the Albanians, and, in a short time afterwards, recalled to crush the attempts of Vindex:[3] a vast mass of materials for the effectuation of political changes; as they were not devotedly attached to any one

[1] See c. 37 of this book.

[2] Nero had formed a new legion, composed of men draughted from the marines. See c. 31 of this book.

[3] The forces from Britain and Germany, which Nero had sent forward on a wild expedition to the straits of the Caspian Sea, were all recalled to quell the insurrection of Vindex in Gaul.

leader, so were they ready for the purposes of any who had the courage to lead them on.

7. It happened at this conjuncture that an account arrived of the murders of Clodius Macer in Africa, and Fonteius Capito in Germany. Macer, beyond all doubt, was engaged in schemes of ambition, and, in the midst of his projects, was cut off by Trebonius Garutianus, the procurator of the province, who had received his orders from Galba. Capito was put to death by Cornelius Aquinus and Fabius Valens, for similar attempts. Some thought that Capito, however branded with avarice, rapacity, and other vices, had not added to his crimes the guilt of rebellion; but that the authors of his destruction, having first endeavoured to draw him into their own designs, combined to execute on an innocent victim the vengeance due to their own iniquity. Galba with his usual facility, or, perhaps, wishing to avoid the danger of an inquiry into what could not be recalled, thought it prudent to give his sanction to the acts of his officers, however unjust and cruel. Both executions were, notwithstanding, the subject of public censure: the usual fate of princes who have once incurred displeasure; their actions, whether good or evil, serve to increase the public hate. The emperor's freedmen, domineering without control, now brought everything into the market. The slaves were eager to seize the booty suddenly presented to them, and, fearing the uncertainty of an old man's life, hastened to enrich themselves. The new court exhibited all the vices of Nero's reign, without the same apology. The very age of Galba[1] was a subject of ridicule and loathing with men who were accustomed to the youth of Nero; and who, according to the custom of the populace, formed their estimate of their emperors according to their figure and personal graces.

8. Such was the state of feeling at Rome, as in a city where so vast a multitude was congregated. Of the provinces, Spain was governed by Cluvius Rufus,[2] a man distinguished by his eloquence, and experienced in the arts of peace, but not of war. In both the Gauls[3] the name of Vindex was still held

[1] Galba, at his elevation to the imperial dignity, was 73 years old.

[2] Cluvius Rufus was a writer of history. Compare Pliny, lib. ix. epist. 19.

[3] The people of Gaul who stood for Vindex were the Sequani, the

in veneration; and the people, pleased with their recent admission to the freedom of Rome, and the diminution of their tribute, showed no symptoms of disaffection. However, the inhabitants of the cities contiguous to the German armies saw, with discontent, that they were not thought worthy of the like honour; and some of them, whose territories were encroached upon, grieved at the good extended to others as much as if it were an injury done to themselves. In Germany the soldiers, flushed with pride by their late victory,[1] yet dreading the imputation of having espoused another party, were by turns inflamed with rage and overwhelmed with fear. From such a number of soldiers, who had the power of the sword in their own hands, the greatest danger was to be apprehended. They had been slow to detach themselves from Nero; nor did Verginius declare immediately for Galba: whether from his own ambitious projects, cannot now be known. The soldiers, it is agreed, made him a tender of the imperial dignity. The death of Fonteius Capito was another cause of discontent; such as could not deny its justice, exclaimed against it with indignation. Galba, under a show of friendship, had recalled Verginius from his post;[2] the legions had therefore now no leader. That he was not sent back, and was even arraigned, they regarded as an imputation upon themselves.

9. The legions on the Upper Rhine were ill retained in their duty by Hordeonius Flaccus, an officer far advanced in years, disabled in his limbs, without vigour of mind or authority. Unequal to the command even in quiet times, his feeble endeavours to enforce obedience served only to irritate the minds of men disposed to mutiny. On the Lower Rhine, the army had been for some time without a general of consular rank, till Aulus Vitellius,[3] son of the person of that name who had been censor and three times consul, was sent by

Ædui, and the Arverni. The states that lay near the legions on the Upper and Lower Rhine were the Lingones and the Remi.

[1] The German armies obtained a complete victory over Vindex at Vesontium.

[2] Verginius commanded the legions on the Upper Rhine.

[3] This was Vitellius, afterwards emperor of Rome. Galba sent him to command on the Lower Rhine, while Hordeonius Flaccus, a man in years, and greatly afflicted with the gout, was likely to remain inactive in the province of Upper Germany. See Suet. Life of Vitellius, s. 7.

Galba to take upon him the command. This was deemed sufficient.[1] In Britain everything was quiet. It must be admitted that, during the civil wars that followed, no legion conducted themselves more correctly; whether it was that, situated at a distance, and divided by the ocean from the rest of the world, they did not catch the frenzy of the times, or that they knew no enemies but those of their country, and were not taught by civil discord to hate one another. Illyricum remained in a state of tranquillity, though the legions drawn by Nero from that country found the means, while they loitered in Italy, of tampering with Verginius. But the armies, separated by a long interval, the best expedient to preserve the allegiance of the military, could neither communicate their vices nor combine their forces.

10. The East was hitherto free from commotion. Licinius Mucianus governed the province of Syria with four legions. He was distinguished equally for his good and evil fortune. In his youth, the favour of the great was the object of his ambition, and in that pursuit he wasted his fortune. His circumstances growing desperate, and suspecting the displeasure of Claudius, he retired into Asia, and there lived in obscurity, as little removed from the condition of an exile, as he was afterwards from that of a sovereign. He united in his character a mixture of repugnant qualities: he was affable, and arrogant; addicted to pleasure, and a man of business. When at leisure from affairs, he gave a loose to his luxurious passions; when on an expedition, he displayed qualities of a high order. In his public capacities you might praise him, but as a private man he was in bad odour. With those who were under him, and with his friends and colleagues, his varied accomplishments gave him a commanding influence; but he was fitter to raise others to the imperial dignity, than to obtain it for himself. The war against the Jews had been committed by Nero to Flavius Vespasian, at the head of three legions. He had entertained no design, nor wish, against the interest of Galba. He sent his son Titus to Rome, as will be seen hereafter,[2] with congratulations to Galba, and assurances of fidelity. That the sovereign power was marked out by the secret counsels of heaven, and by portents and responses, for

[1] In this passage, some read *fatis* for *satis*. "The Fates ordained it."
[2] See below, ii. 1.

Vespasian and his two sons, we began to believe after his accession.

11. Egypt, and the forces appointed to keep it in awe, were, according to the system of Augustus, confided to Roman knights, with the powers of kings. Difficult of access, and at the same time prolific in corn; with a people, who, from superstition and insolence, were discordant and prone to change; unacquainted with laws, and unhabituated to the civil authority, it was the policy of Augustus to retain the administration of this country in his own hands.[1] In the present juncture, Tiberius Alexander,[2] a native of the country, was entrusted with the government of the province. Africa, and the legions quartered there, were, since the murder of Clodius Macer, willing to submit to any prince, after having experienced the government of an inferior master. The two Mauritanias, Rhætia, Noricum, and Thrace, with the places committed to the care of imperial procurators, according to their proximity to each army, caught the spirit of antipathy or favour from a superior force. The ungarrisoned provinces, and Italy in particular, were open to the first invader, the ready prey of any conqueror. Such was the situation of the Roman world when Servius Galba, in his second consulship, and Titus Vinius, his colleague, began their year; to them their last, to the commonwealth all but the year of its destruction.

12. A few days after the calends of January, letters arrived at Rome from Pompeius Propinquus, the procurator of Belgic Gaul,[3] with intelligence that the legions in Upper Germany, disregarding the obligation of their oath, demanded another emperor, leaving the choice to the judgment of the senate and the Roman people, that the sedition might be viewed the more leniently. This intelligence induced Galba to hasten the adoption of a successor; a point which he had for some time revolved in his mind, and often discussed with his secret

[1] Compare Annals, ii. 59.

[2] Tiberius Alexander is said to be a native of Egypt; but, to qualify him for the office of governor, he was made a Roman knight. He was probably the same person who is mentioned, Annals, xv. 28.

[3] Belgic Gaul began from the Scheld (L'Escaut) and extended to the river Sequana (the Seine). The revolt of the legions on the Upper Rhine is related by Suetonius, Life of Galba, s. 16.

advisers. During the few months of his reign, no subject had so much engrossed the public conversation; at first from mere garrulity and passion for talking about such things, afterwards from consideration of the advanced age of the emperor. Few were able to think with judgment, and fewer had the virtue to feel for the public good. Private views and party connexions suggested various candidates. Different factions were formed, and all intrigued, caballed, and clamoured, as their hopes or fears directed; and even Titus Vinius, as he grew in power every day, became, from that very cause, proportionately hated by the people. In truth, the very facility of Galba stimulated the cupidity of his friends, who were eagerly seeking advantages from his elevation, since, weak and credulous as he was, they had the less to fear, and more to gain from their rapacity.

13. The whole sovereign power was in the hands of Titus Vinius, the consul, and Cornelius Laco, the præfect of the prætorian guards. Nor was the influence of Icelus [1] inferior to either of the former. He was one of the emperor's freedmen, lately created a Roman knight, and honoured with the equestrian name of Martianus. The three ministers were soon at variance. In all inferior transactions they drew different ways; but in the choice of a successor they were divided into two factions. Vinius declared for Marcus Otho: Laco and Icelus joined in opposition to that measure, not so much to favour a friend of their own, as to thwart Otho. Galba was not to learn the connexion between Vinius and Otho. The busy gossips settled it that they were to become related as father and son-in-law; for Vinius had a daughter a widow, and Otho was unmarried. I think also, that Galba was actuated by concern for the state, and that he saw that the sovereigu power was wrested out of the hands of Nero in vain, if transferred to a man like Otho: a stranger, from his earliest days, to every fair pursuit, and in the pride of manhood distinguished by nothing but riot and debauchery. His emulation in luxury recommended him to the notice of Nero; and, in consequence of his being privy to his lusts, he became the depositary of his principal mistress Poppæa,[2] till Octavia was put away. But Otho's fidelity in respect to this

[1] For Icelus, the favourite freedman, see Pliny the elder, lib. xxxiii. 2.
[2] For Otho's connexion with Poppæa, see Annals, xiii. 45, 46.

same Poppæa soon became suspected, and he was sent to Lusitania, under pretext of governing that province. Otho, having gained popularity in the administration of his province, was the first to espouse the interest of Galba. While the war lasted, he continued an active partisan, shining conspicuously among those who figured in it. Hence his hopes of the imperial adoption, which he cherished with daily increasing ardour; most of the soldiers favouring his views, and the creatures of Nero's court zealously supporting him, as a congenial character.

14. Galba saw, with deep anxiety, a storm gathering in Germany, and where it would burst he could not foresee. Of Vitellius and his designs no certain account arrived. The revolt of the legions filled him with apprehensions, and he reposed no confidence in the prætorian guards. The nomination of a successor seemed, in such a crisis, to be the best expedient; and for that purpose he held a cabinet council. Besides Vinius and Laco, he thought proper to summon Marius Celsus, consul elect, and Ducennius Geminus, the præfect of the city. Having prefaced the business by a short speech concerning his age and infirmities, he sent for Piso Licinianus;[1] whether of his own free choice, or at the instigation of Laco, remains uncertain. That minister had contracted an intimacy with him at the house of Rubellius Plautus, though he now craftily recommended him as though a stranger. To this conduct the fair esteem in which Piso was held gave an appearance of sincerity. Piso was the son of Marcus Crassus and Scribonia, both of illustrious descent. His aspect and deportment savoured of primitive manners. By the candid and impartial he was called strict and severe; by malignant judges, morose and sullen. That part of his character which excited suspicion in the anxious minds of others, recommended him to his future parent.

15. Galba, we are told, taking Piso by the hand, addressed

[1] Suetonius says: "Pisonem Licinianum, nobilem egregiumque juvenem, ac sibi olim probatissimum, testamentoque semper in bona et nomen adscitum, repente e media salutantium turba apprehendit, filiumque appellans, perduxit in castra, ac pro concione adoptavit." (Suet. Life of Galba, s. 17.) According to this account, Galba was determined in his choice, and did not want the advice of Laco. He adopted Piso from inclination, *propria electione*. Plutarch, in the Life of Galba, gives the same account.

him in the following manner: "If the adoption which I am now to make, were, like the act of a private citizen, to be acknowledged as the law *Curiata* directs, in the presence of pontiffs, I should derive the highest honour to myself from an alliance with a person descended from the great Pompey and Marcus Crassus: and, in return, you would add to the nobility of your own family, the lustre of the Sulpician and Lutatian names. Called by the consent of gods and men to the sovereignty, I am now induced by your rare accomplishments, and the love I feel for my country, to present to you, without any effort on your part, that imperial dignity, for which our ancestors led armies to the field, and which I myself obtained in battle. For this proceeding I have the example of Augustus, who placed in the next degree of elevation to himself, first his sister's son Marcellus, and then Agrippa his son-in-law, his grandsons afterwards, and, finally, Tiberius, the son of his wife. Augustus, indeed, looked for an heir in his own family; I in the bosom of the commonwealth. If, upon such an occasion, I could listen to private affection, I have a numerous train of relations, and I have companions in arms. But it was not from motives of ambition that I accepted the sovereignty of the state: I brought with me to the seat of government an upright intention; and that I now act on the same principle may be fairly seen, when, in my present choice, I postpone not only my own relations, but even yours. You have a brother, in point of nobility your equal; by priority of birth your superior; and, if your merit did not supersede him, a man worthy of the highest elevation. You are now at the time of life at which the passions subside. Your former conduct requires no apology. Fortune has hitherto frowned upon you:[1] you must now be aware of her smiles. Prosperity tries the human heart with more powerful temptations. We struggle with adversity, but success undermines our principles. You will carry with you to the highest station, and endeavour to retain unshaken, good faith, independent spirit, constancy in friendship; the prime virtues of the human character: but others will seek to weaken them by fawning complaisance;

[1] Piso's father, mother, and brother were put to death by Claudius. Another brother (the conspiracy against Nero being detected) opened his veins, and bled to death. See Annals, xv. 59.

adulation will break in upon you; flattery, the bane of all true affection, and self-interest, will lay snares to seduce you. To-day you and I converse with perfect candour and singleness of purpose: how will others deal with us? Their respect will be paid to our fortunes, not to ourselves. To guide a prince by honest counsels, is a laborious task: to humour the inclinations of any prince whatsoever, is a work which may be accomplished without the zealous affection of the heart.

16. "If the mighty fabric of this empire could subsist and balance itself without a ruler, the glory of restoring the old republic should be mine. But such has long been the state of things, and we cannot alter it, that, at my age, all that remains for me is to bequeath to the people an able successor: while your youth can give them nothing better than a virtuous prince. Under Tiberius, Caligula, and Claudius, we were all, as it were, the heir-loom of one family: that we begin to be elected will have the effect of a return to liberty. The Julian and the Claudian race are both extinct, and eminent virtue will now succeed by adoption. To be born the son of a prince is the result of chance; mankind consider it in no higher light. In adoption, an unbiassed and deliberate judgment is exercised, and the public voice will serve as a guide in the choice. Let Nero be ever before your eyes: proud of his long line of ancestors, and warm with the blood of the Cæsars, it was not Vindex, at the head of a province naked and disarmed, nor myself, with only one legion: his own excesses, his own cruelty, hurled him from the necks of mankind. Of a prince condemned by a public sentence there was till then no example. As to myself, raised as I was by the events of war, and called to the sovereignty by the deliberate voice of the people, envy and malice will pursue me, however immaculate I may be. But after the storm that lately shook the empire, if two legions still waver in their duty, your courage must not be disconcerted. My reign did not begin in a state of undisturbed peace. Old age, at present, is the objection urged against me; but when it is known whom I have adopted, I shall appear young in my successor. Nero will ever be regretted by the vile and profligate: that good men may not regret him, it will be ours to provide. More than I have said the time will not admit:

if I have made a proper choice, I have achieved all I designed. In distinguishing good from evil, the most effectual and compendious course is, to consider what you would approve or repudiate were you a subject and another the sovereign. It is not at Rome as in despotic governments, where one particular family are lords, and the rest groan in bondage. You are to reign over men who can neither endure absolute slavery, nor unqualified liberty." To this effect Galba delivered himself, as though he was creating a prince; and the rest conversed with Piso as with a prince regularly constituted.

17. Piso, we are told, neither at the first moment, nor afterwards, when all eyes were fixed upon him, betrayed any symptom of immoderate joy or discomposure. He addressed the emperor, now his father, in terms of profound respect, and spoke of himself with reserve and modesty. His mien and countenance remained unaltered, as though he possessed the power rather than desired it. The next consideration was, whether the adoption should be announced in the forum, the senate, or the camp. The latter was preferred: the army would feel the compliment; whose affections, though it were base to purchase them by bribery and intrigue, were to be sought by fair and honourable means. Meanwhile, the anxious populace surrounded the palace, impatient for the important news; while those who sought to stifle the ill-suppressed rumour increased its vehemence.

18. On the fourth of the ides of January, the rain fell in torrents, while thunder and lightning, and all the terrors of heaven, produced a scene of confusion and alarm seldom witnessed. From ancient times this phenomenon was sufficient to dissolve all public assemblies:[1] but Galba was not to be deterred from his purpose. He proceeded to the camp, regardless of prodigies, which he considered as the effect of natural causes, or, it might be, that what was fixed by fate, though foreshown, could not be avoided. A vast conflux of soldiers assembled in the camp. Galba addressed them in a short speech, such as becomes the imperial dignity. He told them that, in conformity to the example of Augustus, and

[1] Thunder and lightning were always considered by the Romans as a warning not to transact public business: "Jove tonante, fulgurante, comitia populi habere nefas."—Cicero, De Divinatione, lib. ii. 18.

the practice of the army, where each soldier chooses his companion in arms,[1] he had adopted Piso for his son. Fearing that his silence on the subject of the German revolt might tend to magnify the danger, he added, that the fourth and eighteenth legions were, by the artifice of a few factious leaders, incited to disorder; but their transgression went no further than words and expressions, and they would soon return to their allegiance. He added no flattery, nor hopes of a donative. The tribunes, notwithstanding, with the centurions and soldiers who stood nearest to him, made an acceptable response. Through the rest of the lines a deep and sullen silence prevailed. They saw that, in war, they were deprived of those gratuities which had been always granted in time of peace, and were become their indefeasible right. The emperor, beyond all doubt, had it in his power to secure the affections of the soldiers. From a parsimonious old man the smallest mark of liberality would have made an impression. His primitive inflexibility and excessive strictness hurt his cause: we cannot now bear the exercise of these virtues.

19. Galba then addressed the senate in a speech, like that to the soldiers, brief and unadorned. Piso delivered himself with grace and eloquence. The fathers heard him with attention; many with the warmth of unfeigned affection; others, who in their hearts opposed his interest, with moderate zeal; while the greatest number made a tender of their services, with private views, and regardless of their country. In the time that followed between his adoption and his death (an interval of four days) Piso neither said nor did anything in public. As messengers upon the heels of one another now came posting to Rome, with tidings of the revolt in Germany, and as in the city men were athirst for news, and swallowed the worst with avidity, the fathers resolved to treat by their deputies with the German legions. In a secret council it was discussed whether Piso should go with the embassy, to give a more imposing effect, that the army might have before their

[1] According to a military custom, established in an early period of the commonwealth, every Roman soldier chose his favourite comrade; and by that tie of friendship all were mutually bound to share every danger with their fellows. The consequence was, that a warlike spirit pervaded the whole army. See Livy, lib. ix. 93.

eyes the authority of the senate in the ambassadors, and the majesty of the empire in Piso. It was further thought advisable that Laco, the præfect of the prætorian guards, should accompany the deputation; but he opposed the measure. Nor was the choice of the ambassadors easily arranged. The whole was left to Galba's judgment, and he executed it with shameful indecision. Men were appointed, excused, or substituted, as fear or ambition prompted them to make interest for the service, or for permission to remain at home.

20. The means of raising money came next under consideration. Various expedients were proposed, but none appeared so just as that of making reprisals on such as by their rapacity had impoverished the commonwealth. Nero had lavished in donations two millions of great sesterces. The men who had enriched themselves by this profusion were allowed to retain a tenth part of the plunder, and were sued for the rest.[1] But scarcely the tenth part was left unexpended. Prodigal no less of the public money than of their own, they had squandered all in riot and debauchery. The most rapacious and profligate had neither lands nor money. The wreck of their fortunes consisted only of the instruments of vice. To enforce the resumption of the grants, a court of thirty Roman knights was appointed; a tribunal odious on account of its novelty, and troublesome from the number that composed it, and the intrigue that prevailed. Nothing was to be seen but sales and brokers; the whole city was in a ferment with public auctions. However, it was matter of infinite joy that those on whom Nero had bestowed his bounties were as poor as those whom he had robbed. About the same time several tribunes were discharged from the service: Antonius Taurus and Antonius Naso, both of the prætorian guards; Æmilius Pacensis, from the city cohorts, and Julius Fronto from the night-watch. But this, so far from being a remedy, served only to alarm and irritate the rest of the officers. They concluded that all were suspected, and that from timidity and cunning they were being expelled one by one.

21. Otho, in the meantime, felt every motive that could inflame ambition. In quiet times he had nothing before him but despair; trouble and confusion were his only source of

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Galba, s. 15.

hope. His luxury was too great for the revenue of a prince, and his poverty scarcely endurable in a private citizen.[1] He hated Galba, and envied Piso. To these he added pretended fears, to give a colour to his inordinate ambition. He said, "he had been an offence to Nero; he must not now wait for a second Lusitania, nor another honourable banishment under pretence of friendship. The man whom the public voice has named for the succession, was sure to be suspected by the reigning prince. It was that jealousy that ruined his interest with a superannuated emperor; and would act with greater force on the mind of a young man, naturally truculent, and in his long exile grown fierce and savage.[2] Otho might be doomed to destruction. This was therefore the time for action, and a bold stroke while the authority of Galba was waning, and that of Piso not yet established. The convulsions of states, and the change of masters, afford the true season for courage and vigorous enterprise: when inactivity is ruin, and temerity may be crowned with success, hesitation is folly. To die is the common lot of humanity. In the grave, the only distinction is between oblivion and renown. And if the same end awaits the guilty and the innocent, the man of spirit will earn his death."

22. The mind of Otho was not, like his body, soft and effeminate.[3] His slaves and freedmen lived in a course of

[1] See in Suetonius an account of Otho's circumstances, and his expensive luxury. Otho did not scruple to say, that nothing short of the imperial power could save him from utter ruin; and whether he died in battle, or fell a victim to his creditors, was immaterial: "Nisi principem se stare non posse: nihilque referre, ab hoste in acie, an in foro sub creditoribus caderet."—Suet. Life of Otho, s. 5. See also Plutarch. in the Life of Galba.

[2] Piso had been by Nero ordered into exile, and might probably return with a mind exasperated, and deep-smothered resentment, according to the verses made against Tiberius, during his retreat in the isle of Rhodes:—

"Regnabit sanguine multo
Ad regnum quisquis venit ab exilio."—Suet. in Tib. s. 59.

[3] The character of Otho, as here delineated by the unerring pencil of Tacitus, is finely copied by Corneille, in his tragedy entitled Otho. It will be sufficient to state what Corneille himself has said in the preface to his tragedy. His words are as follows: "Le sujet de cette tragédie est tiré de Tacite, qui commence ses histoires par celle-ci. Les caractères de ceux que j'y fais parler, y sont les mêmes que chez cet incomparable auteur, que j'ai traduit tant qu'il m'a été possible."

luxury unknown to private families. Aware of his attachment to such pleasures, they painted to him in lively colours the joys of Nero's court; adultery without control, the choice of wives and concubines, and all the other excesses of despotic courts. These, if he dared nobly, they represented to him as his own; if he remained inactive, as the prize of others. The astrologers also inflamed his ardour: they announced great commotions, and to Otho a year of glory. This is a description of men dangerous to princes, and a fallacious reliance to aspiring subjects; men who will always be proscribed, but always harboured in our city. It was with this vile crew of fortune-tellers that Poppæa held secret consultations when she aspired to the imperial bed. One of these, a man named Ptolemy, accompanied Otho into Spain. He had there foretold that Otho would survive Nero; and the event giving credit to his art, he took upon him to promise greater things. Galba was on the verge of life, and Otho in his vigour. From the current of popular rumour grounded thereon, and his own calculations of probability, he persuaded Otho that he was destined to the imperial dignity. These bodings were welcome to the ear of Otho: he considered them as the effect of science, and believed the whole with that natural credulity which receives the marvellous for reality. Ptolemy followed up his work: he now inspired the plan of treason, and Otho embraced it with avidity. The heart that has formed such a wish has no scruple about the means.

23. Whether this bold conspiracy was then first imagined, or prepared and settled long before, cannot now be known. It is, however, certain that Otho had been in the habit of courting the affections of the army, either with a view to the succession, or with a design to some bold step. On their march, in the lines, at their quarters, he made it his business to converse freely with all; he accosted the veterans by name, and, reminding them of their joint service under Nero, called them his brother-soldiers; he renewed his acquaintance with some; he inquired after others, and with his interest and his purse was ready to be their friend. Mingling complaints, and, with malignant insinuation glancing at Galba, he omitted nothing that could fill the vulgar mind with discontent. Fatiguing marches, provisions ill supplied, and rigorous dis-

cipline, were now regarded as the more oppressive, because, having known the times when they visited the lakes of Campania, and sailed to the cities of Achaia, now, the Alps, the Pyrenees, and long tracts of country, were to be marched over with a load of armour.

24. While the minds of the soldiers were thus excited, Mævius Pudens, a near relation of Tigellinus, added fuel to the flame. Whoever was known to be of a light and versatile disposition, in distress for money, or fond of public commotions, this man attracted to his party. He sapped his way with a degree of dexterity, as unperceived as it was successful. As often as Galba was entertained at Otho's house, he distributed to the cohort on duty a hundred sesterces for every man, under colour of an allowance for their entertainment. The effect of this donation, given to them as it were openly, Otho increased with individuals by more secret presents; a corruptor so bold and shameless, that, when Cocceius Proculus, a soldier of the body guard, was engaged in a litigation with one of his neighbours about a portion of the boundaries of their grounds, Otho bought the whole estate of the neighbouring party, and conveyed it to the soldier as a present. These practices gave no jealousy to the commander of the prætorian bands: so far from penetrating dark transactions, he could not see what escaped no eye but his own.

25. Otho then chose one of his freedmen, by name Onomastus, to conduct the enterprise. He attached to him as his accomplices, Barbius Proculus, whose duty it was to bear the watchword to the life-guards, and one Veturius, a deputy-centurion of the same body. Otho sounded them on various topics; and finding them subtle and resolute, he loaded them both with presents, and dismissed them with a sum of money, to be employed in bribing the rest of the guards. In this manner two soldiers undertook to dispose of the Roman empire, and succeeded in it. A few only were made privy to the plot: the rest, whose minds were not made up, they stimulated by opposite arts. The soldiers of note were told, that having received favours from Nymphidius, they were suspected. The loss of the donative, so often promised, and still withheld, was the topic enforced to inflame the minds of the common men with resentment and despair. Numbers lamented the loss of Nero, and longed for the former laxity of

discipline; and the idea of changing their place of service diffused a general terror.

26. The spirit of disaffection spread, as it were by contagion, to the legions and the auxiliary troops, already agitated by the news of the revolt in Germany. The vile and profligate were so ready for mutiny, and the upright to connive, that, on the day after the ides of January, they formed a resolution to take Otho under their care, as he returned from supper, and, without further delay, proclaim him emperor. This project, however, did not take effect. In the darkness of the night, and the confusion inseparable from it, no man could answer for the consequences: the city was full of soldiers; and among men inflamed with liquor, no union, no concerted measure, could be expected. The traitors desisted from their purpose, with no patriotic motive; for they had deliberately conspired to imbrue their hand in the blood of their sovereign; but they were afraid that the first who offered himself to the troops from Germany and Pannonia, might by those strangers, and in the dark, be mistaken for Otho, and saluted emperor. The plot began to transpire, and must have been by various circumstances brought to light, had not the chief conspirators suppressed them. Some facts, however, reached the ears of Galba; but Laco explained everything away. The præfect of the guards had no knowledge of the military character, opposed every measure, however excellent, which did not originate with himself, and, by the perversity of his nature, was always at variance with those of superior talents.

27. On the eighteenth day before the calends of February, Galba assisted at a sacrifice in the temple of Apollo, when Umbricius the augur, after inspecting the entrails of the victims, announced impending treason, and an enemy within the walls of Rome. Otho, who stood near the emperor, heard this prediction, but interpreted it in his own favour, pleased with omens that promised so well to his cause. In that moment Onomastus came to inform him, that his builders and surveyors were waiting to talk with him on business. This, as had been concerted, was a signal that the conspirators were assembling, and ready to strike the decisive blow. Otho told such as wondered at his sudden departure, that, being on the point of purchasing certain farm-houses, which from their

age were thought to be out of repair. he had appointed workmen to examine the buildings before he concluded his bargain, and then walked off, supported by his freedman; and, passing through the palace formerly belonging to Tiberius, went to the Velabrum, and thence to the golden mile-stone near the temple of Saturn.[1] At that place a party of the prætorian soldiers, in number three and twenty, saluted him emperor. The sight of such an insignificant handful of men struck him with dismay; but his partisans drew their swords, and, placing him in a litter,[2] carried him off. They were joined in their way by an equal number, some of them accomplices in the treason; others, in wonder and astonishment:—some brandishing their swords, and shouting; others in silence, determined to see the issue before they took a decided part.

28. Julius Martialis, a military tribune, at that time commanded the guard in the camp. Either amazed at a treason so daring, or imagining that it extended wider, and dreading destruction if he attempted to oppose the torrent, he created a suspicion in many of a confederacy in guilt. The rest of the tribunes and centurions, in their solicitude for their immediate safety, lost all sense of honour and constancy. Such, in that alarming crisis, was the disposition of the camp: a few seditious incendiaries dared to attempt an act of the foulest treason; more wished to see it, and all were disposed to acquiesce.

29. Galba, in the meantime, ignorant of all that passed, continued in the temple, attentive to the sacred rites, and with his prayers fatiguing the gods of an empire now no longer his. Intelligence at length arrived, that a senator (whom, no man could tell) was being carried in triumph to the camp. Otho was soon after announced. At the same time the people poured in from every quarter, according as each fell in with him; some representing the danger as greater than it was, others lessening it, not even then forgetting their habitual flattery. A council was called. On deliberation, it was thought advisable to sound the dispositions of the cohort then on duty before the palace, but not by Galba in person. His authority was to be reserved

[1] The place called Velabrum lay between the Forum and Mount Palatine. The Milliarium Aureum was at the upper part of the Forum. The Temple of Saturn was at the foot of the Capitoline Hill.

[2] See Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 6.

entire, to meet more pressing necessities. Piso called the men together, and, from the steps of the palace, addressed them to the following purport: "It is now, my fellow-soldiers, the sixth day since I was made, by adoption, heir to this great empire. Whether the honour was to be desired or dreaded, was more than I could then foresee: with what advantage to my own family in particular, or to the commonwealth at large, it will be yours to determine: not that I fear any sadder fate personally; for, trained in the school of adversity, I now perceive that even the smiles are no less perilous than the frowns of fortune. I grieve for the situation of an aged father, the senate, and the empire itself, should we fall this day by the hands of assassins; or, which to a generous mind is no less afflicting, find ourselves obliged to shed the blood of our fellow-citizens. In the late revolution, it was matter of joy that the city was not discoloured with Roman blood, and that, without civil discord, the reins of government passed into other hands. To secure the same tranquillity after the decease of Galba was the object of the late adoption.

30. "I will neither boast of my nobility, nor claim the merit of moderation. In contrast with Otho there is indeed no necessity to call our virtues to our aid. His vices, even when he played the friend of Nero, were the ruin of his country: in those he places all his glory. And can he, by a life of debauchery, that proud gait, and effeminate dress,[1] earn the empire of the world? Those with whom profusion passes for liberality are deceived. Otho will show that he knows how to squander, but not to bestow. The objects that even now engross his thoughts, are lawless gratifications, carousals, and the embraces of lascivious women. These with him are the privileges of sovereignty. The debauchery and pleasures will be his: it will be yours to blush and bear the disgrace. For of those who by their crimes have risen to power, there is not an instance of one who administered it with virtue. Galba was raised by the consentient voice of the world to his present situation: his inclination, and your consent, have added me to the line of the Cæsars. If the commonwealth, the senate, and the people, are mere empty names, yet, my fellow-soldiers, it concerns you that the worst and most abandoned of man-

[1] See an allusion to Otho's effeminacy, Juvenal, Sat. ii. 90.

kind should not create an emperor. The legions, it is true, have at different times mutinied against their generals: but your fidelity and character have never been questioned. Nero abandoned you; you did not desert him. And shall less than thirty runaways and deserters, whom no man would suffer to vote in the choice of a tribune or centurion, dispose of the Roman empire at their will and pleasure? Will you allow such a precedent? and, by conniving at it, will you become accomplices in the guilt? The example will pass into the provinces. Galba and I may suffer the consequences of treason; but the calamities of a civil war must remain for you. By murdering your prince you may earn the wages of iniquity; but the reward of virtue will not be less. You will as certainly receive a donative for your innocence from us, as a largess for murder and rebellion from others."

31. During this harangue, the soldiers belonging to the guard withdrew from the place. The rest of the cohort showed no sign of discontent; and, as usual in a disturbed state of things, displayed their colours as a matter of course, and without any preconcerted design, rather than, as was imagined afterwards, with a concealed purpose of treachery and revolt. Celsus Marius was sent to use his influence with the chosen forces from Illyricum, at that time encamped under the portico of Vipsanius.[1] Orders were likewise given to Amulius Serenus and Domitius Sabinus, centurions of the first rank, to draw from the temple of Liberty the German soldiers there. The legion draughted from the marines was not to be trusted. They had seen, on Galba's entry into Rome, the massacre of their comrades, and the survivors, with minds exasperated, panted for revenge. At the same time, Cetrius Severus, Subrius Dexter, and Pompeius Longinus, three military tribunes, made the best of their way to the prætorian camp, to try if the mutiny, as yet in its early stage, and not full grown, might be appeased by wholesome advice. Subrius and Cetrius were assailed with menaces. Longinus was roughly handled. The revolters took away his

[1] A portico built by Vipsanius Agrippa in the field of Mars. Horace says,—

"Cum bene notum
Porticus Agrippæ, et Via te conspexerit Appi."
Hor. Epist. I. vi. 26.

weapons, unwilling to listen to a man, whom they considered as an officer promoted out of his turn, by the favour of Galba, and, for that reason, faithful to his prince. The marine legion, without hesitation, joined the prætorian malcontents. The chosen troops of the Illyrian army obliged Celsus to retire under a shower of darts. The veterans from Germany wavered for a long time, suffering as they still were from bodily weakness, though their minds were favourably disposed; for they had been sent by Nero to Alexandria; but, being recalled, they returned to Rome, worn out by toil, and weakened by sickness during their voyage; and Galba had been particularly attentive in recruiting their strength.

32. The whole populace, in the meantime, with a crowd of slaves intermixed, crowded the palace, demanding, with discordant cries, vengeance on the head of Otho and his partisans, as though they were clamouring in the circus or amphitheatre for some spectacle:—without judgment or sincerity; for before the close of the day, the same mouths were bawling as loudly as ever for the reverse of what they desired in the morning, but according to the established custom of courting with heedless shouts and unmeaning acclamation the reigning prince, whoever he may be. Galba, in the meantime, balanced between two opposite opinions. Titus Vinius was for his remaining in the palace. "The slaves," he said, "might be armed, and all the avenues secured. The prince should by no means expose himself to a frantic mob. Due time should be allowed for the seditious to repent, and for good men to form a plan of union. Crimes succeeded by sudden despatch: honest counsels gained vigour by delay. Lastly, should it be hereafter proper to sally forth, that expedient would be still in reserve: but should he repent of the step once taken, it would depend upon others whether he could retrace it."

33. It was argued by the rest, "that the exigence called for vigorous measures, before the as yet powerless conspiracy of a few traitors gained strength. Otho himself would then be thrown into a state of trepidation and perplexity; Otho, who, having gone off by stealth, and presenting himself among men to whom he is a total stranger, is now learning how to enact the prince through the hesitation and supineness of those who allow the opportunities for action to elapse. They must not linger till the usurper, having settled matters

in the camp, invades the forum, and, under the eye of Galba, ascends the Capitol; while, in the meantime, our valiant emperor remains trembling in his palace with his warlike friends, barricades his house even to the door and threshold, resolved forsooth to endure a siege. Slaves too will render a precious service, if we neglect the people, now ready to support our cause, and suffer their first impulse of indignation to subside. What is dishonourable is proportionably dangerous. If we must fall, let us bravely meet our fate. Mankind will applaud our valour, and Otho, the author of our ruin, will be the object of public detestation." Vinius maintained his former opinion. Laco opposed him with warmth, and even with violent menaces. In this he was prompted by Icelus, who obstinately sought to gratify private malice, at the risk of ruin to his country.

34. Galba hesitated no longer to adopt what appeared to him the more plausible advice. Piso, notwithstanding, was sent forward to the camp, as being a young man of high expectation, and lately called to the first honours of the state, and also as the enemy of Vinius; whether it was that he really hated him, or that the enemies of the minister wished it; and certainly malice imputed is easily believed. Piso was hardly gone forth, when a rumour prevailed that Otho was slain in the camp. The report at first was vague and uncertain, but like all important lies, it was confirmed by men who averred that they were on the spot, and saw the blow given; the account gaining easy credence, what with those who rejoiced in it, and those who cared not to scrutinise it. It was afterwards thought to be a rumour, framed and encouraged by Otho's friends, who mingled in the crowd, and published a false report of good news, in order to entice Galba from his palace.

35. Then indeed not only the vulgar and ignorant multitude were transported beyond all bounds, but the knights and senators were hurried away with the torrent: they forgot their fears; they rushed to the emperor's presence; broke open the doors of the palace, and complaining that the punishment of treason was taken out of their hands, the men who, as it appeared soon after, were the most likely to shrink from danger, displayed their zeal with ostentation; lavish of words yet cowards in their hearts. No man knew that

Otho was slain, yet all averred it as a fact. In this situation, wanting certain intelligence, but overpowered by the consentient voice of mistaken men, Galba determined to go forth from his palace. He called for his armour, and finding himself too feeble from age and bodily constitution for the throng that gathered round him, he was supported in a litter. Before he left the palace, Julius Atticus, a soldier of the body-guard, accosted him with a bloody sword in his hand, crying aloud, "It was I that killed Otho." Galba answered, "Comrade, who gave you orders?"[1] So signally was the spirit of the man adapted to repress the licentiousness of the soldiers; by their insolence undismayed, by their flattery unseduced.

36. Meanwhile, the prætorian guards with one voice declared for Otho. They ranged themselves in a body round his person, and, not content with that, in the ardour of their zeal, placed him, amidst the standards and eagles, on the very tribunal where, a little before, stood the golden statue of Galba.[2] The tribunes and centurions were not suffered to approach. The common soldiers even went so far as to give orders to watch the motions of all in command. The whole camp resounded with shouts and tumult, and mutual exhortations; not, as in a concourse of the people and of the lower orders, with varying acclamations prompted by heartless adulation; but they embraced their comrades as they saw them advancing; clasped their hands; pressed them to their bosoms with their shields; placed them by Otho's side; repeated the military oath,[3] and administered it to all. They recommended the prince of their own choice to the affections of the men, and the men, in their turn, to the favour of the prince. Otho, on his part, omitted nothing; he paid his court to the rabble with his hands outstretched,

[1] Suetonius says, Galba put on his breastplate, observing at the same time, that it would be a poor defence against so many swords. (Life of Galba, s. 19.) Plutarch relates that the soldier, being asked by Galba, Who gave him orders? had the spirit to answer, "My oath and my duty."

[2] In every Roman camp the statue of the emperor was placed in the tribunal, at the head-quarters of the general. See Annals, xv. 29.

[3] The form of the military oath was as follows: "Jurant milites, omnia se strenue facturos, quæ præceperit imperator; nunquam deserturos militiam, nec mortem recusaturos pro Romana republica."—Vegetius, lib. ii. 5.

scattering kisses in profusion, and, in order to be emperor, crouching like a slave. After the marine legion had taken the oath of fidelity, Otho, now confident in his power, as he had hitherto incited the soldiers man by man, judged it right to animate them in a body, and, taking his station on the rampart of the camp, spoke to the following effect:—

37. "In what character I now address you I am unable to declare: a private man I cannot call myself, for you have bestowed upon me the title of prince: nor can I style myself a prince, while another is still in possession of the sovereign power. In what description you yourselves are to be classed, is to me matter of doubt; and must remain so, till the question is decided, Whether you have in your camp the emperor of Rome, or a public enemy? Hear ye how the same voice that demands vengeance on me, calls for your destruction? so evident is it that we can neither die nor live otherwise than together. Such is the humanity of Galba, perhaps he has already pronounced our doom; since, without a request, of his own free will, he could consign to the sword so many thousand innocent soldiers. My heart recoils with horror, when I reflect on the disastrous day on which he made his public entry into the city; and on that his only victory, when, after receiving the submission of the suppliant soldiers, he ordered the whole body to be decimated in the view of the people. Under these auspices he entered the city of Rome;—and what has been since the glory of his reign? Obultronius Sabinus and Cornelius Marcellus have been murdered in Spain; Betuus Chilo in Gaul; Fonteius Capito in Germany; and Clodius Macer in Africa. Add to these Cingonius Varro, butchered on his march, Turpilianus in the heart of the city, and Nymphidius in the camp. Is there a province, is there in any part of the empire a single camp, which he has not defiled with blood,—or, as he will tell you, reformed and amended? What all good men call a deed of barbarity, passes with him for a correction of abuses; while under specious names he confounds the nature of things: calls cruelty justice, avarice economy, and massacre military discipline. Since the death of Nero not more than seven months have elapsed; and in that time, Icelus, his freedman, has amassed by plunder more enormous wealth

than the Polycleti, the Vatinii, the Helii,[1] were able to do. Even Titus Vinius,[2] if he had seized the empire, would not have oppressed us with such rapacity, such wanton barbarity. As it is, he at once tramples upon us as his own subjects, and pours scorn upon us as though we were another's. His house alone contains wealth sufficient to discharge the donative which is never forthcoming, and is daily cast in your teeth.

38. "And that you might despair of improvement under the successor even of Galba, he has recalled from banishment a man, in his temper dark and gloomy, hardened in avarice, whom he judged the counterpart of himself. You remember, my fellow-soldiers, the day on which that adoption was made—a day deformed with storms and tempests, when the warring elements announced the awful displeasure of the gods. The senate and the people are now of one mind. They depend upon your valour. It is your generous ardour that must give vigour to our honourable enterprise. Without your aid the best designs must prove abortive. It is not to a war, nor even to danger, that I am now to conduct you: the armies of Rome are on our side. The single cohort remaining with Galba is composed of citizens, not of soldiers; and they do not stand forth in his defence,—they detain him as their prisoner. When they see you advancing in firm array, when my signal is given, the only struggle will be, who may charge my gratitude with the heaviest debt. There is no place for delay in a project which cannot be applauded unless it be gone through with successfully." He then ordered the magazine of arms to be thrown open. The soldiers seized their weapons; they paid no regard to military rules; no distinction was observed; the prætorians, the legions, and the auxiliaries crowded together, and shields and helmets were snatched up in a tumultuary manner. No tribune, no centurion, gave orders. Each man was his own commanding-officer and encourager; while the most abandoned drew their principal incitement from the grief that overwhelmed the good.

[1] Polycletus, Vatinius, Helius, and Halotus, were favourite freedmen, who rose to wealth and honours in the reign of Nero. For more of Halotus, see Suet. Life of Galba, s. 15.

[2] Vinius alone had amassed riches enough to discharge the donative which had been promised to the soldiers by Nymphidius, in the name of Galba, but which was still withheld.

39. The number of the rebels increasing every moment, and their noise and clamour reaching the city of Rome, Piso, in a state of alarm, met Galba, who had left the palace, on his way to the forum. Marius Celsus had now brought unfavourable tidings. Some advised the emperor to return to his palace; others were for taking possession of the Capitol; and the major part for proceeding directly to the rostra. Numbers gave their advice, for no better reason than to oppose the opinions of others; and, as usually happens in unfortunate projects, those steps were deemed best the opportunity for which had elapsed. We are told that Laco, without the privity of Galba, formed a design against the life of Vinius. The murder of that minister, he thought, would appease the fury of the soldiers; or it may be that he suspected treachery, and thought him joined in a secret league with Otho: in fine, perhaps his own malice was the motive. The inconvenience of the time and place made him hesitate: the sword once drawn, it is difficult to check the carnage. Messengers arriving every moment, and the desertion of friends, increased the consternation; and the zeal of all those who at first were so forward in vaunting their fidelity and courage now waxed cold.

40. Galba, meanwhile, was borne in various directions according as the waving multitude impelled him. The temples, and great halls round the forum, were filled with crowds of sorrowing spectators. A deep and sullen silence prevailed: the very rabble was hushed: amazement sat on every face. Their eyes watched every motion, and their ears caught every sound. It was not a tumult—it was not the stillness of peace, but the silence of terrible anticipation and high-wrought resentment. Otho, however, received intelligence that the populace had recourse to arms, and thereupon ordered his troops to push forward with rapidity, and prevent the impending danger. At his command the Roman soldiers, as if marching to dethrone an eastern monarch, a Vologeses, or a Pacorus, and not their own lawful sovereign, advanced with impetuous fury to imbrue their hands in the blood of an old man, defenceless and unarmed. They entered the city—they dispersed the common people—trampled the senate under foot—with swords drawn, and horses at full speed, they burst into the forum. The sight of

the Capitol, the sanctity of the temples that overhung it, the majesty of former princes, and of those who were to succeed, deterred them not from committing a detestable parricide, sure to be punished by the prince that succeeds to the sovereign power, be he who he may.

41. The prætorians no sooner appeared in sight, than the standard-bearer of the cohort still remaining with Galba (his name, we are told, was Atilius Vergilio) tore off the image of Galba, and dashed it on the ground: that signal given, the soldiers, with one voice, declared for Otho. The people fled in consternation: such as hesitated were attacked sword in hand. The men who carried Galba in a litter, in their fright, let him fall to the ground near the Curtian lake.[1] His last words, according as men admired or hated him, have been variously reported. According to some, he asked, in a suppliant tone, What harm he had done? and prayed for a few days, that he might discharge the donative due to the soldiers. Others assure us, that he promptly presented his neck to the assassin's stroke, and said with a firm voice, "Strike, if the good of the commonwealth requires it." To ruffians thirsting for blood, no matter what he said. By what hand the blow was given, cannot now be known; some impute it to Terentius, a resumed veteran; others to Lecanius: a still more general tradition states, that Camurius, a common soldier of the fifteenth legion, killed him by cutting his throat, with his sword pressed against it. The rest tore his legs and arms with brutal rage, for his breast was covered with armour; and many wounds were inflicted, in a savage and ferocious spirit, upon the body as it lay headless.

42. Titus Vinius was the next victim. The manner in which he met his fate is likewise left uncertain—whether, on the first assault, his utterance was suppressed by fear, or whether he had power to call out, that Otho had given no orders against his life. Those words, if really spoken, might be an effort of pusillanimity to save his life, or they were the confession of a man who was actually an accomplice in the conspiracy. His life and manners leave no room to doubt but he was capable of joining in a parricide, of which his own administration was the principal cause. He fell before the

[1] This was in the forum, near the rostra. For Galba's death and funeral, see Suetonius, Life of Galba, s. 20.

temple of Julius, by a wound in the joint of his knee; and as he lay, he was run through the body by Julius Carus, a legionary soldier.

43. The age beheld on that day a splendid example of courage and fidelity, in the conduct of Sempronius Densus, a centurion of the prætorian cohort. Having been ordered by Galba to join the guard that escorted Piso, he no sooner saw a band of armed assassins, than he advanced to oppose their fury, brandishing his poniard, and exclaiming against the horrible deed. By drawing the attention of the murderers upon himself at one moment with his voice, at another with his hand, he gave Piso, wounded as he was, an opportunity of making his escape. Piso reached the temple of Vesta, where a slave of the state, touched with compassion, conducted him to his own private apartment. Piso lay concealed for some time, not indebted to the sanctity of the temple, nor to the rights of religion, but sheltered by the obscurity of the place from the destruction that threatened him. At length, Sulpicius Florus, who belonged to a British cohort, and had been made by Galba a citizen of Rome, and Statius Marcus, a prætorian soldier, arrived in quest of him by Otho's special order. By these two men he was dragged to the vestibule of the temple, where, under repeated blows, he breathed his last.

44. No murder, we are told, gave so much satisfaction to Otho,[1] nor was there, among the heads cut off, one on which he gazed with such insatiable delight. Whether it was that by this event he first felt himself relieved from all apprehensions, and his mind could admit sensations of joy, or that the fate of Galba, bringing to his thoughts an idea of majesty fallen from a state of elevation, and the death of Vinius, awaking the memory of an early friendship, had caused his heart, though ruthless, to melt at the mournful image they presented. When Piso fell, an enemy and a rival expired; and he thought it just and reasonable to exult in the event. The three heads were fixed on poles, and carried about amidst the ensigns of the cohorts, by the side of the eagle of the legion. A band of soldiers followed, stretching forth their

[1] On seeing the head of Galba, Otho cried out, "This is nothing, my fellow-soldiers: bring me the head of Piso." See Plutarch, Life of Galba.

hands reeking with blood, and boasting aloud that they gave the mortal wounds, or that they were present aiding and abetting; all, with truth or falsehood, claiming the honour of an atrocious deed. No less than one hundred and twenty memorials, presented on this occasion, by persons who claimed the reward of crimes committed on that day, were afterwards found by Vitellius; and the several authors, after diligent search made by his orders, were punished with death, not from motives of regard for the memory of Galba, but with the usual policy of princes, as a security for the present, and as a warning of future vengeance.

45. Another senate and another people seemed now to be in possession of Rome. All pressed forward to the camp. Every man endeavoured to distance those near him, and strive with those before him. They reviled Galba, and applauded the judgment of the soldiers. They kissed the hand of Otho, and, in proportion to their want of sincerity, the more they multiplied their compliments. Otho was not deficient in his attention to each severally; taking care, by his looks and actions, to restrain the ferocious spirit of the soldiers, who seemed to threaten further mischief. Marius Celsus, the consul elect, was the object of their vengeance. He had been the friend of Galba, and in the last extremity continued faithful to that unhappy prince. His talents and integrity gave offence to them, as though they were noxious qualities. They demanded his immediate execution. Their views were apparent. The best and ablest men in Rome were doomed to destruction by them. But Otho's authority, though sufficient to command the perpetration of crimes, was not yet adequate to prohibiting them. In pretended fury he ordered Celsus to be loaded with irons, as a man reserved for heavier punishment, and by that stratagem saved him from immediate destruction.

46. From this time the soldiers had everything their own way. The prætorians chose their own præfect; namely, Plotius Firmus, formerly a common soldier, raised afterwards to the command of the night-guard, and, even during the life of Galba, a partisan of Otho's. To him they added Licinius Proculus, a man who, living in intimacy with Otho, was supposed to be an accomplice in his designs. As governor of

Rome they named Flavius Sabinus,[1] in accordance with the judgment of Nero, who had committed to him the same charge. The majority meant it as a compliment to Vespasian, his brother. Their next object was to abolish the fees exacted by the centurions for occasional exemptions from duty, and for leave of absence; for they were an annual tribute out of the pockets of common men. A fourth part of every company was rambling about the country, or loitering in the very camp, provided the centurion received his perquisites. Nor was the soldier solicitous about the price: he purchased a right to be idle, and the means by which he enabled himself to defray the expense gave him no kind of scruple. By theft, by robbery, and by servile employments, he gained enough to purchase an exemption from military duties. Then, whoever had hoarded up a little money, was, for that reason, harassed with labour and severity, till he purchased an exemption. By these extortions the soldier was impoverished, his industry moreover relaxed, and he returned to the camp poor instead of rich, and lazy instead of active. And so again another and another had his principles corrupted by poverty and irregularities similarly induced, whence they fell rapidly into sedition and dissension, and lastly into civil war. To remedy the mischief, and, at the same time, not to alienate the minds of the centurions, by giving up these fees as a bounty to the common soldiers, Otho undertook to pay an annual equivalent to the officers out of his own revenue. This reform was, no doubt, both wise and just. Good princes adopted it afterwards, and made it a settled rule in the military system. Laco, the late commander of the prætorians, was condemned to an island, there, as was given out, to pass the remainder of his days; but a veteran soldier, whom Otho had despatched for the purpose, put an end to his life. Martianus Icelus, being of no higher rank than that of a manumitted slave, was publicly executed.

47. After a day spent in guilt and carnage, the joy that succeeded completed the climax of abominations. The prætor

[1] Flavius Sabinus had been appointed prefect of the city by Nero. The soldiers loved the vices of the former reign, and for that reason continued Sabinus in the same office. See below, ii. 74, 75; and Suet. Life of Vespasian, s. 1.

of the city summoned the senate.[1] The magistrates emulated each other in adulation. The fathers assembled without delay. The tribunitian power, the name of Augustus, and all imperial honours enjoyed by former princes, were by a decree granted to Otho; while all strove to obliterate the effects of reproaches and invectives, which, as they were uttered at random, were not supposed by any one to have sunk deep into his heart. Whether Otho would have passed over those reflections, or stored them in his memory for future occasions, the shortness of his reign has left undecided. He was conveyed in triumph to the Capitol, and thence to the imperial palace. In his way he saw the forum discoloured with blood, and heaps of slaughtered citizens lying round him. He granted leave to remove the dead bodies, and to perform the rites of sepulture. The remains of Piso were buried by his wife, Verania,[2] and Scribonianus, his brother. The last duty to Titus Vinius was performed by his daughter Crispina.[3] Their heads, which the murderers had reserved for sale, were found and redeemed.

48. Piso had well-nigh completed the thirty-first year of his age; higher in the esteem of the public than in the favour of fortune. Two of his brothers suffered a violent death; Magnus, by the command of Claudius, and Crassus, of Nero. An outlaw for some years, and four days a prince; by the hurried adoption of Galba, he was raised above his elder brother, only to be murdered first. Titus Vinius had reached the age of fifty-seven; a man of unsettled principle, and various manners. His father was of a prætorian family; his grandfather, by the maternal line, was among the number proscribed by the triumvirate. His first campaign, under Calvisius Sabinus,[4] was marked with disgrace. The wife of

[1] The two consuls, Galba and Vinius, being cut off, the power of convening the senate devolved to the city prætor. See Cicero's Epist. lib. x. epist. 12.

[2] For Verania, see Pliny, lib. ii. epist. 20.

[3] Crispina bought her father's head at a great price from the assassins. Plutarch, Life of Galba.

[4] Calvisius Sabinus, mentioned in this place, was probably the person who, in Caligula's reign, commanded in Pannonia, and, on his return to Rome, was compelled to end his days, A. D. 39. His wife, Cornelia, almost redeemed her character in the last act of her life, by perishing with her husband.

Sabinus, prompted by vicious curiosity, went by night, in the dress of a soldier, to view the site and disposition of the camp. In her frolic, she went round to visit the sentinels, and the posts and stations of the army. Arriving at length at the place where the eagles were deposited, she did not scruple to commit the act of adultery on that sacred spot. Vinius was charged as her accomplice, and, by order of Caligula, loaded with irons. By the revolution which soon after happened, he regained his liberty, and from that time rose to honours. He discharged the office of prætor, and afterwards commanded a legion, free from reproach. His name, however, was soon after branded with a crime of the meanest character. Being a guest at the table of Claudius, he was charged with pilfering a golden goblet. On the following day that emperor gave orders that he alone of the whole party should be served with earthenware. Notwithstanding, as proconsul of Narbon Gaul, he acquitted himself in his administration with gravity and integrity. Soon after, the friendship of Galba drew him into dangerous courses. He was at once bold and subtle, of an enterprising genius, and, according as he set his mind upon it, he could work mischief, or apply himself to honest pursuits, with equal ardour and energy. His last will, on account of his immoderate wealth, was declared null and void. That of Piso was confirmed by reason of his poverty.

49. Galba's body lay neglected for a long time, and, under licence of the night, was molested by numberless indignities. It was at length conveyed by Argius, his former slave and steward, to the private gardens of his master, and there deposited in an humble manner. His mangled head was fixed on a pole by the rabble of the camp, near the tomb of Patrobius, a slave manumitted by Nero, and by Galba put to death. There it was found the following day, and added to the ashes of the body. Such was the end of Servius Galba, in the seventy-third year of his age. He had, during the reign of five princes, enjoyed a series of prosperity, happier as a private citizen than a prince. He was descended from a long line of ancestors. His wealth was great; his talents not above mediocrity. Free from vice, he cannot be celebrated for his virtues. He knew the value of fame, yet was neither arrogant nor vainglorious. Without rapacity, he was an economist of his own, and of the public treasure careful to a degree of

avarice. To his friends and freedmen, when his choice was happily made, his passive submission was unobnoxious to censure; but when bad men surrounded him, his blindness bordered on criminality. The splendour of his birth, and the dangerous character of the times, formed a pretext for giving the appellation of wisdom to what in fact was sheer indolence. In the vigour of his days, he served with honour in Germany; as proconsul of Africa, he governed with moderation; and Hither Spain, when he was advanced in years, was administered with similar equity. While a private citizen, his merit was thought superior to his rank; and the suffrages of mankind would have pronounced him worthy of empire, had he never made the experiment.

50. While Rome was shuddering at the late dreadful carnage, and, from the well-known vices of Otho's nature, men were in dread of worse evils still to come, despatches from Germany brought an account of new calamities. Intelligence of the revolt of Vitellius arrived before the death of Galba, but was suppressed, that the sedition on the Upper Rhine might be thought the only mischief. Then not only the senators and Roman knights, who had still some shadow of authority, but the populace, mourned to see two men of the most pernicious characters, enervated by luxury, and abandoned to every vice, chosen by some fatality to ruin the commonwealth. The examples of atrocities committed, during the late sanguinary period of peace, were no longer the objects that employed the public mind; but the civil wars were recalled to memory: they talked of Rome, so often captured by her own armies; Italy laid waste; the provinces plundered; of Pharsalia, Philippi, Modena, and Perusia,[1] places memorable for public disasters. "When the struggle," it was observed, "lay between men of illustrious character, by their contentions for empire the state was brought to the brink of ruin. But even then, under Julius Cæsar, the empire still survived. It survived under the victorious Augustus. Under Pompey and Brutus, had their arms prevailed, the republic would have been once more established. Otho and Vitellius are now the competitors: for which of them shall the people crowd the

[1] The battle of Pharsalia was fought B.C. 48; that of Mutina, between Mark Antony and the consuls Hirtius and Pansa, B.C. 43; of Philippi, B.C. 42; and the siege of Perusia, B.C. 40.

temples? prayers for either were impious vows, an abomination, since, in a war between two detestable rivals, he who conquers will be armed with power to commit still greater crimes, and prove himself the worst." Some looked forward in a prophetic spirit to Vespasian, and the armies in the East. Vespasian, they agreed, was in every respect superior to the two chiefs who now convulsed the state, but dreaded another war, and an additional series of calamities. Vespasian's character too was equivocal:[1] and certainly he was the only prince, down to his time, who reformed his life.

51. That the revolt under Vitellius may be seen in its true light, I will set forth its origin, and the causes that produced it. After the defeat and death of Julius Vindex, and the rout of his armies, the legions, enriched with booty and wanton with success, having without fatigue or danger closed a lucrative war, preferred hostilities to inaction, plunder to pay. They had long endured the hardships of a rigorous service in a bleak climate and a desolate country, where discipline was enforced with strict severity. But that discipline which is cultivated with relentless rigour in peace, they knew would be relaxed by civil discord, where both sides encourage licentiousness, and perfidy goes unpunished. They were abundantly provided with arms and horses, both for parade and service; but before the late war in Gaul, they knew only the companies and troops of horse to which they belonged; and the boundaries of the provinces kept the several armies distinct and separate. The legion being then drawn together to make head against Vindex, they felt their own strength, and that of Gaul; wanted to renew the war, and stir up fresh troubles. They no longer treated the Gauls as their allies and friends, but as enemies, and a vanquished people. In these sentiments they were joined by the Gauls who dwelt on the borders of the Rhine. This people had taken up arms against Vindex and his allies, whom, since the death of that chief, they in disdain of him called the Galbian Faction; and now by every artifice they instigated a war between the Romans and their countrymen. The Sequanians, the Æduans, and other states, according to their opulence, were the chief

[1] Vespasian, in the reign of Caligula, was a time-serving flatterer; and, being afterwards overwhelmed with debts, was a man of equivocal character. Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 2—4.

objects of resentment. The soldiers anticipated with eager delight towns stormed, the plunder of houses, and the desolation of the country. In addition to their arrogance and avarice, the never-failing vices of the strongest, they were exasperated by the froward insolence with which the Gauls boasted, that, in contempt of the legions, they had obtained from Galba a remission of one-fourth of their tribute, and an extension of their territory. To these incentives was added a report, artfully thrown out and readily believed, that the legions were to be decimated, and the best and bravest of the centurions to be dismissed. Tidings of an alarming nature arrived from every quarter, and rumours of a disastrous character from the city of Rome. The people of Lyons, still faithful to the memory of Nero, and the avowed enemies of Galba, formed a fertile source of rumours: but the camp was the magazine of news, where invention framed the lie of the day, and credulity stood ready to receive it; where malice and fear prevailed; and where, when they viewed their own numbers, all apprehension of danger vanished.

52. It was near the calends of December in the preceding year when Aulus Vitellius first appeared in the Lower Germany. He made it his business to review the legions in their winter-quarters; he restored several officers who had been degraded, and relieved others from disgrace and ignominy: in some instances acting with justice, in others, with a view to his own ambition. To the honour of his principles, he condemned the sordid avarice with which Fonteius Capito granted or refused rank in the army. He appeared in this to exceed the powers usually vested in consular generals, and to be an officer of superior weight and authority. As reflecting men saw the baseness of his motives,[1] so the profusion, which, without judgment or economy, lavished away in bounties all his own property, and squandered that of others, was by his sycophants called benevolence and generosity. Even the vices that sprung from lust of dominion were transformed into so many virtues. In both armies there were, no doubt, men well disposed and moderate; but there were also some restless incendiaries. Alienus Cæcina and Fabius Valens, each the commander of a legion, were both remarkable for their avarice, and both of a daring spirit. Valens was exasperated against

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 7.

Galba, because, having exposed the dilatoriness of Verginius, and crushed the machinations of Capito, he had shown no gratitude for those services. He now, therefore, endeavoured to rouse the ambition of Vitellius: "The soldiers," he said, "were zealous in his service, and the name of Vitellius stood in high esteem throughout the world. From Hordeonius Flaccus no opposition was to be apprehended. Britain was ready to declare against Galba, and the German auxiliaries would follow their example. The provinces wavered in their duty, and the authority of the feeble old man stood on a precarious footing, and would soon be transferred to other hands. He had nothing to do but to open his arms, and receive the favours of fortune. Verginius, indeed, had everything to damp his resolution. He was of an equestrian family; but his father lived and died in obscurity. A man of his cast would have proved unequal to the weight of empire. A private station was to him a post of safety. A father who had been three times consul, once in conjunction with the emperor Claudius, and who, moreover, had discharged the office of censor, imposed on Vitellius the necessity of aspiring to the imperial dignity, and denied him the security of a private station." By this inflammatory speech the phlegmatic temper of Vitellius was moved to covet, rather than to hope for, the object set before him.

53. Meanwhile Cæcina, who served in the army on the Upper Rhine, had drawn to himself the affections of the army. He was young and handsome, tall and robust, with an air of dignity in his deportment, of winning eloquence, and boundless aspirations. While a young man, discharging the office of quæstor in Bætica, he promptly went over to Galba's interest, and the emperor, to reward his zeal, gave him the command of a legion in Germany; but finding, afterwards, that he had been guilty of embezzling the public money, he ordered him to be called to a strict account. Cæcina was not of a temper to submit with patience. He resolved to embroil the state, and in the general confusion throw a veil over his private dishonour. The seeds of rebellion were ready sown in the army. In the war against Vindex they had all taken the field, and, till they heard that Nero was no more, never declared in favour of Galba. Even in that act of submission, they suffered the legions on the Lower Rhine to take the lead.

The Treviri, the Lingones, and other states, which had felt the severity of Galba's edicts, or had seen their territory reduced to narrow limits, lay contiguous to the winter-quarters of the legions. Hence frequent seditious conferences, in which the soldiers grew more corrupt, by mixing with the peasants. Hence their zeal for Verginius, which might be turned to account by any other leader.

54. The Lingones, in token of friendship, had sent presents to the legions, and, in conformity to their ancient usage, the symbolical figure of two right hands clasping one another. Their deputies appeared with the mien and garb of affliction. They went round the camp. in the tents, and the place for the standards and eagles, setting forth now their own wrongs, and now the favour and the protection of Galba enjoyed by neighbouring states. Finding that they were heard with avidity, they inflamed the minds of the soldiers by sympathising in the dangers that hung over them, and the hardships under which they laboured. The flame of sedition was ready to break out, when Hordeonius Flaccus ordered the deputies to depart, and in the night, that it might be less observed. A report soon prevailed that they were all treacherously murdered, and that, if the soldiers did not instantly provide for their own safety, the bravest of the army, and those who had complained of the present state of things, would be butchered, under cover of the night, and without the knowledge of their friends. A secret combination was immediately formed The auxiliaries entered into the league; at first they were suspected of a design to surround the legions with the cohorts and horse, and put them to the sword, but afterwards they eagerly engaged in the project. Such is the nature of abandoned minds; in peace and profound tranquillity, they seldom agree; but for seditious purposes a coalition is easily formed.

55. The legions on the Lower Rhine, on the calends of January, went through the usual form of swearing fidelity to Galba; but little alacrity was displayed. In the foremost ranks but few voices were heard, while the rest remained in silence, each man expecting the bold example of his comrades; such is the inherent weakness of human nature, men are ready to second what they are slow to begin. A leaven of discordant humours pervaded the whole mass of the army. The first and fifth legions were so outrageous, that some pelted the images

of Galba with stones. The fifteenth and sixteenth abstained from acts of violence, but were clamorous and menacing; waiting for ringleaders to begin the fray. In the Upper Germany, on the same calends of January, the fourth and eighteenth legions, quartered together in one winter-camp, dashed the images of Galba into fragments. The fourth legion led the way; and the eighteenth, after balancing for some time, followed their example. Unwilling, however, to incur the imputation of a rebellion against their country, they agreed to revive the antiquated names of the SENATE AND ROMAN PEOPLE in the oath of fidelity. Not one commander of a legion, nor tribune, appeared in favour of Galba; on the contrary, many of them, as often happens in cases of public confusion, distinguished themselves in the tumult. No man, however, took upon him to harangue the multitude from the stage; nor could the incendiaries, as yet, tell in whose service their eloquence was to be employed.

56. Hordeonius Flaccus beheld this scene of confusion, and, though a consular commander, never once interposed to restrain the violent, to secure the wavering, or to animate the well-affected. He looked on tamely and timorously; and if he avoided the imputation of guilt, it was because he had not spirit enough to act at all. Four centurions of the eighteenth legion, namely, Nonius Receptus, Donatius Valens, Romilius Marcellus, and Calpurnius Repentinus, attempted to defend the images of Galba; but the soldiers attacked them with impetuosity, and loaded them with fetters. From that moment all fidelity was at an end. The obligation of the former oath was no longer respected. It happened in this, as in all seditions, the whole herd followed the majority. The night after the calends of January, the eagle-bearer of the fourth legion arrived at the Agrippinian colony,[1] where Vitellius was engaged at a banquet, with intelligence that the fourth and eighteenth legions, having destroyed the images of Galba, had taken a new form of oath to the senate and Roman people. That oath was deemed a nullity. It was judged proper to seize the opportunity that fortune offered, and, by the nomination of an emperor, fix the wavering temper of the legions. Despatches were accordingly sent to inform the army and its commanders in the Lower Germany,

[1] The modern Cologne. See Annals, xii. 27, note.

that the soldiers on the Upper Rhine had revolted from Galba, and that, by consequence, it remained for them either to march against the rebels, or, for the sake of peace and mutual concord, to create another emperor. In choosing for themselves they would hazard little; but indecision might be dangerous.

57. The winter-quarters[1] of the first legion were the nearest: it was commanded by Fabius Valens, the most prompt and daring of all the generals. On the following day, he put himself at the head of the cavalry belonging to his own legion, and, with a party of the auxiliaries, entering the Agrippinian colony, saluted Vitellius by the title of emperor. The legions of the province, with extraordinary ardour, followed his example; and three days before the nones of January, the legions in Upper Germany declared for Vitellius, abandoning the plausible names of the senate and the Roman people. It now was plain that they were never in their hearts devoted to a republic. The Agrippinian people, the Treveri, and Lingones were not behind the armies in demonstrations of zeal. They offered a supply of arms and horses, of men and money, in proportion to their respective abilities. Not only the leading chiefs, as well in the colonies as in the camp, who had already enriched themselves by the spoils of war, and looked forward to an accumulation of wealth when the victory was obtained, but the body of the army, the common soldiers, in the place of money, made a tender of their travelling subsistence, their belts, their accoutrements, and the silver ornaments of their armour; all actuated by one impulse, by blind enthusiasm, and a thirst for gain.

58. Vitellius, after praising the alacrity of the soldiers, proceeded to regulate the various departments of public business. He transferred the offices, hitherto granted to the imperial freedmen, to the Roman knights; and the fees claimed by the centurions for exemption from duty, he defrayed out of the revenue of the prince. The fury of the soldiers, demanding vengeance on a number of persons, was not to be repressed. He yielded in many instances, and in others eluded their resentment under colour of reserving the obnoxious parties in chains. Pompeius Propinquus, the procurator of Belgic Gaul, was put to death on the spot; but

[1] The first legion was probably stationed at Bonna, now Bonn.

Julius Burdo, who commanded the German fleet, he saved by stratagem. The resentment of the army had been kindled against that officer as the accuser first, and afterwards as the murderer, of Fonteius Capito, whose memory was still held in respect. To pardon openly was not in the power of Vitellius: he could execute in open day; but to be merciful, he was obliged to deceive. Burdo remained in prison till the victory obtained by Vitellius appeased the wrath of the soldiers: he then was set at liberty. In the meantime, Centurio Crispinus, who with his own hand had shed the blood of Capito, was presented to them as an expiation of that deed. His guilt was manifest; the soldiers demanded his blood, and Vitellius thought a man of that description no kind of loss.

59. Julius Civilis was the next whom the army doomed to destruction; but, being of high rank and consequence among the Batavians, fear of a rupture with that fierce and warlike people saved his life. There were, at that time, in the territory of the Lingones, eight Batavian cohorts, annexed at first as auxiliaries to the fourteenth legion, but separated in the distraction of the times; a body of men, in that juncture, of the greatest moment. It was in their power to turn the scale in favour of whatever party they espoused. Nonius, Donatius, Romilius, and Calpurnius, the four centurions already mentioned, were, by order of Vitellius, hurried to execution. They had remained steady in their duty to their prince,—a crime which men in open rebellion never pardon. Valerius Asiaticus, the governor of Belgic Gaul, to whom, in a short time after, Vitellius gave his daughter in marriage; and Junius Blæsus, who presided in the province of Lyons, and had under his command the Italic legion,[1] and the body of horse called the Taurinian cavalry,[2] went over to the party of the new emperor. The forces in Rhætia were not long in suspense, and the legions in Britain declared without hesitation in favour of Vitellius.

60. Britain was at that time governed by Trebellius Maximus,[3]—a man, for his avarice and sordid practices, despised and hated by the army. Between him and Roscius Cælius,

[1] This was the first Italic legion, raised by Nero.

[2] The Taurinian squadron was so called from the Taurini, or people of Turin.

[3] For this man, see Life of Agricola, c. 16.

who commanded the twentieth legion, there had been a long-subsisting quarrel, renewed of late with keener acrimony, on occasion of a civil war. Cælius was charged by Trebellius with sedition, and the violation of the established discipline: Cælius recriminated that Trebellius plundered the legions, and left the soldiers to languish in distress and poverty. From this dissension between their officers, all discipline was at an end in the army; and the tumult rose at length to such a height, that Trebellius, insulted openly by the auxiliaries, deserted by the cavalry, and betrayed by the cohorts, fled for refuge to Vitellius. The province, however, notwithstanding the flight of a consular governor, remained in tranquillity. The commanders of the legions held the reins of government, by their commissions equal in authority, but the enterprising genius of Cælius had given him a superior influence.

61. The arrival of the forces from Britain was an accession of strength; and thereupon Vitellius, abounding in resources, and strong in numbers, resolved to carry the war into Italy by two different routes, under the conduct of two commanders. Fabius Valens was sent forward, with instructions to draw to his interest the people of Gaul, and, if he found them obstinate, to lay waste their country: then, passing over the Cottian Alps,[1] make an irruption into Italy. Cæcina, the other general, was ordered to take a nearer way, over the Penine mountains,[2] and make his descent on that side. The flower of the army from the Lower Rhine, with the eagle of the fifth legion, and the cohorts and cavalry, amounting to forty thousand men, were put under the command of Valens. Cæcina advanced from the Upper Germany with no less than thirty thousand, of which the one-and-twentieth legion was the main strength. Each commander had a reinforcement of German auxiliaries. From these, too, Vitellius recruited his own forces; and was himself to follow with the whole weight of the war.

62. The new emperor and his army presented a striking contrast: the soldiers burned with impatience, and with one voice demanded to be led against the enemy. "It was time,"

[1] The passage of the Alps, now known as the Pass of Briançon.

[2] The Penine Alps, (from the Celtic *Pen*, "head,") now the Pass of Great St. Bernard.

they said, "to push on the war with vigour, while the two Gauls are in commotion, and Spain is yet undecided. The winter season was no obstacle; nor should idle negotiations to bring on a compromise detain them. Italy must be invaded, and Rome seized at once. In civil dissensions, expedition was the safest policy. They called for vigour; and debate was out of season." Vitellius loitered in indolent repose, drunk at noon-day, and overwhelmed with gluttony.[1] The imperial dignity, he thought, consisted in riot and profusion, and he resolved to anticipate the privileges of a prince. The spirit of the soldiers, however, supplied the defects of their prince: they neither wanted him in their ranks to animate the brave, nor to rouse the tardy and inactive. Already formed, and straining upon the start, they demanded the signal for march. They saluted Vitellius by the name of Germanicus:[2] that of Cæsar he chose to decline, even after his victory. Valens began his march: on that very day an omen of happy import to himself and the army he led presented itself—an eagle, at the head of the lines, measuring his flight by the movement of the soldiers, as if to guide them on their way. Such were the shouts of joy, while the bird proceeded in the same regular course undismayed by the uproar, and still seeming to direct their march, that the phenomenon was considered as a sure prognostic of a signal victory.

63. The army advanced in good order towards the state of the Treveri, as their friends and allies. At Divodurum (a city of the Mediomatrici) they received every mark of kindness, but were seized with a sudden panic, so extraordinary, that the soldiers fell upon the innocent inhabitants sword in hand. In this dreadful outrage the love of plunder had no share; a sudden frenzy possessed every mind; and, as the cause was unknown, no remedy could be applied. No less than four thousand men were massacred; and, if the entreaties of the general had not at length prevailed, the whole city had been laid in blood. The rest of Gaul was alarmed to such a degree, that, wherever the army approached, whole cities, with the magistrates at their head, went forth in a suppliant

[1] For the numerous vices of Vitellius, see Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 17.

[2] Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 8.

manner to sue for mercy. Women and children were prostrated along the ways, and every other means of appeasing hostile rage offered to them, not because they were at war, but for the preservation of peace.

64. At the capital city of the Leucians, Valens received intelligence of the murder of Galba, and the accession of Otho, The news made no impression on the soldiers: unmoved by joy or fear, they thought of nothing but the spoils of war. The Gauls had now no motive for hesitation: Otho and Vitellius were equally objects of their detestation; but they also feared the latter. The Lingones, a people well disposed towards Vitellius, were the next they came to: they met with a friendly reception, and sought to outdo each other in good conduct. But this delightful intercourse was interrupted by the intemperance of the cohort which had been separated, as already mentioned, from the fourteenth legion,[1] and by Valens incorporated with his army. Opprobrious language passed between the legionaries and the Batavians; from words contention arose: the soldiers entered into the dispute, and joined the different parties. The quarrel rose to such a pitch, that, if Valens had not interposed, and by making a few examples recalled the Batavians to a sense of their duty, a bloody battle must have been the consequence. A pretext for falling on the Æduans was sought in vain by the army; but that people not only complied with the demand of money and arms, but added a voluntary supply of provisions. What was thus done by the Æduans through motives of fear, the people of Lyons performed with joy. From that city the Italic legion and the Taurinian cavalry were ordered to join the army. The eighteenth cohort, which had been used to winter there,[2] was left in garrison. Manlius Valens at that time commanded the Italic legion: he had rendered good service to the cause, but he was not held in esteem by Vitellius. The fact was, Fabius Valens, the commander-in-chief, had given a secret stab to his reputation, and, that he might be the less disposed to suspect his deception, he praised him in public.

65. The late war had kindled afresh the deadly feud which had long subsisted between the people of Lyons and the in-

[1] See above, c. 59.

[2] This cohort was usually quartered at Lyons. See Annals, iii. 41.

habitants of Vienne. In the various battles, which they had fought with alternate success, and prodigious slaughter, it was visible that so much animosity was not the mere effect of party rage in a contest between Nero and Galba. Galba, taking occasion from his displeasure,[1] had wrested their revenues out of the hands of the people of Lyons, and confiscated them to the imperial treasury, while their enemies enjoyed the favours of the emperor. Hence a new source of jealousy and complaint, and the natural boundary of a single river[2] was insufficient to prevent their mingling in strife. Accordingly the citizens of Lyons excited the legions against their rivals; they talked with the soldiers, man by man, and urged them to the destruction of Vienne. "Lyons," they said, "had been besieged by them; they had taken up arms in the cause of Vindex, and lately raised recruits to complete the legions in the service of Galba." And when they had laid these grounds for hatred, they showed them that there was abundance of booty. They now no longer depended on secret practices, but openly preferred their petition, imploring the army to march forth, the redressers of wrong, and rase to the ground a city that had been the nursery of war, a nucleus of foreigners and foes. "Lyons," they said, "was a confederate colony, a portion of the army, sharers in the good or evil fortune of the empire." They implored the legions not to leave them, in the event of a failure in the expedition, at the mercy of their implacable enemies.

66. These means, and more of the same kind, had their effect; and the flame rose to such a height, that the commanders and other officers despaired of being able to extinguish it; when the inhabitants of Vienne, having notice of their danger, came forth, bearing the suppliant vestments and fillets.[3] They met the Romans on their march, and, clasping their weapons, their knees, and feet, turned the soldiers from their purpose. Fabius Valens added a gift of three hundred

[1] The people of Lyons waged war against Vindex, and on that account Galba made them feel his resentment.

[2] The cities of Lyons and Vienne were separated by the river Rhodanus, now the Rhone.

[3] Olive branches and sacred vestments were usually displayed in cases of distress, when the conquered sued for mercy. Compare, "Ramos oleæ ac velamenta supplicum porrigentes, orare, ut reciperent sese, receptosque tutarentur."—Livy, lib. xxiv. 30.

sesterces to each man. Then the colony was respected for its worth and ancient dignity. The general pleaded for the safety and preservation of the inhabitants, and was heard with attention. The state, however, was obliged to furnish a supply of arms. Individuals assisted the soldiers from their private and ordinary resources. The uniform report, however, was, that the people purchased the protection of the commander-in-chief with a large sum of money. This much is certain, that, after being for a long time depressed with poverty, he grew suddenly rich, but ill concealed his affluence. His appetites had been whetted by protracted indigence, and now, when fortune smiled, he knew no bounds. A beggar in his youth, he was, in old age, a voluptuous prodigal. The army proceeded by slow marches through the territory of the Allobrogians, and thence to the Vocontians; the general, during the whole progress, making his market at every place, and selling his favours for a sum of money. For a bribe he fixed the length of each day's march, and shifted his camp for a price, driving disgraceful bargains with the owners of the lands and the magistrates of the several cities; and that with such cruelty, that firebrands were prepared to burn the municipal town of Lucus, in the territory of the Vocontians; but he was softened by the payment of a sum of money. Where the means of giving money were wanting, prostitutions and adulteries were required to appease him. In this manner Valens arrived at the Alps.

67. Cæcina, in his progress, obtained a greater quantity of booty and shed more blood. The Helvetians[1] provoked his ferocious spirit. Originally a Gallic nation, they were renowned in former times for their valour and exploits in war. Of late years the history of their ancestors was their only glory. Not having heard of the death of Galba, they were unwilling to acknowledge Vitellius. Occasion of quarrel was afforded by the rapacity and eagerness of the twenty-first legion, who seized the money sent to pay the troops in a fort.

[1] The territory of the Helvetii was a part of Celtic Gaul, more extensive than what is now called Switzerland. The people are celebrated by Julius Cæsar for their military virtue, and constant warfare with the Germans: "Helvetii reliquos Gallos virtute præcedunt, quod fere quotidianis prœliis cum Germanis contendant, cum aut suis finibus eos prohibent, aut ipsi in eorum finibus bellum gerunt."—Bell. Gall. lib. i. 1.

where the Helvetians had immemorially maintained a garrison. The indignant people intercepted a small party on their way to Pannonia, with letters from the German army to the legions stationed in that country, and detained in custody a centurion with some of his soldiers. Cæcina, who thirsted for war, proceeded to punish each offence as it occurred, without allowing time for repentance. He marched eagerly against the Helvetians, and, having laid waste the country, sacked a place, built, during the leisure of a long peace, in the form of a municipal town, and, from the attraction of its salubrious waters, much frequented.[1] He also sent despatches to the Rhætian auxiliaries, with orders to fall upon the rear of the Helvetians, while their attention was occupied by the legion.

68. The spirit of the Helvetians, fierce while the danger was at a distance, began to droop when it was present. In the beginning of these hostilities they had chosen Claudius Severus to command their forces; but they neither knew the use of their arms nor the methods of discipline, nor were they able to act in concert with their united force. The contest, they now perceived, must be destruction, with a veteran army; and their fortifications being everywhere in decay, to stand a siege was hopeless. On one side, Cæcina advanced at the head of a powerful army; on the other, were the cavalry and auxiliary forces from Rhætia, with the youth of that country, inured to arms, and trained in habits of war. The country was laid waste on all sides, and its inhabitants put to the sword. The Helvetians betook themselves to flight; and, after shifting about between the two forces, many of them wounded and straggling, they threw down their arms, and fled for refuge to the mountain named Vocetius. Forthwith a band of Thracians was sent, which dislodged them; when the Germans and Rhætians, closely pursuing them, slew them as they found them in the woods, and in their very hiding places. Several thousands were put to the sword, and as many sold to slavery. And when, having spread desolation through the country, the army marched to the siege of Aventicum, the capital city of the Helvetians, the inhabitants sent deputies to

[1] Brotier says, this place was called in ancient inscriptions, "Respublica Aquensis," on account of the salubrity of the waters. He supposes it to be the modern Baden.

surrender at discretion. Their submission was accepted. Julius Alpinus, one of the leading chiefs, charged with being the author of the war, was by order of Cæcina publicly executed. The rest were left to the mercy or resentment of Vitellius.

69. The Helvetians sent their ambassadors to the new emperor; but which was most implacable, he or his army, it is difficult to decide. The soldiers clamoured for the utter destruction of the whole race. They brandished their arms in the face of the ambassadors, and threatened violence. Vitellius himself refrained not from abuse and menaces. At length Claudius Corsus, one of the deputies, a remarkably eloquent man, but concealing his oratorical artifices under a well-acted trepidation, which made him the more effective, melted the hearts of the soldiery, liable as they are, like those of the common people generally, to be diverted from their purpose by occurrences of the moment, and as prone to compassion as they were before extravagant in their rage. After torrents of tears, and by importunately soliciting milder treatment, they obtained impunity, and saved their city from destruction.

70. Cæcina, waiting for further instructions from Vitellius, and, at the same time, making arrangements for his passage over the Alps, halted for a few days in the territory of the Helvetians. In that situation, he received intelligence that the squadron of horse called Sylla's squadron, at that time quartered on the banks of the Po, had sworn fidelity to Vitellius. They had formerly served under Vitellius, when he was the proconsular governor of Africa.[1] Nero, when he projected an expedition into Egypt, ordered them to sail for that country; but, being soon after alarmed by the commotions stirred up by Vindex, he called them back to Italy, where they remained from that time. Their officers, unacquainted with Otho, and closely connected with Vitellius, espoused the interest of the latter. By representing to the men the strength of the legions then on their march to the invasion of Italy, and by extolling the valour of the German armies, they drew the whole squadron into their party. As some proof of their zeal for their new prince, they attracted to his interest the chief municipal towns on the other side of the Po, namely,

[1] Vitellius had administered the affairs of this province with an unblemished reputation. Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 5.

Mediolanum, Novaria, Eporedia, and Vercellæ. Of this fact Cæcina was apprised by despatches from the officers. But a single squadron, he knew, was not sufficient to defend so large a tract of country. In order to reinforce them, he sent forward the cohorts of Gaul, Lusitania, and Britain, with the succours from Germany, and the squadron of horse called the Ala Petrina.[1] How he himself should pass into Italy, was his next consideration. His first plan was to march over the Rhætian mountains, in order to make a descent into Noricum, where Petronius Urbicus, the governor of the province, supposed to be a partisan in Otho's service, was busy in collecting forces, and destroying the bridges over the rivers. But this enterprise was soon relinquished. The detachment already sent forward might be cut off, and, after all, the secure possession of Italy was the important object. The issue of the war, wherever decided, would draw after it all inferior places, and Noricum would fall, by consequence, into the hands of the conqueror. He therefore ordered the reserves to proceed over the Penine heights, and marched the heavy-armed legions over the Alps, through all the rigours of the winter season.

71. Otho, in the meantime, to the surprise of all, ceased to loiter in voluptuousness and inglorious ease; he postponed his pleasures, suppressed his luxury, and framed his whole deportment suitably to the dignity of empire. And yet the change created increased terror: men knew that his virtues were counterfeited, and they dreaded a return of his former vices. He ordered Marius Celsus, the consul elect, whom he had put in irons in order to rescue him from the soldiers,[2] to appear before him in the Capitol. To acquire the fame of clemency, by releasing a man of illustrious character, and well known to be an enemy to Otho and his party, was the object of his ambition. Celsus, with unshaken constancy, confessed the crime of adhering faithfully to Galba, and challenged his gratitude for the example he had set. Otho, not because he forgave him, but lest his enemy should suspect the sincerity of his reconciliation, at once received Celsus among his intimate friends, and, in a short time afterwards,

[1] The squadron of horse called *Ala Petrina* had been stationed in Cumberland, as appears by an inscription given in Camden's Britannia.

[2] Otho, to appease the fury of the soldiers, had thrown Marius Celsus into prison. See c. 45 of this book.

appointed him one of his generals to conduct the war; and Celsus, as it were by a fatality, continued strictly faithful to Otho, and thus brought ruin upon himself. The clemency of the prince gave great satisfaction to the leading men at Rome: the populace applauded, and even by the soldiers, who admired the virtue which had excited their anger, it was not ill received.

72. The joy excited on this occasion was followed by an event no less acceptable, but for reasons of a different nature. Sophonius Tigellinus,[1] a man of low parentage, stained in his youth with the worst impurities, and in his advanced years abandoned to lechery, having been rapidly elevated, by the help of his vices, to the command, first of the city cohorts, afterwards of the prætorian guards, and other offices due to virtue only, soon gave way to cruelty, then to avarice and the enormities of maturer years. Having gained an entire ascendant over the affections of Nero, he was, in some instances, the adviser of the horrors committed by that prince, and in others the chief actor, without the knowledge of his master, whom, in the end, he deserted and betrayed. Hence it was that the blood of none was ever demanded with such importunate clamour by the men who detested the memory of Nero, and those who regretted him, though under opposite feelings. During the short reign of Galba, he lived secure under the protection of Titus Vinius, who alleged that he had saved the life of his daughter: and so he undoubtedly had: but humanity could not have been his motive, so much innocent blood as he had shed; but to secure a refuge thereafter. Such, at all times, is the policy of the worst of men: placing no trust in the continuance of their present prosperity, they dread a reverse of fortune, and lay up for themselves in private gratitude a refuge against public odium. The consequence is, that they are wholly unconcerned about innocence, and look only to the reciprocation of impunity. But the friendship of Vinius, who was still remembered with detestation, was an additional spur to the populace. They crowded together from all quarters; they surrounded the palace; they filled the forum; and in the circus and the theatre, where licentiousness is most apt to show itself, they clamoured, with a degree of violence little short of sedition, for the punish-

[1] Tigellinus has been often mentioned. See Annals, xiv. 57; xv. 37.

ment of a vile malefactor. Tigellinus was then at the baths of Sinuessa.[1] Orders were sent to him to put a period to his life. He received the fatal news in a circle of his concubines: he took leave with tenderness; and, after mutual embraces, and other unseemly delays, he cut his throat with a razor,—by the pusillanimity of his last moments aggravating even the infamy of his former life.

73. About the same time, the execution of Calvia Crispinilla[2] was demanded by the public voice; but by various artifices, in which the duplicity of the prince covered him with dishonour, she was saved from danger. She had been, in the reign of Nero, the professed teacher of lascivious pleasures, and, in the various scenes of that emperor, the caterer for his appetites. She passed afterwards into Africa, and, having instigated Clodius Macer[3] to revolt, was known to have been an accomplice in the plot to cause a famine in the city of Rome. But being married soon after to a man of consular rank, and, by that connexion, gaining a powerful interest, during the reigns of Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, she lived in perfect security. In the following reign, her riches and her want of children placed her in a flourishing state: two circumstances which, in good as well as evil times, are sure to have weight.

74. Otho, in the meantime, sent frequent letters to Vitellius, in the alluring style of female persuasion; he offered him money, favour, and whatever retreat he chose for his voluptuous enjoyments. Vitellius offered similar terms; at first in a soothing strain: and both displaying the most absurd and degrading hypocrisy. Then, in a tone of angry altercation, they charged each other with criminal pleasures and flagitious deeds: both with truth. Otho recalled the deputies who had been sent by Galba, and, in their room, despatched others to the German army, to the Italic legion, and the troops quartered at Lyons, with instructions to negotiate in the name of the senate. The men employed in this embassy tarried with Vitellius, and, by their prompt compliance, left little room to think that they were detained by force. Under pretence of doing honour to the embassy, Otho

[1] For Sinuessa, see Annals, xii. 66, note.
[2] For Calvia Crispinilla, see Plutarch's Life of Galba.
[3] Compare c. 7 of this book.

had sent a detachment of the prætorian guards. Not suffering them to mix with the soldiers, Vitellius ordered them to return without delay. Fabius Valens took the opportunity to write, in the name of the German army, to the prætorian guards. His letters, in a style of magnificence, set forth the strength of the party, and, at the same time, offered terms of accord. He condemned the forward zeal with which they presumed to transfer to Otho an empire which had been so long before assigned to Vitellius.

75. He so mingled promises with threats as to treat the prætorians as men unequal in the war, while assuring them that they would lose nothing by peace. These letters, however, were without effect. The prætorians continued firm in their duty. But secret emissaries were sent by Otho into Germany, and others by Vitellius to Rome. Both parties missed their aim. Those of Vitellius escaped unhurt amidst so vast a concourse of people, where all were strangers to each other; while, on the other hand, in a camp where all were known to each other, the men employed by Otho were soon discovered by the novelty of their faces. Vitellius sent letters to Titianus, the brother of Otho, threatening, if any violence was offered to his mother or his children,[1] to make reprisals, and put both him and his son to death. Both families remained unhurt. As long as Otho lived, fear might be the motive: Vitellius, as conqueror, obtained the praise of acting from clemency.

76. The first occurrence that inspired Otho with confidence in his cause, was an account from Illyricum, that the legions of Dalmatia, of Pannonia, and Mœsia, had declared in his favour. Advices from Spain brought the like intelligence; and in a public edict, honourable mention was made of Cluvius Rufus, the governor of the province; but immediately after, it was ascertained that Spain had gone over to Vitellius. Not even the people of Aquitaine, though, under the influence of Julius Cordus, they had sworn obedience to Otho, continued long firm. Everywhere affection and truth were banished. Fear, and the necessity of the times, compelled men to shift from side to side. The same principle of fear attached Narbon Gaul to Vitellius. A party in force, and near at hand, found no difficulty in bringing them over

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 6.

The distant provinces, and all places separated by the sea, adhered to Otho, not from regard for his party, but because there was vast weight in the name of the city, and in the assumption of the authority of the senate. Besides this, Otho, being the first announced in foreign parts, had pre-occupied their minds. The army in Judea under Vespasian, and that in Syria under Mucianus, swore fidelity to Otho. Egypt, and all the provinces eastward, acknowledged his authority. The same submission prevailed in Africa, the people of Carthage having set the example. Without waiting for the authority of Vipsanius Apronianus, the proconsul, a public treat was given by Crescens, one of Nero's freedmen, (for it is usual in evil times for such characters as Crescens to put themselves forward in public affairs,) in testimony of their joy at the recent succession; and many other things were done by the populace in a premature and intemperate manner. The rest of Africa followed the example of Carthage.

77. In this posture of affairs, while the armies and the several provinces embraced opposite interests, it was evident that Vitellius, to secure his title, had nothing left but the decision of the sword. Otho, in the meantime, remained at Rome, discharging all the functions of the sovereign power, as if he was established in profound tranquillity. His conduct, in some instances, was such as became the dignity of the state; but his measures, for the most part, were hastily adopted, the mere expedients of the day. He named himself and his brother Titianus joint consuls,[1] to continue in office

[1] The number of consuls in the course of this eventful year was so great, that it will be well to place the list in one view before the eye of the reader:—

A.U.C. 823. (A.D. 70.)	CONSULS.
On the Cal. of Jan. (Hist. i. 1.) . . .	Galba, Vinius.
On the Cal. of March (Hist. i. 77.) . .	Salvius Otho, Titianus Otho.
On the Cal. of May (Hist. i. 77.) . . .	Verginius Rufus, Pompeius Vopiscus.
On the Cal. of July (Hist. i. 77.) . . .	Cælius Sabinus, Flavius Sabinus.
On the Cal. of Sept. (Hist. i. 77.) . . .	Arrius Antoninus, Marius Celsus.
On the Cal. of Nov. (Hist. ii. 1.) . . .	Fabius Valens, Alienus Cæcina.

Cæcina being pronounced a traitor by the senate, on the last day of the year, the consul for that single day was Rosius Regulus. Hist. iii. 37.

till the calends of March. For the two following months, with a view to conciliate the German army, he appointed Verginius, and gave him for his colleague Pompeius Vopiscus. For the nomination of the latter he pretended motives of friendship; but, as men of penetration thought, his real view was to pay court to the people of Vienne. With regard to future consuls, no alteration was made in the arrangement settled by Nero or by Galba. Cælius Sabinus and his brother Flavius were to succeed for the months of May and June. From the first of July to September, Arrius Antoninus[1] and Marius Celsus were to be in office. Nor did even Vitellius, after his victory, disturb this order of succession. Otho thought proper to grant the augural and pontifical dignities, as the summit of civil honours, to senators grown grey in public stations; and, as a solace to the young patricians lately recalled from banishment, he recompensed them with the sacerdotal honours which had been enjoyed by their ancestors. Cadius Rufus, Pedius Blæsus,[2] and Sævinus Pomtinus, who under Claudius or Nero had been charged with extortion, and expelled the senate, were restored to their rank. In pardoning them, it was thought proper to give the name of treason to what was, in fact, avarice; for such was the odium that attached to the law of treason at that time, that even good laws were defeated under it.

78. Otho, by similar acts of liberality, essayed to work upon the minds of men in the cities and provinces. To the colonies of Hispalis and Emerita,[3] he added a number of families: the Lingones were honoured with the privileges of Roman citizens, and to the province of Bætica all the Moorish cities were annexed. The new codes of laws given to Cappadocia and Africa, were rather the visions of a moment than lasting possessions. Even while occupied in these measures, for which an apology might be found in the force of present circumstances, and considerations of urgent importance, he was not forgetful of his amours, but procured the restoration of Poppæa's statues by a decree of the senate. There is reason to think, that, with a view to popularity, he intended

[1] Arrius Antoninus was grandfather to Antoninus Pius, the upright and virtuous emperor. See Pliny's letters to him, iv. 3, 18; v. 10.

[2] For Cadius Rufus, see Annals, xii. 22. For Pedius Blæsus, see Annals, xiv. 18.

[3] These places are now respectively Seville in Andalusia, and Merida in Estremadura.

to celebrate the memory of Nero with public honours. Many were for erecting the statues of that emperor,[1] and even proposed it as a public measure. The populace and the soldiers, as if they meant to decorate their emperor with additional splendour, saluted him by the title of Nero Otho. He himself held the honour in abeyance,—perhaps unwilling to reject it, perhaps ashamed to accept it.

79. The public mind being now intent on a civil war, foreign affairs were neglected. Emboldened by this state of things, the Rhoxolanians,[2] a people of Sarmatia, who in the preceding winter had cut off two entire cohorts, and thence conceived high hopes, made an irruption into Mœsia,[3] with nine thousand horse. Naturally presumptuous, and elated with their success, they were more intent on plunder than fighting. They prowled about in quest of prey, without order, or apprehension of an enemy, when, on a sudden, they found themselves hemmed in by the third legion and their auxiliaries. The Romans advanced in order of battle. The Sarmatians, overloaded with booty, were taken by surprise. On a damp and slippery soil, the swiftness of their horses was of no use. Unable to retreat, they were cut to pieces, more like men bound in fetters, than soldiers armed for the field of battle. It may seem strange, but it is not less true, that the courage of the Sarmatians has no inward principle, but depends altogether upon external circumstances. In an engagement with the infantry, nothing can be more dastardly; in an onset of the cavalry, they are almost irresistible. But on this occasion, the day being rainy, and there being a thaw, neither their weapons, long spears, nor sabres of an enormous size, which they wield with both hands, were of any service, from the slipping of their horses and the weight of their coats of mail; for their chiefs wear coats of mail, formed with plates of iron, or the tough hides of animals, impenetrable to the enemy, but to themselves an incumbrance so unwieldy, that he who falls in battle is never able to rise again. They were also overwhelmed by the depth and softness of the snow. The Romans, unencumbered by their breastplates, and galling their

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 7.

[2] A people inhabiting the country between the Dnieper and the Don, in the south of Russia.

[3] The modern Servia and Bulgaria.

enemy with their missive weapons or their lances, and, when occasion served, coming to close quarters, smote the defenceless Sarmatians with their light swords, for the Sarmatians are not accustomed to protect themselves with shields. The few who escaped from the slaughter fled for refuge to their fens and marshes, and there died of their wounds, or perished under the inclemency of the season. An account of this transaction being received at Rome, a triumphal statue was decreed to Marcus Aponius, then governor of Mœsia. Fulvius Aurelius, Julianus Titius, and Numisius Lupus, all three commanders of legions, obtained the consular ornaments: while Otho was delighted with the occurrence, and assumed the merit of the victory, boasting that he too was fortunate in war, and that, by his generals and his armies, he had aggrandised the commonwealth.

80. Meanwhile, from a cause of a trifling nature, and threatening no kind of danger, a violent sedition well-nigh involved the city in ruin. The seventeenth cohort, then quartered at Ostia, had orders to remove to Rome. The care of providing them with arms was committed to Varius Crispinus, a tribune of the prætorian bands. That officer, to execute his orders with the less noise, opened the magazine of arms, and ordered the waggons of the cohort to be loaded at the close of day. The lateness of the hour filled the men with suspicion: the intention seemed dark and dangerous, and the affectation of secrecy had the effect of producing tumult. The soldiers were in liquor, and the sight of their arms suggested a desire to use them. They murmured, they complained; they charged the tribunes and centurions with treachery, declaring aloud, that a dark conspiracy was formed, with intention to arm the slaves and domestics of the senators against the life of Otho. Some were stupified with liquor, and comprehended nothing: the profligate availed themselves of the opportunity to commit plunder; and the multitude, as usual, were ready to mix in any sudden commotion. Those who regarded discipline and good order were undistinguished in the dark. The tribune who attempted to restrain their fury, and the strictest of the centurions, were murdered on the spot. The soldiers seized their arms; they mounted their horses, and, entering the city sword in hand, rushed in a body to the imperial palace.

81. Otho was engaged at a grand entertainment, to which he had invited the most distinguished of both sexes. A sudden terror seized the whole company. Was it an accidental fray among the soldiers, or the perfidy of the emperor? would it be more dangerous to stay and be taken; or fly and disperse? Now they made a show of resolution; now their fears showed themselves: at the same time fixing their eyes on Otho. As usual when suspicion is awakened in the mind, they were afraid of Otho, while he stood trembling for himself; alarmed as much on account of the danger which threatened the senate as his own, he ordered the two prætorian commanders to go forth, in order to appease the tumult, and advised his guests to depart as quickly as possible. Then indeed the magistrates threw aside the ensigns of their office, on all hands, and dispensed with their friends and their attendants. Old men and women of distinction wandered about in the dark, they knew not whither. Few dared to venture towards their own habitations. The greatest part sought precarious shelter at the houses of their friends and the meanest of their dependents.

82. The madness of the soldiers was not to be controlled. They burst the palace gates, and rushed forward to the banqueting-room, demanding a sight of Otho. Julius Martialis, one of the tribunes, and Vitellius Saturninus, the præfect of the legion, in opposing the torrent were both wounded. Nothing was to be seen but arms, and nothing heard but threats, now against the centurions, and at times against the whole body of the senate: the soldiers, in a state of frantic alarm, of which they knew not the cause, having no particular victim in view, demanded liberty to slaughter indiscriminately. At length Otho, forgetting the majesty of empire, stood upon his couch, and by tears and supplications succeeded, but with great difficulty, in restraining them. The men retired to the camp with a sullen spirit, and not without guilt. On the following day Rome had the appearance of a city taken by assault. The houses were shut, the streets deserted, the populace in a general panic. The soldiers wandered about with eyes fixed on the earth, discontented rather than repentant. The two præfects, Licinius Proculus and Plotius Firmus, went round to the several companies, and harangued the men, each according to his own peculiar temper, in soothing terms, or in a style of reproach. A distribution of five thousand

sesterces to each man concluded the business. The tumult over, Otho ventured to enter the camp. The tribunes and centurions gathered round him, but without the military ornaments of their rank, praying to be dismissed from the service, that they might live in ease and safety. The soldiers felt the request as a reproach. They expressed their willingness to return to their duty, and, of their own motion, desired to see the authors of the insurrection brought to punishment.

83. In this conjuncture, when the times were big with danger, and a discordant soldiery heightened the distraction; when all the best men called for a reform of the present disorders, but the common herd and the majority, who liked nothing so well as tumult and insurrections, under the conduct of an ambitious leader, might be more easily impelled to a civil war, in a scene of tumult and rapine; Otho, reflecting that power obtained by guilt is not to be maintained by a sudden transition to order and the rigour of ancient manners, and yet distressed at the danger that hung over the city and the Roman senate, after weighing the matter in all its bearings, delivered himself to the following effect: "I come not now, my fellow-soldiers, to excite your zeal for me, nor to add new ardour to your courage by exhortation: of both, to your honour be it spoken, you have enough, and to spare. But I come to request that you would moderate the impetuosity of your courage, and put limits to your affection for me. In the late tumult, it was not the love of plunder, nor ill-will, that impelled you: motives from which discord and mutiny have broken out in various armies. Nor was it the fear of danger, or so much as a wish to shrink from your duty. It was your excessive regard for me, which gave you up to the impulse of passion, rather than to prudence: for where judgment does not direct, it often happens that the most honourable motives of action produce fatal results. We are going forth to a war. And must all intelligence be communicated to the army? Must every secret be disclosed? And must councils of war be held in a public assembly of the soldiers? Does the reason of things, and the opportunity, which must be seized at once or lost for ever, allow such a mode of proceeding? It is as fitting that the soldier should be ignorant of some things, as that he should know others. The authority of generals, and the strictness of discipline, are such, that even the tribunes

and the centurions must often receive their orders without a reason assigned. If every subaltern may discuss the reasons of his orders, discipline is at an end, and the authority of the commander falls to the ground. And shall the soldier, even at such a juncture, seize his arms in the dead of the night? Shall one or two drunken men (in last night's frenzy I do not believe there were more) imbrue their hands in the blood of a centurion and a tribune, and rush into the pavilion of their general?

84. "You, my fellow-soldiers, have transgressed thus in your zeal for me. But amidst that general hurry and confusion, and in the gloom of midnight darkness, an opportunity might have been given for an attack on me. Give Vitellius and his satellites the power of choosing, and what greater curse could they invoke? what calamity could they call down upon us, so much to be dreaded, as a turbulent and factious spirit, and all the evils of discord and sedition?—that the soldier should refuse to obey his centurion; the centurion his tribune; and that hence the cavalry and the foot soldiers, without order or distinction, should rush into destruction? It is implicit obedience rather than wrangling about orders, that gives to military operations their energy.[1] The army that shows itself in time of peace the most quiet and orderly, is sure to be the most formidable in the day of battle. Let it be yours to arm in the cause of your country, and to face the enemy with heroic valour; and leave to me the direction and guidance of your courage. The guilt of last night extends to a few only; two only shall expiate the offence. And you, the rest, bury in oblivion the horrors of that shameful tumult; and may no other army hear those dreadful imprecations uttered against a Roman senate. That venerable body, the head of the empire, and the ornaments of all the provinces, not even those Germans, whom, above all others, Vitellius is exciting against us, would dare to demand for punishment. And could any of the sons of Italy, and the genuine youth of Rome, demand for blood and slaughter,

[1] Compare a speech of Paulus Æmilius to the same effect: "Unum imperatorem in exercitu providere et consulere, quid agendum sit, debere, nunc per se, nunc cum iis quos advocaverit in consilium. In quo exercitu, milites, consul, et imperator, rumoribus vulgi circumaguntur, ibi nihil salutare esse."—Livy, lib. xliv. 34.

an order, by whose splendour and renown we dazzle the low and obscure party of Vitellius? Some states, it is true, have been induced to join his standard; he has the appearance of an army; but the senate is on our side. The commonwealth is with us; our enemies are the enemies of Rome. And when I mention Rome, do you imagine that it consists in walls, and buildings, and a pile of stones? Those mute and senseless edifices may moulder away, and rise again; but the stability of empire, the peace of nations, your fate and mine, are established on the safety of the senate. Romulus, the father and founder of the city, instituted, with solemn auspices, that sacred order. From that time till the establishment of the Cæsars, it has been preserved inviolate; and as we received it from our ancestors, let us transmit it to our posterity: for as from the people at large the senate is supplied, so from the senate you derive your princes."

85. This speech, adapted as it was to rebuke and soothe the irritated soldiery, as well as the moderation of the prince, who punished only two of the mutineers, gave general satisfaction; and those who were too fierce to be controlled, were quieted for the present. Rome, however, was not in a state of tranquillity. A constant din of arms was heard, and warlike preparations were seen in every quarter. The soldiers did not, as before, riot in tumultuous bodies; but, being dispersed throughout the city, they insinuated themselves into houses in disguise, where they watched, with malignant purpose, the motions of all who by their nobility, their wealth, or their talents, were eminent enough to be objects of calumny. A report prevailed at the same time, that Vitellius had a number of emissaries dispersed among the populace, to act as spies, and observe the state of parties. Hence jealousy, mistrust, and fear. No man thought himself safe under his own roof. Abroad and under the eye of the public the alarm was still greater. Whatever was the rumour of the day, all were obliged to set their faces for the occasion: if bad, they were afraid of seeming to despond; and if propitious, unwilling to be thought backward in demonstrations of joy. The fathers assembled in the senate-house had a hard task to observe the due mean under all circumstances, lest their silence might be thought sullen discontent, and liberty of speech excite jealousy. Otho, too, so lately a subject and a flatterer himself, was

acquainted with the arts of adulation. The fathers, therefore, tortured their expressions, and diversified them in all manner of ways, while calling Vitellius a public enemy and a parricide. Men who looked forward to their own security, were content with hackneyed declamation; others poured out well-merited invectives, but in the midst of noise and clamour, and when a number were speaking at once, or they rendered themselves unintelligible by a confused torrent of words.

86. A number of prodigies, announced from different quarters, aggravated the panic. The Goddess of Victory, in the vestibule of the Capitol, let the reins of two horses, harnessed to her chariot, fall from her hand. A form of more than human size was seen to issue from the chapel of Juno. In an island in the Tiber,[1] the statue of Julius Cæsar, without any apparent cause, on a day perfectly serene and calm, turned round from the west to the east. In Etruria an ox was said to have spoken; animals brought forth monstrous births; and to these were added a variety of preternatural appearances, such as in rude and barbarous ages were observed even in profound peace, though of late years they are only heard of in a time of public distress. But the great source of alarm, was an inundation of the Tiber, coupling as it did a present calamity with an omen of future ill. The waters swelled above their banks, and overflowed the adjacent country; the Sublician bridge[2] was carried away by the flood; and the ruins that fell in, obstructing the course of the river, the torrent was thrown back, so that not only the level parts of the city, but even the higher grounds, where no such casualty was apprehended, were covered with water. The people in the streets were carried away, and numbers were cut off in their shops, and in their beds. The common people were exposed to famine, want of employment, and scarcity of the materials of subsistence. The stagnant waters sapped the foundations of the plebeians' houses, and when the flood returned into its channel, they fell. The sensation produced by this disaster was no sooner over, than a new occurrence

[1] The isle in the Tiber, now called Isola di St. Bartolomeo.

[2] The Sublician Bridge, so called, because built on wooden piles. A foundation of solid marble was laid afterwards: nothing remains at present but the ruins.

spread a general terror. Otho was preparing to set out on his expedition: his way was over the field of Mars, and the Flaminian road; but both places were impassable. This circumstance, though accidental, or the effect of natural causes, was magnified into a prodigy, by which the gods denounced the slaughter of armies, and a train of public calamities.

87. Having purified the city, and weighed the various plans for the conduct of the war, as the Penine and the Cottian Alps, with all the passes into the Gauls, were in the possession of Vitellius and his armies, Otho resolved to make a descent on Narbon Gaul, with a fleet well manned, and firmly attached to his party; for having formed into a legion all who survived the massacre at the Milvian bridge, and had been, by Galba's orders, thrown into prison, he had inspired all the others with the like hopes of preferment. To his fleet he added the city cohorts, and a considerable detachment from the prætorian guards, the strength and flower of his army; and adapted to assist the counsels, and keep an eye upon the fidelity of the generals themselves. The conduct of the expedition was committed to Antonius Novellus and Suedius Clemens, centurions of principal rank, and Æmilius Pacensis, a tribune degraded by Galba, whom he had restored to his rank. A freedman, Oscus, directed the operations of the fleet, having been solicited to act as a spy on better men than himself. The horse and infantry were put under thé command of Suetonius Paulinus, Marius Celsus, and Annius Gallus. But in Licinius Proculus, præfect of the prætorians, Otho reposed his chief confidence. This officer, in time of peace, discharged his duties with ability, but he had seen no service; and by placing in an invidious light the several talents of the generals, the authority of Paulinus, the ardour of Celsus, and the judgment of Gallus, this depraved and crafty character rose superior to men of unassuming worth; a task very easy to be performed.

88. About that time Cornelius Dolabella was, by his order, conveyed under a guard to the Aquinian colony, to be kept out of the way in undisguised but not close confinement. His only crime was the antiquity of his family, and his affinity to Galba. Several magistrates, and others of consular rank, had it in command to attend Otho on his expedition, not to assist in the war by their counsels or their valour, but to swell the

pomp of the emperor's retinue. In the number was Lucius Vitellius, who was suffered to mix with the rest of the train, undistinguished either as the brother of one emperor, or the enemy of another. During these preparations, Rome presented a scene of solicitude and confusion. No order of men was exempt from fear or anger. The principal senators, enfeebled by age, or enervated by a long peace; the nobility, sunk in sloth and unwarlike habits; the Roman knights, without any military experience—the more they assumed an air of confidence, the more clearly their fears were seen. Some, on the contrary, bought with vain and senseless ostentation the most splendid armour, horses for parade, all the conveniences of a luxurious table, and incentives to inordinate appetite, as if such implements were a necessary part of their camp-equipage. The wise and moderate thought of nothing but their own safety and the public welfare; while the vain and heartless, whose views did not extend to remote consequences, filled their minds with chimerical expectations; and all who were bankrupts both in fame and fortune, hoped to find in the distractions of their country that security, which in quiet times they had never known.

89. The lower orders and the people, though from their vast numbers exempt from public cares, began, however, to feel the ill effects of war. They saw the whole revenue exhausted in the service of the army; they laboured under a scarcity of provisions, whereas in the troubles stirred up by Vindex, those inconveniences had not extended to the people, as the city was tranquil, and that commotion was in the remote parts of Gaul, a foreign affair between the legions and the provincial insurgents. For from the time when Augustus established the power of the Cæsars, the wars which the Roman people carried on, brought honour and solicitude to one person only. Under Tiberius and Caligula, the evils of peace were the dreaded calamities. The attempt of Scribonianus[1] to shake the authority of Claudius was crushed as

[1] Furius Camillus Scribonianus commanded in Dalmatia, A.D. 42. Being a man of enterprise and bold ambition, he induced the soldiers to swear fidelity to himself, and went into open rebellion. His letters to the emperor Claudius were written in a tone of menace, requiring him to abdicate, and live a private citizen. In the meantime, the rebel legions returned to their duty; Scribonianus fled to a small island of the Adriatic, on the coast of Illyricum, and there was seized and put

soon as discovered. Nero was undone by rumours and vague intelligence, not by force of arms. In the present juncture the pressure was felt at home. The fleets and legions, and, contrary to the usual practice, the prætorian bands and city cohorts, were obliged to take the field. The east and west, and the provinces in rear of the leading chiefs, were up in arms; and, under better generals, there were ample materials for a long and difficult war. When Otho was setting out, a scruple was started to deter him from proceeding, till the ceremony of depositing the sacred shields[1] was performed. He repudiated all idea of delay, which had been the ruin of Nero, and would be so to himself. Cæcina by this time had passed the Alps; a motive which stimulated him irresistibly to exertion.

90. On the day preceding the ides of March, Otho commended the care of the commonwealth to the wisdom of the fathers, and ordered the property of such as had been recalled from banishment, since the death of Nero, to be restored to the respective owners; an act in strict conformity with justice, in appearance munificent, but of little use, as the public officers had long since seized the whole. Otho then harangued the people; he talked in a pompous style of the consent of the senate and people in his favour, and of the majesty of the Roman citizens; but mentioned the adverse party in terms of mitigated censure, imputing to the legions error in judgment rather than a turbulent spirit. Of Vitellius he made no mention; perhaps from motives of delicacy, or perhaps the writer of the speech, looking forward to his own safety, abstained from invective against Vitellius. For as in all military operations Suetonius Paulinus and Marius Celsus were Otho's advisers; so in matters of civil adminis-

to death by Volaginius, a common soldier, on the fifth day of his revolt. Suetonius, Life of Claudius, s. 35; and see below, ii. 75.

[1] Numa, the founder of religious ceremonies, taught the Romans that as long as they preserved the Ancilia, or sacred shields, Rome would prove invincible, and triumph over all her enemies. Accordingly we read in Livy of the procession of the Salian priests, on stated days, attending the Ancilia with song and dance through the streets of Rome: "Salios cœlestia arma, quæ Ancilia appellantur, ferre, ac per urbem ire sanentes carmina cum tripudiis solemnique saltatu jusserat Numa."—Livy, lib. i. 20. This institution was neglected by Otho. Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 8.

tration, he availed himself of the talents of Galerius Trachalus.[1] There were too some who recognised the peculiar style of forensic eloquence, much in vogue at the bar, and calculated to fill the ear from its copious and high-sounding character. In conformity with the prevailing spirit of adulation, the populace received him with acclamations, and expressions of extravagant and hollow applause. They vied with each other in demonstrations of zeal and invocation of blessings, as though Cæsar the dictator, or Augustus the emperor, were the object of their attentions: nor were they influenced by fear or love of him, but by an inordinate passion for slavery; and, after the manner of domestic slaves, each was stimulated by selfish motives, and no longer felt any concern for the honour of the community. Otho, on his departure, left the preservation of the peace of the city, and the administration of the government, to the discretion of his brother, Salvius Titianus.[2]

BOOK II.

1. In a distant part of the world fortune was now concerting the commencement of that series of events that originated a dynasty, under which the commonwealth experienced the extreme vicissitudes of the highest happiness and the direst affliction;[3] and the princes of which enjoyed supreme felicity, or met with a disastrous fate. While Galba still possessed the sovereign power, Titus Vespasian, by order of his father, set out from Judæa, for the purpose, as he stated, of paying

[1] M. Galerius Trachalus was joint consul with Silius Italicus, A. D. 68.

[2] Otho left the city of Rome on the 24th day of March, as appears from Suetonius, who mentions his neglect of the institutions relating to the Ancilia, as an inauspicious beginning of the war. Suetonius adds, that he set out on the day when all who paid their worship to the mother of the gods began the usual ceremonies. That day was the 9th of the calends of April, which answers to the 24th of March. See Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 8.

[3] Under Vespasian and Titus, Rome was happy; but the government of Domitian, in whom the Flavian line terminated, was intolerable.

respect to the emperor, and because he was arrived at years[1] to begin the career of public honours: but the common people, who delight in surmises, believed he came to be adopted heir to the empire, and circulated the rumour. The advanced age of Galba, his want of issue, and the busy spirit of the populace in fixing upon a number of persons, as long as no one was selected, added to the probability of the report; which derived additional credibility from the genius of Titus himself, which was adequate to the highest elevation; from the grace and majestic style of his person; the flourishing state of Vespasian; prophetic responses;[2] and even casual circumstances, which are regarded as omens of an event which the mind is previously inclined to believe. In the city of Corinth in Achaia, Titus received intelligence of the death of Galba, and assurances from persons who had come there, that Vitellius was in motion at the head of an army. He carefully revolved the matter in his mind, and summoning a council of his most confidential friends, weighed all the circumstances of his predicament on either supposition. "If he proceeded to Rome, the homage intended for a prince now no more would have no merit with his successor; and to remain a hostage in the custody of Otho, or Vitellius, would, most probably, be his lot. On the other hand, if he returned, he must inevitably give umbrage to the conqueror; and yet, as it happened while the issue of the war was uncertain, and especially if Vespasian should join the party, his son would be excused. But if Vespasian should put in a claim for the government, he must cease to think of offences amidst the cares of war."

2. After oscillating for some time between hope and fear, in consequence of these and similar reflections, he yielded to hope. A change so sudden was by some imputed to his love of Queen Berenice.[3] It is true he was not indifferent to her;

[1] Titus, at this time, was in his twenty-eighth year. By the favour of Narcissus, to whom Vespasian paid his court, he had been educated in the palace with Britannicus, the son of Claudius.

[2] Suetonius tells us, that Narcissus consulted a fortune-teller about the destiny of Britannicus: the answer was unfavourable to the young prince, but assured Titus that he was born to the imperial dignity. Suetonius, Life of Titus, s. 2.

[3] Berenice was sister to Agrippa II., and wife of Herod, king of Chalcis, in Syria.

but it interfered not with his duties. Titus in his youth indulged in pleasures, and was more distinguished for self-control in his own than in his father's reign. He set sail for Corinth, and after steering along the coast of Achaia and Asia, which lay to the left, he directed his course towards Rhodes and Cyprus. From those islands he went across the open sea[1] to the coast of Syria. At Cyprus curiosity led him to visit the temple of the Paphian Venus, famous for the worship paid by the inhabitants, and the conflux of strangers to it. It will not perhaps be tedious to trace the origin of its worship, to describe the situation of the temple, and the form of the goddess, differing as it does entirely from what is seen in any other place.

3. The founder of the temple, if we believe ancient tradition, was king Ærias:[2] a name ascribed by some writers to the goddess herself. According to a more recent opinion, the temple was built and dedicated by king Cinyras,[3] on the spot where the goddess, after emerging from her native waves, was gently wafted to the shore: the science and practice of divination were imported by Thamyras, the Cilician, and it was settled by mutual compact, between the priest and Cinyras, the king of the island, that the sacerdotal function should be held by the descendants of their respective families. In process of time, the race of Thamyras, willing that the sovereign should be distinguished by a superior prerogative, resigned the conduct of the mysteries, of which their ancestors were the founders. A priest of the royal line only is consulted. For victims, animals of every species are allowed, at the option of the votarist, provided he chooses from the male kind only. The fibres of kids are deemed to afford the surest prognostics. The altar is never stained with blood, and, though exposed to the open air, never moistened by rain.[4] Supplications and the pure flame of fire are the only offerings. The statue

[1] The use of the compass being not yet known, men did not like to lose sight of the shore; whence the expression, *audentioribus spatiis*.

[2] Ærias has been mentioned in another place as the founder of the Paphian temple; Annals, iii. 63.

[3] Cinyras is said by Apollodorus to have been one of the kings of Assyria.

[4] This circumstance is mentioned by Pliny, in his Natural History: "Celebre fanum habet Veneris Paphos, in cujus quandam aram non impluit."—Pliny, lib. ii. 96.

of the goddess bears noesemblance to the human form: it is round throughout, broad at one end, and gradually tapering to a narrow span at the other, like a goal. The reason of this is unascertained.

4. Titus having viewed the wealth of the temple, the presents of kings, and the other rarities, which the genius of the Greeks, fond of antiquity, affects to refer to remote and obscure times, first consulted the oracle about his future voyage. A calm sea and a safe passage were promised. He then slew a number of victims, and, in circuitous terms, inquired into his own destiny. The priest, whose name was Sostratus, finding the entrails of several animals agreeing in favourable prognostics, and that the goddess was propitious, answered briefly for the present in high aspirations, but afterwards, at a private interview, laid open the secrets of futurity. Titus, swelling with vast anticipations, proceeded on his voyage, and joined his father, while the armies and provinces of the East were undecided, and contributed immensely to turn the scale. Vespasian had almost brought the Jewish war to a conclusion. Nothing remained but the siege of Jerusalem; an arduous enterprise, not so much on account of the resources of the enemy to endure the difficulties of a siege, as by reason of the hill, and their stubborn superstition. Vespasian, as already mentioned, had three legions under his command, all inured to the service. Mucianus, in a province at peace, was at the head of four legions; emulation, and the gallant exertions of the army under Vespasian, had stimulated them into activity: they were not made soldiers in the field; but being unimpaired by fatigue, they were as efficient as those whom dangers and toils had invigorated. Both had an auxiliary force of horse and foot, besides ships and the support of the kings; and both were in high repute, but for different reasons, and, for qualities peculiar to each.

5. Vespasian was prompt and zealous in the service; he was often seen at the head of a march: he went in person to mark out the ground of his camp, and, by night as well as day, thwarting the plans of his enemies by his counsels, and, if need were, by active operations. In his diet, content with whatever came before him; in his apparel, scarce distinguished from the common men; and if he were free from

avarice, quite equal to the generals of antiquity. The pride and riches of Mucianus, on the contrary, lifted him in everything above the rank of a private citizen. He was a more accomplished speaker, and clever in the ordering and forecast of civil affairs,—an admirable compound of princely qualities, if, deducting their vices, their virtues only were combined. Situated as they were in contiguous provinces, Vespasian in Judæa, and Mucianus in Syria, they beheld each other, for some time, with the jealousy of rivals. The death of Nero put an end to their dissensions: from that time they began to act in concert. Their mutual friends made the first advances towards a reconciliation; afterwards Titus formed the great bond of union between them, and made them sink their criminal jealousies in the common interest. Nature and art had qualified Titus to win the attachment of all characters, even of Mucianus. The tribunes, the centurions, and the common men, were brought over by various means. The diligent met with encouragement, the licentious with indulgence, and, according to the bent of each man's disposition, all were secured by their virtues or their vices.

6. Before the arrival of Titus, both armies had sworn fidelity to Otho,—with such speed, as is usual, had they received intelligence of what passed at Rome; while the preparations for a civil war are in their nature slow and difficult. The East, which had long reposed in peace, now, for the first time, began to think of mixing in the feuds that shook the empire. Heretofore the most important civil contests arose in Gaul or Italy, and were decided by the forces of the West. It is true that Pompey, Cassius, Brutus, and Antony, carried the war across the Mediterranean, and had reason to repent. Syria and Judæa heard of the Cæsars, but seldom saw them. The legions were undisturbed by sedition. Embroiled at different times with the Parthians, they had a few slight conflicts, with varying success. In the late civil war,[1] when every part of the empire was agitated, the East was unmoved. Galba obtained the sovereignty, and the oriental legions acquiesced; but it was no sooner known that Otho and Vitellius were engaged in an impious war against their country than they began to murmur, and calculate their resources, lest while others obtained the rewards of conferring the

[1] The last civil war was that between Vindex and Nero.

sovereignty, all they would have to do would be to receive the yoke. Forthwith Syria and Judæa reckoned seven legions, with a large body of auxiliaries: contiguous to these was Egypt, with two legions; in another part, Cappadocia and Pontus, and the forces that lined the frontier of Armenia: Asia, and the rest of the provinces, were provided with men and money. All the islands, and the sea itself, propitious and safe for the transport of the materials of the war; and the Mediterranean, which afforded them facilities for making hostile preparations in security.[1]

[1] It will be proper, in this place, to state the names of the Roman legions, and the places where they were stationed, as given by Brotier. The names of the several legions were as follow:—1. Legio Prima, the first legion.—2. Legio Prima Italica, the first Italic legion, raised by Nero, as appears in Dio, lib. lv.—3. Legio Prima Adjutrix, an additional legion, according to Dio raised by Nero from the marines, and for that reason called Legio Prima Adjutrix Classicorum.—4. Legio Secunda, the second legion.—5. Legio Secunda Adjutrix, raised by Vespasian during the war with Vitellius.—6. Legio Tertia, the third legion; stationed in Syria.—7. Legio Tertia; another, called also the third, in Egypt.—8. Legio Tertia; another, stationed in Africa.—9. Legio Quarta, the fourth legion, called, to distinguish it from another fourth legion, Legio Quarta Macedonica.—10. Legio Quarta, another fourth legion, called, for the sake of distinction, Legio Quarta Scythica.—11. Legio Quinta, the fifth legion.—12. Legio Quinta Macedonica, the fifth legion, called the Macedonian.—13. Legio Sexta, the sixth legion, sometimes called Legio Sexta Victrix.—14. Legio Sexta Ferrata, another sixth legion, with the addition of Ferrata, to distinguish it from the former.—15. Legio Septima Claudiana, the seventh, called also the Claudian.—16. Legio Septima Galbiana, the seventh, called the Galbian.—17. Legio Octava, the eighth legion, sometimes called Invicta.—18. Legio Nona, the ninth legion; sometimes called Gemina, because it was one legion formed out of two.—19. Legio Decima, the tenth legion, quartered in Spain.—20. Legio Decima, another tenth legion, quartered in Judæa.—21. Legio Undecima, the eleventh legion, sometimes with the additional title of Claudiana.—22. Legio Duodecima, the twelfth legion, sometimes called Legio Duodecima Fulminea.—23. Legio Tertia Decima, the thirteenth legion; called also Gemina, because composed of two united into one. Legio Quarta Decima, the fourteenth legion.—24. Legio Quinta Decima, the fifteenth legion, stationed in the Lower Germany.—25. Legio Quinta Decima, another fifteenth legion, quartered in Judæa; sometimes called Legio Quinta Decima Apollinaris.—26. Legio Sexta Decima, the sixteenth legion.—27. Legio Septima Decima, the seventeenth legion; thought to be one of those that suffered in the slaughter of Varus.—28. Legio Duodevicesima, the eighteenth legion, another of the legions under Varus.—29. Legio Undevicesima, the nineteenth legion, another legion under Varus.—30. Legio Vicesima, the twentieth legion, called by Dio, Va-

7. The zeal of the soldiers was no secret to the commanders-in-chief; but they judged it best to wait the issue of the war in Europe,—aware that, between the victor and the vanquished a sincere coalition never can succeed, and whether fortune favoured the arms of Otho or Vitellius, the consequence would be the same. The pride of victory is apt to corrupt even the ablest generals. Discord, sloth, and luxury would be the ruin of both: their own vices would destroy them—one in the course of the war, the other in victory. For these reasons they postponed operations till an opportunity arose. Vespasian and Mucianus, lately reconciled to each other, concurred in this opinion, which had been beforehand adopted by their friends. Men of principle acted with a view to the public good; many were impelled by the allurements of plunder, others by the precarious condition of their domestic affairs. Good and evil, from different motives, but with uniform zeal, were all eager for war.

8. About this time, a report that Nero was still alive, and on his way to the East, excited a false alarm through Achaia and Asia. The accounts of his death had been various, which caused the more to assert that he was alive, and to believe it. In the course of this work the reader will hear of the attempts of various pretenders, and the fate that attended

leria Victrix.—31. Legio Una-et-vicesima, the twenty-first legion, sometimes with the addition of Rapax.—32. Legio Duo-et-vicesima, the twenty-second legion, stationed in Germany.—33. Legio Duo-et-vicesima, another twenty-second legion, quartered in Egypt.—34. Legio e Classicis, a legion formed out of the marine soldiers by Vitellius, in his last distress, but soon received into Vespasian's party, and never more distinguished.

Such were the names of the legions that occur in Tacitus. If, from the whole number, we deduct the seventeenth, eighteenth, and nineteenth, which were all cut off under Varus, and also the last, formed out of the marines by Vitellius, and heard of no more, it will appear that, in the beginning of the ensuing wars, the military establishment, exclusive of the forces in Italy, consisted of thirty legions. Their stations were as follows:—In Britain: the second, ninth, twentieth;—in Spain: the first Adjutrix, the sixth, the tenth;—in Gaul: the first Italic;—in Lower Germany: the first, fifth, fifteenth, sixteenth;—in Upper Germany: the fourth, twenty-first, twenty-second;—in Pannonia: the seventh Galbian, the thirteenth;—in Dalmatia: the eleventh, the fourteenth;—in Mœsia: the seventh Claudian, the eighth;—in Syria: the third, fourth, sixth, twelfth;—in Judæa: the fifth, tenth, fifteenth;—in Egypt: the third, the twenty-second;—in Africa: the third.

them.[1] The impostor, in this case, was a slave from Pontus, or, according to some writers, a freedman from Italy, who played with skill on the harp, and had a musical voice. With those talents, and a countenance that resembled Nero superadded, he was the nearer succeeding in the imposture. By immense promises, he drew to his party a number of deserters, whom their poverty compelled to lead a vagrant life. With this crew he put to sea, but was thrown by adverse winds on the isle of Cythnus. At that place he fell in with a party of soldiers on their return from the East. Some of these he enlisted; and such as refused, he ordered to be put to death. Having plundered the merchants, and armed the stoutest of their slaves, he endeavoured to seduce Sisenna, a centurion from Syria, who happened to land on the island of Cythnus, on his way to greet the prætorian bands in the name of the Syrian army, and, in token of friendship, to present two right hands clasping each other. Apprehending danger from so bold an adventurer, Sisenna made his escape from the island. A general panic seized the inhabitants. Numbers were elated to find the name of Nero so rife, hating the existing system, and wishing for a revolution.

9. The fame of this pretended Nero gained strength every day, when by a sudden accident the illusion vanished. It happened that Calpurnius Asprenas, whom Galba had appointed governor of Galatia and Pamphylia, arrived at the isle of Cythnus, with two galleys that escorted him, from the fleet at Misenum. The commanders of the ships were summoned, in the name of Nero, to attend their lawful prince. The impostor, with an air of dejection, implored their assistance, by the duty which they owed him, and prayed for safe conduct either to Syria or to Egypt. The masters of the galleys, either wavering or intending to deceive, desired time to speak to their sailors, and promised to return when they had prepared their minds. But Asprenas was duly informed of all that passed, and, at his instance, the ship was seized, and the pretended emperor, whoever he was, put to death. The person of the man, his eyes, his hair, and the ferocity of

[1] A number of impostors, at different times, assumed the name of Nero. See Suetonius, Life of Nero, a. 57.

his countenance, were remarkable. His body was conveyed to Asia, and afterwards sent to Rome.

10. In a city distracted by internal discord, and, amid so many revolutions, fluctuating between liberty and anarchy, even trivial transactions excited violent commotions. Vibius Crispus, a man, for his wealth, his power, and his talents, accounted an eminent rather than a good citizen, cited to the bar of the senate Annius Faustus, a Roman knight, and, in the reign of Nero, an informer by profession. In the beginning of Galba's reign, it was ordained by a decree, that all causes against the race of public accusers should be fairly heard. This law, however salutary, was enforced or relaxed as the person accused happened to be of weight and consequence, or poor and friendless: it was, notwithstanding, still in force; and Crispus, availing himself of it, exerted all his influence to ruin the man who had been the prosecutor of his brother.[1] In the senate his party was strong and powerful. Without hearing the criminal, the fathers were for condemning him to immediate execution. With others, on the contrary, nothing served the cause of the accused so much as the overwhelming influence of the prosecutor. They insisted that the specific charge should be exhibited, and a day fixed, when the defendant, however guilty, should be allowed the common right of being heard in his defence. They prevailed in the first instance, and the hearing of the cause was adjourned for a few days. The trial at length came on, and Faustus was condemned, but not with that universal assent of the people, which a life of iniquity might have warranted. The accuser, it was well known, had been concerned in similar prosecutions, and received the profits of his trade. Men rejoiced to see the punishment of a crime so dangerous and detestable; but the triumph of a notorious offender gave disgust.

11. Meanwhile, the affairs of Otho, at the outset, wore a favourable aspect. The armies in Dalmatia and Pannonia were on their march to join him. A detachment of two thousand advanced by rapid marches, while the main body followed at moderate distances. The legions that composed this force were the seventh, which had been raised by Galba; the eleventh, the thirteenth, and fourteenth; all veterans in

[1] His brother was Vibius Secundus, a man convicted of extortion; Annals, xiv. 28.

the service, and the last in great renown for the vigour with which they quelled the insurrection in Britain,[1] and still more famous for the choice made by Nero, who had selected that corps as the best in the empire: whence they remained to the last faithful to that emperor, and, after his death, declared with equal zeal in favour of Otho. Knowing their own strength, they were inspired with confidence; but that confidence made them proceed on their march by slow journeys. The cavalry and auxiliary cohorts outstripped the body of the legions. The troops that marched from Rome were a formidable body: they consisted of five prætorian cohorts, several squadrons of horse, and the first legion. To these were added two thousand gladiators—a degrading resource, but in civil commotions often employed, even by strict generals. Annius Gallus and Vestricius Spurinna[2] were sent at the head of this whole force, with orders to take post on the banks of the Po, as the first project had proved abortive, Cæcina having already passed the Alps; whereas Otho had hoped that he might be prevented from advancing out of Gaul. Otho followed with a select detachment of body-guards, and the rest of the prætorian cohorts, and veterans of that corps, and a prodigious number of marines. On the march he betrayed no symptom of sloth, nor violated discipline by luxurious indulgence: he advanced on foot, at the head of the colours, with an iron breastplate, fierce-looking and rough; a contrast to his former character.[3]

[1] See Annals, xiv. 29; Suetonius, Life of Nero, s. 39, 40.

[2] For the excellent character of Vestricius Spurinna, see Pliny, lib. ii. epist. 7; and lib. iii. epist. 1 and 10.

[3] Juvenal has given a different description of Otho on his march, Sat. ii. 99:—

"Ille tenet speculum pathici gestamen Othonis,
Actoris Aurunci spolium, quo se ille videbat
Armatum, cum jam tolli vexilla juberet.
Res memoranda novis annalibus, atque recenti
Historia, speculum civilis sarcina belli!
Nimirum summi ducis est occidere Galbam,
Et curare cutem summi constantia civis;
Bebriaci campo spolium affectare Palati,
Et pressum in facie digitis extendere panem,
Quod nec in Assyrio pharetrata Semiramis orbe,
Mœsta nec Actiaca fecit Cleopatra carina."

The severity of Juvenal's language in this passage may perhaps be accounted for by the fact that Otho was favourably regarded by the Flavian party, to whom the satirist was opposed.

12. Fortune seemed to open a flattering prospect, as he was master of the greatest part of Italy, and by means of his fleets had the command of the sea, even to the foot of the maritime Alps. To pass over those mountains, and make a descent on Narbon Gaul, he committed to the conduct of Suedius Clemens, Antonius Novellus, and Æmilius Pacencis. The last was loaded with irons by his own soldiers; Antonius Novellus lost all influence; and Suedius Clemens, in the exercise of his authority, yielded too much to the humours of his men—at once allowing himself to be worked upon, against the propriety of discipline, and over-eager for action. It seemed as if the parts they came to did not belong to Italy, nor the lands and habitations to their native country: they burned, destroyed, and plundered, as if the war had been in a foreign realm, against the enemies of their country; and the effects were the more deplorable, because the people, having entertained no fear, had prepared no defence. The fields were covered with grain and cattle; the houses were open; and the owners, who, with their wives and children by their side, went forth to meet the army in the security of peace, were involved in all the calamities of war. Marius Maturus was at that time governor of the maritime Alps. He resolved to dispute the passage with Otho's troops, and, for that purpose, armed the youth of the country. In the first encounter, the mountaineers were either cut to pieces or put to the rout, as might be expected; since, having been assembled hastily, and unacquainted with military duties or their general, they had no honour to gain by victory, no disgrace to incur by flight.

13. An opposition so rash and feeble served only to exasperate the Othonian soldiers, and they vented their fury upon Albium Intemelium, a municipal town; for the late victory was a fruitless advantage, affording neither spoil nor plunder. The peasants had no property, and their arms were of no value. Even prisoners of war could not be made; for they knew the course of the country, and were swift of foot. The soldiers, therefore, glutted their avarice with the effects of harmless men. The odium attaching to this conduct was aggravated by the noble example of a Ligurian woman. She had concealed her child; and the soldiers, persuaded that she had deposited her treasure in the same place, pressing her by

torture to tell where she had deposited him, she pointed to her womb, and said, "Here my child is sheltered." Nor by any subsequent horrors, or death itself, could she be induced to deviate from that magnanimous reply.

14. Messengers came in breathless haste and alarm to inform Fabius Valens that Otho's fleet was hovering on the coast of Narbon Gaul, which had already embraced the interest of Vitellius. The adjacent colonies, by their deputies, were come to sue for protection. Valens despatched two Tungrian cohorts, four squadrons of horse, with the whole cavalry of the Treviri, under the command of Julius Classicus; a detachment from those forces being retained to garrison the port of Forojulium, that the colony might not, while the troops marched up the country, lie exposed to an immediate descent from the fleet. Classicus marched against the enemy with twelve troops of horse, and a select body from the cohorts. To these were added the Ligurian cohort, which had been usually quartered at Forojulium, and five hundred men from Pannonia, not yet formed into companies. Neither side declined an engagement. The line of battle was thus arranged: a body of marines, intermixed with the peasantry, extended up the heights near the sea. The level space between the hills and the coast was occupied by the prætorian soldiers; and, to support them, the fleet stood in close to the shore, drawn up in order of battle, and presenting a formidable front. The Vitellians, consisting of cavalry rather than infantry, stationed their Alpine mountaineers on the ridge of the neighbouring hills, and their cohorts in close array behind the cavalry. The Trevirian squadrons began the attack incautiously. The veterans of Otho's army received them in front, while the peasants, from the high grounds, discharged a volley of stones, and, being expert slingers, annoyed the enemy in flank. They mixed in the lines with the regular soldiers, and, in the hour of victory, the coward and the brave pursued their advantage with equal ardour. The Vitellians, thrown into disorder, were further terrified by an attack on the rear from the fleet. Thus hemmed in on every side, they must have been cut off to a man, if the night had not afforded them a pretext for retreat, and restrained the victorious army from pursuing them.

15. The Vitellians, though defeated, did not remain in-

active. With a reinforcement, drawn together in haste, they returned to the charge; and, finding the enemy elate with joy, and by success lulled into security, they assaulted the outposts, put the advanced guard to the sword, forced their way into the camp, and, at the fleet, all was tumult and disorder. The surprise, however, gradually subsiding, the Othonians betook themselves to an adjacent hill, whence, after a little time, they rushed down with great fury. A dreadful slaughter followed. The Tungrian cohorts stood the brunt of the action, till their commanding officers fell under a shower of darts. The Othonians conquered, but their victory was dearly bought. Some of them pursued the flying enemy incautiously, when the Trevirian cavalry wheeled round and cut them off. From this time the two armies remained inactive. As if a truce had taken place, and both sides had agreed that the fleet of one party, and the cavalry of the other, should not make any sudden incursions, the Vitellians retired to Antipolis, a municipal town of Narbon Gaul, and the Othonians to Albigaunum, in the inland part of Liguria.

16. Corsica, Sardinia, and the rest of the islands in those seas, were kept in subjection to Otho by the fame of the victorious fleet. Corsica, indeed, was well nigh ruined by a wild scheme of the governor, Decimus Pecarius, which, in a war carried on by such powerful adversaries, could be of no advantage, and ended in his own destruction. For, from antipathy to Otho, he determined to aid Vitellius with the forces of Corsica, which would have rendered little service if he had succeeded. He summoned a council of the leading men, and communicated his design. Claudius Phirricus, who commanded the galleys on that station, and Quinctius Certus, a Roman knight, objected to the measure, and were put to instant death. The rest of the assembly, who were terrified by this act of violence, as well as the populace, blind and ignorant, but catching the fears of the others, swore fidelity to Vitellius. But when Pacarius began to muster, and train to the use of arms, a race of rude peasants, having no relish for the fatigue of military discipline, they began to consider their inability to support a war. "They were islanders, remote from Germany and the aid of the legions. The fleets of Otho had lately ravaged the maritime countries, though defended by the cohorts and cavalry of Vitellius." This reflection

produced a sudden change in every mind. They resolved to act, however, not with open force, but by covert stratagem. When Pacarius, his train of visitors having left him, retired to his bath, the conspirators fell upon him, naked and disarmed, and put him and his attendants to death. Their heads, like those of traitors, were conveyed to Otho by the assassins themselves, who were neither rewarded by that prince, nor punished by Vitellius. Such was the mass of abominations that deformed the times, that they were lost sight of amongst atrocities of greater importance.

17. The cavalry called the Syllanian squadron[1] had, as already mentioned, forced their way into Italy, and there fixed the seat of war; not from favour which any one felt for Otho, nor from preference for Vitellius: but by a long peace, their minds had been debased, and prepared for slavery in any shape; ready to support the first who solicited them, and careless about the merits of competitors. The fairest portion of Italy,[2] extending from the Po to the Alps, with all its fertile plains and flourishing cities, was in the possession of Vitellius; the forces sent forward by Cæcina having already penetrated into that quarter. At Cremona a Pannonian cohort laid down their arms; and between Placentia and Ticinum a party of a hundred horse, with a thousand marines, were made prisoners. In this tide of success, the Po opposed its stream and its banks in vain. Nay, to the Batavians, and the troops from beyond the Rhine, the river was no more than a motive to inflame their ardour. They passed over it suddenly under the walls of Placentia, and, intercepting some of the enemy's scouts, spread such a panic among the rest, that in their alarm they reported, falsely, that Cæcina and his whole army were there.

18. Spurinna, who commanded at Placentia, was well informed that Cæcina was still at a distance; and, if he should approach, he was determined to keep his men within their works, and not oppose three prætorian cohorts and a thousand vexillaries, with a small body of horse, to a veteran army. But his soldiery, unruly and unskilled in military

[1] See above, i. 70.

[2] The country between the Po and the Alps, comprising Piedmont, Montferrat, the Milanese: the principal cities were, Mediolanum, Novaria, Eporodia, Vercellæ. See above, i. 70.

operations, seized the standards and colours, and sallied forth in a body. The general endeavoured in vain to check their violence; they pointed their weapons at his breast, when holding them back, and spurned at the tribunes and centurions; nay, they even clamoured that Otho was assailed by treason, and that Cæcina was invited to come. Spurinna became a partaker of the rashness which originated with others, at first perforce, but afterwards with a show of approbation, in hopes, if the sedition subsided, that he might have the greater weight.

19. The Po appearing in sight, and night coming on, it was judged necessary to fortify a camp. This labour, new to men who had only served in the city, abated their ferocity; all the oldest soldiers censured their own credulity, communicated their fears, and pointed out their imminent danger, if Cæcina with a regular army had surrounded their cohorts in a wide champaign country. Throughout the ranks nothing was now heard but respectful language; and the tribunes and centurions mixing with them, all lauded the sagacity of their general, who had chosen a strong and powerful colony for the seat and centre of the war. At length Spurinna, choosing rather to convince by reason than to irritate by reproof, leaving some scouts there, marched back to Placentia with the troops, now less excited, and disposed to obey his orders. The walls of the place were repaired; new works were added; the towers were increased; the soldiers were provided with arms; and, what was of greater moment, a spirit of discipline and prompt obedience was diffused through the army. This was the only desideratum: want of courage could not be imputed to Otho's party.

20. On the other hand, Cæcina advanced through Italy with every attention to discipline, as if he had left his cruelty and love of plunder on the other side of the Alps. His own dress gave offence to the municipalities and colonies, who construed it as indicating arrogance. They felt it as an affront, that, arrayed in a particoloured mantle and drawers,[1] used only by savage nations, he should converse with men habited in the toga. Besides this, the splendid appearance of

[1] Cæcina wore the sagum, which was the German dress (see the Manners of the Germans, s. 17), and the braccæ, or breeches, which distinguished the Gauls. The southern part of Gaul was called Gallia Narbonensis, and also Braccata.

his wife, Salonina, mounted on a superb horse, adorned with purple, though in itself a matter of no importance, and certainly injurious to no person whatever, was held to be a public insult. Such is the nature of the human mind, disposed at all times to behold with jealousy the sudden elevation of other men, and to demand especially, that he who has been seen in a humble station should know how to rise in the world with moderation. Cæcina passed the Po, and by negotiation and artful promises endeavoured to seduce the leaders of Otho's party. The like insidious game was played against himself. Both sides talked of peace and concord, but they amused each other with words of specious sound, importing nothing. At length Cæcina directed his counsels and cares to the object of assaulting Placentia, in such a manner as should fill his enemies with alarm; well knowing that the influence of his reputation, through the remainder of the war, would depend upon the success which attended his first efforts.

21. The first day, however, exhibited the bravery, rather than the skill, of a veteran army. The soldiers, oppressed with gluttony and intoxicated, advanced to the foot of the walls, without shelter or precaution. In this attack, a magnificent amphitheatre, which stood on the outside of the fortifications, was burnt to the ground. Whether this was occasioned by the brands, hot balls, and other combustibles thrown in by the besiegers, or by the same hurled back from the works, cannot now be ascertained. The common people of the town, prone to suspicions, believed that combustibles had been basely introduced by some of the neighbouring colonists, who saw with envy and jealousy a structure more capacious than any in Italy. The sense of this misfortune, howsoever begun, was lost in the fear of greater afflictions; but, security restored, the inhabitants lamented it as the worst calamity that could befal them. Cæcina was repulsed with considerable loss. The night, on both sides, was employed in preparing works. The Vitellians provided themselves with penthouses, sheds, and mantlets, for sapping the foundation of the walls, and protecting them in the attack. The besieged were busy in preparing stakes and rafts of timber, with huge heaps of stone, and lead, and brass, in order to break through the works, and overwhelm the assail-

ants. On both sides were the love of glory, and the fear of shame; and various were the topics of exhortation. On one side, they magnified the vigour of the legions and the German armies; on the other, the honour of serving in the city, and of the prætorian bands. The Vitellians held up their foes to contempt, as a spiritless and supine soldiery, corrupted by the circus and theatres; the Othonians spoke of their enemies as foreigners and aliens: at the same time, lauding and vituperating Otho and Vitellius, their mutual incitements found a more exuberant resource in their censures than in their praises.

22. The day had scarce dawned, when the walls and ramparts were covered with soldiers, and the fields gleamed with arms and men. The legions in close array, and the auxiliaries in separate divisions, began the attack with stones and darts, aimed at the higher parts of the fortifications; and where the works were either impaired by time or thinly manned, the Vitellians attempted a scalade. The German auxiliaries, with their savage war-songs, and, according to the custom of their country, waving their shields over their shoulders, while their bodies were exposed, advancing with impetuous fury, the garrison, with more deliberate aim, discharged their darts. The legionary soldiers, under their penthouses and sheds, battered the foundation of the walls, threw up a mound, and attempted to force the gates. Massy mill-stones, prepared for that very purpose by the besieged, were rolled down with a loud crash, and some of them who had come up to the walls were thus crushed under the weight; others transfixed and expiring, or dreadfully mangled: the confusion and alarm increasing the havoc, and the efforts of the besieged in consequence augmented, the Vitellians retreated with a manifest loss of credit to the party; and Cæcina, feeling ashamed of an enterprise rashly undertaken, resolved to raise the siege, and leave a camp where he had nothing to expect but ridicule, and could not hope to do any good. He repassed the Po, and bent his march towards Cremona. He had not proceeded far, when he was joined by Turullius Cerealis, a principal centurion, who had headed the ranks under him in Germany, and also by Julius Briganticus, a Batavian by birth: the former deserted with a strong body of marine soldiers, and the latter with a small party of horse.

23. Spurinna, as soon as he was informed of the movements of the enemy, sent despatches to Annius Gallus, with the particulars of the siege, the gallant defence of Placentia, and the measures concerting by Cæcina. Gallus was then on his march, at the head of the first legion, to relieve Placentia, little imagining that a few cohorts would be able to hold out against the strength and valour of the German army. When, however, it was known that Cæcina had abandoned his enterprise, and was then proceeding to Cremona, the legion burned to be led against the enemy. Their impatience rose to a pitch little short of sedition. It was with difficulty that Gallus appeased the tumult, and made them halt at Bedriacum,[1] a village situated between Verona and Cremona, and unhappily famous for the slaughter of two Roman armies. About the same time Martius Macer fought with success near Cremona. With the spirit of enterprise that distinguished him, he embarked the gladiators on the Po, and, making a sudden descent on the opposite bank, fell with fury on the auxiliaries of Vitellius. All who attempted to make head against him were put to the sword; the rest fled with precipitation to Cremona. Macer was not willing to lose by rashness the fruit of his victory. He knew that, by the arrival of fresh forces, the fortune of the day might be changed, and, for that reason, checked the impetuosity of the victorious troops. This excited suspicion amongst Otho's soldiers, who were in the habit of putting the worst construction upon every act whatsoever: according as each man was craven in heart and petulant of tongue, they vied with each other in defaming, by various charges, Annius Gallus, Suetonius Paulinus, and Marius Celsus; for these were put in command by Otho also. But the murderers of Galba were the worst incendiaries. Frantic with conscious guilt and fear, they made it their business to embroil, to distract, and throw everything into confusion. They gave vent to their seditious designs with open insolence, and by clandestine letters infused their venom into the mind of Otho, who was in a state of alarm and agitation, disposed to rely on every abject instru-

[1] This village, which, according to Cluverius, stood at the distance of twenty miles from Cremona, and is now called Caneto, has been rendered famous by the defeat of Otho, and afterwards, as will be seen in the third book of this History, by that of Vitellius.

ment, and dreading men of worth and honour: in prosperity weak and irresolute, but appearing in a better light when in distress. In his present situation, he sent to Rome for his brother Titianus, and committed to him the conduct of the war. The interval was filled by Celsus and Paulinus with active enterprise and brilliant success.

24. Cæcina felt deeply the failure of all his undertakings, and saw with anxiety the fame of his army mouldering away. Repulsed at Placentia, his auxiliaries lately cut to pieces, and worsted even in collisions of scouts,—encounters frequent, rather than worth mentioning,—lest Valens, who was advancing, should reap all the laurels of the war, he hastened, with more avidity than judgment, to redeem his honour. With this intent, at a village called Castorum,[1] twelve miles from Cremona, in a wood that overhangs the road, he stationed the flower of his auxiliaries in ambuscade. His cavalry had orders to advance further than ordinary, and, provoking an engagement, to give ground voluntarily, and entice their pursuers to quicken their speed, till the troops in ambuscade should pounce upon them. The stratagem was betrayed to the generals of Otho's army. Paulinus took the command of the infantry, while Celsus led on the cavalry. In the left wing were placed the vexillaries of the thirteenth legion, four auxiliary cohorts, and five hundred horse. The high road was occupied by three prætorian cohorts, in deep rank. In the right wing marched the first legion, with two auxiliary cohorts, and five hundred horse. Besides these, a thousand of the cavalry, selected from the prætorian and auxiliary bands, were taken to support the broken ranks, or, if the enemy gave way, to complete the victory.

25. Before the two armies came to action, the Vitellians feigned a flight. Aware of the stratagem, Celsus held back his men. The Vitellians rose from their ambuscade without effect, and Celsus retiring gradually, they pursued him too far, and fell themselves into a snare. The legions attacked them on both wings; the cohorts in front; and the cavalry, wheeling round rapidly, charged them in the rear. Suetonius

[1] Compare, "Otho tribus quidem, verum mediocribus prœliis, apud Alpes, circaque Placentiam, et ad Castoris (Templum *sc.*) quod loco nomen est, vicit."—Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 9. This place was about twelve miles from Cremona, between the Po and the Addua (now Adda).

Paulinus still kept his infantry out of the engagement. By his natural temper slow and deliberate, and choosing to take his measures with reason and precaution, rather than owe his success to the chance of war, he ordered the hollows to be filled up, the ground to be cleared, and his ranks to be extended; judging that it would be time to begin the work of victory, when he had taken care not to be defeated. By this delay, the Vitellians seized the opportunity to shift their ground. They betook themselves to the adjacent vineyards, thick with interwoven branches. A small wood, too, lay contiguous; wherein having recovered their courage, they sallied out, and slew the best and bravest of the prætorian cavalry. Epiphanes,[1] the eastern king, who, in Otho's cause, urged on the battle with great spirit, was wounded.

26. At length the infantry, under the command of Paulinus, rushed forward. The line of the enemy was trampled under foot, and the parties that came to support them were put to the rout; for Cæcina had brought up his cohorts singly, not all at once, which increased the confusion in the engagement; for, coming forward in succession, and nowhere strong enough, they were carried along in the panic of the flying troops. A tumult also broke out in Cæcina's camp. The soldiers were enraged that the whole army was not drawn out. Julius Gratus, the præfect of the camp, they loaded with irons, on a suspicion that he held treasonable intercourse with his brother Julius Fronto, at that time a tribune in Otho's army, and, under a similar accusation, then confined in prison by the adverse party. Nothing now could equal the disorder and consternation that involved the whole Vitellian army. In the camp, in the field of battle, in the flight, and amongst the parties that came to support the fugitives, the confusion was such, that, if Paulinus had not sounded a retreat, it was the opinion of both parties that Cæcina, with his whole army, might have been cut to pieces. Paulinus alleged that, seeing how much toil and labour still remained, he was afraid to expose his men, already spent with the fatigue of the day, to fresh forces kept in reserve, and ready to issue from the adverse camp; and, if once broken, no post, no station, remained behind. With this reasoning

[1] Epiphanes was the son of Antiochus IV. king of Commagene, a district of Syria.

the judicious few were satisfied, but in the lower ranks dissatisfaction prevailed.

27. This loss had less effect in alarming the Vitellians, than in reducing their turbulent spirit to a sense of duty. Nor was this the case with the troops of Cæcina only, who threw the whole blame upon the army, at all times more disposed to mutiny than to face the enemy. The same reformation showed itself in the camp of Fabius Valens, who was now advanced as far as Ticinum.[1] His soldiers no longer despised the enemy, but, eager to retrieve the honour of the army, submitted more respectfully and uniformly to their general. Among them, too, the spirit of mutiny had flamed forth with grievous violence; which I will now return to, tracing its origin from more remote transactions, for it would have been inconvenient to interrupt the narrative of Cæcina's acts. The cohorts of the Batavian nation, which, in the war between Nero and Vindex, separated from the fourteenth legion, then on its way to Britain, and, having heard, in the city of the Lingones, of commotions in favour of Vitellius, went over, as I have related, to Fabius Valens, conducted themselves with great insolence; making it their boast, when they came to the tents of each legion, "that by them the fourteenth legion had been overawed; by them Italy was wrested out of the hands of Nero; and upon their swords the issue of the war depended." The soldiers heard these speeches with indignation—the general with wounded feelings: disputes and quarrels put an end to discipline; and at length Valens suspected that they would proceed from clamour to actual mutiny.

28. Valens, therefore, having received advice that the Tungrians and Trevirians had met with a defeat, and that Otho's fleet was hovering on the coast of Narbon Gaul, ordered a detachment of the Batavians to march to the relief of the province; intending, at the same time, by a stroke of policy, to divide the mutinous troops, who, in a body, were too formidable to be managed. When this measure was heard of, and generally known, the auxiliaries murmured, and the legions complained aloud, "that they were now to lose the bravest troops in the service; that, when the enemy was near at hand, those experienced soldiers, who had so often fought

[1] Ticinum, a city built by the Transalpine Gauls on the river Ticinus.

and returned with victory, were withdrawn, as it were, from the line of battle. If a single province is of more moment than the city of Rome and the salvation of the empire, all should follow them thither; but if the soundness, the support, the pillar of their hopes of success, rested on the efforts made in Italy, the most efficient members should not be thus severed, as it were, from the body."

29. While giving vent to this insolence, Valens, sending his lictors among them, was proceeding to repress the mutiny, when they pelted the general himself with stones, forced him to flee, and pursued him, accusing him of having embezzled the spoils of Gaul, the gold of Vienne,[1] and the recompense due to the soldiers for all their toils; they pillaged his camp-equipage, rummaged his pavilion, and searched the ground itself with their spears and javelins. Valens, in the meantime, disguised like a slave, lay concealed in the tent of an officer of the cavalry. In this juncture, Alphenus Varus, the præfect of the camp, the frenzy gradually subsiding, called in the aid of stratagem: ordering the centurions not to visit the night-watch, and omitting the sound of the trumpet, by which the soldiers are summoned to the offices of war. Thus everything was at a standstill. The mutineers surveyed each other with amazement, terrified beyond measure for this very cause, that there was no one at the helm. By silence and resignation, in the end by supplications and tears, they were seeking to obtain forgiveness, when Valens came forth. As soon as the soldiers saw him beyond expectation safe, in unseemly apparel, and in tears, joy and sorrow and affection ensued. With the quick transition from one extreme of passion to the other, common with the multitude, they poured forth their congratulations; and, with shouts of applause, placed their general amidst the eagles and standards, on his tribunal. Valens acted with well-timed moderation. No man was singled out for punishment. Afraid, however, that, by passing it over altogether, he might make them suspect some deep design, he laid the blame on a few; knowing that in civil wars soldiers may do more than their generals may notice.

30. While Valens employed his army in throwing up in-

[1] The people of Vienne were obliged to purchase the protection of Valens. See above, i. 66.

trenchments at Ticinum, an account of Cæcina's defeat reached the camp, when the sedition nearly broke out again: it seemed that by the treachery and delays of Valens they had been detained from the field of battle. They resolved to linger no longer; nor to wait for their commander: they marched before the colours, and, ordering the standard-bearers to push on, after a rapid march, joined Cæcina's army. In that camp Valens was in no kind of credit. The vanquished soldiers complained, that with so inferior a force they were exposed to the entire strength of the enemy; and, at the same time that they urged this as their apology, they flattered the troops who came to them by magnifying their valour, lest they should be looked down upon as beaten and cowards. Though Valens was at the head of an army which exceeded that of Cæcina, having almost double the number, yet the latter was the favourite of the men. Besides his superior liberality of spirit, he was recommended by the vigour of youth, a graceful figure, and those qualities which, though of no solid value, conciliate favour. Hence a spirit of emulation between the two commanders. Cæcina represented Valens as horribly vicious and impure; and, in return, Valens ridiculed Cæcina as empty and vainglorious. And yet, suppressing their animosities, they zealously promoted the common cause, giving vent to reproaches against Otho in their many letters, in a manner that showed they were reckless of reconciliation. Whereas the officers in the opposite army spoke of Vitellius with reserve, though his manners afforded ample materials for invective.

31. It must be admitted, that, before the deaths of these two persons, though Otho fell with glory, and Vitellius with disgrace and infamy, yet men dreaded greater mischief from the furious passions of Otho, than from the sluggish debauchery of Vitellius. Besides, the murder of Galba made the former an object of detestation and alarm; while the latter was never charged with being the author of the war. Vitellius, by his voracity and gluttony, was his own enemy; Otho, by his profusion, his cruelty, and his daring spirit, was the enemy of his country. As soon as the forces under Cæcina and Valens had formed a junction, the Vitellian party no longer declined a decisive action. Otho took

counsel whether a speedy engagement or a lingering war seemed best, when Suetonius Paulinus, an officer surpassed by no man of that age, judging it consistent with his high military character to give his opinion on the entire complexion of the war, contended that to bring the dispute to an immediate issue was advantageous to Vitellius; to protract the war was the game for Otho to play.

32. "The whole collected force of Vitellius," he said, "is now in Italy: the resources which he has left behind him are inconsiderable; since Gaul is teeming with disaffection, and with hostile nations ready to invade the Roman provinces, the banks of the Rhine cannot be left defenceless. The legions in Britain have an enemy on their hands, and are divided by the sea. Spain is not so overflowing with troops. The province of Narbon Gaul has been thrown into dismay by the incursion of Otho's fleet, and a defeat. Italy, beyond the Po, is shut in by the Alps, deprived of all relief by sea, and the armies that passed that way have exhausted the country. There is no place from which Vitellius can hope to be supplied with grain; and, without provisions, he cannot maintain his army. Moreover the Germans, the most warlike portion of the Vitellian party, if the war be protracted till summer, will be unable to bear the change of soil and climate with their infirm constitutions. Many wars, formidable in the first impetuous effort, have come to nothing through the effects of delay and suspense. On the other hand, Otho's party are rich in supplies, and their friends are firm. They have Pannonia, Mœsia, Dalmatia, and the East, with their entire armies; Italy; and Rome, the capital of the empire: the senate and the Roman people, always of considerable importance, though their glory in some conjunctures has been eclipsed: a store of wealth, both public and private, and boundless riches; in public dissensions more powerful than the sword: their soldiers inured to Italy, or seasoned to the heat in warmer climates. In their front the river Po is a barrier, and cities fortified and garrisoned; of which the defence of Placentia is a proof that none will surrender. For these reasons, he should protract the war. In a few days, the fourteenth legion, famous for its bravery, will arrive with reinforcements from Mœsia. A council of war may then be called; and should it be thought

advisable to hazard a battle, Otho may then take the field with augmented force."

33. Marius Celsus concurred in this opinion. Annius Gallus, who had been thrown by the falling of his horse a few days before, being consulted by persons sent for the purpose, also concurred. Otho was eager for the issue of a battle. His brother Titianus, and Proculus, the præfect of the prætorian guards, both disposed to hasty measures from inexperience, averred that the gods, and the tutelar genius of Otho, were present in council, and would stand by them in their enterprises; and that no one might venture to oppose their sentence, they had adopted the tone of flattery. To offer battle was the result of the debate; but whether the emperor should command in person, or withdraw to a place of safety, was a question still to be discussed. Celsus and Paulinus now made no opposition. To expose the prince to the dangers of the field, was more than they chose to take upon themselves; and the authors of the pernicious counsel already given, carried it, that Otho should retire to Brixellum, there, removed from the hazards of battle, to reserve himself for the chief administration of affairs and of empire. From this day the ruin of Otho's party may be dated. He took with him a considerable detachment of the prætorian cohorts, the body-guard, and cavalry. After their departure, the spirit of the army began to droop; for they suspected their officers; and the prince, on whom alone the soldiers relied, for he confided in none but them, had left them under the command of generals of dubious authority.

34. Nothing of all that passed was a secret in the camp of Vitellius. From the deserters, who in civil wars are always numerous, and also from the spies, whose genius it is, while they pry into the secrets of others, to betray their own, everything transpired. Cæcina and Valens lay quiet on the watch for the opportunity when the enemy should rush on unwarily, and waiting to avail themselves of the folly of others, a good substitute for wisdom, commenced a bridge, as though they meditated crossing the Po, to attack the gladiators[1] on the opposite bank; and that their own soldiers might not pass their time in listless inactivity. They ranged

[1] It has been already mentioned, that Otho had in his army two thousand gladiators. See c. 11 of this book.

at equal distances a number of boats, united at each end by strong timbers, with their prows turned against the current, and resting upon their anchors, to hold the bridge firmly together; the cables however were not tense, but played in the water, in order, when the stream increased, that the row of vessels might be lifted up without disturbance. Standing upon the bridge, and raised up on the last ship, was a turret, which closed the passage, and gave the men a station, whence they might, with their battering engines, prevent the approach of the enemy.

35. The Othonians also raised a tower on the opposite bank, whence they threw stones and brands. A small island stood in the middle of the water. The gladiators attempted to pass over in boats; but the Germans, expert in swimming, outstripped them. Several, as it happened, crossed over; and in order to dislodge them, Macer put off with a strong party of gladiators on board his galleys: but the gladiators were not able to cope with regular soldiers; and the motion of the vessels not allowing them a firm footing, they could not discharge their weapons with the same certainty as men standing steadily on land; and since from the jarring movements of men in a state of alarm, the rowers and combatants, intermixed, obstructed each other, the Germans became the assailants, and plunging into the river from the bank, held back the boats, boarded them, or sunk them by manual force. The whole passed under the eye of both armies. The Vitellians looked on with joy proportioned to the abhorrence in which the Othonians held Macer, the cause and the author of their disgrace.

36. The gladiators, in such vessels as they could save, retreated from the island, and thus an end was put to the engagement. The soldiers clamoured for the blood of Macer. One of them darted his lance, and wounded him; when the rest rushed on, sword in hand, and would have killed him on the spot, if the tribunes and centurions had not interposed to save him. Shortly after, Vestricius Spurinna, having, by order of Otho, left a moderate garrison at Placentia, came up to the main body with his cohorts. Flavius Sabinus, consul elect, was immediately afterwards sent by Otho to command the troops Macer had headed; to the great joy of the common men, who saw with pleasure every change of their officers;

while the commanders were disgusted with a service rendered so perilous from the frequency of sedition.

37. I find it asserted by some authors, that the two armies, dreading a war, or detesting both princes, whose flagitious deeds grew every day more notorious, had doubted whether in laying down their arms they should either themselves deliberate upon the matter with a view to the common good, or commit to the senate the choice of an emperor; and that from this consideration Otho's generals proposed to protract and delay the war; the prospects of Paulinus being the most promising, as he was the oldest of consular rank, of high military reputation, and his conduct in Britain[1] had given superior lustre to his name. But as I would admit that a few in their hearts wished for repose instead of discord, and to see the most base and abandoned of mankind postponed to a virtuous and inoffensive prince; so I cannot suppose that Paulinus, a man of understanding, could, in an age so corrupt, hope for such an effort of moderation in the masses, as that those who had unsettled a state of peace from a passion for war, would lay down war from an attachment to peace; nor that the armies, dissonant in language and manners, could be brought to coalesce in this opinion; or that the leading chiefs, immersed in luxury, overwhelmed with debt, and conscious of enormous crimes, would submit to any master who was not stained with guilt, and bound to them by the services they had rendered him.

38. The love of domination, an inveterate and deep-seated propensity of the human heart,[2] waxed strong as the empire grew in greatness, and at length threw off all restraints; for while the republic was limited in its extent, the equality of conditions was easily preserved. But when the world was subjugated, and, rival kings and rival cities being overthrown, men were at leisure to covet wealth which they might enjoy in repose, contentions arose, first, between the senate and the people. Factious tribunes prevailed at one time, and ambitious consuls at another; and in the city, and the forum,

[1] For the conduct of Suetonius Paulinus, and the brilliant success of his arms in Britain, see Annals, xiv. 29–40.

[2] Compare Sallust: "Natura mortalium avida imperii, et præceps ad explendam animi cupidinem."—De Bell. Jugurth. s. 6. The sequel of this section has some resemblance to a passage in Lucan, Pharsal. i. 160.

were exhibited the first essays of civil war. Soon after, Caius Marius, a man sprung from the dregs of the populace, and Lucius Sylla, the fiercest of the nobles, vanquished liberty by force of arms, and erected absolutism on its ruins. Pompey came after, with passions more disguised, but no way better. From that time, the struggle has been for supreme dominion alone. The legions that filled the plains of Pharsalia, and afterwards met at Philippi, though composed of Roman citizens, never once thought of disbanding; much less would the armies of Otho and Vitellius sheath the sword, of their own mere motion; the same wrath of the gods, the same popular frenzy, the same motives, derived from enormities committed, urged them on to mutual slaughter. Their wars, it is true, were ended by, as it were, single blows; but that was owing to the abject spirit of the princes. But these reflections on the spirit of ancient and modern times have betrayed me into too long a digression. I now come to the series of transactions as they occurred.

39. From the time when Otho withdrew to Brixellum, his brother Titianus assumed the pomp of command, but the power and real authority were with Proculus. Celsus and Paulinus were no more than nominal generals. No man sought their advice; they did but bear the blame of blunders not their own. The tribunes and centurions were in doubt and perplexity, seeing the worst characters preferred, and real talents neglected. The common men were in good spirits, but more disposed to scan than to execute their generals' orders. It was resolved to advance the camp to within four miles of Bedriacum;[1] which they did with such want of skill, that, though it was then the spring of the year, and the country around abounded with rivers, the army was distressed for want of water. The expediency of hazarding a battle became again the subject of debate. Otho, in frequent despatches, insisted on the most vigorous measures: the soldiers demanded that the emperor should be present on the day of battle. Many were of opinion, that the forces beyond the Po should be called in; nor is it so easy to decide what would have been the most prudent measure, as that they chose the most pernicious.

[1] Brotier observes, that the place to which the Othonians advanced is now called Tor Anzolini, between the rivers Ollio and Dermona.

40. They set out for the conflux of the Po and the Addua,[1] at the distance of sixteen miles, as if going to open a campaign, not to decide it. Celsus and Paulinus represented the danger of exposing the soldiers, fatigued by their march, and bending under the weight of their baggage, to the attack of an enemy unencumbered, and fresh from a march of four miles only; who would not commit such a blunder as not to assault them before they could form the line of battle, or while dispersed and employed at the entrenchments. Titianus and Proculus, when overcome by argument, resorted to their orders, and the will of the prince. And it is true that a Numidian horseman,[2] at full speed, arrived with letters from Otho, in a style of sharp reproof condemning the dilatoriness of the generals, and commanding that a decisive action should be hazarded; for he was heartsick with suspense, and impatient to realize his anticipations.

41. On the same day, while Cæcina was employed in throwing a bridge over the Po, two prætorian tribunes arrived to demand an interview. He was on the point of hearing their terms and replying, when the scouts announced with headlong haste that the enemy was at hand. The business broke off abruptly, and therefore what their design was, whether to betray their own party, to lay a snare for the Vitellians, or to make some honourable proposal, cannot now be known. Cæcina dismissed the tribunes, and rode back to the camp, where he found that Valens had given the signal for battle, and the men under arms. While the legions were settling by lot their respective stations, the cavalry advanced to charge the enemy, and, strange to say, an inferior number of the Othonians would have driven them into their intrenchments, had

[1] The Addua (now Adda) falls into the Po, about six miles to the west of Cremona.

[2] The taste for show and splendour was so great, that none who, in that age, had any pretensions to be considered people of fashion, chose to appear on the Appian or Flaminian road, or to make an excursion to their villas, without a train of Numidians, mounted on the horses of their country, to ride before their carriages, and give notice, by a cloud of dust, that a great man was on the road. For this fact we are indebted to Seneca, who says,—"Omnes jam sic peregrinantur, ut illos Numidarum præcurrat equitatus, atque ut agmen cursorem antecedat: turpe est, nullos esse, qui occurrentes via dejiciant; qui honestum hominem venire magno pulvere ostendant."—Seneca, Epist. 123

not the Italic legion opposed the runaways, and sword in hand compelled them to return to the charge. Meanwhile, the rest of the army, without hurry or confusion, drew up in order of battle, unmolested by the enemy, and, in fact, without being seen: as a thick coppice, that stood between both parties, intercepted their view. In Otho's army the chiefs were in dismay; the men mistrusted the officers; the baggage wagons and the followers of the camp mixed with the ranks; and the road was rendered so narrow by a deep ditch on each side as to be difficult of passage, even though no enemy were at hand; some were crowding about their colours, others looking for their proper post; nothing was heard but a confused clamour of men calling to their comrades, and answering to their names; while some advanced to the front line, others fell into the rear, as fear or courage prompted them.

42. The Othonians, thus amazed with sudden alarm, were lulled into a state of languor by the joy inspired by certain persons who falsely stated that the army had abandoned Vitellius.[1] From what source it took its origin, whether design or chance, from the emissaries of the Vitellians, or the adverse party, has never been explained. The Othonians, no longer burning for battle, went so far as to salute the opposite army, but being received with hostile murmurs, most of their own party, not knowing the cause of the salutation, were induced to apprehend treason. In that moment the Vitellians began the attack: their army was in regular order, their strength and numbers superior. The Othonians, still in disorder, and fatigued by their march, nevertheless fell to with vigour. The place of the action being entangled with trees and vineyards, the aspect of the combat was varied. They fought man to man, and at a distance in separate battalions, and in the form of a wedge. On the high road they fought hand to hand, foot to foot, and buckler against buckler: they ceased to throw their javelins, and with their swords and axes cut through helmets and breastplates. They knew one another; each individual was conspicuous to his friends and

[1] Suetonius expressly says, that Otho, in the last engagement at Bedriacum, was defeated by a stratagem. His soldiers were called out to be present at a general pacification, and, in the very act of saluting the Vitellian army, were suddenly attacked. Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 9.

enemies; and every man fought as if the issue of the war depended upon his single arm.

43. Upon an open plain, between the Po and the high road, two legions happened to encounter each other; on the part of Vitellius, the one-and-twentieth, famed for its valour, and named Rapax;[1] on the side of Otho, the first legion, entitled Adjutrix, which had never been in action, but of desperate courage, and eager for the acquisition of honour. They broke through the foremost rank of the one-and-twentieth, and carried off their eagle. Roused by this disgrace, the Vitellians in their turn drove back the first, killing Orphidius Benignus, who commanded Otho's legion, and carrying off several standards and flags. In another part of the field, the thirteenth legion was routed by the fifth, and the fourteenth was hemmed in by superior numbers. Otho's generals had long since fled the field, while Cæcina and Valens supported their ranks in every quarter. Fresh forces came to their assistance. The Batavians, under Varus Alphenus, having cut to pieces the gladiators attempting in boats to cross the Po, came into the field flushed with success, and charged the enemy in flank.

44. The centre of Otho's army gave way, and fled with precipitation towards Bedriacum. A long space lay before them; the road was obstructed with heaps of slain: the slaughter, therefore, was the more dreadful.[2] In civil wars, indeed, no prisoners are reserved for sale. Suetonius Paulinus and Licinius Proculus fled different ways, both avoiding the camp. Vedius Aquila, who commanded the thirteenth legion, by his own indiscreet fears exposed himself to the fury of the soldiers. He entered the camp, while it was yet broad daylight; and those who are ever ready to rebel against their officers, and run away from their enemies, crowded round him with loud clamourings, abusing him, and even offering violence to him. They charged him with treachery and desertion, not because he was guilty of any crime, but, in the true spirit of vulgar minds, transferring to others their own guilt and infamy. Titianus and Celsus owed their safety to the night; the watch being now stationed, and the soldiers appeased by

[1] See note above on c. 6 of this book.

[2] Plutarch, in his account of this battle, describes a most dreadful carnage. See his Life of Otho.

the entreaties, the advice, and authority of Annius Gallus, who had the address to make the men sensible of "the folly of adding to the havoc of the field by turning their swords upon themselves." Whether the war was at an end, or to be once more renewed with vigour, he represented that the one great remedy for the vanquished was in their union. The spirits of the rest were completely broken; but the prætorians complained that they were defeated by treachery, not by the valour of the enemy. "The Vitellians," they said, "could not boast of a bloodless victory. Their cavalry were routed, and one of their legions lost their eagle. Otho and the troops beyond the Po were still left; the legions from Mœsia were on their march; and a considerable part of the army, detained at Bedriacum, had no share in the action. These certainly were not yet conquered; and if that was to be their lot, they would fall with more glory in the field of battle." From these reflections, the prætorians, inflamed with anger or depressed with fear, were rather stimulated by resentment, than disheartened by their desperate predicament.

45. The army of Vitellius halted at the distance of five miles from Bedriacum, the generals not thinking it advisable on the same day to attempt the enemy's camp. A voluntary surrender was at the same time anticipated. But the soldiers, having gone forth prepared only as for battle, and unencumbered, their arms and their victory were their only defence. On the following day the inclination of the Othonians showing itself unequivocally, and even those who had been the fiercest being now disposed to relent, they sent a deputation to the enemy. The Vitellian leaders were willing to hearken to terms of accommodation. The deputies not returning immediately, the doubt whether they had succeeded somewhat checked their resolution: but the embassy soon returning, the intrenchments were thrown open. The conquerors and the conquered burst into tears, and, with mingled joy and sorrow, deprecated the horrors of civil war. In the same tents, relations, friends, and brothers, dressed each other's wounds. They now perceived that their hopes and rewards were dubious; while deaths and mournings were their certain lot. Nor was there a person so fortunate as not to have some death to lament. The body of Orphidius, the commander of a legion, after diligent search, was found,

and burned with the usual solemnities. A few of the common men were buried by their friends: the rest were left above ground.

46. Otho, in the meantime, having taken his resolution, waited, without trepidation, for an account of the event. First, rumours of a melancholy character reached his ears; soon after, fugitives, who escaped from the field, brought sure intelligence that all was lost. The fervour of the soldiers stayed not for the voice of the emperor; they bade him summon up his best resolution: there were forces still in reserve, and in their prince's cause they were ready to suffer and dare the utmost. Nor was this the language of flattery: impelled by a kind of frenzy, and like men possessed, they were all on fire to go to the field and restore the state of their party. The men who stood at a distance stretched forth their hands in token of their assent, while such as gathered round the prince clasped his knees; Plotius Firmus being the most zealous. This officer commanded the prætorian guards. He implored his master not to abandon an army devoted to his interest; a soldiery who had undergone so much in his cause. "It was more magnanimous," they said, "to bear up against adversity, than to shrink from it: the brave and strenuous sustained themselves upon hope, even against the current of fortune; the timorous and abject only allowed their fears to plunge them into despair." While uttering these words, accordingly as Otho relaxed or stiffened the muscles of his face, they shouted or groaned. Nor was this spirit confined to the prætorians, the peculiar soldiers of Otho; the detachment sent forward by the Mœsian legions brought word that the same zeal pervaded the coming army, and that the legions had entered Aquileia. Whence it is evident that a fierce and bloody war, the issue of which could not have been foreseen by the victors or the vanquished, might have been still carried on.

47. Otho himself was averse to any plans of prosecuting the war, and said:[1] "To expose to further perils such spirit and such virtue as you now display, would, I deem, be paying too costly a price for my life. The more brilliant the prospects which you hold out to me, were I disposed to live,

[1] This speech of Otho's confirms the observation of Tacitus in the preceding book (i. 22), "Non erat Othonis mollis et corpori similis animus." See also Suetonius, Life of Otho, s. 10.

the more glorious will be my death. I and Fortune have made trial of each other; for what length of time is not material: but the felicity which does not promise to last, it is more difficult to enjoy with moderation. Vitellius began the civil war; and he originated our contest for the princedom. It shall be mine to establish a precedent, by preventing a second battle for it. By this let posterity judge of Otho. Vitellius shall be blest with his brother, his wife, and children. I want no revenge, nor consolations. Others have held the sovereign power longer; none has resigned it with equal fortitude. Shall I again suffer so many of the Roman youth, so many gallant armies, to be laid low, and cut off from the commonwealth? Let this resolution of yours to die for me, should it be necessary, attend me in my departure; but live on yourselves. Neither let me long obstruct your safety, nor do you retard the proof of my constancy. To descant largely upon our last moments is the act of a dastard spirit. Hold it as an eminent proof of the fixedness of my purpose, that I complain of no man: for to arraign or gods or men is the part of one who fain would live."

48. Having thus declared his sentiments, he talked with his friends, addressing each in courteous terms, according to his rank, his age, or dignity, and endeavoured to induce all, the young in an authoritative tone, the old by entreaties, to depart without loss of time, and not aggravate the resentment of the conqueror by remaining with him. His countenance serene, his voice firm, and endeavouring to repress the tears of his friends as uncalled for, he ordered boats or carriages for those who were willing to depart. Papers and letters, containing strong expressions of duty towards himself, or ill-will towards Vitellius, he committed to the flames. He distributed money in presents, but not with the profusion of a man quitting the world. Then, observing his brother's son, Salvius Cocceianus, in the bloom of youth, and distressed and weeping, he even comforted him, commending his duty, but rebuking his fears: "Could it be supposed that Vitellius, finding his own family safe, would refuse, inhumanly, to return the generosity shown to himself? By hastening his death," he said, "he should establish a claim upon his clemency; since, not in the extremity of despair, but at a time when the army was clamouring for another battle, he

had made his death an offering to his country. For himself, he had gained ample renown, and left to his family enough of lustre. After the Julian race, the Claudian, and the Servian,[1] he was the first who carried the sovereignty into a new family. Wherefore he should cling to life with lofty aspirations, and neither forget at any time that Otho was his uncle, nor remember it overmuch."

49. After this, his friends having all withdrawn, he reposed awhile. When lo! while his mind was occupied with the last act of his life, he was diverted from his purpose by a sudden uproar. The soldiers, he was told, were in a state of frenzy and riot, threatening destruction to all who offered to depart, and directing their fury particularly against Verginius,[2] whom they kept besieged in his house, which he had barricaded. Having reproved the authors of the disturbance, he returned, and devoted himself to bidding adieu to those who were going away, until they had all departed in security. Towards the close of day he quenched his thirst with a draught of cold water, and then ordered two poniards to be brought to him. He tried the points of both, and laid one under his head. Having ascertained that his friends were safe on their way, he passed the night in quiet, and, as we are assured, even slept. At the dawn of day, he applied the weapon to his breast, and fell upon it. On hearing his dying groans, his freedmen and slaves, and with them Plotius Firmus, the prætorian præfect, found that with one wound he had dispatched himself. His funeral obsequies were performed without delay. This had been his earnest request, lest his head should be cut off and be made a public spectacle.[3] He was borne on the shoulders of the prætorian soldiers, who kissed his hands and his wound, amidst tears and praises. Some of the soldiers slew themselves at the

[1] Augustus, Tiberius, and Caligula were of the Julian line; Claudius and Nero (by adoption) were of the Claudian; Galba was of the house of Servius; Otho, of the Salvian family.

[2] This was Verginius Rufus, who conquered Vindex in Gaul, and had the moderation to decline the imperial dignity when offered to him by the legions.

[3] Nero, in his last distress, fearing that his head would be exhibited as a public spectacle, gave directions for his funeral. Otho did the same; though tainted with Nero's vices, he closed the scene with dignity.

funeral pile: not from any consciousness of guilt, nor from fear; but in emulation of the bright example of their prince, and to show their affection. At Bedriacum, Placentia, and other camps, numbers of every rank adopted that mode of death. A sepulchre was raised to the memory of Otho, of ordinary structure, but likely to endure.[1]

50. Such was the end of Otho, in the thirty-seventh year of his age. He was born in the municipal city of Ferentum. His father was of consular rank; his grandfather of prætorian. By the maternal line his descent was respectable, though not equally illustrious. The features of his character, as well in his earliest days as in the progress of his youth, have been already delineated.[2] By two actions, one atrocious and detestable, the other great and magnanimous, he earned an equal degree of honour and infamy among posterity. As I should regard it as unbecoming the gravity of my undertaking to hunt up fabulous accounts, and amuse my readers with fictions, so I would not presume to impugn the credibility of those statements which have been generally received, and regularly handed down. The inhabitants relate, that, on the day when the battle was fought at Bedriacum, a bird of unusual appearance perched in a frequented place near Regium Lepidum,[3] and, notwithstanding the great concourse of people, and a numerous flight of other birds, never moved from its place till Otho put an end to his life, and then vanished out of sight; and that, on comparing the times, the appearance and disappearance of this phenomenon tallied with the circumstances of the prince's death.[4]

[1] Plutarch tells us, that he himself visited Otho's tomb at Brixellum. Those perishable materials have long since mouldered away; but the epitaph, written by Martial, will never die. The poet admits that Otho led a dissolute life; but adds, that in his end he was no way inferior to Cato:—

"Quum dubitaret adhuc belli civilis Enyo,
Forsitan et posset vincere mollis Otho;
Damnavit multo staturum sanguine Martem,
Et fodit certa pectora nuda manu.
Sit Cato dum vivit, sane vel Cæsare major;
Dum moritur, numquid major Othone fuit."—Lib. vi. 32.

[2] See Annals, xiii. 46; Hist. i. 13; and Suetonius and Plutarch.

[3] Regium was about fifteen miles from Brixellum, where Otho breathed his last.

[4] See Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 5.

51. The grief and anguish of the soldiers at the funeral drove them to another mutiny. Nor was there any one to restrain it. They turned their thoughts to Verginius; one moment calling upon him to accept the sovereignty, and the next, with menaces, pressing him to undertake an embassy to Valens and Cæcina. Verginius, while they were forcing his house, disappointed them by stealing off the back way. The cohorts that lay encamped at Brixellum deputed Rubrius Gallus with terms of submission; and pardon was immediately obtained, the troops under Flavius Sabinus going over to the conqueror, through the negotiation of their commander.

52. Though the war in every quarter was now at an end, a great part of the senate, who accompanied Otho from Rome, and by him were left at Mutina, were involved in the utmost danger. They received an account of the defeat at Bedriacum; but the soldiers treating it as a false alarm, as they suspected that the senate were hostile to Otho, they observed their language, and put a malignant construction upon their looks and demeanour. They proceeded, lastly, to reproaches and insults, hoping to find a pretence and occasion for bloodshed, when the senators saw another cloud gathering over their heads: the Vitellian party was now superior; and they feared lest they should be thought to entertain the intelligence of the victory coldly. Thus alarmed, and painfully perplexed, they met; no one suggesting any plan of his own, as he felt more secure as one of many who participated in the same fault. The magistracy of Mutina increased the anxiety of the terror-stricken senators, by offering them arms and money, and, with ill-timed courtesy, giving them the appellation of conscript fathers.

53. After this a notable dispute arose between Licinius Cæcina and Eprius Marcellus;[1] the former vehemently charging Marcellus with speaking in ambiguous terms. Nor did any of the others express their sentiments frankly; but the name of Marcellus, detested from the recollection of his informations, had stimulated Cæcina, a new man, and lately admitted into the senate, to seek popularity by encountering powerful enmities. The dispute was ended by the interposition of worthier men, and the senate returned to Bononia,

[1] Eprius Marcellus was the inveterate enemy of Pætus Thrasea. Annals, xvi. 22, 28.

there to deliberate again, and, in the meantime, they hoped to have more intelligence. There they stationed persons on the several roads to interrogate all who could give the latest intelligence. One of Otho's freedmen being asked why he had left his master, he made answer, "I bear his last directions; he is still alive, but he renounces all the joys of life: his thoughts are fixed upon posterity alone." This account excited their admiration; they felt a delicacy in making further inquiries: and the consequence was, that all transferred their attachment to Vitellius.

54. Lucius Vitellius, brother of the new emperor, attended the meeting of the senate, and was now presenting himself to receive the court of the senators, when Cænus, a freedman of Nero's, by a bold and impudent falsehood, threw the assembly into consternation. He affirmed it as a fact, that, by the coming up of the fourteenth legion, and the junction of the forces from Brixellum, the victors had been cut to pieces, and the fortune of the party retrieved. The motive of this fiction was, that Otho's passports,[1] now slighted, might revive, under more favourable news. By this stratagem he gained a quick conveyance to Rome, and in a few days was put to death by order of Vitellius. But the danger of the senators was increased, as the Othonian soldiers gave credit to the fiction; and it gave intensity to their fears, that they seemed to have quitted Mutina on public grounds, and to have abandoned their party. From this time the senate was convened no more. Every man acted on his own private views, till letters from Fabius Valens put an end to their fears. Besides, the death of Otho was known the sooner, in proportion as it was meritorious.

55. At Rome a general calm prevailed. The games sacred to Ceres[2] were exhibited as usual. When intelligence arrived that Otho was no more, and that all the military then in the city had, at the requisition of Flavius Sabinus, sworn fidelity to Vitellius, the spectators signified their applause. The people, with laurel and flowers, carried the images of Galba to the several temples, and piled their chaplets in the form of a

[1] The passports, called *diplomata Othonis*, were granted for the protection of travellers and messengers. See Pliny, lib. x. epist. 14, 54.

[2] The festival of Ceres began on the 19th of April. See Annals, xv. 53.

tomb, on the spot near the lake of Curtius, which he had dyed with his life-blood. All the honours invented during the long reigns of other princes were forthwith decreed in the senate. They moreover passed a vote of thanks and applause to the German armies, and despatched a deputation to perform the office of congratulation. A letter from Fabius Valens to the consuls was read: it was not arrogant in its style, but the modesty of Cæcina, in not writing at all, gave greater satisfaction.

56. The sufferings of Italy, however, were more severe and terrible than under the war. The Vitellian soldiers, quartered in the colonies and municipal cities, were bent on spoil and rapine. They committed the most horrible outrages, deflouring the women, and trampling on all laws, human and divine; either from lust or with a view to be bought off, they spared nothing sacred or profane. Some were murdered by their private enemies under pretence of their being soldiers of Otho. The soldiers who knew the country, plundered without control the opulent farmers and lands well stocked; while all who resisted were doomed to the sword, the officers not daring to check them, and obliged to truckle to them. Cæcina exhibited less avarice, but more servility. Valens had made himself infamous by his avarice and rapacity, and was therefore obliged to connive at the crimes of others. Italy was long since exhausted, and could ill endure to maintain so many foot and horse, together with outrage, losses, and oppression.

57. Vitellius, in the meantime, advanced towards Italy with the remainder of the German armies, ignorant of his victory, and supposing that not a blow had been struck. A few of the veteran soldiers were left behind in winter-quarters; and to recruit the remaining legions, which were mere skeletons, hasty levies were made in Gaul. On the frontiers bordering on the Rhine the command was given to Hordeonius Flaccus. To his own army Vitellius added eight thousand men from Britain. Having marched a few days, he received intelligence of the victory at Bedriacum, and the conclusion of the war by the death of Otho. He called an assembly, and highly extolled the valour of the troops. The army wished to see his freedman Asiaticus[1] raised to the dignity of a

[1] For more of Asiaticus, see Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 12.

Roman knight, but Vitellius restrained the disgraceful adulation; but such was his natural levity, that what he refused in public, he granted in private over his bottle. And thus a despicable slave, who was goaded on by ambition, and had nothing to recommend him but his vices, was honoured with the equestrian ring.

58. About the same time Vitellius received advices that the two Mauritanias had acceded to his party, Lucceius Albinus, the governor of that country, having been murdered. The province which was called Cæsariensis had been by Nero committed to Albinus; and the other, called Tingitana, being afterwards added by Galba, the governor was master of a considerable force; not less than nineteen cohorts, five squadrons of horse, and a numerous body of Moors, accustomed to live by depredation and rapine, and therefore available for war. Albinus, on the death of Galba, declared at once for Otho, and, not content with Africa, began to form plans against Spain, which was separated by a narrow channel.[1] Cluvius Rufus, who presided in Spain, alarmed at this, ordered the tenth legion to march to the sea-coast, with a design, as he gave out, to cross the sea; and chosen centurions were sent forward to draw the Moors over to Vitellius. This was not a difficult task; the fame of the German armies resounded through all the provinces. A report prevailed, at the same time, that Albinus, disdaining the title of procurator, had usurped the regal diadem, and the name of Juba.

59. Thus a change taking place in the minds of the people, Asinius Pollio, who commanded a squadron of horse, and one of the most firm friends of Albinus, was surprised and put to death, with Festus and Scipio, præfects of cohorts. Albinus himself, after sailing from the province of Tingitana to that of Cæsariensis, was put to death as soon as he landed. His wife, who presented herself to the assassins, perished with her husband. These transactions passed without the notice of Vitellius: even to matters of high importance the attention of a moment was all that he gave, unequal as he was to concerns of magnitude. He ordered his army to proceed by land into Italy, while he himself sailed down the Arar:[2] not with any of the pomp of a prince, but exhibiting in a striking

[1] The Straits of Gibraltar.

[2] Now the Saone. See Annals, xiii. 53.

manner the poverty of his former condition.[1] At length Junius Blæsus, at that time governor of the Lyonese Gaul, a man of illustrious descent, of liberal mind, and corresponding wealth, supplied Vitellius with a train, and attended him in person, sparing no expense. But this very conduct excited the displeasure of Vitellius, who, however, concealed his aversion with servile caresses. At Lyons, the generals of both parties, as well the vanquished as the victorious, attended. Vitellius in a public speech lauded Valens and Cæcina, whom he placed on each side of his curule chair. He then ordered out the whole army to receive his son, an infant of tender years. The child was brought forward; the father took him in his arms, adorned as he was with a purple robe; saluted him by the title of Germanicus; and arrayed him with all the insignia of princely state. This extravagant honour shown in prosperity, formed a source of consolation in the reverse of fortune which followed.

60. The centurions who had signalized themselves in Otho's service were then put to death. By this, more than anything, he lost the affections of the forces from Illyricum. The rest of the legions caught the infection, and, being already on bad terms with the German soldiery, began to meditate a revolt. Suetonius Paulinus and Licinius Proculus were kept for some time in a wretched state of suspense. Being at length admitted to an audience, they made a defence which nothing but the necessity of the times could excuse. They made a merit with Vitellius of their treachery to Otho, and to their own sinister designs ascribed the march of the army on the day of battle, the fatigue of the troops, and the confusion in the ranks, occasioned by not removing the baggage, with many other accidental circumstances. Vitellius gave them credit for their perfidy, and pardoned their attachment to his enemy. Salvius Titianus, the brother of Otho, was screened from danger on the score of natural affection, and his imbecile character. Marius Celsus, consul elect, was suffered to succeed to his honours, though Cæcilius Simplex, as was generally believed, and the charge was afterwards brought against him in the senate, endeavoured by bribery to supplant him; meditating also the destruction of Celsus.

[1] For the extreme poverty of Vitellius, see Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 7.

The emperor, however, withstood him, but in time raised him to that office,[1] without the guilt of bribery or murder. Trachalus was shielded from his accusers by Galeria, the wife of Vitellius.

61. Amidst the dangers that involved the first men of the age, shameful to relate, one Mariccus, a plebeian of the Boii, had the presumption to mix up his name with the great events of the time, and provoke the Roman arms by a pretence to supernatural lights; and already as deliverer of Gaul, and as a god, for such was the title he assumed, having mustered eight thousand men, he made an attempt on the adjacent villages of the Æduans, when that powerful state, with a chosen band of their youth, and with a reinforcement of cohorts from Vitellius, put the fanatic multitude to the rout. Mariccus was taken prisoner, and soon after given to wild beasts. The populace, astonished to see that he was not immediately torn to pieces, believed him to be sacred and inviolable, till he was put to death under the eye of Vitellius.

62. From this time the partisans of Otho were no longer persecuted: the effects of all remained inviolable. The last wills of such as fell fighting for Otho were allowed to be valid, and, where no will was made, the law of intestacy took its course. In fact, if Vitellius had moderated his luxuries, one needed not fear his avarice. His appetite for feasting was shocking, and knew no bounds.[2] From Rome and Italy incentives to gluttony were conveyed; the roads from both the seas ringing with the din of carriages. To entertain him on his march, the principal men of every city were obliged to lavish all their wealth, and the cities themselves were exhausted. The soldiers lost all energy and virtue, from being habituated to pleasure and contempt of their general. Vitellius, by an edict sent forward to Rome, signified his pleasure to postpone for the present the title of Augustus, and decline that of Cæsar; but did not forego any portion of the princely power. He ordered the mathematicians to be banished out of Italy, and, under heavy penalties, restrained the Roman knights from disgracing themselves by public games

[1] Cæcilius Simplex was consul when Vitellius, finding his affairs utterly ruined, was willing to abdicate. (Hist. iii. 68.) For an account of the consuls in the course of this year, see above, i. 77, note.

[2] Compare Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 10.

and the prize ring. Former princes had not scrupled to allure men to that practice by money, and still oftener by force. Many of the municipal towns and colonies emulated the city in alluring all the most profligate to engage in these practices by means of rewards.

63. Vitellius, on the arrival of his brother,[1] and other adepts in tyrannic arts gaining an ascendency over him, became more haughty and sanguinary. He gave orders for the execution of Dolabella, who, as already stated, was removed by Otho to the colony of Aquinum. Being there informed of that emperor's death, he ventured to return to Rome. That step was objected to him as a crime by his intimate friend, Plancius Varus, who had been prætor, before Flavius Sabinus, the præfect of the city. He pretended that Dolabella broke from his place of confinement to offer himself as a leader to the vanquished party, and added, that he had endeavoured to seduce to his interest the cohort stationed at Ostia. He could bring no proof of these serious charges; and, visited with remorse, which proved too late to be of any service, he implored forgiveness for the accused, after incurring the horrible guilt. Flavius Sabinus hesitated in a matter of such magnitude, till Triaria, the wife of Lucius Vitellius, a woman furious beyond her sex, warned him not to seek the fame of clemency at the peril of the prince. Sabinus, naturally humane, but when danger threatened himself infirm of purpose, and feeling his own life hazarded in another's peril, precipitated the fall of a man whom he dared not appear to help.

64. Vitellius, from motives of fear and hatred, (for Petronia,[2] his former wife, was no sooner divorced than Dolabella married her,) by letters despatched to Rome invited him to his presence, advising him, at the same time, to shun the publicity of the Flaminian road, and come by the way of Interamnium. At that place, he ordered him to be put to death. The assassin thought he should lose too much time, and attacked Dolabella at an inn on the road, while stretched on the ground, and cut his throat; a transaction which brought into great odium the new reign, the future character of which

[1] This was Lucius Vitellius, whom we have seen with the senators at Bononia. See above, c. 54.

[2] Petronia was the first wife of Vitellius. Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 6.

was understood from this incipient specimen. The daring spirit of Triaria was the more detested, as it stood in immediate contrast to the mild character of Galeria, the emperor's wife, and also to that of Sextilia,[1] his mother: a woman of equal excellence, and formed on the model of ancient manners. On receipt of the first letters from her son, she is said to have declared that his name was not Germanicus but Vitellius; and never afterwards, either elated by the allurements of fortune, or deceived by the voice of flattery, was she won to cheerfulness, but was alive only to the calamities of her family.

65. Vitellius having set out from Lyons, was met by Marcus Cluvius Rufus, who had left his government in Spain for the purpose. He appeared with joy and gratulation in his countenance, and anxiety in his heart. He knew that an accusation had been prepared against him by Hilarius, one of the emperor's freedmen, importing that, during the war between Otho and Vitellius, Rufus intended to set up for himself, and seize the provinces of Spain; and that, with this view, he had issued various edicts, without inserting the name of any prince whatever. He also put a construction upon some of his public harangues, tending to blacken the character of Vitellius, and recommend himself to popular favour. The interest of Rufus was too powerful, and his freedman was even condemned to punishment by Vitellius. Rufus was enrolled among the emperor's intimate friends, and, at the same time, retained his government of Spain during his absence, after the example of Lucius Arruntius,[2] whom Tiberius, from suspicion, never suffered to depart from Rome. But Vitellius entertained no fear of Cluvius. Trebellius Maximus did not meet with equal favour: he had fled from Britain on account of the angry feeling of the soldiers.[3] Vettius Bolanus, then a follower of the court, was sent to succeed him.[4]

66. Vitellius heard, with deep anxiety, that the spirit of

[1] For Sextilia, the mother of Vitellius, see Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 3.

[2] Lucius Arruntius was appointed governor of Spain by Tiberius, and for ten years after detained at Rome. Annals, vi. 27.

[3] See above, i. 60.

[4] For Vettius Bolanus, see the Life of Agricola, c. 8, 16.

the vanquished legions was far from being subdued. Dispersed through Italy, and intermixed with the victorious troops, they talked of vengeance. Foremost in insolence was the fourteenth legion, who denied that they were conquered; and that, because at Bedriacum the vexillaries only were defeated, but the strength of the legion was not engaged. It was judged proper to send them back into Britain, whence they had been recalled by Nero; and the Batavian cohorts were ordered in the meantime to camp with them, as an old animosity subsisted between them and the soldiers of the fourteenth. Between armed men so inflamed with hatred, a quarrel soon broke out. At Augusta, the capital of the Turinians,[1] a Batavian soldier had words with an artisan, whom he charged with fraud. A man of the legion took the part of his host; their comrades joining each of them, from abusive language they proceeded to blows; and, if two prætorian cohorts, taking part with the fourteenth, had not awed the Batavians, and inspired confidence in the legionaries, a bloody conflict had ensued. Vitellius, satisfied with the fidelity of the Batavians, incorporated them with his army. The legion had orders to proceed over the Graian Alps,[2] that by this circuitous route they might avoid Vienne, whose inhabitants were suspected. The night the legion marched, they left fires burning in all quarters, by which a part of the Turinian city was destroyed. This loss, like many other calamities of war, was thrown into the shade by the greater disasters of other cities. After the soldiers had descended from the Alps, all the most disaffected of them marched to Vienne. They were, however, reduced to order by the unanimity of the better disposed, and the legion was transported into Britain.

67. The prætorian cohorts formed the next source of disquietude to Vitellius. They were separated first, and afterwards, this step being followed by an honourable discharge to soften their resentment, they delivered up their arms to their tribunes; but at length, when the war commenced by Vespasian assumed consistency, they assembled again, and proved the best support of the Flavian cause. The first legion of marines was ordered into Spain, that in repose

[1] The modern Turin.

[2] Now known as the Little St. Bernard.

and indolence their spirit might evaporate. The seventh and eleventh were sent back to their old winter-quarters. The thirteenth was ordered to build amphitheatres; for Cæcina, at Cremona, and Valens, at Bononia, were preparing to exhibit a spectacle of gladiators, Vitellius being at no time so intent upon business as to forget his amusements.

68. And certainly he had in a quiet way broken up the party; but a mutiny arose among the victors, in its commencement ridiculous, had not the numbers slain brought the war into increased odium. Vitellius had sat down at a banquet at Ticinum, and Verginius was of the party. According to the manners of the chiefs, the tribunes and centurions emulate their strictness or delight in noon-day feasts, and the soldiers equally are either orderly or riotous. In the army of Vitellius, all was confusion and drunkenness, resembling wakes and bacchanalian routs, rather than a camp, or a disciplined army. Accordingly, two soldiers, one of the fifth legion, the other an auxiliary Gaul, under the excitement of revelry, proceeded to a trial of skill in wrestling. The Roman was thrown: his antagonist exulted over him; and the spectators, who had gathered round them, were soon divided into parties. The consequence was, that the legions fell upon the auxiliaries sword in hand, and two cohorts were cut to pieces. Another alarm put an end to this fray. A cloud of dust was seen at a distance, and the glittering of arms. A shout was suddenly raised, that the fourteenth legion was returning to offer battle; but it was the men who brought up the rear of the army, and when recognised, all anxiety subsided. Meanwhile, a slave of Verginius was observed by the soldiers, who charged him with a design to assassinate Vitellius, and rushed directly to the banqueting-room, demanding the execution of Verginius. The emperor himself, though tremblingly alive to every suspicion, doubted not the innocence of Verginius, but with difficulty restrained the men, who thirsted for the blood of a consular man, at one time their own general. It had ever been the fate of Verginius, more than of any other officer, to encounter the seditious spirit of the army. Their admiration of the man, and their estimation of his character, remained unaltered, but they hated him as having been treated with contempt by him.

69. The next day, the deputies from the senate having been admitted to an audience, Vitellius visited the camp, and actually lauded the zeal of the soldiers, while the auxiliaries murmured at the extent of impunity now enjoyed by the legionaries, and the insolence they manifested. The Batavian cohorts had been ordered back to Germany, lest they should make any desperate attempts: the Fates even then preparing the seeds of a foreign and a civil war.[1] The allies from Gaul were restored to their respective states: a vast unwieldy multitude, employed in the beginning of the revolt merely to make up an appearance. For the rest, that the imperial revenues, now well nigh exhausted by largesses, might hold out, Vitellius ordered the complement of the legions and auxiliaries to be reduced, and no new levies to be made. Dismissions from the service were offered indiscriminately. The policy was of the worst consequence to the commonwealth, and unacceptable to the soldiers, who had the same duties to perform with reduced numbers, and more frequent returns of danger and toil. Their energies, too, were wasted by luxury: so different from the ancient system of discipline, and the institutions of their ancestors, with whom virtue proved a better support of Roman power than money.

70. Vitellius, quitting this place, turned out of his way to go to Cremona. Having there attended the spectacle exhibited by Cæcina, he earnestly desired to tread the field of Bedriacum, and survey the vestiges of his recent victory. Shocking and terrible was the spectacle. Forty days[2] had not elapsed since the battle: there lay bodies, hideously mangled; limbs dissevered; the decaying forms of men and horses; the ground tainted with gore: one scene of dire devastation, where trees and the fruits of the earth were trampled under foot. No less shocking to humanity was that portion of the road which the people of Cremona had strown with roses and laurels, with altars raised and victims slain, after the custom observed towards despots. But these acts of momentary exultation in a short time after brought destruction on their authors. Valens and Cæcina attended, and pointed to the local circumstances of the battle: "From

[1] The foreign war was with the Batavians, under Civilis; the domestic, with Vespasian.

[2] This was the 24th of May.

this spot the legions rushed on to the attack; thence the cavalry charged in a body; from that quarter the auxiliaries wheeled about and surrounded the enemy." And now, the tribunes and præfects, each extolling his own achievements, gave a medley of facts and falsehoods, or facts magnified by exaggeration. The common soldiers, with shouts and exultation, quitted the road, retraced the scene of their struggles, and surveyed the heaps of arms and piles of dead bodies with delight and wonder. Some, too, reflecting on the sudden transitions of fortune, shed tears, and were touched with commiseration. But Vitellius looked on with unaverted eyes, nor shuddered to behold so many thousand bodies of Roman citizens unburied; nay, with feelings of preposterous joy, and little thinking that his catastrophe was so near, he offered solemn sacrifice to the genii of the place.

71. Next, at Bononia, Fabius Valens exhibited a show of gladiators, with decorations brought from Rome. In proportion as the emperor advanced towards the capital, the greater the licentiousness that marked his progress: players and bands of eunuchs mixing with the soldiers, and all the other characteristic abominations of Nero's court. For Vitellius was in the habit of showing his admiration of Nero, and used to attend him when he went about singing, not by compulsion, as was the case with all men of integrity, but enslaved by luxury and gluttony, and finding his reward in them. In order to open for Valens and Cæcina[1] unoccupied months of office, the consulates of others were abridged. Martius Macer,[2] as having been a general of Otho's party, was passed over; and Valerius Marinus, who had been put in nomination by Galba, was also set aside, not for any offence, but as being a man of mild temper, and likely to bear the wrong tamely. Pedanius Costa was omitted, being odious to the prince for having taken an active part against Nero, and excited the ambition of Verginius. But he pretended other reasons. To crown all, thanks were given to Vitellius, in conformity with the inveterate habit of servility.

72. A fraud, which made vigorous progress at its com-

[1] Valens and Cæcina entered on their joint consulship on the Calends of November. See above, i. 77, and note.

[2] Martius Macer commanded Otho's gladiators on the banks of the Po. See above, c. 33 of this book.

mencement, passed current for not more than a few days. There started up a man who pretended to be Scribonianus Camerinus,[1] and that, through fear of the Neronian times, he had lain concealed in Istria, as the followers and the lands of the ancient Crassi, and partiality to that illustrious house, still continued there. The impostor, having engaged all the most profligate to support the fiction, the credulous vulgar, and certain of the soldiers, either led into error or from love of innovation, eagerly joined in the plot. Being brought before Vitellius, and asked who in the world he was, when it was found that no reliance was to be placed on what he stated, and he was recognised by his master as being in condition a runaway slave, named Geta, he was put to death after the manner of slaves.[2]

73. When intelligence was brought by his chosen men from Syria and Judæa that the East had sworn allegiance to him, it would hardly be believed if I were to relate how much the insolence and heartlessness of Vitellius increased; for though as yet he had been only the subject of vague and unauthenticated rumours, still Vespasian was in the mouths of men, and his fame had gone forth, so that Vitellius was frequently startled at the name of Vespasian. Now that a rival was no longer dreaded, the emperor and his army plunged into every excess of foreign manners, giving loose to cruelty, lust, and rapine.

74. Meanwhile Vespasian was considering the war, and revolving the means of conducting it. He surveyed his resources at a distance, as well as those at hand. His troops were so devoted to his interest, that, when he set them the example of swearing fidelity to Vitellius, and prayed for the entire prosperity of his reign, the soldiers heard him in profound silence. Mucianus was zealously attached to Titus, and not averse to Vespasian. Alexander, the præfect of Egypt, shared his counsels. The third legion, which had been removed from Syria to Mœsia, he considered as his own, and had hopes that all the other legions in Illyricum would follow its example. In fact, all the armies were in a flame at the insolence of the soldiers that came among them from

[1] Sulpicius Camerinus and his son were put to death by order of Helius, Nero's freedman, A. D. 67.

[2] The slaves were condemned to suffer death on a cross.

Vitellius; terrific in person, and uncouth in their language, they treated all others with contempt. But, in an enterprise of such importance, it was natural to hesitate; and Vespasian, one while elate with hope, at other times reflected upon the counteracting motives. "What a day would that be, when he should commit himself, at the age of sixty, with his two youthful sons,[1] to a civil war! In undertakings of a private nature, men may retreat, and draw more or less upon fortune as they please; but when sovereign power is the object sought, there is no middle ground between the highest elevation and the abyss of destruction."

75. The valour of the German armies, well known to him as an experienced soldier, continually recurred to his imagination. "The legions under his command had not been tried in a civil war, while those of the Vitellians had conquered in one. The vanquished would exhibit more of discontent than vigour. In civil discord the fidelity of the soldiery is an unstable reliance; and danger is to be apprehended from each individual. For of what avail would be cohorts of foot, and squadrons of horse, if one or two should seek, by a deed of daring villany, the reward ever held out by an adverse party? Such was the fate of Scribonianus in the reign of Claudius:[2] he was murdered by Vologinius, a common soldier, and the highest posts in the service were the wages of an assassin. It was an easier task to incite whole armies to action, than to escape the attacks of individuals."

76. While wavering under the effect of these timorous anticipations, his resolution was confirmed by other delegates and friends, and among the rest by Mucianus, who, after many conversations in private, now in public also thus addressed him: "All who meditate the accomplishment of great enterprises ought to weigh carefully whether that which is being undertaken is beneficial to the commonwealth, honourable to themselves, and either easy to be achieved, or certainly not attended with arduous difficulties. At the same time, the character of the man who advises the measure should be considered; whether he hazard himself in the

[1] Vespasian's two sons, Titus and Domitian.

[2] Furius Camillus Scribonianus raised a rebellion in Dalmatia, in the reign of Claudius, and was soon after slain, A. D. 42.

enterprise; and, if fortune favour the undertaking, who is to reap the chief glory? I am the person, Vespasian, who invite you to empire, as much for the good of the commonwealth, as for your own glory: next after the gods, the issue depends on your own exertions. Nor should you be deterred by apprehensions that I am imposing upon you by flattery: to be elected emperor after Vitellius is rather a disgrace than an honour. It is not against the vigorous mind of Augustus, nor the consummate craft of the aged Tiberius; nor against the house of Caligula, or Claudius, or Nero, firmly established by the long possession of imperial power, that we rise up. Even Galba's illustrious line of ancestors commanded your submission. But longer to remain inactive, and leave the commonwealth a prey to vice and infamy, would seem sheer lethargy and cowardice, even if to serve were as free from danger to you as it is replete with dishonour. The time is departed and gone by, when you might appear to have desired the empire: you must flee to the sovereignty as your only refuge. Have we forgotten the butchered Corbulo?[1] The splendour of his birth was superior, it must be confessed, to ours: but Nero too surpassed Vitellius in the lustre of his ancestry. In the eyes of the person who lives in fear, the man who makes himself dreaded is illustrious enough, be he who he may. And that the armies can create an emperor, Vitellius furnishes the proof: a man of no experience as a soldier, no military renown, but owing his elevation to Galba's disrepute. Otho, whom he has caused to be regretted and regarded as a great prince, was conquered, not by his skill as a general, or the valour of his army, but by his own precipitate despair of success. While Vitellius, in the interval, is disbanding his legions, disarming the cohorts, and every day furnishing the seeds for war, whatever of spirit and fire his soldiery possessed is wasting away in taverns and drunken revelry, and in aping the habits of the prince. On the other hand, you have from Syria, Judæa, and Egypt, nine legions, unimpaired by battles, and undebauched by dissensions: an army inured to the operations of war, and crowned with victory over the enemies of their country; the prime of fleets, of

[1] Corbulo was put to death by Nero, from jealousy at his successes. See Dio, lib. lxiii.

cavalry and cohorts; kings devoted to your cause; and your own experience, superior to all of them.

77. "For myself, I claim nothing but not to be thought inferior to Valens or Cæcina. If in Mucianus you do not find a rival, do not therefore despise him, as I count myself superior to Vitellius, but inferior to you. Your house has been distinguished by triumphal honours:[1] you have two sons, one of them[2] already equal to the weight of empire, and who acquired fame even with the German armies in the early period of his service. Had I myself the sovereign power, I should adopt your son; it were absurd, therefore, not to yield to you the claim of empire. However, the distribution of the rewards of success, and the consequences of failure, between us, will not be one and the same; for if we conquer, I shall have such honour as you may please to bestow, but the hazard and danger we shall share equally; nay rather, as is the better course, do you rule these armies, and consign to me the war, and the casualties of hostile encounters. In the vanquished party there is stricter discipline than in the victorious: anger, indignation, and a desire for revenge, fan the flame of valour. The former have lost all sense of virtue, through disdain and frowardness. The war itself will discover and reopen the concealed and angry wounds of the victorious party. Nor is my confidence excited more by your vigilance, economy, and wisdom, than by the torpor, ignorance, and cruelty of Vitellius. But our case is better if we take up arms, than if we continue in peace; for those who deliberate about revolting have revolted already."

78. After this speech of Mucianus, all the rest, with increased confidence, pressed round Vespasian, recounting the responses of seers and the motions of the stars.[3] Nor was Vespasian untinctured with that superstition; for afterwards, when possessed of the supreme authority, he openly retained a mathematician, named Seleucus, to guide and warn him by his predictions. Former prognostics again presented them-

[1] In the reign of Claudius, Vespasian had obtained triumphal ornaments for his conduct in Britain. Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 4.

[2] Titus had served with distinction in the rank of military tribune, in Britain as well as Germany. Suetonius, Life of Titus, s. 4.

[3] For a number of oracles and prodigies, see Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, ss. 5, 7.

selves to his mind: a cypress-tree of conspicuous height, on his own estate, had fallen suddenly to the ground, and, on the following day, rose again on the same spot, and resumed its verdure, increased in height and breadth. This, in the unanimous opinion of the soothsayers, was an omen of grandeur and prosperity; and the prospect of the highest renown was held out to Vespasian in his early youth. But at first, triumphal honours, the consulship, and the glory of conquering Judæa, seemed to have fulfilled the prediction; when he had acquired these, he began to cherish the conviction that the imperial dignity was foreshown to him. Between Syria and Judæa stands mount Carmel, such is the name given to the mountain and the deity; nor is there any representation of the deity or temple; according to ancient usage, there is only an altar and worship. While Vespasian was offering sacrifice there, and was meditating on his secret aspirations, Basilides, the priest, having examined the entrails of the victims diligently, said to Vespasian, "Whatever are your designs, whether to build a house, to enlarge the boundaries of your lands, or increase your slaves, a mighty seat, immense borders, a multitude of men, are given to you." This mysterious prediction was forthwith spread abroad, and now received an interpretation. Nor was there any more frequent topic of discourse among the populace: still more frequent were the conversations upon it in the presence of Vespasian himself, in proportion as more things are said to those who entertain hopes.

79. Mucianus and Vespasian, with minds thoroughly made up, parted, and went, the former to Antioch, the capital of Syria, the latter to Cæsarea, the capital of Judæa. The first public step towards creating Vespasian emperor of Rome was taken at Alexandria in Egypt: Tiberius Alexander, the præfect of the province, eager to show his zeal, administered the oath to the legions under his command, on the calends of July; and that day was ever after celebrated as the first of Vespasian's reign, though the army in Judæa swore fidelity on the fifth before the nones of the same month to Vespasian himself, with such zeal that they would not wait for the return of his son Titus from Syria, who bore despatches relative to the plans between his father and Mucianus. The whole transaction was hurried on by the impetuosity of the

soldiery, without any public harangue, and without a union of the legions.

80. While they were looking out for a proper time and place, and, that which forms the chief difficulty in such affairs, who should first declare,—while hope and fear, the calculations of reason and the uncertainties of fortune, presented themselves to the mind,—a small number of soldiers, who were stationed near him in the usual form to salute him as lieutenant-general, when he came forth from his chamber, saluted him by the title of emperor. The whole body then pressed forward, and loaded him with the name of Cæsar, Augustus, and every other title of imperial grandeur. In a moment his fears subsided, and he resolved to pursue the road of ambition. In his own conduct there was no manifestation of vanity, or insolence, or affectation of manners suited to his altered position. The instant he dissipated the film which so great a change had spread over his vision, he addressed them in the spirit of a soldier, and received with courtesy the congratulations of all, and the troops that came flocking to him. And now Mucianus, who waited for this opportunity, administered the oath of allegiance to Vespasian to the soldiers, who took it with alacrity. Mucianus then went into the theatre at Antioch, where the inhabitants were used to hold their public debates, and harangued the multitude that crowded round him, and poured forth their compliments in profusion, as he could speak with considerable grace and eloquence, even in the Greek language, and possessed a peculiar talent of producing effect in whatever he said or did. Nothing inflamed the passions of the army and the province so much as his assurance, "that it was a fixed point with Vitellius, to transfer the German troops to Syria, to serve in a rich and peaceful province; while, in exchange, the barracks in Germany, where the climate was severe and the service arduous, should be occupied by the legions of Syria:" for both the natives of the province, by the force of habit, took a pleasure in the society of the soldiers, and many were united with them by close relationships and connexions; and their camp, so familiar and natural to them, from the long time they had served in it, was regarded by the soldiers with the affection felt for the domestic hearth.

81. Before the ides of July, the whole province of Syria

had taken the same oath. His party was further strengthened by Sohemus,[1] with his kingdom, no contemptible accession; and also by Antiochus, who inherited immense treasures from his ancestors, and was the richest of all the kings who submitted to the authority of Rome. Soon after, Agrippa, receiving private expresses from the East, summoning him from Rome, departed before Vitellius had any intelligence, and by a quick navigation passed over into Asia. Queen Berenice, at that time in the bloom of youth and beauty, with no less zeal espoused the interest of Vespasian, to whom, notwithstanding his advanced age, she had made herself agreeable by magnificent presents. The several maritime provinces, including Asia and Achaia, and the whole inland country between Pontus and the two Armenias, entered into the confederacy; but the governors of those provinces had no forces, as no legions were as yet stationed in Cappadocia. A council was held at Berytus, on the general state of affairs. Mucianus attended, with the generals and tribunes, and all the most distinguished of the centurions and soldiers, and a chosen band of the most eminent of the army in Judæa. An assembly consisting of such a numerous train of horse and foot, and of eastern kings, who vied with each other in splendour and magnificence, presented a spectacle worthy of the imperial dignity.

82. The first object in the prosecution of the war was to raise recruits, and recall the veterans to the service. The strong cities were fixed upon to ply the manufacture of arms, and a mint for gold and silver coin was established at Antioch. The whole was carried on with diligence, each in its appointed place, by persons qualified for the service. Vespasian in person visited every quarter,—encouraged the industrious by commendations,—roused the inactive by his example, more frequently than by rebuke; shutting his eyes to the failings of his friends, rather than their merits. He advanced many to the administration of provinces, and others to the rank of senators,—all men of distinguished character, who rose afterwards to the highest honours in the state.

[1] Sohemus, king of the country called Sophene. (Annals, xiii. 7.) Antiochus, king of Commagene. (Annals, xii. 55.) Agrippa II., king of part of Judæa. (Annals, xiii. 7.) Berenice, sister to Agrippa, famous for her love of Titus.

There were some whose good fortune supplied the place of virtues. Neither did Mucianus, in his first harangue, hold out hopes of a donative, except upon a moderate scale; nor did even Vespasian, though engaged in a civil war, offer more than others in times of peace; setting a bright example of firmness against corrupting the soldiery by largess; and to that firmness he owed the superiority of his army. Ambassadors were sent to Parthia and Armenia, and arrangements proposed that, when the legions marched to the civil war, the country in their rear should not be left defenceless. Titus was to follow up the war in Judæa, while Vespasian held the passes into Egypt. To make head against Vitellius, part of the army was deemed sufficient, under the conduct of Mucianus, with Vespasian's name, and the resistless power of destiny. Letters were despatched to the several armies, and the officers in command, with instructions to conciliate the prætorian soldiers, who were exasperated against Vitellius, by the allurement of reinstating them in the service.

83. Mucianus, with the appearance rather of an associate in the sovereign power, than an officer, advanced at the head of a light-armed detachment, never lingering in the course of his progress, that he might not be thought irresolute, and yet not proceeding rapidly; by the very time he consumed, he afforded an opportunity for rumour to gather strength; well aware that his forces were none of the greatest, and that exaggerated notions are formed of things at a distance. But he was followed by the sixth legion, and thirteen thousand vexillaries, forming together a vast body. The fleet at Pontus had orders to assemble at Byzantium, as he had not determined whether he should not avoid Mœsia, and beset Dyrrhachium with his foot and horse, while his men-of-war commanded the sea towards Italy; thus protecting Achaia and Asia in his rear, which would be exposed to the mercy of Vitellius, unless they were strengthened by forces; and, on the other hand, Vitellius himself would not know what part of Italy to guard, if Brundisium and Tarentum, and the coasts of Lucania and Calabria, were menaced by his fleets.

84. The provinces, therefore, resounded with the bustle of warlike preparations, soldiers, ships, and arms. How to raise money was the chief difficulty. Mucianus, whose constant plea was, that funds were the sinews of war, in all questions

regarded neither truth nor justice, but merely the extent of means possessed. Informations followed without number, and all the richest men were plundered without mercy. Oppressive and intolerable as these proceedings were, the pressing exigencies of the war furnished an excuse; but the practice continued even in peace. Vespasian himself, in the beginning of his reign, was not so urgent in enforcing oppressions; but at length, corrupted by the smiles of fortune, and evil instructors, he learned the arts of rapacity, and dared to practise them.[1] Mucianus, even from his own funds, contributed to the war; expending his private means that he might plunder the public the more, under pretext of indemnifying himself. The rest followed his example in contributing money, but few were they who enjoyed the same uncontrolled power of reimbursement.

85. In the meantime, the project of Vespasian was accelerated by the army in Illyricum coming over to his interest. In Mœsia the third legion revolted, and drew after them the eighth, and also the seventh, called the Claudian; both favourably disposed to Otho, though not engaged in the action at Bedriacum. They had advanced as far as Aquileia, when, being informed of Otho's overthrow, they spurned and assaulted the messengers,—tore the colours that displayed the name of Vitellius,—and lastly, having plundered the military chests, and divided the spoil, conducted themselves as open enemies. Whence their fears, which prompted them to take counsel: they considered that what required pardon from Vitellius, might be made a merit of with Vespasian. Accordingly they sent despatches to the army in Pannonia, inviting them to join the league; and made ready, if they did not comply, to compel them. In this commotion, Aponius Saturninus,[2] governor of Mœsia, conceived a most iniquitous design. Under colour of public zeal, but to gratify private malice, he despatched a centurion to murder Tertius Julianus, who commanded the seventh legion. That officer had timely notice, and providing himself with guides who knew the

[1] Vespasian, in the height of his power, did not scruple to raise large sums of money by severe exactions; but the apology for his avarice was the liberal spirit with which he adorned Rome and Italy with grand and useful works. See Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 16.

[2] For Aponius Saturninus and Tertius Julianus, see above, i. 79.

country, escaped through devious tracts to the region beyond Mount Hæmus. From that time he took no part in the civil war; affected often to be on the point of setting out to join Vespasian; but delayed his journey on various pretences, and according to the intelligence he received, either studiously dallying, or quickening his motions.

86. On the other hand, in Pannonia, the thirteenth legion, and the seventh, called the Galbian, still feeling with indignation their defeat at Bedriacum, unhesitatingly joined the party of Vespasian, principally at the persuasion of Antonius Primus, convicted of forgery[1] in the reign of Nero, and obnoxious to the laws: among the other evils of civil dissension, he recovered the senatorian rank. Advanced by Galba to the command of the seventh legion, according to report, he wrote several letters to Otho, offering himself as general of the party. Otho paid no attention to the proposal, and he was not employed in the Othonian war. When the cause of Vitellius began to decline, he veered round to Vespasian, and became a grand support to the party; for he was a man of great personal courage; a fluent speaker; had the art of drawing down odium upon others; a great man in civil broils and mutinies; rapacious; profuse; a pest in peace, but no contemptible character in war. The armies of Mœsia and Pannonia thus formed a junction, and drew the forces of Dalmatia after them, though the consular governors remained neutral. Titus Ampius Flavianus ruled in Pannonia, and Poppæus Silvanus in Dalmatia; both rich, and advanced in years; but Cornelius Fuscus, descended from illustrious ancestors, and then in the vigour of life, was there as imperial procurator. In his youth he had resigned his senatorian rank, from love of retirement. In behalf of Galba he commanded his own colony, and for the service obtained the post of procurator; and now taking part with Vespasian, he carried, as it were, a flaming firebrand in the van of the movement; glorying not so much in the reward of dangers, as in dangers themselves. He preferred a life of enterprise, uncertainty, and peril, to security and the enjoyment of his previous acquisitions. Wherever they believed that there existed a discontented spirit, they set about exciting and

[1] Primus Antonius, now the leader of Vespasian's armies, was formerly convicted of extortion. See Annals, xiv. 18.

stirring it into action. They sent despatches to the fourteenth legion in Britain, and to the first in Spain, knowing that both had favoured the cause of Otho against Vitellius. Their letters were spread all over Gaul, and in a moment a war of vast extent blazed forth; the forces in Illyricum declaring openly for Vespasian, and all the others ready to follow where the prospect of success invited.

87. While Vespasian and the leaders of his party were thus employed throughout the provinces, Vitellius, growing daily more insignificant and supine, advanced by slow marches towards the city of Rome, stopping for every gratification that presented itself in the villas and municipal towns. He was followed by sixty thousand men in arms, all corrupted by excessive indulgence. The number of drudges was still greater; while sutlers, the most froward characters in existence, were mingled with the slaves. There was also a train of officers and courtiers, whom it would have been difficult to keep in subjection, even though their ruler had exhibited the most exemplary self-command. The crowd was rendered still more cumbrous by senators and Roman knights, who came from Rome to meet the prince; some impelled by fear, many to pay their court, others, (and gradually all came under this denomination,) that they might not stay behind while others went. A multitude of the populace, known to Vitellius as the servile ministers of his vices, joined the throng; such as players, buffoons, and charioteers, characters that are a disgrace to the name of friends, but in which Vitellius wonderfully delighted. In furnishing such a mass of provisions, not the colonies and municipal cities alone were exhausted, but the fruits of the earth being then ripe, the husbandmen and the land, as if it were an enemy's country, were stripped.

88. The animosity between the legions and the auxiliaries, which followed the mutiny at Ticinum, still continuing, frequent and dreadful butcheries occurred among the soldiery; but when they had to contend with the peasants they were unanimous. The most extensive carnage happened seven miles from Rome. At that place Vitellius ordered victuals, ready dressed, to be distributed among the soldiers, as if it were a feast to pamper a band of gladiators, and the common people, who had come in crowds from Rome, were dispersed

through the camp. In sport, as they considered, such as is usual among slaves, some of them made free with the soldiers who were sauntering about, slyly cutting off their belts, and then teased them by asking if they were girt with their arms. Their spirit, intolerant of any indignity, would not brook the jest: they fell sword in hand on the defenceless multitude. Among the slain was the father of one of the soldiers, as he accompanied his son. He was soon after recognised, and his death being made known, it put a stop to the slaughter of unoffending persons. Rome, however, was thrown into consternation, a number of soldiers hurrying forward into the city. They made chiefly for the forum, impatient to see the spot where Galba had fallen. Covered with the skins of savage beasts, and wielding large and massive spears, the spectacle which they exhibited to the Roman citizens was no less hideous, when, from stupidity, they ran against the crowded people, or when, falling down from the slipperiness of the street, or from encountering some one, they resorted to abuse, from which, anon, they proceeded to blows and the sword. Nay, even the tribunes and centurions, at the head of their troops of cavalry, scoured through the streets, spreading terror as they went.

89. Vitellius himself, in his military robe, girt with his sword, and mounted on a superb horse, advanced from the Milvian bridge, driving the senate and the people before him. His friends, however, by their advice deterred him from entering the city as though it were taken by storm: he therefore put on his senatorian robe, and made his entry in a pacific manner. The eagles of four legions led the way, with an equal number of standards from other legions on each side. Then the colours of twelve squadrons of horse. The files of infantry followed, and after them the cavalry. Next in order were four-and-thirty cohorts, distinguished according to their several nations, or the description of their arms. The præfects of the camp, the tribunes, and principal centurions, arrayed in white, preceded their several eagles; the rest of the officers marched at the head of their companies, all gleaming with their arms and honours. The collars of the common men, and the trappings of the horses, had a glittering appearance: an imposing spectacle, and an army worthy of a better prince than Vitellius. Thus he proceeded

to the Capitol, and there embracing his mother,[1] saluted her by the name of Augusta.

90. Next day Vitellius delivered an harangue, and spoke of himself in laudatory terms, as if he addressed the senate and people of another city; magnifying his industry and temperance, though in the presence of men privy to his vices, as well as all Italy, in passing through which he had made the most shameful exhibition of sloth and luxury. The populace, however, careless, and thoroughly versed in flattery, without discrimination between truth or falsehood, gave many tokens of approbation by shouts and exclamations; and on his declining to accept the title of Augustus, they obliged him to receive it; but his compliance was as nugatory as his refusal.

91. In a city which gave a meaning to everything, it was considered as an unfavourable omen that Vitellius, who had obtained the office of chief pontiff, had issued an edict concerning the rites and ceremonies of religion, dated the fifteenth before the calends of August, a day rendered inauspicious by the disasters of Cremera and Allia.[2] Profoundly ignorant of law, human and divine, and his freedmen and courtiers as doltish as himself, he seemed like one of a party where all were fuddled. But Vitellius, attending the assembly for the election of consuls[3] with the other candidates, as a mere citizen, sought to catch every breath of applause from the lowest of the people, both as a spectator in the theatre, and as a partisan in the circus; arts, it must be admitted, calculated to please, and popular if they were based upon good qualities; but from the recollection of his past life,[4]

[1] Sextilia. See c. 64 of this book.

[2] The defeat at Cremera, a river in Tuscany, (now La Varca,) took place in the year 477 B.C. At Allia (now Torrenti di Catino) the Roman army was put to the sword by the Gauls, under Brennus, B.C. 390. The slaughter was so great, that the day on which it happened (*Dies Alliensis*) was marked as unlucky in the calendar, and, according to Cicero, thought more fatal than that on which the city of Rome was taken.

[3] The assemblies in which the consuls were created are mentioned by Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 11. For the manner in which that business was conducted by the emperor Trajan, see Pliny's Panegyric, s. 63.

[4] Vitellius, in the time of Nero, passed his time among pantomime-actors, charioteers, and wrestlers. Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, ss. 4, 12.

they were regarded as the efforts of a low and abject spirit. He went frequently to the senate, even when the subject of debate was of small moment; and on one occasion Helvidius Priscus,[1] prætor elect, happening to give an opinion opposed to the emperor's inclination, Vitellius, incensed at the moment, went no further than to call upon the tribunes of the people to support his slighted authority. Upon this his friends, apprehending his more settled displeasure, endeavoured to soften him. His answer was, "Nothing new has happened in two senators of a free state differing in opinion; he himself too used to oppose Thrasea."[2] Many ridiculed the insolence of the comparison; others derived satisfaction from the very circumstance of his having selected, as a model of true glory, not one of the men of overgrown power, but Thrasea.

92. Publius Sabinus, from being præfect of a cohort, and Julius Priscus, a centurion, were advanced to the command of the prætorian guards. The former owed his elevation to the friendship of Valens, and the latter to that of Cæcina. By those two ministers, though at variance, the authority of the emperor was rendered a nullity. Valens and Cæcina administered all the functions of empire; their mutual animosity, which had been ill suppressed during the war and in the camp, the malignity of their friends, and the various factions that for ever distract the city of Rome, had inflamed; while they vied with each other in influence, in their train of followers and their crowded levees, and were brought into comparison by others; Vitellius showing a preference now for one of them, and now for the other. Nor indeed does it ever happen that dependence is to be placed upon power where it is immoderate. At the same time they alternately despised and feared Vitellius himself, who was liable to shift his affections upon any unpremeditated offence, or blandishments addressed to him when not in the humour to receive them. They were not, however, the less prompt in seizing houses, gardens, and the wealth of the empire; while a piteous and indigent throng of illustrious men, whom Galba had recalled from banishment, received no help from the

[1] Helvidius Priscus: often mentioned, Annals, xii. xiii. xvi.; and Life of Agricola, c. 2.

[2] Pætus Thrasea; Annals, xiv. 12; xvi. 21.

compassion of the prince. That he restored to those who were recalled from exile their rights over their freedmen, was acceptable to the grandees of the city, and even gained the applause of the populace; though this boon was marred in every conceivable way by the low cunning that marks the genius of slaves, who deposited their money with others, either with the mere object of concealing it, or with ambitious views; and some of them were translated into the imperial family, and there acquired more influence than their masters.

93. But the soldiers, as the camp was crowded, and their numbers overflowed, being left to go where they pleased, in the public porticoes, the temples, and every part of the city, took no notice of their head quarters, neglected the watches, omitted all invigorating exercises. Abandoning themselves to the temptations of the city, and vices shocking to relate, they impaired the vigour of their bodies by sloth, and of their minds by lewdness. At length, negligent even of health, many of them pitched their tents in the abhorred regions of the Vatican:[1] whence frequent deaths among the soldiers in general; and, as the Tiber was near, their eagerness for water, and their impatience of heat, broke up the sickly constitutions of the Germans and Gauls. Moreover, the established system of the service was violated through erroneous judgment or intrigue: sixteen cohorts[2] for the prætorian camp, and four for the city, were raised, each to consist of a thousand men. Valens arrogated to himself the chief direction in this levy, on the ground that he had rescued Cæcina himself from danger. And it must be admitted that the arrival of Valens had given life and vigour to the cause; and he had turned the current of adverse fame, in consequence of the slowness of his march, by a successful battle. The soldiers from the Lower Germany were to a man devoted to his interest; on which account the fidelity of Cæcina is believed to have begun to waver.

[1] The lands round the Vatican were covered with stagnated water, and the air, of course, was unwholesome. St. Peter's church stands there at present; but Brotier says the cardinals never reside in that quarter.

[2] Before the augmentation, the prætorian cohorts (that is, those that were encamped near Rome) were only nine; the city-guard consisted of three, called Cohortes Urbanæ. Annals, iv. 5.

94. The indulgence shown by Vitellius to his principal officers, still fell short of the licence given to the common soldiers. Each man chose his own station; though unfit for it, if it was his choice, he was appointed to the city service: then, again, others well adapted were suffered to remain in the legions, or the cavalry, as they pleased, and there were many who wished it, worn out as they were with diseases, and dissatisfied with the temperature of the climate. The flower of the legions and auxiliary cavalry was however withdrawn from them. The beauty of the camp was totally destroyed: twenty thousand men being taken promiscuously, rather than selected out of the whole army. While Vitellius was holding an harangue, Ascalicus Flavius and Rufinus, who had commanded in Gaul, were required to be given up to punishment, as they had served in the cause of Vindex. Nor did Vitellius restrain such demands. Besides the natural supineness of his disposition, he knew that the time for discharging the promised donative was drawing near; and having no funds to answer the expectation of the soldiers, he granted whatever else they required. In order to raise supplies, a tax was imposed on all the freedmen of former emperors, to be collected in proportion to the number of their slaves. Vitellius himself, whose sole anxiety was how to spend money, built a set of stables for the charioteers, kept in the circus a constant spectacle of gladiators and wild beasts, and fooled away his money as if his treasury overflowed with wealth.

95. Nay, Cæcina and Valens even, celebrated the birthday of Vitellius[1] by exhibiting shows of gladiators in every quarter of the city, with prodigious pomp, and theretofore rarely paralleled. It was a source of delight to the vile and profligate, but of disgust to all men of principle and of virtue, that he erected altars in the Campus Martius, and paid funeral honours to Nero. Victims were slain and burnt, in the name of the state, and the torch was applied by the Augustan priests; a priesthood dedicated by Tiberius to the Julian family, in imitation of that consecrated by Romulus to Tatius, the Sabine king.[2] From the victory at Bedriacum

[1] The birthday of Vitellius is left uncertain. Suetonius (Vitell. 3) says it was the eighth of the calends of October, or, according to others, the seventh of the ides of September, in the consulship of Drusus Cæsar and Norbanus Flaccus, A.D. 15.

[2] See Annals, i. 54.

four months had not elapsed, and yet, in that short time, Asiaticus, the manumitted slave of the emperor, rivalled the Polycleti, the Patrobii, and other names, long consigned to execration. No man endeavoured to rise by his virtue or his talents in that court. The only road to preferment was by sumptuous banquets, profusion and debauchery to pander to the ever-craving appetites of Vitellius. As for Vitellius himself, satisfied with consuming all within his reach, and without a thought for anything beyond the moment, he is believed to have squandered nine hundred thousand great sesterces[1] in a very few months indeed. This great, but hapless city, afflicted with an Otho and a Vitellius in the same year, between the Vinii, Fabii, Iceli, and Asiatici, experienced every variety of distress and degradation, only to fall into the hands of Mucianus and Marcellus,[2] different men, but with the same vices.

96. The first intelligence of a revolt that reached the ear of Vitellius, was that of the third legion, in Illyricum, and conveyed in a letter sent by Aponius Saturninus, before he too joined the party of Vespasian. But his despatches, as he wrote in the first tumult of surprise, did not state the whole of the mischief; and his friends, in the spirit of adulation, endeavoured to put the most favourable construction upon it. They called it a mutiny of one legion only, while every other army preserved its allegiance unshaken. Vitellius addressed the soldiers to the same effect, inveighing against the prætorians, lately disbanded, by whom, he maintained, false reports had been disseminated, and that there was no reason to fear a civil war; not mentioning the name of Vespasian: and, to suppress all talk among the populace, soldiers were dispersed throughout the city; a proceeding which contributed more than anything to spread the news.

97. Notwithstanding, he summoned auxiliaries from Germany, both Spains, and Britain, not in an urgent manner, but studiously concealing the pressing nature of the occasion; and, accordingly, the governors of the provinces were in no

[1] About 7,500,000*l.*

[2] Mucianus was the active partisan of Vespasian (c. 76 of this book). Eprius Marcellus, a man who raised himself by his flagitious deeds (Annals, xvi. 28), was the favourite minister under Vespasian. See the Dialogue concerning Oratory, c. 8.

haste to obey. Hordeonius Flaccus,[1] at that time suspecting the designs of the Batavians, was occupied with the thoughts of a war upon his own hands;[2] in Britain, Vettius Bolanus was kept in a constant alarm by the restless genius of the natives; and both were on the balance between Vitellius and Vespasian. Spain showed no alacrity in sending troops, as she was then without a governor of consular rank; the commanders of the three legions, equal in authority, and, as long as Vitellius prospered, disposed to contend which should be the most submissive, equally declined all connexion with him in adversity. In Africa, the legion and cohorts levied there by Clodius Macer, and disbanded by Galba, were again embodied by order of Vitellius; at the same time, the rest of the youth promptly enlisted. The fact was, Vitellius had governed Africa as proconsul with uprightness and condescension; but Vespasian with disrepute and odium:[3] the allies formed their ideas of what they had to expect under the reign of each accordingly; but the proof showed otherwise.

98. At first, Valerius Festus, the governor of the province, cooperated with the zeal of the people, but in a short time began to waver; in his letters and public edicts warmly supporting Vitellius, but in his secret correspondence Vespasian; determining to maintain the cause which proved the strongest. In Rhætia and the Gauls, certain soldiers and centurions, seized with letters and proclamations of Vespasian, were sent to Vitellius, and put to death. More, by their own address, or the protection of their friends, escaped detection. The consequence was, that the measures of Vitellius transpired, while most of those of Vespasian remained a secret, owing first to the stupidity of Vitellius; but afterwards, the Pannonian Alps,[4] secured by a chain of posts, obstructed the transmission of intelligence; and the sea, which, from the blowing of the Etesian winds, favoured the navigation to the East, was adverse to the homeward voyage.

[1] Hordeonius Flaccus was appointed by Galba to the command on the Upper Rhine, in the room of Verginius Rufus.

[2] For the war in which Flaccus was engaged with Civilis, the Batavian chief, see Hist. iv. 18.

[3] Suetonius gives a different account of Vespasian's administration in Africa. Life of Vespasian, s. 4.

[4] These, also called Juliæ, are now known as the Alpi Giuli, lying between Carinthia and Carniola.

99. At length, the enemy having made an irruption into Italy, and news big with danger arriving from every quarter, Vitellius, in the greatest alarm, gave orders to his generals to take the field. Cæcina was sent in advance, while Valens, who was just recovering from a severe illness, was detained by weakness. Far different was the appearance of the German forces, marching out of the city: their strength wasted; their vigour of mind depressed; their motions slow, and ranks thin; their arms inefficient; their horses spiritless; the men overpowered by the heat, the dust, and the weather, and prompt to mutiny in proportion as they wanted the energy to encounter toil. In addition, there was the habitual ambition of Cæcina, and his indolence, newly contracted, dissolved in luxury as he was, from the excessive indulgence of fortune; or perhaps meditating perfidy even then, it was part of his plan to impair the vigour of the army. Most men believed that the constancy of Cæcina was undermined by the arts of Flavius Sabinus, Rubrius Gallus being the bearer of his messages; who assured him, that the terms on which it was stipulated that he should come over to the party, would be fulfilled by Vespasian. At the same time, when he recollected the hatred and jealousy subsisting between himself and Valens, it occurred to him that, as he had less weight with Vitellius than his rival, he ought to lay the foundation of interest and influence with his successor.

100. Cæcina on quitting the embrace of Vitellius, who treated him with much respect, sent forward a detachment of the cavalry to take possession of Cremona. The vexillaries of the fourteenth[1] and sixteenth legions followed, and after them the fifth and twenty-second. The rear was closed by the twenty-first, named Rapax, and the first legion, called the Italic, with the vexillaries of three British legions, and the flower of the auxiliary forces. Cæcina having set out, Valens wrote to the army, which he had conducted into Italy, to wait for him on their march; such, he said, was his understanding with Cæcina. But the latter, being on the spot, and, by consequence, having greater weight, pretended that

[1] Brotier thinks that there is a mistake in the text. The fourteenth legion, he observes, stood firm for Otho, and for that reason was sent into Britain. But perhaps the veterans, who had served their time, and were still retained in the service, were left in Italy.

that plan had been altered, to the end that they might meet the formidable approach of the enemy with their united forces. Thus he ordered the legions to proceed by rapid marches to Cremona, and a detachment to make for Hostilia.[1] He himself turned off towards Ravenna, under a pretence of conferring with the officers of the fleet; soon after, he went to Patavium,[2] that in that retired spot he might settle the plan of betraying the cause. For Lucilius Bassus, a man who, from a squadron of horse, had been raised by Vitellius to the command of two fleets, one at Ravenna, and the other at Misenum, because he did not immediately obtain the command of the prætorian guards, sought to gratify his unjust resentment by the most flagitious perfidy: nor can it be ascertained whether he corrupted Cæcina, or, as is often the case with bad men, namely, that they also resemble each other in their conduct, the same depraved motives actuated both.

101. The historians of the times, who, while the Flavian house possessed the sovereign power,[3] recorded the transactions of this war, have corrupted the truth, from motives of flattery, in stating that this transaction is attributable to an anxiety to preserve peace, and true patriotism. For myself, I think that, in addition to his inherent inconstancy and contempt for principle, after his treachery to Galba, he was induced to ruin the cause of Vitellius from rivalry and jealousy, lest others should surpass him in influence with that prince. Cæcina, having overtaken the legions, endeavoured by all kinds of artifices to work upon the minds of the centurions and soldiery who were devoted to the cause of Vitellius. Bassus, in playing the same game, experienced less difficulty, as the mariners were predisposed to throw off their allegiance, from the impressions existing in their minds in consequence of having served in the cause of Otho.

[1] The modern Ostiglia, in the duchy of Mantua. [2] Padua.

[3] That is, during the reigns of Vespasian, Titus, and Domitian, the last of the Flavian line.

BOOK III.

1. The leaders of the Flavian party conducted their deliberations on the prosecution of the war with greater success, and in better faith. They met at Pœtovio,[1] the winter quarters of the thirteenth legion. There they discussed the question, whether it was most advisable to secure the passes over the Pannonian Alps, till the forces in their rear should all be prepared to cooperate with them, or whether it would be the more valorous course to push on and battle for Italy. Those who proposed to wait for aids, and protract the war, referred to "the high fame and valour of the German legions, and to the fact, that Vitellius had been reinforced by the flower of the army in Britain, while their own legions were inferior in number, and had been lately conquered. They talked indeed with ferocity; but the spirit of vanquished men invariably drooped. If the Alps were occupied by them for awhile, Mucianus would come up with the strength of the East, and Vespasian would still have the command of the sea, fleets, and provinces in his favour, through which he might collect a mass of forces, for, as it were, another war. From delay thus salutary new succours would be derived, while their present force would continue undiminished."

2. Antonius Primus, the grand promoter of the war, replied that "speed would be advantageous to themselves, and ruinous to Vitellius. The conquerors had grown in slothfulness, more than they had gained in confidence; for they were not kept under arms and in the camp, but, dispersed through all the municipal towns of Italy, had lost their martial spirit: objects of terror to their landlords only. The more savage and uncouth their former mode of living, the greater the avidity with which they plunged into unwonted pleasures. They were enervated by the circus, the theatres, and the delights of Rome, or disabled by disease; but allow them

[1] In Pannonia; now Pettau, in Hungary. The summer station of the thirteenth legion was at Vindobona, now Vienna, as we learn from numerous bricks found there, with the inscription, LEG. XIII. GEM. POETO.

time and even they would recover their energy, having their thoughts fixed on war. Germany was near at hand, whence they might obtain succours; Britain was separated by a narrow channel; Spain and Gaul were contiguous, and from both they might draw supplies of men, and horses, and money. All Italy was at their command, and the wealth of Rome. If they chose to act on the offensive, they had two fleets, and the Illyrian sea open to them. Then what would be the use of shutting up the mountain passes, and of protracting the war till another summer? whence, in the meantime, are we to find money and provisions? Nay, rather should they take advantage of the very fact, that the legions of Pannonia, beguiled rather than conquered, were eager to rise up and vindicate their honour, while the Mœsian armies came with forces entire and undefeated.[1] If the number of men, and not of legions, be reckoned, on the side of Vespasian, there was superior force, and no licentiousness; while a sense of shame had promoted discipline. In the last action the cavalry suffered no disgrace; on the contrary, though the event of the day was adverse, they threw the enemy into disorder. Two squadrons of horse, one from Pannonia, the other from Mœsia, broke through the line of their opponents: now, the joint forces of sixteen squadrons, by the impetuosity of their onset, their shouts, the clangour of their arms, and the very dust raised by them, will confound and overwhelm the horses and their riders, both having lost what they knew of battles. What I advise, I will execute, if allowed. You, who have not taken a decided part, keep the legions with you: the light-armed cohorts will be sufficient for me. Presently you will hear that I have forced a passage into Italy; that the affairs of Vitellius have sustained a shock; you will then be delighted to follow, and tread in the steps of the conqueror."

3. He poured forth these and similar remarks, in such a manner, his eyes flashing fire, and with tones of thunder, to make himself heard the further,—for the centurions and soldiers had pressed into the council,—that even the wary and the prudent were carried away by the torrent of his eloquence. The crowd extolled him, despising the common soldiers and the other officers for their want of spirit, as the only man of

[1] The forces from Mœsia were not in the action at Bedriacum. See Hist. ii. 44.

enterprise and worthy of command. In a former council of war, where Vespasian's letters were read to the meeting, Antonius had at once made a favourable impression on his hearers, when he appeared fairly and openly to grapple with the matter; not, as many do, using equivocal terms, which might afterwards receive the construction that suited the views of the speaker; and thus the soldiers the more admired a general, whom they saw ready to be their partner in the censure or glory of the enterprise.

4. Cornelius Fuscus, the procurator of the province, was the next in credit. He too, as he was used to inveigh against Vitellius unsparingly, had left himself nothing to hope for if the cause failed. Titus Ampius Flavianus, his natural slowness increased by years, provoked the suspicion of the soldiers, who thought him influenced by his connexion with Vitellius:[1] and also, as, in the first commotion of the legions, he fled from his post, and shortly afterwards returned to the province, he was believed to have sought an occasion of treachery. For he had quitted Pannonia, entered Italy, and was clear of danger; but was induced to return to resume his authority, and mix himself up in the troubles of a civil war, by his thirst for innovation. To this he was incited by the advice of Cornelius Fuscus, not with a view of deriving advantage from his talents, but that the name of a consular officer might give an air of credit and respectability to the party which was just then springing up.

5. But, to march the troops into Italy safely, and with advantage to the cause, letters were sent to Aponius Saturninus,[2] ordering him to advance, by rapid marches, with his army from Mœsia. At the same time, that the provinces thus evacuated might not lie open to the incursions of barbarians, the chiefs of the Jazyges, a people of Sarmatia, who hold the chief rule among those states, were engaged to cooperate. They offered to bring into the field a body of the natives, and also their cavalry, in which consists the sole strength of the country. Their service, however, was not accepted, lest they should learn to interfere with the affairs of other countries in the distractions that convulsed the empire, or for better pay from the opposite party break faith and desert.

[1] Ampius Flavianus was related to Vitellius. See c. 10 of this book.
[2] Aponius Saturninus was governor of Mœsia. Hist. ii. 95, 96.

The Suevian nation had, at all times, given proofs of attachment to the Romans; and, as they were remarkably tenacious of engagements entered into, their two kings, Sido and Italicus,[1] were admitted into the league. As Rhætia, where Portius Septimius, the procurator, remained firm to Vitellius, was hostile, auxiliaries were stationed in flank. With this view, Sextilius Felix was sent with a squadron of horse called the Aurian,[2] eight cohorts, and the militia of Noricum, with orders to line the banks of the river Ænus, which divides Rhætia from Noricum. But neither the latter nor the former sought to bring on a battle. The fate of the parties was elsewhere decided.

6. Antonius Primus pressed on to invade Italy at the head of a body of vexillaries drafted from the cohorts, and a detachment of the cavalry. He was accompanied by Arius Varus, an officer of distinguished valour, who had served under Corbulo,[3] from whose character and successes in Armenia he derived all his reputation. He was also said to have disparaged the virtues of Corbulo by secret insinuations poured into the ear of Nero, whence, by favour thus infamously acquired, he rose to the rank of principal centurion; but his ill-gotten advancement, though highly gratifying at the time, proved his ruin in the end. To proceed, Antonius and Varus took possession of all the parts adjacent to Aquileia. At Opitergium and Altinum[4] they were received with feelings of joy. At Altinum a garrison was left to check the fleet at Ravenna, not then known to have revolted. They then united Patavium and Ateste[5] to their party. The generals there learnt that three Vitellian cohorts, with the squadron of horse called Scriboniana, had taken post at Forum Allienum,[6] after throwing up a bridge. The opportunity seemed fair to attack them, for they were also reported to be remiss and negligent. At the dawn of day they surprised

[1] Sido has been mentioned, Annals, xii. 29, 30. Of Italicus nothing is known with certainty: he was probably the son of Sido.

[2] A squadron of horse, most probably from the city of Auria in Spain.

[3] For Corbulo's conduct in Armenia, see Annals, xiii. 35; and for his death by order of Nero, see Dio, lib. lxiii.

[4] Odezzo and Altino, in the States of Venice.

[5] Now Aste, in the States of Venice.

[6] Now Ferro, on the Po.

and overpowered the greater part, while unarmed. It had been previously ordered that they should kill a few, and terrify the rest into an abandonment of their party; and several surrendered at discretion: but the greater part broke down the bridge, and thus obstructed their enemy, who pressed close upon them.

7. The news of this victory spreading, when the first events of the war were found to be favourable to Vespasian, two legions, the seventh, called Galbiana, and the thirteenth, named Gemina,[1] with Vedius Aquila, who commanded them, came promptly to Padua. A few days were spent at that place to refresh the men; and Minucius Justus, præfect of the camp to the seventh legion, who enforced his orders with more severity than was consistent with civil war, was withdrawn from the fury of the soldiers, and sent to Vespasian. After that, Antonius ordered the statues of Galba, which the rage of civil discord had thrown down, to be again set up in all the municipal towns. This act, the want of which had been long and painfully felt, was interpreted as redounding to the honour of the party more than one might have anticipated. His conclusion was, that it would be honourable to the cause, if the government of Galba[2] was believed to meet with his approbation, and that his party were beginning to revive.

8. Where to fix the seat of war was now the question. Verona seemed the better place, the surrounding plains being adapted to the operations of cavalry, which was their strength; and to wrest from Vitellius an important colony seemed useful and glorious. The army, in their very march, became masters of Vicetia,[3] a municipality of no great consideration, but regarded as the birthplace of Cæcina, and, reflecting that the general of the enemy was thus stripped of his fatherland, it assumed a very important character. The reduction of Verona brought an accession of wealth, and gave an example to other cities. Moreover, as it lies between Rhætia and the Julian Alps,[4] it was a post of importance, where an army in force might command the pass into Italy, and render it impervious to the German armies. Of these operations Vespasian

[1] See note on Hist. ii. 6.

[2] After the calamities occasioned by Otho and Vitellius, the memory of Galba was held in high respect by the people.

[3] The modern Vicenza.

[4] See note 4, p. 132.

had either no knowledge, or they were such as he had forbidden; for his orders were, that the troops should halt at Aquileia till Mucianus arrived. Vespasian also explained the motives of his counsels. While he was master of Egypt,[1] had the power of stopping the supplies of provisions, and commanded the revenues of the most opulent provinces, the Vitellian army, for want of pay and provisions, might be forced to capitulate. Mucianus, in all his letters, recommended the same measure,—disguising his designs under a desire for a victory without blood and mourning, and other similar pretences; but, insatiably ambitious, he wished to engross the whole honour of the war. However, before their advices could arrive from a distant part of the world, the blow was struck.

9. Antonius, therefore, by a sudden movement, attacked the picquets of the enemy; but, after trying each other's mettle in a slight encounter, they parted without advantage on either side. In a short time Cæcina fortified a camp near Verona, between the village Hostilia and the marshes of the river Tartarus: a well-protected position, with the river covering his rear, and the fens securing his flanks. Had he not wanted fidelity, he certainly might, with the whole strength of his army, have crushed the two legions, not yet joined by the Mœsian army,—or, at least, forced to retreat and abandon Italy, they would have incurred the disgrace and humiliation of flight. But, by all kinds of delays, he suffered the first precious opportunities to elapse, content with writing vituperative letters to those whom he might have conquered; till, by his messengers, he settled the terms of perfidy. Aponius Saturninus, meanwhile, arrived at Verona with the seventh legion, called the Claudian, under the command of Vipstanus Messala, the tribune, a man of illustrious birth and the highest character: of all who entered into that war, the only person who carried with him fair and honourable motives. With this reinforcement the army amounted to no more than three legions; and yet to that inferior force[2] Cæcina despatched a letter, condemning the rashness

[1] Egypt was the Roman granary. Pliny says that the people of that country were proud to find that the conquerors of the world depended on them for their daily maintenance: "Superbiebat ventosa et insolens natio, quod victorem populum pasceret: quodque in suo flumine, in suis manibus, vel abundantia nostra vel fames esset."—Paneg. s. 31.

[2] The forces under Vitellius are enumerated, Hist. ii. 100.

of men, who, after their late defeat, took up arms again. At the same time he magnified the bravery of the German soldiers, making slight and ordinary mention of Vitellius, and abstaining from all abuse of Vespasian,—nothing whatever that could seduce or intimidate the enemy. Vespasian's generals in their answer, entering into no defence of their former conduct, bestowed the highest praise on Vespasian, confidently anticipated the success of their cause, showed no fear about their army, and spoke in a hostile tone of Vitellius. To the tribunes and centurions they promised the same favours as they had received from Vitellius, and in explicit terms invited Cæcina to join them. The letters, which were read publicly to the army, increased the confidence of the troops; for Cæcina had written in a subdued spirit, as fearing to exasperate Vespasian, while the manner of their own generals was contemptuous, and that of men who scorned Vitellius.

10. After this, being reinforced by the arrival of two legions, the third, commanded by Dillius Aponianus, and the eighth, by Numisius Lupus, it was resolved to display their strength, and inclose Verona with lines of circumvallation. It happened that the Galbian legion was employed in an advanced part of the trenches, fronting the enemy. Some of the cavalry of their allies, descried at a distance and mistaken for enemies, excited a false alarm; and, thinking themselves betrayed, they seized their arms, and the resentment of the soldiers fell upon Ampius Flavianus,[1] as the author of the plot. They had no kind of proof; but they had long hated the man, and in the tempest of their rage demanded his blood; vociferating that he was the kinsman of Vitellius, the betrayer of Otho, and had embezzled their donative. Nor would they allow him to clear himself, though he stretched forth his hands in supplication, prostrated himself continually before them, rent his garments, his breast heaving, and his countenance convulsed with sobbing; nay, these very things stimulated their angry prejudices, as they looked upon his excessive alarm as proof of conscious guilt. Aponius Saturninus attempted to speak, but was overpowered by the soldiers' clamour. The rest were contemptuously treated with murmurs and shouts. Antonius was the only person whom they would hear. To authority and eloquence he

[1] See above, c. 4; and Hist. ii. 86.

united the art of managing the temper of the soldiers; when the disturbance began to assume a sanguinary character, and from foul abuse they proceeded to violence and arms, the general ordered Flavianus to be thrown into irons. This deception was seen through by the soldiers, who dispersed the soldiers that guarded the tribunal, and threatened immediate execution. Antonius opposed his bosom to their fury, and, drawing his sword, solemnly declared that he would fall by their hands or his own. He looked around, invoking the assistance of all whom he either knew, or saw distinguished by any kind of military decoration; anon he directed his eyes to the eagles and standards, those gods of the camp, and implored them rather to infuse that frenzy and dissentious spirit into the breasts of the enemy.[1] At length the sedition began to abate, and day closing apace, the men slunk off to their respective tents. The same night Flavianus left the camp, and, receiving letters from Vespasian on his way, was relieved from all apprehension.

11. The legions, as if infected by a contagion, fell with still more violence on Aponius Saturninus, the commander of the Mœsian forces, because their fury broke out in the middle of the day, and not, as before, when overpowered with toil and working. The disturbance arose from the publication of letters which Saturninus was believed to have written to Vitellius. As under the old republic there was an emulation in sobriety and valour, so now there was a contest for pre-eminence in frowardness and insolence, resolved as they were to demand the blood of Aponius as fiercely as they had clamoured for that of Flavianus. The fact was, the Mœsian legions making it a merit with the Pannonian army that in the late insurrection they had lent their assistance, and the Pannonians being under the notion that they would be absolved by the mutiny of others, they were glad to repeat their crime. They rushed to the gardens, where Saturninus was walking for recreation, and though Antonius, Messala, and Aponianus exerted their best endeavours, they were not so effectual in saving Saturninus as the obscurity of the retreat in which he was secreted, having concealed himself in

[1] This prayer of Antonius resembles the line in Virgil:—

"Dii meliora piis, erroremque hostibus illum."

Georg. iii. 513.

the furnace of a bath that happened to be out of use. Soon after, he dismissed his lictors, and went to Patavium. There being now no officer of consular rank left, the whole command devolved upon Antonius. The soldiers were willing to submit to his authority; the other officers declined all competition; nor were there wanting those who believed that Antonius, by secret practices, excited the two seditions, that he alone might reap the honour of the war without a rival.

12. During these transactions, the camp of Vitellius was not free from disturbance. The discord there did not originate in the suspicions of the soldiers, but the perfidy of the generals. Lucilius Bassus,[1] who commanded the fleet at Ravenna, had drawn over to the party of Vespasian the wavering inclinations of the marines, natives principally of Dalmatia and Pannonia, provinces which had declared for Vespasian. Night was chosen as the time for carrying the treason into effect, when, all the rest being in ignorance of the proceeding, the conspirators alone might meet in the headquarters. Bassus remained in his own house, either from shame or alarm, waiting the issue. The masters of the galleys attacked the images of Vitellius in the most tumultuous manner, and put to the sword the few who attempted to resist. The common herd, with their usual love of innovation, went over to Vespasian. Bassus then came forth, avowing himself the author of the treason. The fleet immediately chose another commander, Cornelius Fuscus, who eagerly joined them. Bassus, under guard, but honourably treated, was conveyed by some light barks to Atria,[2] where he was thrown into fetters by Mennius Rufinus, who commanded the garrison; but he was soon released at the desire of Hormus, one of Vespasian's freedmen, who was also looked upon as one of the general officers.

13. When the defection of the fleet was known, Cæcina, having dispersed the best part of his army, under pretexts of military duty, from a desire to have the camp more to themselves, called a meeting of the principal centurions, and a select party of soldiers, in the place assigned for the eagles.[3]

[1] For Lucilius Bassus, see Hist. ii. 100.

[2] Now Adria, near Rovigo.

[3] The part of the camp called Principia. So Statius:—

"—— Concilii penetrale, domumque verendam
Signorum."—Theb. x. 120.

He there magnified the valour of Vespasian, and the strength of his party. The fleet, he said, had revolted; Italy would be distressed for provisions; the Spains and Gauls were against them; at Rome the minds of men were wavering; and spoke of everything relating to Vitellius in terms of disparagement. The men whom Antonius had engaged in the plot setting the example, the rest, confounded at the suddenness of the affair, were induced to take the oath of fidelity to Vespasian. The images of Vitellius were torn from the ensigns, and despatches were sent off to Antonius. When this betrayal was known throughout the camp, the soldiers, rushing back to the head-quarters, saw the name of Vespasian written up, and the images of Vitellius thrown upon the ground. A deep and sullen silence followed. Soon with one voice the men exclaimed,—"Have the German armies come to this, that without a battle, without a wound, they must lay down their arms, and deliver themselves to the enemy in chains? And what is the character of the legions brought against them? Forsooth, defeated legions; nay, the peculiar strength of Otho's forces, the first and fourteenth, are not with the army, whom, nevertheless, they had routed and made havoc of. Is it come to this, that so many thousand gallant soldiers should now, like a drove of slaves, be delivered to the exile Antonius?[1] The fleet, we are told, has revolted; and shall eight legions be transferred as an appendage to their treachery? Bassus, it seems, will have it so; and such is the pleasure of Cæcina. They have despoiled the prince of his houses, his gardens, and his treasure, and they want now to rob him of his soldiers; who, with vigour unimpaired, are to yield without an engagement, objects of scorn even to Vespasian's party. But to soldiers who may hereafter desire an account of battles fought, and dangers encountered, what answer shall we make?"

14. Such were the remonstrances, not of individuals, but of the whole body, each man giving clamorous vent to his feelings; and the fifth legion taking the lead, they restored the images of Vitellius, and loaded Cæcina with fetters. Fabius Fabullus, commander of the fifth legion, and Cassius Longus, the præfect of the camp, were declared commanders

[1] Antonius had been convicted of extortion, and for that offence sent into banishment. Annals, xiv. 40.

in chief. The marines belonging to three light galleys fell into the hands of the enraged soldiery, and though ignorant of all that passed, and guiltless, were put to the sword. Having broken up their camp, and demolished the bridge, they marched back to Hostilia, and thence to Cremona, to join the first legion, called Italica, and the one-and-twentieth, known by the name of Rapax, which had been sent by Cæcina, with a party of horse, to occupy Cremona.

15. Apprised of these transactions, Antonius resolved to attack the enemy while he was still distracted and dispersed, and not to wait till the Vitellians returned to submission, the generals recovered their authority, and the united legions their confidence. He concluded that Valens had set out from Rome, and that Cæcina's treachery would make him push forward. The fidelity of Valens, and his military skill, were undoubted. Besides, a vast body of Germans was expected to force their way through Rhætia into Italy, and Vitellius had sent for succours into Britain, Gaul, and Spain; a countless armament, which would have spread destruction as a pestilence, had not Antonius, apprehending this very circumstance, hastened to bring on a battle, and snatched a victory beforehand. He moved with his whole army from Verona, and in two days arrived at Bedriacum. On the following morning he kept back the legions to work at the intrenchments, and under colour of foraging, to give the men a relish for civil plunder, sent the auxiliary cohorts into the lands near Cremona. To support them in this expedition, he himself, at the head of four thousand horse, advanced within eight miles of Bedriacum; while his scouts took a wider range to discover the motions of the enemy.

16. About the fifth hour of the day, a trooper at full speed brought intelligence that the enemy was approaching. Their advance parties were in sight, and the tramp and bustle of the whole army were distinctly heard. Antonius began to prepare for action. While he was deliberating, Arrius Varus, eager to distinguish himself, advanced at the head of a party of horse, and drove in the Vitellians with trifling slaughter; for a party of the enemy advancing to support the broken ranks, changed the fortune of the day, and they who had pursued with the greatest eagerness were now in the rear of the retreat. In this rash action Antonius had no share;

indeed he foresaw the consequence of it. Having exhorted his men to go to work fearlessly, he ordered the cavalry to draw off in two divisions towards the flanks, leaving a way for Varus and his horsemen. The legions were called out, and, in the country round, the signal was given to the foraging cohorts to abandon their booty, and repair, each the shortest way he could, to meet the battle. Varus, in the meantime, himself dismayed, and a source of alarm to others, together with his disordered band, formed one confused mass: overpowered by the enemy, the able and the wounded together were borne down through their own mere fears, and the difficulties of the ways.

17. In this state of alarm Antonius omitted nothing that was to be expected from the calm and collected general, or the most gallant soldier. He threw himself in the way of those who were overpowered by fear, held back those who were giving ground; wherever the battle was hottest, wherever a gleam of hope appeared, there was he, planning, doing, speaking, a signal object to the enemy, and conspicuous to his friends. At length he rose to such a height of enthusiasm, that he transfixed with his spear a standard-bearer in the act of flying, and instantly seizing the colours, advanced against the enemy. Not more than a hundred of the cavalry felt the disgrace, and stood their ground. The nature of the ground favoured Antonius; the causeway was narrowest in that part, and the bridge over the river[1] that flowed in the rear being broken down, the men could not pursue their flight, as the banks were steep, and the depth dangerous. Whether it were a fatality or an accident, the now fallen fortune of the party was thus restored. The soldiers, forming a dense and compact array, received the Vitellians, who rushed on without order, and in a short time were put to the rout. Antonius pressed on the rear of such as fled, and trampled upon all who resisted. The rest of Vespasian's army acted as the impulse of individuals prompted; despoiled, made prisoners, and seized both arms and horses. Those who erewhile had fled, and were straggling about the fields, summoned by the triumphant shouts of their comrades, came up and took part in the work of victory.

18. At the distance of four miles from Cremona appeared

[1] Now the Dermona.

the glittering banners of the two legions, Rapax and Italica.[1] The advantage gained by the Vitellian cavalry in the beginning of the day, was their motive for advancing so far; but, seeing a reverse of fortune, they neither opened their ranks, nor received their routed friends, nor dared to advance and fall upon an enemy exhausted with so long a chase and with fighting. It happened that, having sustained a defeat, they felt in their adversity the absence of a general whom they did not regret so much in their prosperity. The victorious cavalry charged the vacillating line, and Vipstanus Messala supported them with the Mœsian auxiliaries, whom, though hurried into the engagement, the soldiers considered to have rendered as much service as legionary troops. Thus the foot and cavalry united bore down the mass of legions, and the Vitellians, the more they hoped to find within the walls of Cremona a safe shelter, were the less inclined to maintain the conflict.

19. Antonius did not think it prudent to pursue his advantage, in consideration of the fatigues and wounds which men and horses had encountered in a battle so obstinate and fluctuating, though ultimately successful. As the shades of evening came on, the whole force of Vespasian's army joined him. Having marched over the heaps of slain, and the prints of feet still reeking with blood, they concluded that the war was over, and demanded to be led on to Cremona, either to receive the submission of the vanquished, or to storm the place. Such were their public professions,—plausible to the ear; but in their hearts the men had selfish and personal views. "Cremona," they said, "was situated in an open plain, and might be taken by assault. The darkness of the night would not abate their courage, and afforded greater latitude for rapine. If they waited for the return of day, terms of peace would be proposed, entreaties would be resorted to, and, in that case, for all their toil and wounds, the praise of humanity and glory, those profitless acquisitions, would be their only recompense, while the wealth of the citizens would go into the laps of the prefects and generals. When a town is carried by storm, the booty belongs to the soldiers; but if surrendered, it goes to the generals." They set at nought the

[1] The twenty-first legion, called Rapax, and the first, called Italic, fought on the side of Vitellius. See Hist. ii. 100.

tribunes and centurions, and with the clangour of their arms drowned the voice of reason, determined, if not led on to the attack, to shake off all authority.

20. Upon this, Antonius made his way through the ranks, and by his look and authority having obtained silence, protested that "he was not the man to deprive them of the glory or the recompense due to their valour; but the general, and the men under his command, had distinct provinces. Ardour for the conflict became the soldier; but generals more frequently succeeded by forecast, deliberation, and caution, than inconsiderate action. As he had manfully contributed his share to the victory by his sword and bodily exertion, so would he advance the cause by deliberation and counsel, the appropriate functions of a general. The question at present did not admit of a doubt. They had the night before them,—a town, the peculiarities of whose situation are unknown to us,—an enemy within its walls, with every facility for stratagem. Not if the gates were thrown open, without reconnoitring, without daylight, ought they to march in. Would they hazard an assault without the power of ascertaining a single particular,—where the ground was even, what the height of the walls, whether they ought to employ engines and darts, or works and mantelets?" Then, addressing himself to them severally, he asked them, "whether they had brought with them hatchets, pickaxes, and the various tools a siege requires?" And on their replying in the negative, he asked, "where were the hands that with swords and javelins could break through and undermine walls? If it should be necessary to throw up ramparts, and with sheds and penthouses to cover our approach, shall we stand baffled and impotent like the thoughtless vulgar, wondering at the lofty towers and fortifications of the enemy? Why not rather wait one night, and, advancing our engines and instruments of war, carry with us strength and victory?" At the close of this harangue he sent the sutlers and followers of the camp, with a party of the freshest of the cavalry, to Bedriacum, to bring a supply of provisions, and all necessaries for the use of the army.

21. The soldiers were still dissatisfied, and a mutiny was ready to break out, when a party of horse that advanced as far as the walls of Cremona learnt, from stragglers who had

fallen into their hands, that six Vitellian legions, and the whole army encamped at Hostilia, having heard of the defeat, had marched thirty miles that day, were prepared for battle, and would soon be upon them. In this alarm, the soldiers were willing to listen to their general. Antonius ordered the thirteenth legion to take post on the Posthumian causeway; contiguous to them, on the open plain, towards the left, stood the seventh, called the Galbian; and next to them the seventh, named the Claudian, defended by a country ditch, just as they found it. On the right he placed the eighth legion, along the roadside; and the third behind a thick copse, at a short distance. Such was the arrangement of the eagles and standards: the soldiers took their post as chance directed them, in the dark. The prætorian banner stood next the third legion; the auxiliary cohorts were in the wings; the cavalry covered the flanks and the rear. The two Suevian kings, Sido and Italicus, with the best troops of their nation, took post in the front of the lines.

22. The Vitellian army, on the other hand, whose plan should have been to halt that night at Cremona, and the next day, refreshed by food and sleep, to rout and drive before them an enemy exhausted with cold and hunger; yet, having no commander, nor settled plan of action, about the third hour of the night dashed forward upon the Flavian army, now drawn up in regular order of battle. Of the disposition of the Vitellians, disordered as they were from the effects of their own impetuosity and the night, I would not venture to speak positively: we are told, however, that the fourth legion, called Macedonica, was stationed in the right wing; the fifth and fifteenth, supported by the vexillaries of three British legions, the ninth, the second, and the twentieth, in the centre: the left wing was formed by the first, the sixteenth, and two-and-twentieth The soldiers of the two legions called Rapax and Italica were scattered throughout all the companies. The cavalry and auxiliaries chose their own station. The battle, which lasted through the night, was various, obstinate, and bloody; threatening annihilation now to one side and then again to the other: courage or strength gave no superiority; even sight itself was powerless to discern the approach of danger. The weapons on both sides were the same: the watch-word, frequently asked and repeated, was

known to both armies. The colours, according as they were taken by different parties, and borne to one side or the other, were mixed in wild confusion. The seventh legion, lately raised by Galba, suffered the most. Six of their principal centurions were killed on the spot, and some of their colours taken: the eagle itself was only preserved by Atilius Verus, the principal centurion, after a great carnage of the enemy, and at last with the sacrifice of his life.

23. Vespasian's army was giving way, when Antonius brought the prætorian cohorts to its support. Taking upon themselves the brunt of the action, they routed the enemy, and in their turn were forced to retreat: for the Vitellians had conveyed their engines to the high road, that their missiles might be discharged without obstruction or impediment, whereas at first they were scattered at random, and struck the shrubs without harming the enemy. The fifteenth legion had a balista[1] of enormous size, which, by discharging massy stones, was demolishing the opposing line, and would have dealt destruction far and wide, if two soldiers had not signalized themselves by a brave exploit. Covering themselves with the shields of the enemy, which they found among the slain, they advanced undiscovered, and cut off the ropes and weights. They both instantly fell, covered with wounds, and therefore their names are lost: of the fact there is no question. The battle was hitherto fought with doubtful success, when, night being far advanced, the rising moon discovered the contending armies, and deceived them. But she was more favourable to the Flavians, as they had their backs to the light. Hence the shadows of men and horses were elongated, and the weapons of the Vitellians, aimed at them as if they were substances, were thrown away, and fell short of their enemies; while the Vitellians, exposed to view by the light in front of them, formed, without knowing it, a distinct mark for their enemies, who discharged their javelins as it were from a hiding-place.

24. Antonius, when he could distinguish his troops, and be distinguished by them, did everything to rouse the courage of his men—upbraiding some, applauding others, he made ample promises, and gave hopes to all. He asked the Pannonian legions, what was their motive for taking up arms? "Here,"

[1] The Balista is described by Vegetius, iv. 22.

he said, "here is the spot where you may efface the memory of your former defeat: in this field you may redeem your honour." Then, turning to the Mœsians, he called upon them as the chief, the first movers of the war;—"in vain were the Vitellians challenged with menaces and boasts, if they shrunk from their swords and looks." This was his language as he came up to each. To the third legion he spoke more at large: he called to their minds their former and recent exploits: "how, under Mark Antony, they had defeated the Parthians;[1] and the Armenians, under Corbulo. In a late campaign, the Sarmatians fled before them." Then he addressed the prætorians in sharper terms: "If you do not conquer now, you band of peasants, what other general, or what camp will receive you? Your ensigns and your colours are in the hands of the enemy, and death is all that is left you, if you are vanquished; for you have drained infamy to the dregs." A general shout arose; and the third legion, according to the custom observed in Syria, paid their adoration to the rising sun.

25. This circumstance, either by chance, or by the contrivance of Antonius, gave rise to a report that Mucianus was arrived, and that the armies exchanged salutations. Vespasian's soldiers, as if strengthened by fresh reinforcements, bore down upon the enemy; the Vitellian ranks being now less compact, for, without a chief to conduct them, they extended or condensed their lines as fear or courage prompted. Antonius, seeing them give way, threw them into disorder by charging them in close array; their ranks were thus dissolved and broken through, and the carriages and engines made it impossible to restore the order of the battle. The victors, in their eagerness to pursue their advantage, spread themselves along the road-side. The slaughter on this occasion was rendered the more remarkable from the fact, that a father was killed by his own son. The fact and the names I will state, on the authority of Vipstanus Messala. Julius Mansuetus, a native of Spain, enlisting in the legion Rapax, left behind him a son, then of tender years. The youth, grown up to manhood, entered the seventh legion raised by Galba. It happened that he met his father in the battle, and with a

[1] Mark Antony gained a victory over the Parthians, B.C. 36. (Dio, lib. xlix.) For Corbulo, see Annals, xv. 26.

mortal wound stretched him on the ground; while rifling his expiring victim, he recognised and was recognised by his father, when he clasped him in his arms, and in piteous tones implored forgiveness of his father's manes, and prayed that they would not persecute him as a parricide. "The guilt of this deed was common to all; and how small a portion," he said, "was one soldier of those engaged in civil war." He then lifted up the body, opened a grave, and discharged the last melancholy duty to his father. He attracted the observation first of those nearest him, then more came up. Hence horror, grief, and execration of this inhuman kind of war, ran through the whole army. And yet, with no less avidity, they plundered their friends, relations, and brothers, whom they had slaughtered. Their tongues declare that a deed of horror has been done, and yet they do the same.

26. When they came to Cremona, they found a new and enormous difficulty. In the war with Otho, the German legions had formed a camp round the walls of the town, and fortified it with lines of circumvallation. New works were added afterwards. The victors stood astonished at the sight, and even the generals were at a stand, undecided what orders to give. With troops harassed by exertions through the night and day, to carry the place by storm was difficult, and, without succours at hand, might be dangerous; but if they marched to Bedriacum, the fatigue would be insupportable, and the victory would end in nothing. To throw up intrenchments was dangerous, in the face of an enemy, who might suddenly sally forth and put them to the rout, while employed on the work in detached parties. A difficulty still greater than all arose from the temper of the men, more patient of danger than delay: inasmuch as a state of security afforded no excitement, while hope grew out of enterprise, however perilous; and carnage, wounds, and blood, to whatever extent, were counterbalanced by the insatiable desire of plunder.

27. Antonius determined upon the latter course, and ordered the rampart to be invested. The attack began at a distance with a volley of stones and darts, with the greater loss to the Flavians, on whom the enemy's weapons were thrown with advantage from above. Antonius presently assigned portions of the rampart and the gates to the legions,

that by this mode of attack in different quarters, valour and cowardice might be distinguished, and a spirit of emulation in honour animate the army. The third and seventh legions took their station nearest the road to Bedriacum; the seventh and eighth Claudian, a portion more to the right hand of the rampart; the thirteenth were carried by their own impetuosity to the gate that looked towards Brixia.[1] Some delay then took place while they supplied themselves from the neighbouring villages with pickaxes, spades, and hooks, and scaling-ladders. They then formed a close military shell with their shields raised above their heads, and under that cover advanced to the ramparts. The Roman art of war was seen on both sides. The Vitellians rolled down massy stones, with which having disjoined and shaken the shell, they inserted their long poles and spears; till at last, the whole frame and texture of the shields being dissolved, they strewed the ground with numbers of the crushed and mangled assailants.

28. The assault now flagged, and must have failed, had not the generals, who saw that their exhortations were without effect upon the exhausted soldiery, pointed to Cremona as the reward of victory. Whether this expedient was, as Messala informs us, suggested by Hormus, or, on the authority of Caius Plinius,[2] must be laid to the account of Antonius, I have little means of determining. All I can say is, that neither of those officers can be said to have degenerated from his former principles by an act of such atrocity. Now, braving wounds and danger, and death itself, they began to sap the foundation of the walls; they battered the gates; standing on the shoulders of their comrades, and forming a second shell, they scaled the walls, and grasped the weapons and arms of the besieged. The unhurt, the wounded, the half dead, and the dying, were tumbled down; while every diversity of appearance was exhibited by the sufferers, and an image of death in all its varied horrors.

29. Severe in the extreme was the conflict maintained by the third and the seventh legions. Antonius in person led on a select body of auxiliaries to the same quarter. The

[1] The modern Brescia.

[2] The author of the Histories, as we learn from Pliny the younger, Ep. iii. 5.

Vitellians were no longer able to sustain the shock of men all bent on victory, and seeing their darts fall on the military shell,[1] and glide off without effect, at last they rolled down their battering-engine on the heads of the besiegers. For the moment, it dispersed and overwhelmed the party among which it fell; but it also drew after it, in its fall, the battlements and upper parts of the rampart. An adjoining tower, at the same time, yielded to the effect of the stones which struck it, and left a breach, at which the seventh legion, in the form of a wedge, endeavoured to force their way, while the third hewed down the gate with axes and swords. The first man that entered, according to all historians, was Caius Volusius, a common soldier of the third legion. He gained the summit of the rampart, and, bearing down all resistance, in the view of all beckoned with his hand, and cried aloud that the camp was captured. The rest of the legion followed him with resistless fury, the Vitellians being panic-struck, and throwing themselves headlong from the works. The whole space between the camp and the walls of Cremona was filled with slain.[2]

30. And now a new form of difficulty was presented by the high walls of the city, and towers of stone, the gates secured by iron bars, and troops brandishing their arms; the inhabitants, a large and numerous body, all devoted to Vitellius; and a conflux of people from all parts of Italy at the stated fair which was then held. The latter was regarded by the garrison as an aid, from the increase of numbers; but inflamed the ardour of the besiegers on the score of booty. Antonius ordered his men to take combustibles, and set fire to the most elegant edifices without the city; if, peradventure, the inhabitants, seeing their mansions destroyed, would be induced to abandon the adverse cause. In the houses that stood near the walls, of a height to overlook the works, he placed the bravest of his troops; and from those stations beams, tiles and fire-brands were thrown down to drive the defenders of the walls from their posts.

[1] The military shell was so condensed, that the darts of the enemy could make no impression. For the form of the Testudo, and other warlike engines employed in sieges, consult Lucan, iii. 474.

[2] Josephus says, that above thirty thousand of the Vitellians were put to the sword, and of Vespasian's army about four thousand five hundred. De Bello Jud. iv. 11.

31. The legions under Antonius now formed a military shell, while the rest poured in a volley of stones and darts; when the spirit of the besieged gradually gave way. The men highest in rank were willing to make terms for themselves, lest, if Cremona was taken by storm, they should receive no quarter, and the conquerors, disdaining vulgar lives, should fall on the tribunes and centurions, from whom the largest booty was to be expected. The common men, as usual, careless about future events, and safe in their obscurity, still held out. Roaming about the streets, or lurking in private houses, they did not sue for peace even when they had given up the contest. The principal officers took down the name and images of Vitellius. Cæcina, for he was still in confinement, they released from his fetters, and desired his aid in pleading their cause with the conqueror. He heard their petition with disdain, swelling with insolence, while they importune him with tears; the last stage of human misery, when so many brave and gallant men were obliged to sue to a traitor for protection! They then hung out from the walls the fillets and badges of supplicants.[1] When Antonius ordered a cessation of hostilities, the garrison brought out their eagles and standards; a mournful train of soldiers without their arms, their eyes riveted to the ground, followed them. The conquerors gathered round them, and first heaped reproaches upon them, and threatened violence to their persons; but afterwards, when they saw the passiveness with which they received the insults, and that the vanquished, abandoning all their former pride, submitted to every indignity, the thought occurred that these very men lately conquered at Bedriacum, and used their victory with moderation. But when Cæcina came forth, decorated with his robes, and preceded by his lictors, who opened a way for him through the crowd, the indignation of the victors burst into a flame. They reproached him for his pride, his cruelty, and even for his treachery: so detested is villany. Antonius opposed the fury of his men, and sent him under escort to Vespasian.

32. Meanwhile, the common people of Cremona, in the midst of so many soldiers, were subjected to grievous oppressions, and were in danger of being all put to the sword, if the

[1] The display of clothes and sacerdotal vestments in the act of suing for peace has been mentioned, Hist. i. 66.

rage of the soldiery had not been assuaged by the entreaties of their leaders. Antonius called them to an assembly, when he spoke of the conquerors in lofty terms, and of the vanquished with humanity; of Cremona he said nothing either way. But the army, adding to their love of plunder an inveterate aversion to the people, were bent on the extirpation of the inhabitants. In the war against Otho they were deemed the abettors of Vitellius; and afterwards, when the thirteenth legion was left among them to build an amphitheatre, with the usual insolence of the lower orders in towns, they had assailed them with offensive ribaldry. The spectacle of gladiators exhibited there by Cæcina inflamed the animosity against the people. Their city, too, was now for the second time the seat of war; and, in the heat of the last engagement, the Vitellians were thence supplied with refreshments; and some of their women, led into the field of battle by their zeal for the cause, were slain. The period, too, of the fair had given to a colony otherwise affluent an imposing appearance of accumulated wealth. Antonius, by his fame and brilliant success, eclipsed all the other commanders: the attention of all was fixed on him alone. He hastened to the baths to wash off the blood; and on observing that the water was not hot enough, he said that they would soon grow hotter. The expression was caught up: a casual word among slaves had the effect of throwing upon him the whole odium of having given a signal for setting fire to Cremona, which was already in flames.

33. Forty thousand armed men had poured into it. The number of drudges and camp-followers was still greater, and more abandoned to lust and cruelty. Neither age nor dignity served as a protection; deeds of lust were perpetrated amidst scenes of carnage, and murder was added to rape. Aged men and women that had passed their prime, and who were useless as booty, were made the objects of brutal sport. If a mature maiden, or any one of comely appearance, fell in their way, after being torn piecemeal by the rude hands of contending ruffians, they at last were the occasion of their turning their swords against each other. While eagerly carrying off money or massy gold from the temples, they were butchered by others stronger than themselves. Not content with the treasures that lay open to their view, some forced

the owners to discover their hidden wealth, and dig up their buried riches. Numbers carried flaming torches, and, as soon as they had brought forth their booty, in their wanton sport set the gutted houses and plundered temples on fire. In an army differing in language and manners, composed of Roman citizens, allies, and foreign auxiliaries, all the diversities of passions were exhibited. Each had his separate notions of right and wrong; nor was anything unlawful. Four days did Cremona minister to their rapacity. When everything else, sacred and profane, was levelled in the conflagration, the temple of Mephitis[1] alone remained standing, outside of the walls; saved either by its situation, or the influence of the deity.

34. Such was the fate of Cremona, two hundred and eighty-six years from its foundation. It was built during the consulship of Tiberius Sempronius and Publius Cornelius, at the time when Hannibal threatened an irruption into Italy, as a bulwark against the Gauls inhabiting beyond the Po, or any other power that might break in over the Alps. The colony, as might be expected, grew and flourished in the number of its settlers, from the contiguity of rivers,[2] the fertility of its soil, from alliances and intermarriages with the neighbouring people; never having suffered from foreign wars, but a sad sufferer from civil dissensions. Antonius, shrinking from the infamy of this horrible transaction, (for the detestation it excited was increasing,) issued an edict, forbidding all manner of persons to detain the citizens of Cremona as prisoners of war. At the same time the booty was rendered valueless by a resolution adopted throughout Italy, not to purchase the captives taken on that occasion. The soldiers then began to murder them. However, when this was known, the prisoners were eagerly ransomed by their friends and relations. The survivors in a short time returned to Cremona. The temples and public places were rebuilt, at the recommendation of Vespasian, by the munificence of the burgesses.

35. But the unwholesome state of the soil, from the decomposed bodies, soon obliged the army to quit its position near the remains of the entombed city: they encamped at

[1] Mephitis was the goddess worshipped in all places that sent forth noxious exhalations.

[2] The Po, the Addua, and the Oglio, with others of less importance.

the distance of three miles. The Vitellian soldiers, who in their panic had fled in all directions, were brought back, and severally enrolled in their proper companies; and, lest the vanquished legions should meditate hostile designs, the civil war being not yet extinguished, they were sent into different parts of Illyricum. To spread the fame of Vespasian's arms, messengers were despatched into Britain and both the Spains. Julius Calenus, one of the tribunes, was sent into Gaul, and Alpinus Montanus, the præfect of a cohort, into Germany. The former was by birth an Æduan, and the latter a native of Treves, both partisans of Vitellius, and for that reason chosen, as palpable evidences of his defeat. Care was also taken to secure by a chain of posts the passes over the Alps, as Germany was supposed to be arming in aid of Vitellius.

36. Vitellius, in a few days after Cæcina set out from Rome, having prevailed on Fabius Valens to proceed with the war, buried all sense and appearance of alarm in excess and revelry. He made no preparation for the field, neglected to cheer and invigorate the soldiers by addressing them and by military exercise, nor kept himself before the eyes of the public; but, hid in the recess of his gardens, dismissed from his thoughts equally the past, the present, and the future; like those cold-blooded animals which, while they are supplied with food, lie torpid and insensible. While thus sunk in sloth, and wasting his energies in the grove of Aricinum, the treachery of Lucilius Bassus, and the revolt of the fleet at Ravenna, smote upon his ear. In a short time after arrived other despatches, by which he learned, with mixed emotions of grief and joy, the perfidy of Cæcina, and his imprisonment by the soldiers. In a mind incapable of reflection, the joy absorbed all ideas of danger. He returned to Rome in the highest exultation; and having extolled, before an assembly of the people, the zeal and ardour of the army, he ordered Publius Sabinus, the præfect of the prætorian guards and the intimate friend of Cæcina, to be taken into custody. Alphenus Varus succeeded to the command.

37. Vitellius next addressed the senate in a speech of studied pomp; and the fathers extolled him in a strain of refined adulation. Lucius Vitellius took the initiative in pronouncing a vehement censure upon Cæcina. After him the rest of the senate, with well-acted indignation that a consul

should have abandoned the commonwealth, a general betrayed his prince, and a friend, loaded with honours and emoluments, should have turned upon his benefactor, affecting to lament the lot of Vitellius, in fact gave utterance to the anguish they felt on their own accounts. Not a word was said by any one against the leaders of Vespasian's party: the conduct of the armies was blamed as a mistake and indiscretion; while the name of Vespasian was evaded with cautious and studied circumlocution. To complete the consulship of Cæcina, one day remained, and a man was found who, with abject servility, sought and obtained the office, while the public looked with infinite contempt and derision both upon the giver and receiver. On the day before the calends of November, Rosius Regulus entered upon the office and resigned it. It was observed by men versed in the history of their country, that no instance had ever occurred of a new consul created before the office was declared vacant in due course of law. Caninius Rebilus, it is true, had been consul for one day when Julius Cæsar was dictator, and when everything was done to expedite the reward of services rendered in the civil war.[1]

38. The death of Junius Blæsus became at this time publicly known, and engrossed the conversation of all ranks of men. It happened that Vitellius, confined by serious illness in the gardens of Servilius, saw, in the night-time, a tower in the neighbourhood gaily illuminated. He inquired the reason, and was told that Cæcina Tuscus gave an entertainment to a party of his friends, amongst whom Junius Blæsus was the most distinguished. The sumptuous preparations, and the mirth of the company, were described with every circumstance of exaggeration. The creatures of the court did not

[1] The consulship in the time of the republic was an annual office; but Julius Cæsar shortened the duration of the appointment, and advanced several to the dignity within the year. He was himself sole consul, B. C. 45: he resigned in favour of Fabius Maximus and Caius Trebonius Nepos. The former dying on the very last day of the year, he appointed Caninius Rebilus to fill the remaining space. Cicero laughs at the short-lived dignity. In that consulship, he says, no man had time to dine, and no kind of mischief happened. The consul was a man of so much vigilance, that he did not allow himself a wink of sleep: "Caninio consule, scito neminem prandisse; nihil tamen, eo consule, mali factum est. Fuit enim mirifica vigilantia, qui suo consulatu somnum non viderit."—Cicero ad Familiares, vii. 30.

fail to impute it as a crime to Tuscus and his guests, but to Blæsus with peculiar virulence, that they chose their time for revelling when the prince was indisposed. When the men who make it their business to pry into the humours of princes perceived that Vitellius was offended, and that the ruin of Blæsus might be easily accomplished, the task of managing the information was assigned to Lucius Vitellius. Being himself stained with every vice, in the spirit of unprincipled rivalry he hated Blæsus because of the superiority which his unblemished character gave him; and, clasping the emperor's son in his arms, he entered the prince's chamber, and fell down at his knees. Vitellius asked him the cause of his perturbation. "It is not from any fears for myself," he replied, "nor from anxiety on my own account, but in behalf of a brother, and the children of a brother, that I come with prayers and tears. From Vespasian we have nothing to fear: the numerous German legions and provinces, by their valour and fidelity, and vast tracts of sea and land, prevent his approach. The enemy to be dreaded is in the city of Rome—in your bosom. Proud of his descent from Mark Antony and the Junian family, he affects to be connected with the imperial line, and, by caresses and a style of magnificence, endeavours to conciliate to himself the affections of the soldiers. Upon this man all eyes are fixed. Vitellius, in the meantime, neglecting at once his enemies and his friends, cherishes in his bosom a treacherous rival, who from the banqueting-table beheld with joy the sufferings of his sovereign. But for his ill-timed mirth he must be repaid with a night of mourning and sorrow; that he may know that Vitellius lives and reigns, and, if anything should happen to him, that he has a son."

39. Vitellius balanced for some time, with nervous agitation, between the horrible deed and his apprehensions for himself. By deferring the death of Blæsus he might accelerate his own ruin, and to give public orders for it would bring upon him a storm of indignation. He resolved, therefore, to despatch him by poison.[1] He added to the evidence that he was the author of that execrable villany, by the satisfaction he so conspicuously displayed in going to see Blæsus; nay, Vitellius was heard to utter an expression of

[1] Compare Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 14.

the most ferocious character, in which, for I will relate the very words, he gloried in having feasted his eyes with the sight of an expiring enemy. Blæsus, to dignity of birth, and elegance of manner, united unshaken fidelity; and even before a blow had been struck, when Cæcina and other chiefs of the party, beginning to despise Vitellius, endeavoured to seduce him, he was proof against all temptation; incorruptible, unambitious, seeking no sudden elevation whatever, much less aiming at the sovereignty, he hardly escaped being deemed worthy of the succession.

40. Meanwhile Fabius Valens, proceeding with a numerous and effeminate train of concubines and eunuchs, with little of the spirit of a general going to a war, received intelligence of the treachery of Lucilius Bassus, and the defection of the fleet at Ravenna. Had he then pushed on with vigour, he might have joined Cæcina, while still undecided; or have put himself at the head of the legions before they came to a decisive action; and there were some who advised him that, with a few faithful attendants, avoiding the road to Ravenna, he should, through private ways, go direct to Hostilia, or Cremona. Others pressed him to bring into the field the prætorian bands from Rome, and force his way to the Vitellian army. But the time was lost in fruitless deliberation. The posture of affairs called for vigour, but Valens remained irresolute and inactive. In the end, rejecting both plans, he chose a middle course,—in pressing emergencies always the most pernicious; neither acting with the degree of courage or caution which the occasion required.

41. He sent despatches to Vitellius for aid, and was soon after joined by three cohorts and a squadron of horse from Britain; a number too great to steal a march, and too weak to open a passage through an enemy's country. Not even in this perilous juncture was the character of Valens unstained with the infamy of rushing perforce into forbidden pleasures and polluting the houses of his hosts with adulteries and rapes. He was backed by power, had money at command, and was impelled by that recklessness of irregular appetite which marks the last stage of falling fortune. He was no sooner joined by the foot and cavalry sent by Vitellius, than he saw, too late, the folly of his measures; for with so small a force, supposing the men devoted to Vitellius, he

could not hope to penetrate through the adverse army; nor had they brought with them a fidelity unimpeached. Shame, however, and respect for the general under whose eye they were, deterred them for awhile; but those restraints could not long act upon men fired with the love of daring enterprise, and reckless of character. Valens, alarmed at this state of things, ordered the cohorts to advance to Ariminum, and the allied cavalry to bring up the rear; himself, with a few adherents whom adversity had not seduced, directing his course to Umbria, and thence to Etruria; where, hearing for the first time of the defeat at Cremona, he conceived a design of a bold character, and which, had it been carried out, must have produced the most serious results; it was to seize the ships on the coast, and bear away to some part or other of Narbon Gaul,—rouse the provinces of Gaul, the armies stationed there, and the various German nations, and thus kindle a new war.

42. The departure of Valens throwing the garrison of Ariminum into consternation, Cornelius Fuscus advanced his army to the place, and stationing his light galleys at the nearest point of the shores, invested it by sea and land. His forces spread themselves over the plains of Umbria, and the territory of Picenum, where it is washed by the Adriatic; and all Italy was now divided between Vespasian and Vitellius by the Apennine mountains. Valens embarked at the port of Pisa,[1] but being becalmed, or meeting with contrary winds, was compelled to put in at the port of Hercules Monœcus.[2] Marius Maturus, the governor of the maritime Alps, was then in the neighbourhood; a man attached to Vitellius, and who, though the country round espoused the opposite interest, had not yet renounced his oath of allegiance to him. He received Valens courteously, and by his advice deterred him from rashly making an attempt on the coast of Narbon Gaul; he also considered that the fidelity of his followers was weakened by their fears; for Valerius Paulinus, the procurator, an active and experienced officer, and before his elevation devoted to Vespasian, had brought the surrounding states to swear allegiance to him.

43. Paulinus having gathered round him all those who

[1] Sinus Pisanus, now the Gulf of Pisa.
[2] Portus Herculis Monœci, now called Monaco.

having been disbanded by Vitellius zealously entered upon the war, secured with a garrison the colony of Forojulium, which commanded the sea, having the greater weight and influence as he was a native of the colony, and honoured by the prætorian bands, of which he had formerly been a tribune. The inhabitants themselves, too, from a natural partiality for their townsman, and the hope of future advancement, enrolled themselves in favour of the cause. When these proceedings, now placed upon a secure footing, and magnified by the voice of fame, were currently reported among the Vitellians, whose minds were already unsettled, Fabius Valens returned to his ships, taking with him four select prætorians, three friends, and as many centurions, leaving Maturus and the rest free to stay where they were, and join the party of Vespasian. But though the open sea was safer than the shore or the adjacent cities, yet perplexed as to his future course, and rather seeing what was to be avoided than where he could repose confidence, he was thrown by adverse winds on the islands called the Stæchades, near Marseilles, where some light-armed galleys, sent by Paulinus, surprised and took him.

44. Valens being captured, the whole force of the empire was transferred to increase the resources of the victor. In Spain, the first legion, called Adjutrix, which, from respect for the memory of Otho, was incensed against Vitellius, led the way, and was followed by the tenth and sixth legions. The Gauls hesitated not. The well-known partiality to Vespasian, who had commanded the second legion by the appointment of Claudius, and had acquired fame in the war in that quarter, had the effect of attaching Britain to his interest, though not without an effort on the part of some of the legions, in which a considerable number of centurions and soldiers, who had been promoted by Vitellius, felt reluctant to desert a prince to whom they were bound by ties of gratitude.

45. In consequence of this dissension, and the frequent rumours of civil war, the Britons conceived ideas of independence at the instance of Venutius, who, in addition to his own natural ferocity, and an antipathy to the Roman name, was stimulated by the motives of personal hostility to Cartismandua, queen of the Brigantes, who possessed great influence from her high descent, and which grew still greater when, after

Caractacus[1] had been treacherously seized, she was thought to have embellished the triumph of Claudius Cæsar. This led to wealth and the dissipation that waits upon prosperity. Shunning Venutius her husband, she made Vellocatus, his armour-bearer, the partner of her throne and bed. By that flagitious act the power of her house was shaken to its foundation. The discarded husband had the people on his side, while the adulterer was supported by the unchaste passion and the ferocious disposition of the queen. Venutius, therefore, having drawn together a body of auxiliaries, and being aided by the defection of the Brigantians themselves, reduced Cartismandua to the last extremity. She then invoked the protection of the Romans, who sent some cohorts and squadrons of horse to her relief. Several battles ensued, with various success. The queen, however, was rescued from impending danger. The kingdom was restored to Venutius, and the Romans found themselves involved in a war.

46. About the same time, Germany was up in arms, from the seditious spirit of the legions, and the sluggish inactivity of the commanders. By the treachery of the states in alliance, and the strength of the enemy, the interests of the empire were brought to the brink of ruin. Of this war, with its causes and issues, I shall hereafter give an account,[2] for it ran out to a considerable length. Commotions about the same time broke out amongst the Dacians, a people never to be relied on; and, since the legions were withdrawn from Mœsia, there was no force to awe them. They, however, watched in silence the first movements of affairs. But when they heard that Italy was in a blaze of war, and that all the inhabitants were in arms against each other, they stormed the winter-quarters of the cohorts and the cavalry, and made themselves masters of both banks of the Danube. They then prepared to raze the camp of the legions, when Mucianus sent the sixth legion to check them, having heard of the victory at Cremona, and lest a formidable foreign force should invade Italy on both sides, the Dacians and the Germans making eruptions in opposite quarters. On this, as on many other occasions, fortune favoured the Romans in bringing Mucianus and the

[1] For Caractacus, and Cartismandua, queen of the Brigantes, see Annals, xii. 32 to 36.

[2] The war with Civilis, the Batavian: for which see Hist. iv. 12.

forces of the East into that quarter, and also in that we had settled matters at Cremona in the very nick of time. Fonteius Agrippa,[1] from Asia, where he had governed for a year with proconsular authority, was now appointed to command in Mœsia, with the addition of some Vitellian soldiers, whom it was politic and desirable, with a view to peace, to disperse through the provinces, and occupy with foreign wars.

47. The rest of the provinces were by no means free from commotion. A barbarian slave, who had formerly commanded the royal fleet, had suddenly kindled the flame of war in Pontus. His name was Anicetus, a freed-man of Polemon,[2] high in power at one time, but now that the kingdom was turned into a Roman province, impatient of the change. Having, therefore, in the name of Vitellius prevailed upon the nations bordering on Pontus to join him, and by the hope of plunder attracted to his standard all who were plunged in poverty, he found himself at the head of a force not to be despised, with which he made a sudden assault upon Trapezus,[3] a city celebrated from of old, and founded by Grecians at the extremity of the Pontic coast. A cohort, formerly a royal garrison, was put to the sword there. They had subsequently received the privilege of Roman citizens, and, from that time, used the arms and banners of Rome, still retaining the indolent and dissolute habits of the Greeks. He also set fire to the fleet, as he had it all his own way by sea, the best of the light galleys, and all his troops, by order of Mucianus, being stationed at Byzantium. Nay, even the barbarians scoured the sea with perfect composure, in vessels constructed in an offhand manner, which they call camaræ, the sides of which are brought near together, with broad bottoms, and joined together without fastenings of brass or iron.[4] In a tempestuous sea, they raise the sides with addi-

[1] According to Josephus, Fonteius Agrippa was afterwards murdered by the Sarmatians. Bell. Jud. vii. 4.

[2] Polemon was made king of Pontus by Caligula, and, after his death, the kingdom was changed by Nero into a Roman province. Suetonius, Life of Nero, s. 18.

[3] Now Trebizonde.

[4] The use of these was very ancient, and is mentioned by Strabo (xi. p. 496), who also calls them *καμάρας*. They were so light, that the barbarians could carry them on their shoulders, and traverse woods and forests without being fatigued with their load. The Indians of

tional planks in proportion to the swell of the waves, till the vessel is covered over like a roof; thus they roll about amidst the billows, since having a prow at either extremity alike, and the steerage convertible, it makes no difference to them, and is unattended with danger to row in one direction or the other.

48. Vespasian thought the affair of sufficient moment to send a detachment of the legions under the command of Virdius Geminus, an officer of undoubted experience. He came up with the barbarians as they were roaming in disorder on the shore from their eager pursuit of prey, and forced them to fly to their boats. Having rapidly constructed a number of galleys, he overtook Anicetus in the mouth of the river Cohibus,[1] now shielded by the aid of the king of the Sedochezans, having, by money and presents, purchased the friendship of that prince. The king at first protected him by threats and arms; but finding that he must choose between the price of treason or war, with the usual treachery of barbarians, having struck a bargain for the blood of Anicetus, he surrendered his suppliants, and thus ended the Servile War. Rejoiced at this success, and while everything was prospering beyond his hopes, an account of the victory at Cremona reached him in Egypt. He proceeded with the greater speed to Alexandria, that, as Vitellius could no longer keep the field, he might distress the capital, dependent as it was on foreign supplies, by famine. With this view he also purposed by land and sea to invade Africa, which lay on the same side, in order to cause famine and dissensions by stopping the supplies of provisions.

49. While the imperial dignity was passing into other hands by this revolution in every part of the world, Antonius conducted himself after the affair of Cremona with anything but his wonted honesty; whether it was that he considered that he had done the business of the war, and that what remained required no effort, or that prosperity called forth the pride, avarice, and other latent vices of his nature. He

America, and the Greenlanders, have boats bound together with twigs and osiers, without the use of brass or iron.

[1] The river Cohibus, Brotier says, ought to be called Cobum, being the same mentioned by the elder Pliny, lib. vi. 4: "Flumen Cobum e Caucaso per Suanos fluens." It discharges itself into the Euxine.

ramped over Italy as a conquered country; caressed the soldiers, as if they were his own; by all his words and actions sought to build up his own power; and, to tincture the soldiers with a spirit of insubordination, gave to the legions the disposal of slain centurions' commissions. The consequence was, that the most turbulent were elected; the soldiers were no longer under the control of the generals, but the generals were carried away by the violence of the soldiery. This spirit, destructive of all subordination and discipline, he soon made instrumental to purposes of plunder, not entertaining the least awe of Mucianus, who was approaching; a conduct more disastrous in its consequences, than to have slighted Vespasian.

50. To proceed: the winter coming on, and the country being inundated by the Po, the army was obliged to march lightly equipped. The eagles and banners of the victorious legions, with the old, the wounded, and numbers even in full vigour, were left at Verona. The cohorts and cavalry, with a select detachment from the legions, were thought sufficient for a war already extinguished. The eleventh legion, at first hesitating, but since the turn of affairs regretting that they had no share in the victory, had joined them, accompanied by six thousand Dalmatians, newly levied; the whole led by Poppæus Silvanus, a man of consular rank, but virtually commanded by Annius Bassus, general of the legion. Silvanus, a supine and spiritless character, who wasted in talking the time that called for enterprise, was ruled by Bassus, under the semblance of submission, and wherever there was anything to be done, Bassus aided with unostentatious energy. To this body of forces was added the flower of the marines from the fleet at Ravenna, who desired to act as legionary soldiers. The Dalmatians supplied their place in the fleet. The army and generals halted at the temple of Fortune,[1] being undetermined about their plan of operations; as they had heard that the prætorian cohorts were on their march from Rome, and the passes over the Apennine were supposed to be occupied. They were also themselves alarmed at the scarcity of provisions, in a country laid waste by war; and at the fierce clamours of the soldiers demanding the donative

[1] Fanum Fortunæ, now Fano, a port town of Urbino, on the Adriatic.

for nails.[1] They had made no provision for money or food; while the imprudence and greediness of the soldiers, who seized and devoured what might have served if dealt out to them, precluded all management.

51. I find from historians of the highest note, that the victorious army exhibited such an indifference to the distinction between what is permitted and what is forbidden, that a common horse-soldier made a merit of having killed his brother in the late battle, and solicited a reward from the generals. And while the law of nature forbade them to give honorary rewards to that act of blood, the policy of the war they were engaged in prevented their punishing it. Under a pretence that he had earned ampler rewards than they could bestow on the moment, they adjourned the business, and history has not recorded anything more. In former civil wars, however, a similar horror had occurred. In the battle with Cinna at the Janiculum,[2] a man of Pompey's party (as Sisenna relates) slew his brother, and forthwith, on discovering the dire fact, dispatched himself: so true it is, that in ancient times men not only were more prompt in honouring virtue, but also felt a keener remorse for crimes than now. But these and other transactions, fetched from the records of past ages, we shall call to mind whenever opportunities, circumstances, and situations require examples of virtue, or solace under instances of turpitude.

52. Antonius and the principal officers judged it prudent to send forward the cavalry, and explore every part of Umbria, to find, if possible, a place of moderate acclivity over the Apennine. In the meantime, the troops left behind at Verona were ordered to advance with the eagles and standards. Measures were also taken to have a plentiful supply of provision-ships on the sea and on the Po. Some of the chiefs sought occasions for delay from time to time: for Antonius had now become insupportable; and they had more

[1] *Clavarium* was a donative granted to the soldiers, to enable them to purchase nails for their shoes. In like manner, the donative for shoes was called *calcearium*. Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 8.

[2] A hill at Rome, but not one of the seven; now called Monte Gianicolo, and more commonly Montorio. The story of a soldier killing his brother in battle, and on the discovery dispatching himself, is told by Valerius Maximus, v. 5. 4, but attributed to a soldier under Sertorius. See Livy's Epitome, lib. lxxix.

reason to hope from Mucianus, who saw with a jealous eye the rapid success of Antonius, and concluded that if he did not arrive in time to enter Rome with the victorious army, he would have no share in the operations or glory of the war. He therefore wrote to Varus and Antonius in dark, ambiguous terms; sometimes descanting on the necessity of despatch, and then on the advantages of caution; and with such studied art, that according to events he might assume the merit of success, and throw the blame of failure on others. To his intimate friends, and in particular Plotius Griphus,[1] lately raised by Vespasian to the rank of senator, and the command of a legion, he gave less equivocal instructions. The answers which he received from all these were accommodated to his wishes, and reflected on the rashness of Varus and Antonius. These letters Mucianus forwarded to Vespasian, and in consequence the measures and achievements of Antonius were not estimated as he had hoped.

53. Antonius was indignant, and imputed to Mucianus the guilt of causing his heroic acts to be lightly deemed of by his calumnies; nor did he refrain from speaking his mind, for he had no control over his tongue, and had no idea of submission. He wrote a letter to Vespasian, in a style more arrogant than became one addressing a prince, and not without disparaging insinuations against Mucianus. "It was by Antonius that the legions in Pannonia were excited to a revolt; by him the leaders in Mœsia were inspirited; by his firmness the Alps were forced, Italy seized, and the succours from Germany and Rhætia cut off. That his having discomfited the legions of Vitellius, when separated and disunited among themselves, by a storm of horse, and then pressed them with the foot-force for a night and day, was an exploit of the most brilliant kind, and accomplished by him. The calamity of Cremona was attributable to the nature of the war: that former civil dissensions had stood the state in greater losses—the razing of more cities. That he served his emperor in war, not by messages and epistles, but by his arm and his sword. Nor did he mean to detract from the merit of those who in the meantime managed matters in Asia: they had the task of maintaining tranquillity in Mœsia, he of preserving and

[1] Plotius Griphus was one of the friends of Statius the poet, as appears from a poem in the Sylvæ (lib. iv.), inscribed to him.

protecting Italy. Spain and Gaul, the most potent force in the world, were by his influence drawn over to Vespasian. But his efforts had been vain, if those only who partook not in the danger obtained its rewards." These proceedings did not escape Mucianus: and thence a deadly feud between them; on the part of Antonius, carried on with openness; on that of Mucianus, covertly, and, for that reason, the more implacably.

54. Vitellius, after the overthrow of his army at Cremona, suppressing the news, by that shallow attempt to conceal the fact delayed the remedy rather than postponed the disease. For unquestionably, had he admitted the mischief and taken counsel upon it, he had resources and means in abundance; when, on the contrary, he pretended that all was prosperous, his case grew worse from the disguise. A marvellous silence about the war was observed in his presence; the citizens of Rome were forbid to talk about it, and for that reason the more did so; and those who, had there been no restraint, would have stated only the truth, circulated exaggerated accounts because they were commanded to keep silence. Nor did the chiefs of the adverse party omit anything that could extend the fame of their victory. The spies that fell into their hands were led round the camp, and, after seeing the strength of the conquerors, sent back. Vitellius examined them all in private, and then ordered them to be put to death. A singular proof of magnanimity was given by a centurion, named Julius Agrestis, who having in several interviews tried in vain to rouse Vitellius to exertion, obtained leave to go in person to view the strength of the enemy, and see the real condition of Cremona. Nor did he try to escape the notice of Antonius by secret observation, but avowed the emperor's orders and his own resolution, and requested to see everything. Persons were sent to show him the field of battle, the ruins of Cremona, and the legions that had laid down their arms. He returned to Vitellius. The emperor, denying the truth of his intelligence, and actually charging him with treachery, he said, "Since some great and decisive proof is necessary, and since neither my life nor death can now be of any use, I will give you convincing evidence." And having thus spoken, retired and sealed his assertions by voluntary death. Some historians say he was slain by order of Vitellius, agreeing in the account of his fidelity and magnanimity.

55. Vitellius, as though roused from sleep, ordered Julius Priscus and Alphenus Varus, with fourteen prætorian cohorts, and all the squadrons of cavalry, to guard the passes of the Apennines. A legion of marines followed. So many thousand chosen horse and foot, under any other general, would have been sufficient even for offensive operations. The cohorts that remained were put under the command of Lucius Vitellius, the emperor's brother, for the defence of the city. The emperor abated nothing of his habitual luxury, and with the precipitation of one who felt himself falling, hurried on the elections, at which he appointed a succession of consuls for several years; he concluded treaties with the allies; invested foreigners with the Latin privileges: he granted to some exemption from all tribute, others he assisted with immunities; in short, utterly regardless of posterity, he tore the empire to tatters. But the populace were swayed by the extent of his bounties. Simpletons gave their money for favours, while men of reflection looked upon those grants as nugatory, which could neither be made nor accepted without ruining the state. At length Vitellius, urged by the importunity of the army, which lay encamped at Mevania,[1] marched out of the city, attended by a numerous train of senators; some to pay their court, many through fear, having no settled plan, and entirely dependent upon advice of dubious sincerity.

56. While haranguing the army, a circumstance occurred which may well be called portentous. So numerous a flight of ill-omened birds hovered over his head, that forming a dense cloud they obscured the day. This was followed by another prognostic of an alarming nature. A bull broke loose from the altar, and trampling under foot the preparations for sacrifice, fled to a distant place, and there, on a spot where victims were never slain, was felled. But Vitellius himself was the great portent after all: without a particle of experience or capacity to direct; obliged to ask others how to put the troops in array; what provision was to be made for reconnoitring; how to regulate proceedings with the view of urging on or protracting the war; and even changing countenance, and in his step betraying the alarm he felt at every breath of intelligence; and then stupifying himself with drink. Weary

[1] The modern Bevagna, in the duchy of Spoleto.

at length of the camp, and hearing of the revolt of the fleet at Misenum, he went back to Rome, where each adverse event as it occurred terrified him most because it was the latest; but not a thought did he bestow upon the issue of the general contest. For when it was open to him to pass over the Apennine with his whole force unimpaired, and attack an enemy distressed by cold and scarcity, by dividing his troops he exposed to be cut to pieces and captured by the enemy a gallant soldiery, and devoted to him to the death, though the most experienced of the centurions condemned his measures, and if consulted would have given him sound advice. The creatures immediately about the person of Vitellius prevented their access to him; the ears of the prince being brought to such a state that wholesome counsels grated harshly upon them, and he would listen only to what was gratifying at the moment, though pernicious in its consequences.

57. The revolt of the fleet at Misenum was occasioned by the fraud of Claudius Faventinus; so much in civil commotions depends on the boldness of one man. He had been a centurion under Galba, who cashiered him with ignominy. He forged letters from Vespasian, promising ample rewards to such as went over. Claudius Apollinaris commanded the fleet; a man inconstant in his attachments and irresolute in perfidy. It happened that Apinius Tiro, who had discharged the office of prætor, was then at Minturnæ.[1] He offered to head the revolters. They drew the neighbouring colonies and municipal towns into the confederacy. The inhabitants of Puteoli[2] declared with alacrity for Vespasian, while Capua adhered to Vitellius: and thus with the rage of civil war the jealousies of rival municipalities were blended. Vitellius fixed on Claudius Julianus, who as præfect of the fleet at Misenum lately had exercised his authority mildly, to endeavour to reclaim the soldiers by soothing means. He was supported by a city-cohort, and a band of gladiators whom he had commanded. When the two camps were pitched in view of each other, Julianus, without much hesitation, went over to Vespasian, and they took possession of Tarracina, a

[1] Minturnæ, formerly at the mouth of the Liris (now the Garigliano), no longer exists.

[2] Now Pozzuolo. For its attachment to Vespasian, this colony was re-established or enlarged, and called "Colonia Flavia."

place better protected by its walls and situation than the character of its inhabitants.

58. Vitellius, informed of these transactions, left part of his army at Narnia,[1] with the prætorian præfects, and sent his brother Lucius Vitellius with six cohorts and five hundred horse to check the force which was coming upon him by way of Campania. He himself, heart-sick and desponding, was revived by the ardour of the soldiers and the clamours of the populace demanding to be armed; while, deceived by the hollow semblance, he gave the name of army, and legions, to a spiritless rabble, bold only in tongue. At the instance of his freedmen,—for as to his friends, the higher they ranked the less confidence he reposed in them,—he ordered the people to be assembled in their tribes, and as they gave their names, he administered the oath of fidelity; but the crowd pressing too thick upon him, he divided the task of completing the levy between the consuls. The senators were required to bring in a certain weight of silver, and a certain number of slaves. The Roman knights made a voluntary offer to serve with their persons and fortunes; and even the descendants of freedmen, without solicitation, pressed to do the same. This affectation of zeal, which had its origin in fear, issued in a favourable feeling; and very many were touched with compassion, not so much for Vitellius as for the unfortunate state and degradation of the sovereignty. Vitellius, on his part, omitted not to invite commiseration by a dejected air, a pathetic tone of voice, and by tears; making ample promises, nay, as is usual with men in distress, generous beyond all bounds. He even now was willing to accept the title of Cæsar; having repudiated it theretofore; but then, from the superstitious veneration in which it was held, and because in cases of extreme danger the voice of the rabble is equal to the wisest counsels, he acquiesced. However, as all undertakings originating in blind impulse, though vigorous at first, languish under the effect of time, the senators and knights fell off by degrees; at first slowly, and in the absence of the prince, but soon boldly and indifferently; till at last Vitellius, ashamed of his defeated efforts, granted a remission of those services which were withheld.

59. As by taking possession of Mevania Italy was stricken

[1] Still called Narni, in the duchy of Spoleto.

with terror, and the war seemed to be revived, so Vitellius, by his dastardly departure, gave a manifest impulse to the feeling in favour of the Flavian party. The Samnites, the Pelignians, and the Marsians,[1] stung with envy at the alacrity with which Campania had taken the lead in the revolt, were roused into action; and applied themselves to all the duties of the war with the energy usually exhibited in the service of a new master; but the army, in passing over the Apennine, suffered extremely from the rigour of the winter; and the difficulty with which, though unmolested by the enemy, they laboured through the snow, plainly showed the dangers they must have encountered if fortune, to which the Flavian generals were often indebted no less than to the wisdom of their counsels, had not drawn Vitellius from his post. During the march they met with Petilius Cerealis, who in the habit of a peasant, and from his acquaintance with the country, had eluded the guards of Vitellius. As he was closely allied to Vespasian, and himself an officer of no mean repute, he was ranked with the commanders in chief. Many writers have stated that not only he, but Flavius Sabinus, and Domitian, had it in their power to escape out of Rome; and messengers sent by Antonius, who had made their way through the enemy's lines by all sorts of disguises, pointed out to them a refuge, and promised them safe conduct; but Sabinus pleaded his ill state of health as unfitting him for the fatigue and danger of the attempt. Domitian was not deficient in inclination: but the guards appointed by Vitellius to watch his motions, though they offered to join his flight, he suspected of a design to draw him into a snare. In reality, Vitellius, from regard for his own connexions, meditated no severity towards Domitian.

60. Arrived at Carsulæ,[2] the generals thought fit to halt there for some days, as well to rest the troops, as to wait the arrival of the eagles and standards of the legions. It also appeared an eligible spot for their camp, commanding, as it did, a view of the country on every side, with the opportunity of bringing in provisions in security; having several municipalities of the greatest affluence in their rear. Being

[1] The Samnites and Pelignians inhabited part of Hither Abruzzo; the Marsians, part of Further Abruzzo, near the lake of Celano.

[2] The ruins of this place still remain near Santo Gemini, in Umbria.

ten miles distant from the Vitellian forces, they hoped, by intrigue and secret negotiations, to induce the whole party to lay down their arms. But the soldiers were impatient of delay. They wished to end the war by victory, not by compromise. They did not even desire to wait the arrival of their own legions, regarding them rather as sharers in the booty than the dangers of the battle. Antonius called the men together, and, in a public harangue, informed them, "That Vitellius had still numerous forces in reserve, who might come over if left to their own reflection, but determined adversaries if precluded from hope. In the first movements of a civil war, much must be left to chance. To complete the conquest, is the province of wisdom and deliberate counsels. The fleet at Misenum, with the whole region of Campania, the fairest part of Italy, had already declared for Vespasian. Of the whole Roman world, the tract that lies between Narnia and Tarracina was all that remained in the hands of Vitellius. By the victory at Cremona enough of glory had been gained, and by the demolition of that city too much disgrace. He implored them not to desire to capture rather than to preserve the city of Rome. They would reap ampler rewards, and their fame would stand higher, if they sought the safety of the senate and people of Rome without effusion of blood."

61. By these and similar reasonings the impetuosity of the soldiers was calmed. The legions arrived soon after, and, by the terror and fame of the augmented force, the Vitellian cohorts oscillated, there being no one to incite them to go on with the war, but many to change sides, who strove with each other in going over to the enemy with their companies of foot, or their troops of horse, thereby to confer a benefit on the victor, and lay up a fund of favour to be enjoyed thereafter. Information was received through these that four hundred of the enemy's cavalry were stationed in the neighbourhood, in garrison at Interamna.[1] Varus was instantly despatched at the head of a detached party against them. A few who resisted were put to the sword; the greater part laid down their arms, and begged forgiveness. Some fled back to the camp at Narnia, which they filled with consternation, by magnifying the numbers and courage of the enemy, to palliate the

[1] The modern Terni. See Annals, i. 79.

disgrace of evacuating the garrison. In the Vitellian army defection and treachery went unpunished; allegiance was undermined by the rewards of the revolter; and the only contest now was, who should be first in perfidy. The tribunes and centurions deserted in shoals; not so the common soldiers, who had contracted a firm attachment to Vitellius; but at last Priscus and Alphenus,[1] by abandoning the camp, relieved them all from any misgivings on the score of treason.

62. During these transactions, Fabius Valens was put to death while under restraint at Urbinum.[2] His head was shown in triumph to the Vitellian cohorts, to cut off all hope from him; for a belief prevailed that he had made his escape into Germany, and was there employed in raising an army of veterans to renew the war. Seeing that he was slain, they resigned themselves to despair. The effect of the death of Valens, in producing an impression on the mind of the Vitellian army that the war was at an end, was incalculable. Fabius Valens was a native of Anagnia,[3] of an equestrian family. Of profligate manners, but not destitute of genius, he aimed at the reputation of urbanity in libertine excesses. In the interludes, called Juvenalia,[4] in the reign of Nero, he appeared often among the pantomime performers, at first with seeming reluctance, but afterwards of his own choice, with more talent than decency. As commander of a legion under Verginius, he encouraged his designs, and blackened him to the world. He murdered Fonteius Capito,[5] after undermining his principles, or because he failed in the attempt. False to Galba,[6] he continued faithful to Vitellius, deriving lustre from the perfidy of others.

63. The Vitellians, seeing all hopes cut off, determined to submit to the conqueror, and, even in this act paying regard to character, descended into the plains overlooked by Narnia, with their banners and colours displayed. Vespasian's army, fully expecting a battle, and equipped for it, formed their lines in close array on each side of the road. In the interval

[1] Julius Priscus and Alphenus Varus, sent by Vitellius to take possession of the Apennine mountains. See c. 55 of this book.
[2] Urbino, the birth-place of Raphael.
[3] Anagni, near Rome.
[4] See Annals, xiv. 15; xvi. 21.
[5] See Hist. i. 7.
[6] Ibid. i. 52.

they received the Vitellians. Thus surrounded, Antonius addressed them in the language of humanity. One division was ordered to stay at Narnia, and the other at Interamna. Some of the victorious legions were left with them, not to annoy them if they remained quiet, but strong enough to check any violation of order. Antonius and Varus, in the meantime, did not omit to send frequent messages to Vitellius, offering him money, and a safe retreat in Campania, upon condition that he should lay down his arms, and surrender himself and his children at discretion to Vespasian. Letters to the same effect were also written to him by Mucianus. Vitellius not unfrequently listened to these proposals, and talked about the number of his train, and the spot on the coast he should choose. Such a torpor had come over his mind, that if others had not remembered that he was a prince, he himself had forgotten it.

64. On the other hand, the leading men of the state endeavoured, by secret exhortations, to incite Flavius Sabinus, the præfect of the city, to earn a share in the success and glory of the revolution. "The city cohorts," they said, "were peculiarly his own; the cohorts of the night-watch would join him; there were their own slaves, the name of a successful party, and the strong and universal tendency of things in favour of the victors. He should not yield to Varus and Antonius the whole glory of the war. Vitellius had but a few cohorts left, and those perplexed and alarmed at the disheartening news from every quarter. The minds of the populace were prone to change. Let Sabinus show himself, and the acclamations now given to Vitellius would be as loud for Vespasian. As to Vitellius, prosperity overpowered him; much more must his energies be enfeebled in the ruin of his fortune. The merit of concluding the war would be his who first got possession of the city. It became Sabinus to secure the sovereign power for his brother; and it comported with the dignity of Vespasian that Sabinus should earn the first place among his subjects."

65. Sabinus, enfeebled by old age, received these expostulations in anything but a spirit of alacrity. Some there were who whispered their suspicions, that he wished to retard the elevation of his brother from motives of envy and jealousy. Sabinus was the elder, and, while both remained in a private

station, always took the lead, superior in fortune and influence; and when Vespasian's credit was giving way, Sabinus is said to have propped it up, by taking a mortgage on his brother's house and lands.[1] Whence, though they preserved the exterior of friendship, a smothered animosity was supposed to exist. The fairer construction is, that Sabinus, a man of a meek disposition, was averse to carnage, and, with that intent, held frequent conferences with Vitellius on the subject of a pacification and the settlement of terms for a cessation of hostilities. Having frequently met in private, they, as the report went, at last concluded a treaty in the temple of Apollo,[2] when Cluvius Rufus[3] and Silius Italicus[4] witnessed their expressions and exclamations: the looks of the contracting parties were observed by spectators at a distance. The countenance of Vitellius was downcast, and indicative of a broken spirit; while Sabinus exhibited no signs of triumph, but had more the air of commiseration.

66. And if Vitellius could have influenced the minds of his followers with the facility he himself displayed in giving up the contest, Vespasian's army might have taken possession of the city of Rome unstained with blood. But in proportion as his friends were firm in his interest, they rejected all terms of accommodation, representing "the danger and disgrace of it, and that their security for its fulfilment depended on the caprice of the conqueror. Vespasian had not the magnanimity to suffer Vitellius to live in a private station; even the vanquished would not bear it. Thus danger would grow out of the commiseration of his friends. Grant that he is himself an aged man, and wearied with the alternations of prosperity and adversity: but what name, what rank would be bestowed on his son Germanicus? Promises of a supply of money, a retinue of slaves, and a retreat in the delightful regions of

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 4.

[2] The temple of Apollo was on Mount Palatine, where Augustus formed a library. Horace says—

"Scripta Palatinus quæcumque recepit Apollo."—Epist. I. iii. 17.

[3] That an agreement was made between Vitellius and Flavius Sabinus, the brother of Vespasian, appears in Suetonius (Vitell. s. 15). Cluvius Rufus had been governor of a province in Spain; a man of eloquence, but void of military talents. See Hist. i. 8.

[4] Silius Italicus, the poet, was consul A.D. 68.

Campania were now held out; but when Vespasian had seized the imperial dignity, neither he, nor his friends, nor even his armies, would think themselves secure, save in the annihilation of the rival interest. Even Fabius Valens, though a prisoner, and, while they feared a reverse of fortune, reserved as a pledge in the hands of the enemy, was thought too formidable to live; much less would Antonius, and Fuscus, or Mucianus, who might be regarded as embodying the characteristic principles of the party, be content with any extent of power over Vitellius, unless it included that of killing him. Pompey was pursued to death by Julius Cæsar, and Mark Antony by Augustus. Unless, perhaps, nobler sentiments are to be expected from Vespasian, the client of Vitellius,[1] who was the colleague of Claudius. Nay, as became the censorship of his father, three consulships, the numerous honours of his illustrious house, they urged Vitellius to gird himself up for acts of daring, from desperation at least, if from no other impulse. The soldiers were inflexible in their attachment, and the affections of the people were still with him. To sum up all, nothing so calamitous could befal them as that into which they were rushing voluntarily. If vanquished, they must perish; if they surrendered, they must perish. All they had to consider was, whether they would pour out their parting breath amidst scorn and contumely, or with the honour due to valour."

67. Vitellius was deaf to vigorous counsels. His whole soul was absorbed in commiseration and anxiety, lest by pertinacious resistance the conqueror should be inexorable to his wife and children when he was gone. He had also a mother[2] worn out with age; she, however, died a few days before, happily anticipating the downfall of her family. From the elevation of her son she derived nothing but sorrow, and an extended reputation for virtue. On the fifteenth before the calends of January,[3] the defection of the legions and cohorts that surrendered at Narnia reaching the ears of Vitellius, he came down from his palace in mourning apparel, surrounded by his afflicted family. His infant son was carried in a small litter, exhibiting the appearance of a funeral procession. The

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 4.
[2] Sextilia.
[3] Vitellius abdicated on the 18th of December, A.D. 69.

voices of the people were sweet, but out of season; the soldiery wrapt in sullen silence [1]

68. Nor was there a man so dead to human sympathies as not to be touched by the scene before him. A Roman emperor, but a little before lord of mankind, abandoning the habitation of his greatness, and going forth from empire, through the midst of citizens, through the streets of the capital!—they had never beheld such a spectacle; they had heard of nothing like it. Cæsar the dictator fell by sudden violence; Caligula perished by a dark conspiracy. The shades of night and rural solitudes had thrown a veil over the flight of Nero; Piso and Galba may be said to have died in battle. Vitellius, before an assembly of the people called by himself, in the midst of his own soldiers, and in the view even of women, after declaring in brief terms, but such as assorted with the mournful circumstances, that he retired for the sake of peace and the good of the commonwealth; that all he desired was that they would retain him still in their memory, and look with pity on the misfortunes of his brother, his wife, and unoffending children; at the same time raising his son in his arms, and commending him now to individuals, now to the whole body, at length, suffocated with grief, took the dagger from his side, and offered it, as the symbol of the power of life and death over citizens, to Cæcilius Simplex, the consul,[2] who stood near him. The consul refusing to accept it, and the people loudly opposing his resignation, Vitellius left the place, to lay down the ensigns of sovereignty in the temple of Concord,[3] and seek a retreat in his brother's house. Here a still louder cry arose. They objected to the house of a private citizen, and insisted on his returning to the palace.

[1] Suetonius gives a much fuller account. See Life of Vitellius, ss. 14, 15.

[2] We have seen that Cæcilius Simplex was impatient to arrive at the consular dignity, insomuch that he was accused in the senate of a design to purchase it, in the room of Marius Celsus. He did not succeed; but Vitellius afterwards gratified his ambition without a bribe. Hist. ii. 60. See the list of consuls for this year, Hist. i. 77.

[3] The temple of Concord, on the Capitoline, was burnt to the ground in the fire of the capitol, related in c. 71 of this book. Brotier says, it was afterwards rebuilt, as appears by an inscription still to be seen among the ruins:—

SENATUS POPULUSQUE ROMANUS
INCENDIO CONSUMPTUM RESTITUIT.

Every other way they obstructed, and none was left open, except that which led into the Sacred Way. Then, having no alternative, he returned to the palace. The abdication of the prince was already rumoured through the city, and Flavius Sabinus had written to the tribunes of the cohorts, to restrain the violence of the soldiers.

69. Accordingly, as if the whole power of the state had fallen into the lap of Vespasian, the leading members of the senate, with a numerous band of the equestrian order, and all the city-soldiers, and the night-watch, crowded the house of Flavius Sabinus. They were there informed of the zeal of the people for Vitellius, and the menaces thrown out by the German cohorts. Sabinus had gone too far to think of retreat. Individuals trembling for themselves, lest, if they dispersed, and thus diminished their strength, the Vitellians should come upon them, induced Sabinus, though reluctant, to take up arms. But, as often happens in cases of this kind, all were ready to advise, and few to share the danger. Near the Fundane lake,[1] a desperate band of the Vitellians met the armed citizens who were going forth in attendance on Sabinus. A slight encounter, in the surprise and confusion of the moment, ensued, but was favourable to the Vitellians. Sabinus, in the alarm and perplexity of the occasion, retreated to the fort of the capitol, which he garrisoned with the soldiers, and a small party of senators and Roman knights, judging this the safest course open to him. Their names cannot be given easily, as numbers afterwards, in the reign of Vespasian, assumed the merit of this service to his party. There were even women who braved a siege: among these the most distinguished was Verulana Gratilla, who had neither children nor relations to attract her, but followed in the course of war. The Vitellians invested the citadel with so much negligence, that Sabinus, in the dead of night, was able to receive into the place his own children, and Domitian, his brother's son; sending also, through the unguarded quarters, information to the Flavian generals that they were themselves besieged, and that without relief they would be reduced to a state of distress. Sabinus experienced so little molestation during the

[1] A Fundane lake, now called Lago di Fundi, is mentioned by Pliny, lib. iii. 5; but the lake now in question was in the city of Rome, near the Mons Quirinalis.

night that he might have safely made his escape; for the soldiery of Vitellius, resolute in facing danger, paid little attention to laborious duties and night-watches; besides that a winter storm of rain obstructed the sight and hearing.

70. At the dawn of day, before mutual hostilities commenced, Sabinus despatched Cornelius Martialis, a principal centurion, with instructions and complaints to Vitellius, that the treaty was violated. "That it was a mere pretence and semblance of abdication, to deceive so many illustrious citizens. For, why did he go from the rostra to his brother's house, which overlooked the forum, and was calculated to offend the eyes of the citizens, rather than to the Aventine and the mansion of his wife? Such a course became a private character, and one who avoided all appearance of sovereign power. Vitellius, on the contrary, returned to his palace, the very citadel of empire; thence a military force was sent forth, and the most frequented part of the city was strewed with the corses of unoffending citizens. The capitol itself was not spared. Surely he had himself continued in a civil capacity, and as one of the senators, while the contest between Vitellius and Vespasian was carrying on by encounters of the legions, the capture of cities, the surrender of cohorts; when both the Spains, the Upper and Lower Germany, and all Britain, had revolted; though the brother of Vespasian, he had not swerved from his allegiance; and when at length he entered into a negotiation, Vitellius invited him to it. The pacification and agreement were advantageous to the vanquished; and to the victors brought nothing but honour. If he repented of the convention, he should not point his arms against Sabinus, whom he duped by perfidy; nor the son of Vespasian, scarcely arrived at puberty.[1] By the murder of one old man and one stripling, what advantage could be gained? He should make head against the legions, and decide the contest with them. Everything would be determined by the issue of the battle." Vitellius, who was in a state of the utmost agitation, in his reply endeavoured briefly to clear himself, laying the blame upon the soldiers, whose intemperate zeal was more than a match for his mild control. He advised Martialis to depart through a private part of the house, lest the soldiers in

[1] Domitian, who was born on the 24th of October, A.D. 61. (Suetonius, Life of Domitian, s. 1.)

their fury should destroy the negotiator of a peace which they abhorred. He was himself unable to command or to prohibit any measure; no longer emperor, but merely the cause of war.

71. Martialis had scarcely re-entered the capitol, when the furious soldiers appeared before it, without a general, and each man acting on his own suggestions. Having rapidly passed the forum, and the temples that overlook it,[1] they marched up the opposite hill, as far as the first gates of the citadel. On the right side of the ascent, a range of porticoes had been built in ancient times. Going out upon the roof of those, the besieged threw a shower of stones and tiles. The assailants had no weapons but their swords, and to fetch engines and missiles seemed a tedious delay. They threw brands into the portico that jutted near them. They followed up the fire, and would have forced their way through the gate of the capitol,[2] which the fire had laid hold of, if Sabinus had not placed as a barrier in the very approach, in lieu of a wall, the statues, those honourable monuments of our ancestors, which were pulled down wherever they could be found. They then assaulted the capitol in two different quarters; near the grove of the asylum,[3] and where the Tarpeian rock is ascended by a hundred steps.[4] Both attacks were unforeseen. That by the asylum was the nearer and most vigorous. Nor could they be stopped from climbing up the contiguous buildings, which being raised high under the idea of undisturbed peace, reach the basement of the capitol. Here a doubt exists whether the fire was thrown upon the roofs by the storming party or the besieged,[5] the latter being more generally supposed to

[1] The forum was surrounded by a number of temples; as, the temple of Fortune, of Jupiter Tonans, of Saturn, the temple of Concord, and several others.

[2] The citadel of the capitol, in which was the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus, stood near the Tarpeian rock.

[3] The Lucus Asyli was so called because it was made a sanctuary by Romulus to invite a conflux of foreigners to his new state. It stood between the two rocks of the Capitoline hill, on one of which was built the temple of Jupiter Capitolinus; on the other the temple of Feretrian Jove. Brotier says, that in the place of the grove there is now erected the Piazza del Campidoglio.

[4] The Tarpeian rock, with its hundred steps, was on the west side of the Capitoline hill, and from that eminence malefactors were thrown headlong into the Tiber. Annals, vi. 19.

[5] Pliny the elder says, the capitol was set on fire by the Vitellians (lib. xxxiv. 7). Josephus gives the same account (Bell. Jud. iv. 11); and Dio agrees with them both (lib. lxv.).

have done it, to repulse those who were climbing up, and had advanced some way. The fire extended itself thence to the porticoes adjoining the temples; soon the eagles that supported the cupola caught fire, and as the timber was old they fed the flame. Thus the capitol, with its gates shut, neither stormed nor defended, was burned to the ground.

72. From the foundation of the city to that hour, the Roman republic had felt no calamity so deplorable, so shocking, as that, unassailed by a foreign enemy, and, were it not for the vices of the age, with the deities propitious, the temple of Jupiter supremely good and great, built by our ancestors with solemn auspices, the pledge of empire,[1] which neither Porsena,[2] when Rome surrendered to his arms, nor the Gauls,[3] when they captured the city, were permitted to violate, should be now demolished by the madness of the rulers of the state. The capitol was once before destroyed by fire during a civil war;[4] but it was from the guilty machinations of private individuals. Now it was besieged publicly, publicly set fire to; and what were the motives for the war? what was the object to be gained, that so severe a calamity was incurred? Warred we in our country's cause?—Tarquinius Priscus, during the war with the Sabines, built it in fulfilment of a vow, and laid the foundations more in conformity with his anticipations of the future grandeur of the empire, than the limited extent of the Roman means at that time. Servius Tullius, assisted by the zeal of the allies of Rome, and after him Tarquin the Proud, with the spoils of Suessa Pometia,[5] added to the building. But the glory of completing the design was reserved for the era of liberty. When tyrants were swept away, Horatius Pulvillus,[6] in his second consulship, dedicated the temple, finished with such magnificence that the wealth of after ages graced it with new embellishments,

[1] See Florus, lib. i. 7.

[2] It is not strictly true that Porsena became master of the city. He was at the gates, but, instead of advancing, received hostages, and raised the siege. Florus, lib. i. 10.

[3] The city was taken by the Gauls, B.C. 390. See Annals, xi. 24.

[4] In the civil war between Sylla and Marius, the capitol was destroyed by fire, B.C. 83. The Sibylline books perished in the flames. See Appian, Bell. Civ. lib. i.

[5] A city of ancient Latium, about fifty miles from Rome, on the Appian road. The very ruins have perished.

[6] Horatius Pulvillus was consul with Valerius Publicola, B.C. 507, about three years after the expulsion of Tarquin.

but added nothing to its dimensions. Four hundred and fifteen years afterwards, in the consulship of Lucius Scipio and Caius Norbanus,[1] it was burnt to the ground, and again rebuilt on the old foundation. Sylla having now triumphed over his opponents, undertook to build it, but nevertheless did not dedicate it; the only thing wanting to crown his felicity. That honour was reserved for Lutatius Catulus,[2] whose name, amidst so many works of the Cæsars, remained legible till the days of Vitellius. Such was the sacred building which was at this time reduced to ashes.

73. But the fire occasioned greater consternation among the besieged than among the besiegers, inasmuch as the Vitellian soldiers, in the moment of difficulty, wanted neither skill nor courage. In the opposite party the men were seized with panic, and the commander had neither spirit nor presence of mind; he lost all power of speech and hearing. Deaf to the advice of others, he was unable to devise any plan himself. Driven about in all directions according to the shouts of the enemy, he ordered what he had forbidden, and countermanded what he had ordered. Soon, as usually happens in desperate emergencies, all directed, and none obeyed. At length they threw down their arms, and each man looked about for a way of escape, and how to conceal himself; the Vitellians burst in, and in a moment all was one scene of fire, and swords, and blood. A few gallant spirits made a brave resistance, and perished in the attempt. The most distinguished were Cornelius Martialis, Æmilius Pacensis, Casperius Niger, and Didius Scæva. Flavius Sabinus, without his sword, and not so much as attempting flight, was surrounded; as was also Quinctius Atticus, the consul,[3] who was marked out by the shadowy ensigns of his magistracy, and his own vainglory, as he had put forth edicts to the people laudatory of Vespasian, and reflecting harshly upon Vitellius. The rest by various

[1] Lucius Scipio and Caius Norbanus were consuls, B.C. 83. The capitol was then consumed by fire, not, however, occasioned by an open act of violence, but rather by the hands of clandestine incendiaries. Sylla undertook to rebuild the capitol, but did not dedicate it: "Hoc felicitati suæ defuisse confessus est, quod capitolium non dedicavisset."—Pliny, lib. vii. 43.

[2] Lutatius Catulus was consul with Æmilius Lepidus, B.C. 78.

[3] Quinctius Atticus and Alienus Cæcina were consuls from the first of November to the end of the year. See Hist. i. 77.

stratagems made their escape; some in the disguise of slaves; others protected by the fidelity of their friends, and concealed amidst the baggage. A few, who had caught the signal by which the Vitellians knew each other, by boldly asking it and giving it in reply, found security in their daring.

74. Domitian, on the first irruption, was secreted in the apartments of the warden, and then by the contrivance of his freedman, having been clad in a linen vestment, and put among the band of the sacrificers without being recognised, he remained in concealment in the neighbourhood of Velabrum, at the house of Cornelius Primus, a client of Vespasian's. During the reign of his father, he threw down the warden's lodge, and built a chapel to Jupiter Conservator, with an altar, having the story of his vicissitudes engraven on a marble tablet. Afterwards, on his accession, he dedicated a magnificent temple to Jupiter the Guardian, and a statue representing the god with himself in his arms. Sabinus and Quinctius Atticus were conducted in fetters to the presence of Vitellius. He received them without an angry word or look, though the soldiers indignantly insisted on their right to murder both, and to reap the rewards of their service. A shout arising from those nearest him, the meaner portion of the populace called for vengeance on Sabinus, mingling menaces with adulation. Vitellius, who endeavoured to address them from the stairs of the palace, was forced by their importunity to withdraw. The mob then fell upon Sabinus, stabbed him in many places, mangled him horribly, and cutting off his head, dragged his mutilated trunk to Gemoniæ.

75. Such was the end of a man who, it must be admitted, was entitled to respect. He had carried arms five-and-thirty years in the service of his country, distinguished both in his civil and military capacities. His integrity and love of justice were unimpeachable. His fault was that of talking too much. In the course of seven years, during which he administered the province of Mœsia, and twelve more, while he was governor of Rome, malice itself could find no other blemish in his character. In the close of his life some condemned him for want of spirit; many regarded him as a man of moderation, and sparing of Roman blood. Before the elevation of Vespasian, all agree that he was the ornament of his family. It is recorded that his fall was matter of joy to Mucianus.

In general, his death was considered as an event of public utility, by putting an end to a contention between two rivals, one of whom would consider that he was the emperor's brother, and the other that he was a claimant for a share of the imperial power. The consul, Quinctius Atticus, was the next victim demanded by the populace, but Vitellius opposed their fury; being now reconciled to him, and as it were making a requital, because being interrogated as to the destruction of the capitol, he avowed himself the author, and by that confession, or perhaps well-timed falsehood, he seemed to take upon himself the odium and guilt, exonerating the Vitellian party.

76. During these transactions, Lucius Vitellius, having pitched his camp in the neighbourhood of Feronia,[1] menaced the destruction of Tarracina, where the marines and gladiators were shut up, not daring to sally out and face the enemy in the open field. The gladiators, as has been mentioned, were under the command of Julianus, and the marines under that of Apollinaris; two men immersed in sloth and luxury, from their vices more like gladiators than generals. They kept no night-watch, nor guarded the insecure parts of the walls. Day and night abandoned to excess, they made the voluptuous haunts of the coast resound with revelry, sending the soldiers in all directions to provide luxuries, and talked of war only while feasting. Apinius Tiro, who had left the place a few days before, by unfeelingly exacting presents and contributions from the municipal towns, brought a greater accession of ill-will than of strength to the party.

77. In the meantime a slave of Verginius Capito deserted to Lucius Vitellius, with an offer, if placed at the head of a detachment, to put the citadel, loosely guarded as it was, into their hands. In the dead of night he stationed a party of light-armed cohorts on the topmost ridges of the hill, over the heads of the enemy. Thence the soldiers poured down to slaughter rather than fight. They mowed them down unarmed or arming, others scarce awake, and all thrown into consternation by the general uproar, the darkness, the clangour of trumpets, and the shouts of the enemy. A few of the gladiators made resistance, and sold their lives dearly.

[1] This was a town of Latium, distinguished by the worship of the goddess Feronia. See Strabo, v. 157; and Dion. Hal. ii. 49.

The rest fled with precipitation to the ships, where all was involved in indiscriminate terror, the peasants being intermixed with the troops, and all were put to the sword without distinction. In the beginning of the tumult, six light galleys escaped. On board of one of them was Apollinaris, the commander of the fleet. The rest were either taken, or by the overweight of those that rushed on board were sunk. Julianus was conducted to Lucius Vitellius, and, in his presence, first ignominiously scourged, and then put to death. Some persons charged Triaria, the wife of Lucius the commanding officer, with having appeared girt with a soldier's sword, and behaving in a tyrannical and cruel manner amidst the afflictions and calamities of the sacking of Tarracina. The general sent a letter wreathed with laurel to his brother, with intelligence of the victory, desiring, at the same time, to know whether he should march directly forward to Rome, or stay to finish the entire reduction of Campania; a delay which was of real benefit, not only to Vespasian's party, but the commonwealth; for if a soldiery, flushed with success, and to their natural hardihood adding the insolence of victory, had been led to Rome, there would have been a conflict of no trifling magnitude, and not without the destruction of the city. For Lucius Vitellius, though his character was bad, wanted not vigour of mind. He had raised himself to eminence, not by his virtues, as is the case with good men, but by his vices, like the most profligate of mankind.

78. While these transactions were going on with the party of Vitellius, the army of Vespasian, quitting Narnia, were passing the Saturnalian holidays[1] at Ocriculum,[2] quite at their ease. To wait for the arrival of Mucianus, was the ostensible reason for this ill-timed delay. Motives of a different nature were imputed to Antonius. There were those who suspected him of having lingered there with a fraudulent intent, in consequence of letters of Vitellius, in which he offered him the consulship, his daughter, who was marriageable, and a rich dowry. Others treated it as mere invention, a contrivance to gratify Mucianus. Some were of opinion that it was the deliberate plan of all the generals to alarm the city with the appearance of war, rather than to carry it into

[1] The Saturnalian festival began on the 17th of December.
[2] Otricoli, in the duchy of Spoleto.

Rome; since the strongest cohorts had abandoned Vitellius, and as all his resources were cut off, it was thought he would abdicate. But all was defeated, at first by the temerity, and in the end by the irresolution, of Sabinus, who, having rashly taken up arms, was not able, against so small a force as three cohorts, to defend the capitol, a fortress of unequalled strength, and capable of resisting the shock of powerful armies. Where all were guilty of misconduct, the blame cannot well be fixed on any one in particular; for both Mucianus, by the ambiguity of his letters, checked the progress of the victorious army; and Antonius, by ill-timed compliances, or perhaps to retort odium upon Mucianus, committed an error; and the rest of the officers, concluding that the war was ended, occasioned the disasters that signalized its close. Even Petilius Cerealis, who had been sent forward at the head of a thousand horse, that cutting across through the Sabine country he might enter Rome by the Salarian road,[1] did not push on with the requisite vigour; but at last the news that the capitol was besieged put them on the alert.

79. Antonius, in the night time, moved along the Flaminian road, and arrived at the Red Rocks[2] when the mischief was done. There he heard that Sabinus was murdered; that the capitol was burnt; that the city was in consternation; in fact, nothing but bad news. Word was also brought that the populace, joined by the slaves, had taken up arms for Vitellius. At the same time the cavalry, under Petilius Cerealis, met with a defeat. Advancing incautiously, and with precipitation, as against vanquished troops, they were received by a body of infantry and cavalry intermixed. The battle was fought at a small distance from Rome, amidst houses, and gardens, and zig-zag ways, well known to the Vitellians, but creating alarm and confusion in men unacquainted with them. Nor did now the cavalry under Cerealis act with unanimity. They had among them a party of those who laid down their arms at Narnia, who waited to see the issue of the battle. Tullius Flavianus, who commanded a squadron of Vespasian's horse, was taken prisoner. The rest fled with scandalous

[1] This road began at the Porta Collina, also called Salaria, now the Porta Salara. Pliny (xxxi. 7. 41) gives the origin of the name: "Quoniam illa salem in Sabinos portari convenerat."

[2] About three miles from Rome.

precipitation; the conquering troops pursuing them only as far as Fidenæ.[1]

80. The success of the Vitellians in this engagement inspired the partisans at Rome with new courage. The populace had recourse to arms. A few were provided with regular shields; the rest snatched up whatever weapons fell in their way, and with one voice demanded the signal for the attack. Vitellius thanked them, and bade them press forward in defence of the city. He then convened the senate; when ambassadors to the armies were chosen, to propose, in the name of the commonwealth, an agreement and pacification. They were variously treated. In the camp of Petilius Cerealis they were in danger of their lives: the soldiers disdaining all terms of accommodation. The prætor Arulenus Rusticus[2] was wounded. In addition to the violation of the rights of ambassadors, the personal dignity of the man increased the odium of the proceedings. His attendants were dispersed. The lictor that preceded him, presuming to clear the way, was murdered; and if the guard appointed by Cerealis had not interposed in time, the privilege of ambassadors, respected even by barbarous nations, had been trampled under foot, in the frenzy of civil discord, under the very walls of Rome. The deputies who went to the camp of Antonius met with a milder reception; not because the soldiers had more self-control, but the general more authority.

81. Musonius Rufus,[3] a Roman knight, had followed in the train of the ambassadors. He professed himself devoted to the study of philosophy, and the doctrines of the Stoic sect. He mixed among the soldiers, and began to lecture armed men by a dissertation on the blessings of peace, and the calamities of war. Many treated him with derision; more were disgusted; and some were going to beat him off and trample upon him, had he not, by the advice of the more orderly, and the menaces of others, ceased from his ill-timed lessons of wisdom. The vestal virgins went out with letters from Vitellius addressed to Antonius. He requested a postponement of the contest for a single day. If he allowed an interval for

[1] The modern Castel Giubileo, six miles from Rome.

[2] For Arulenus Rusticus, see Annals, xvi. 26; and Life of Agricola, c. 2.

[3] Musonius Rufus has been mentioned, Annals, xiv. 59; and xv. 71.

reflection, it would afford facilities for settling matters. The virgins were permitted to depart with every mark of honour. An answer in writing was sent to Vitellius, informing him, that by the murder of Sabinus, and the destruction of the capitol, negotiations for the settlement of the war were put out of the question.[1]

82. Antonius, however, called an assembly of the soldiers, and in a soothing speech endeavoured to induce them to encamp at the Milvian bridge,[2] and enter Rome the next day. His reason for delay was, lest the soldiery, with feelings excited by the late battle, should give no quarter to the people or the senate, nor respect the temples and shrines of the gods. But they looked with suspicion on every postponement of their victory, as proceeding from hostility to them. At the same time colours glittering on the hills, though followed by an undisciplined rabble, gave the appearance of a hostile army. Forming into three divisions, the first proceeded by the Flaminian road; the second, along the banks of the Tiber; and the third approached the gate Collina,[3] by the Salarian way. The mob was put to flight by the charge of the cavalry; and the Vitellian soldiers, themselves also ranged in three columns, came on. Many engagements took place before the walls, with various success, but for the most part favourable to Vespasian's men, who had the advantage in the talent of their leaders. That party only that had wheeled round to the left of the city, through slippery and narrow passes, towards the Sallustian gardens,[4] were roughly handled. The Vitellians, standing on the walls of the gardens, repulsed them with stones and javelins as they approached, for the best part of the day; but at length Vespasian's cavalry forced their way through the Collinian gate, and took them in the rear. A fierce battle was also fought in the field of Mars. Their good fortune and reiterated success gave the Flavians the victory. The Vitellians fought under the impulse of despair alone; and though dispersed, they rallied again within the walls of the city.

83. The people were present as spectators of the com-

[1] This procession is mentioned by Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, a. 16.

[2] See Annals, xiii. 47, and note.

[3] See note [1], p. 189.

[4] See Annals, xiii. 47, and note.

batants; and, as in a theatrical contest, encouraged now this side, and, when a change took place, the other, with shouts and plaudits. Whenever one or other side gave way, and the men took shelter in shops, or ran for refuge into any houses, by demanding to have them dragged forth, and put to death, they secured to themselves a larger share of plunder; for while the soldiers were intent on blood and slaughter, the plunder fell to the rabble. The city exhibited one entire scene of ferocity and abomination; in one place, battle and wounds; in another, bathing and revelry. Rivers of blood and heaps of bodies at the same time; and by the side of them harlots, and women that differed not from harlots—all that unbridled passion can suggest in the wantonness of ease—all the enormities that are committed when a city is sacked by its relentless foes—so that you would positively suppose that Rome was at one and the same time frantic with rage and dissolved in sensuality. Before this period regular bodies of armed men had met in conflict within the city, twice when Sylla, and once when Cinna conquered.[1] Nor was there less of cruelty on those occasions; but now there prevailed a reckless indifference alien from human nature; nay, even pleasures were not intermitted, no, not for an instant. As if the occurrence formed an accession to the delight of the festive season, they romped, they enjoyed themselves, without a thought about the success of their party, and rejoicing amidst the afflictions of their country.

84. The greatest exertions were required in storming the camp,[2] which the bravest of the Vitellians still clung to as their last hope; and therefore, with the more diligent heed the conquerors, and with especial zeal the old prætorian cohorts, applied at once whatever means had been discovered in the capture of the strongest cities; shells, engines, mounds, and firebrands; exclaiming that all the fatigues and dangers they had undergone in so many battles were consummated in that effort, that their city was restored to the senate and

[1] Speaking of these wars, Florus writes: "Hoc deerat unum populi Romani malis, jam ut ipse intra se parricidale bellum domi stringeret, et in urbe media, ac foro, quasi arena, cives cum civibus suis, gladiatorio more, concurrerent."—Florus, lib. iii. 21.

[2] The camp of the prætorian guards, a little way out of the city, first devised by Sejanus, in the time of Tiberius. Annals, iv. 2.

people of Rome, and to the gods their temples; that the camp was the peculiar glory of the soldier—there was his country, there his household gods. They must either carry it forthwith, or pass the night under arms. On the other hand the Vitellians, though inferior in numbers, and less favoured by fortune, sought to mar the victory, to delay the pacification, stained their hearths and altars with their blood, clung to those endearing objects which the vanquished might never more behold. Many, exhausted, breathed their last upon the towers and battlements; the few that remained tore open the gates, in a solid mass rushed in upon the victors, and fell, to a man, with honourable wounds, facing the enemy; such was their anxiety, even in death, to finish their course with credit. Vitellius, seeing the city conquered, was conveyed in a litter, by a private way at the back of the palace, to his wife's house on Mount Aventine, with intent, if he could lie concealed during the day, to fly for refuge to his brother and the cohorts at Tarracina. Straightway, from his inherent fickleness, and the natural effects of fright, since, as he dreaded everything, whatever course he adopted was the least satisfactory, he returned to his palace, and found it empty and desolate; even his meanest slaves having made their escape, or shunning the presence of their master. The solitude and silence of the scene alarmed him; he opened the doors of the apartments, and was horror-struck to see all void and empty. Exhausted with this agonizing state of doubt and perplexity, and concealing himself in a wretched hiding-place,[1] he was dragged forth by Placidus, the tribune of a cohort. With his hands tied behind him, and his garment torn, he was conducted, a revolting spectacle, through crowds insulting his distress, without a friend to shed a tear over his misfortunes. The unseemliness of his end banished all sympathy. Whether one of the Germanic soldiers who met him intended for him the stroke he made, and if he did, whether from rage or to rescue him the quicker from the mockery to which he was exposed; or whether he aimed at the tribune, is uncertain: he cut off the ear of the tribune, and was immediately dispatched.[2]

[1] The porter's lodge. See Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, ss. 16, 17.

[2] Dio relates this incident with a little variation. According to him, the German soldier said, "I will give you the best assistance in my power;" and thereupon he stabbed Vitellius, and dispatched himself. Dio, lib. lxv.

85. Vitellius was pushed along, and with swords pointed at his throat, forced to raise his head, and expose his countenance to insults: one while they made him look at his statues tumbling to the ground; frequently to the rostrum, or the spot where Galba perished; and lastly they drove him to Gemoniæ,[1] where the body of Flavius Sabinus had been thrown. One expression of his was heard, that spoke a spirit not utterly fallen, when to a tribune who insulted him in his misery he observed, that nevertheless he had been his emperor. He died soon after under repeated wounds. The populace, with the same perversity of judgment that had prompted them to honour him while living, assailed him with indignities when dead.

86. He was born at Luceria. He had completed his fifty-seventh year. He rose to the consulship, to pontifical dignities, and a name and rank amongst the most eminent citizens, without any personal merit; but obtained all from the splendid reputation of his father.[2] The men who conferred the imperial dignity upon him did not so much as know him. By impotence and sloth he gained the affections of the army, to a degree in which few have attained them by worthy means. Frankness and generosity, however, he possessed; qualities which, unless duly regulated, become the occasions of ruin. He imagined that friendships could be cemented, not by an uniform course of virtue, but by profuse liberality, and therefore earned them rather than cultivated them. Doubtless the interest of the commonwealth required the fall of Vitellius; but those who betrayed Vitellius to Vespasian can claim no merit for their perfidy, since they had broken faith with Galba.

The day now verging rapidly towards sunset, on account of the consternation of the magistrates and senators who secreted themselves by withdrawing from the city, or in the several houses of their clients, the senate could not be convened. When all apprehension of hostile violence had subsided, Domitian came forth to the generals of his party, was unanimously saluted with the title of Cæsar, and escorted by a numerous body of soldiers, armed as they were, to his father's house.

[1] See Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 17.

[2] Vitellius owed much to the illustrious name of his father; but it appears that he advanced himself by the obsequious arts which he practised under Caligula, Claudius, and Nero. See Suetonius, Life of Vitellius, s. 4.

BOOK IV.

1. By the death of Vitellius, the war was suspended rather than peace established. The victors, armed, hunted the vanquished through the city with inexorable rancour. The streets were choked with carnage, the forum and the temples inundated with blood, all who fell in the way of the conquerors being butchered without distinction. And in a little time, their audacity increasing, they searched for and dragged to light those who had concealed themselves; any person they saw who was tall in stature, and in the vigour of life,[1] they butchered; making no distinction between citizen and soldier. Their cruelty satiated itself with blood in the first heat of resentment, and then it assumed the form of rapacity. Nothing was suffered to remain concealed, nothing unviolated, under colour of detecting the partisans of Vitellius; hence they took occasion to begin breaking open houses, or if resistance were made, it formed an excuse for shedding blood. All the vile and indigent joined in the fray; abandoned slaves came forward and betrayed their rich masters; others were pointed out by their friends. Lamentations were heard in every quarter, and Rome was filled with the cries of despair, and the horrors of a city taken by storm; insomuch that the people regretted the licentiousness of the Othonian and Vitellian soldiers, which before excited their indignation. The chiefs, who had succeeded so well in kindling the flame of civil war, were unable to check the insolence of victory: for, to stir up tumult and public distraction, the most profligate have the greatest power; but peace and order are the work of virtue and ability.

2. Domitian fixed his residence in the imperial palace, with the name of Cæsar, but as yet paid no attention to affairs of government. However, in riot and debauchery, he played the part of the emperor's son. The command of the prætorian bands was assigned to Arrius Varus, while the supreme

[1] The German troops, men of large stature, and in the prime of life, were attached to Vitellius. See c. 4 of the Germania.

authority rested with Antonius, who eagerly appropriated treasure and slaves from the house of the prince, as if they were the spoils of Cremona. The other officers, as from their moderation or obscurity they were undistinguished during the war, so were they unrewarded. The people, still in consternation, and ready to crouch in servitude, demanded that Lucius Vitellius, then advancing with the cohorts from Tarracina, might be intercepted, and the remainder of the war annihilated. The cavalry was sent forward to Aricia, and the legions halted on this side of Bovillæ; but Lucius Vitellius, without hesitation, surrendered himself and his cohorts to be dealt with as the victor chose; and the soldiers, abandoning an unfortunate cause, laid down their arms, as much from indignation as fear. The captives marched through the city in a long procession, guarded on each side by a file of troops; not one with the mien of a suppliant, but all gloomy and sullen, not moving a muscle at the shouts and insolence of the jeering rabble. A few, who ventured to rush out upon them, were overpowered by those that hemmed them in: the rest were secured in prison. Not a word escaped from any of them unworthy of their warlike character; and though under the frowns of fortune, they preserved their reputation for valour. Lucius Vitellius was forthwith put to death. In vice equal to his brother, he surpassed him in activity while he was at the head of affairs; not so much a sharer in his good fortune, as involved in the consequences of his fall.

3. About the same time, Lucilius Bassus[1] was despatched with a party of light-armed cavalry, to restore tranquillity in Campania; where the municipalities were agitated with dissensions among themselves, rather than by a spirit of disaffection towards the prince. On the first appearance of a military force, all was hushed: and the cities of inferior note were treated with indulgence. The third legion was stationed in winter-quarters at Capua,[2] and its principal families were exposed to severe suffering; whereas, on the other hand, to the people of Tarracina no relief was extended; so true it is, that men are more willing to retaliate an injury than to requite an obligation: because gratitude imposes a burden,

[1] For Lucilius Bassus, see Hist. ii. 100; and iii. 12.

[2] The people of Capua had been partisans of Vitellius. Hist. iii. 57.

but revenge is attended with gain.[1] It was some solace to the people of Tarracina to see the slave of Verginius Capito, who, as already mentioned,[2] betrayed them, hanging on a gibbet, with the identical rings on his fingers which he received from Vitellius. At Rome, the senate, in high glee, and confident as to the result of things, decreed to Vespasian all the honours usually granted to their princes: for the civil war which first broke out in Spain and Gaul, involving Germany and soon after Illyricum, after having swept over Egypt, Judæa, Syria, and all the provinces and armies of the empire, seemed at length to have come to a close when the whole world had been, as it were, purged from its pollutions. Their zeal was heightened by letters from Vespasian, written on the supposition that the war continued. Such was their character, on a cursory view of them; but, notwithstanding, he spoke as emperor; though concerning himself his language was constitutional, and showed a paramount concern for the public interest. Nor was the senate backward in demonstrations of respect; they decreed the consulship to Vespasian and his son Titus. Domitian was made prætor with consular authority.[3]

4. Mucianus had also sent letters to the senate, which furnished matter for remarks. "If he was still a private citizen,[4] why should he speak on the affairs of the state? The same might have been said in a few days in his place as a senator. His very invective, too, against Vitellius, came too late, and gave no proof of independent spirit. His vain-glorious boast, that having the sovereign power in his own disposal, he conferred it on Vespasian, was degrading to the commonwealth, and insulting to the prince." In terms of much respect, they decreed triumphal decorations to Mucianus, in reality for his conduct in the civil war; but

[1] Seneca speaks to the same purpose: "Ita natura comparatum est, ut altius injuriæ quam merita descendant; et hæc cito defluant, illas tenax memoria custodiat." (De Benef. i. 1.) Hobbes of Malmesbury seems to have had his eye on Tacitus, when he says, in his Leviathan, "Benefits oblige, and obligation is thraldom; and unrequitable obligation perpetual thraldom, which is hateful."

[2] Hist. iii. 77. [3] See Suetonius, Life of Domitian, s. 1.

[4] Mucianus assumed a character above the rank of a private citizen, when he took upon him to address the consuls and the senate. See Cicero ad Familiares, Epist. xv.

his expedition against the Sarmatians was the pretext.[1] The consular ornaments were voted to Antonius Primus, and the prætorian to Cornelius Fuscus and Arrius Varus. The gods were the next object of their care; they resolved to rebuild the capitol. All these motions were made by Valerius Asiaticus, consul elect. The rest signified their assent by a nod, or the hand. A few, distinguished for their rank, or habitual servility, expressed their assent in set speeches. When it came to the turn of Helvidius Priscus, prætor elect, without hesitation he delivered a speech as complimentary to a virtuous prince as it was destitute of disguise; he was heard with applause by the whole assembly, and that day formed an important era in his life, as ministering occasion for a serious collision, and the acquisition of signal renown.

5. As I have again fallen upon the mention of a man whose name must frequently recur, the case seems to require that I should briefly trace out his character and pursuits, as well as the fortune that attended him. Helvidius Priscus was born in the municipal city of Tarracina. His father, Cluvius,[2] was a centurion of principal rank. He applied his splendid talents to sublime studies, from his earliest years; not with a design, as most men do, to veil a life of indolence with an imposing name, but to bring with him into public business a mind fortified against the accidents of fortune. He adopted the tenets of those philosophers who maintain that virtue alone is good, and vice evil; who consider power, noble descent, and all other circumstances independent of the mind, as belonging to the class of things neither good nor evil. When yet only of quæstorian rank, Pætus Thrasea gave him his daughter in marriage. Of all the virtues of his father-in-law, he imbibed none so deeply as his spirit of liberty. As a citizen, senator, husband, son-in-law, friend, he discharged all the duties of his several relations with

[1] Triumphs and triumphal ornaments were never granted for a victory over Roman citizens.

[2] Helvidius Priscus has been mentioned, Annals, xvi. 35. As Cluvius was his father, it follows that he was adopted by a person of the name of Helvidius Priscus. Lipsius thinks it was by Helvidius mentioned Annals, xii. 49, who at that time served in Asia in the capacity of military tribune.

[3] For Pætus Thrasea, see Annals, xvi. 28, 35.

undeviating propriety; despising riches; in the cause of truth inflexible; and, when danger threatened, erect and firm.

6. Some might consider him as too fond of fame, for the desire of glory clings even to the best of men, longer than any other passion. When his father-in-law fell, he was driven into exile; but being recalled in the reign of Galba, he stood forth the accuser of Eprius Marcellus, the informer against Pætus Thrasea.[1] This prosecution, which whether it was the more just or magnanimous, it were hard to tell, divided the senate into contending factions; for the ruin of Marcellus would draw after it the whole phalanx of informers. The contest at first was fiercely conducted, and sustained by speeches of consummate eloquence on both sides afterwards. Galba balanced between the parties, and many senators deprecating its continuance, Helvidius desisted; a proceeding which subjected him to conflicting remarks: such is human nature; some commending his moderation, others regretting his want of firmness. However, on the day when the senate voted the succession of Vespasian,[2] it was agreed that deputies should be sent to the prince. In the debate upon this occasion, a sharp conflict ensued between Helvidius Priscus and Eprius Marcellus. The former proposed that the ambassadors should be named by the magistrates on oath; the latter was for drawing the names by lot, as had been proposed by the consul elect.

7. But the zeal of Marcellus was stimulated by apprehensions of personal disgrace, if he should appear to be postponed to others in the selection. After an interchange of remarks, they proceeded gradually to continuous and acrimonious speeches; Helvidius asking Marcellus, "Why he should dread so much the decision of the magistrates? He had wealth and eloquence, which would give him advantage over many, unless the recollection of his crimes proved a hindrance:

[1] Helvidius was banished by Nero, Annals, xvi. 35. He returned to Rome among the exiles whom Galba restored to their country. Hist. ii. 92. Eprius Marcellus was the mortal enemy of Thrasea. Annals, xvi. 28.

[2] The decree of the senate, by which the imperial prerogative was vested in the emperor, is usually called *Lex Regia*. Brotier says, the law passed in favour of Vespasian is still extant on a table of brass in the palace of the capitol.

no distinction of character was made by the lot and urn. The method of suffrage and appeal to the judgment of the senate had been adopted to reach the life and character of individuals; it was for the interest of the community, and the honour of the prince, that such as approached him should be men of unblemished integrity, who would pour into the ear of the prince the language of truth and sincerity. Vespasian had been in habits of friendship with Thrasea, Soranus, and Sentius;[1] and if the informers against them were not to suffer punishment, still it was not fitting that they should be held up as paragons. By this decision of the senate, the prince would, as it were, be warned whom he may trust, and whom he should suspect. There was no more efficient means of insuring good government, than for the prince to have honest friends. Marcellus may rest satisfied with inciting Nero to the murder of so many innocent citizens; he should be content to enjoy the rewards of his guilt and impunity, and leave Vespasian to better men than himself."

8. Marcellus observed in reply, "that the motion, which was opposed with so much warmth, did not originate with himself. It was proposed by the consul elect, in conformity to ancient precedents,[2] which had established the lot for the election of ambassadors, to preclude cabals and prejudices. No reason could be assigned why established usages should fall into desuetude, or the ceremony of paying respect to the prince be wrested to the purpose of stigmatising any person. All were competent to the duty of doing homage; what was more to be guarded against was, lest by the intractable tempers of some persons, offence should be given to the prince at the opening of his reign, when his mind is naturally filled with apprehensions, and watches the very looks and language of every body. For himself, he was not unmindful of the times on which he was fallen, of the form of government established by their ancestors: he admired the past, and accommodated himself to the present system, devoutly wishing for virtuous princes, but willing to acquiesce under any sort. The overthrow of Thrasea could not be imputed to his

[1] Who Sentius was, does not appear. Brotier proposes to read Seneca.

[2] See Cicero ad Atticum, lib. i. epist. 17; and also Suetonius, Life of Augustus, s. 35.

speech,[1] more than to the decision of the senate; the cruelty of Nero accomplished its purposes by means of such mockeries as these. Nor did others suffer more from exile than he himself did from such a friendship; in a word, let Helvidius be ranked with the Catos and the Bruti in courage and fortitude, for himself, he pretended to be no more than one of that very senate, which, as well as he, crouched to the tyrant. He advised even Priscus not to aspire above his sovereign, nor affect to control by his lectures a prince advanced in years, who had gained triumphal honours, and the father of princes in the prime of life. As unlimited power was the aim of the worst princes, so, however excellent they may be, they desired to see liberty exercised within due bounds." These arguments, urged with earnest zeal on both sides, were heard with the extremes of approbation and aversion. The party that preferred choosing the ambassadors by lot prevailed; even those of the fathers who were for a middle course, assisting tc retain the customary practice; while all the most distinguished inclined the same way, from fear of incurring odium if they should themselves be chosen.

9. This struggle was followed by another. The prætors, who at that time conducted the department of the treasury,[2] after complaining of the poverty of the state, proposed a plan of retrenchment. The consul elect was for referring this business to the prince, in consideration of the magnitude of the grievance and the difficulty of remedying it. Helvidius Priscus contended that it was a matter to be settled according to the discretion of the senate. The consuls were collecting the votes, when Volcatius Tertullinus, a tribune of the people, interposed his veto, that in so arduous a business anything should be determined in the absence of the emperor. Helvidius had moved that the capitol should be rebuilt by the public, with the aid of Vespasian. Men of moderation passed this proposition over in silence, and then forgot it. There were some who also remembered it.[3]

[1] See the speech of Eprius Marcellus against Thrasea, Annals, xvi. 28.

[2] For the managers of the Ærarium, or the public treasury, see Annals, xiii. 29.

[3] Helvidius contended for the independence of the senate. The ruin of this excellent man was the disgrace of Vespasian's reign.

10. Musonius Rufus[1] then made an attack on Publius Celer,[2] whom he charged with having accomplished the ruin of Bareas Soranus,[3] by false testimony. This investigation it was thought would revive the animosities connected with the system of informations. But the defendant, base and guilty as he was, could not be screened, for the memory of Soranus was held in veneration, and Celer, who was a teacher of philosophy, and afterwards the accuser of Bareas, appeared as the betrayer and seducer of his friend, and, as he pretended, his pupil. The next sitting was fixed for the cause. Nor did Musonius or Publius excite the public expectation so much as Priscus and Marcellus, and others of that class, now that the minds of men were inflamed with a desire of vengeance.

11. In this state of affairs, when the senate was split into factions; when the vanquished party burned with resentment, and the conquerors were without authority; with no laws, no sovereign at the head of affairs, Mucianus entered the city, and soon engrossed the whole power of the state. The influence of Antonius Primus and Arrius Varus was demolished at once; Mucianus ill suppressing his rage against them, though he betrayed it not in his looks. But the people of Rome, shrewd in exploring the antipathies of parties, veered round, and transferred their homage to Mucianus. He alone was the object of their suit and adoration. Mucianus, on his part, omitted nothing: he appeared in public attended by armed guards; chopping and changing his palaces and gardens; in his equipage, his gait, his night watches, aspiring to the substance of imperial power, while repudiating the name. The murder of Calpurnius Galerianus[4] diffused a sensation of extreme alarm. He was the son of Caius Piso. He was a perfectly guiltless man, but the splendour of his name and his own fine person formed frequent subjects of commendation among the people; and in a city like Rome, still in agitation, and listening with greedy ears to every fresh rumour, there were not wanting persons to invest him with the empty name of succeeding to the throne. By order of

[1] For Musonius Rufus, see Annals, xiv. 59; xv. 71; and Hist. iii. 79.
[2] Egnatius Celer; Annals, xvi. 32.
[3] For Bareas Soranus, see Annals, xii. 53; xvi. 21, 23.
[4] Calpurnius Galerianus was the son of C. Calpurnius Piso, who dispatched himself to avoid Nero's cruelty. Annals, xv. 59.

Mucianus he was taken into custody, and lest his death in the city should excite too much notice, he was conveyed under guard to a place forty miles distant, on the Appian road, where his veins were opened, and he bled to death. Julius Priscus, who commanded the prætorian bands under Vitellius, dispatched himself with his own hand, from a sense of shame rather than by compulsion. Alphenus Varus preferred to protract a life of sloth and infamy; while Asiaticus,[1] the freedman, suffered for his abused and ill-gotten power, by being put to death as a slave.

12. About this period, the report which had gained ground of a dreadful defeat in Germany reached the city, but without exciting any sensation of sorrow. Men talked of the revolt of Gaul, slaughtered armies, and the capture of the winter-camp of the legions, as if they were not calamities. The causes which led to the war in that quarter, the commotions which it kindled among our allies, and nations unconnected with us, I will trace to their origin. The Batavians, while they dwelt beyond the Rhine, were a part of the people called the Cattians.[2] Driven from their native country by intestine commotions, they settled on a waste tract of land on the extreme confines of Gaul, and, at the same time, took possession of an island among the shoals, washed at the northern extremity by the ocean, and at the back, and on both sides, by the Rhine. Unoppressed by the Roman power and an alliance with a nation more potent than themselves, they merely furnished men and arms in support of the empire; having had much experience in the German wars, and afterwards added to their fame by their service in Britain,[3] whither cohorts of them were conveyed under the command of the most distinguished chiefs of their country, in conformity with their long-established practice. In their own country they also maintained a chosen body of cavalry, so remarkably expert in swimming, that in whole squadrons, with their arms, and keeping hold of their horses, they could make good their way across the Rhine.

13. The most eminent chieftains of the nation were Julius

[1] Asiaticus was the favourite freedman of Vitellius. Hist. ii. 57, 95.

[2] For the Batavi and the Catti, see c. 29 of the Germania.

[3] The Batavians served in Britain as the allies and auxiliaries of Rome. Life of Agricola, cc. 18, 36.

Paulus and Claudius Civilis,[1] both of royal descent. The former, under a false charge of rebellion, was put to death by Fonteius Capito. Civilis was sent in irons to be disposed of by Nero: Galba released him from his fetters. Under Vitellius, he was again in danger from the Roman soldiers, who called aloud for his execution. Hence his hatred of the Roman name, and his hopes of success founded on the distractions of the empire. But Civilis, with a natural shrewdness above the ordinary run of barbarians, took occasion from a similar blemish of the face, to call himself a second Sertorius, or Hannibal;[2] and wishing to avoid an open rupture with Rome, lest a force should be sent against him as an enemy, affected attachment to the person and cause of Vespasian. Some colour, it must be admitted, was given to this proceeding by the letters he received from Antonius, directing him to prevent the arrival of the succours summoned by Vitellius, and keep the legions in the province under pretence of commotions in Germany. Hordeonius Flaccus gave the same advice in person, having espoused Vespasian's cause, and from concern for his country, whose destruction was inevitable if renewed force were given to the war, and so many thousands of troops poured down upon Italy.

14. Civilis, therefore, having taken his resolution to revolt, concealed his ulterior views for the time, and, intending to be guided by events as to other matters, thus commenced his revolutionary proceedings. By order of Vitellius, the youth of Batavia was to be called upon to enlist. This requisition, onerous in itself, was rendered still more so by the avarice and profligacy of the Roman officers, who pressed the aged and infirm into the service, to gain the price of their discharge. On the other hand, boys of tender years and handsome persons (and generally their youths are well-grown) were dragged away to prostitution. Hence a feeling of indignation; and the leaders of the preconcerted conspiracy induced them to refuse to be enrolled. Civilis, under the pretext of a banquet, convened the nobles, and bravest of the

[1] Julius Paulus and Claudius Civilis were brothers, as appears from c. 32 of this book. Civilis is called Julius Civilis, Hist. i. 59. Perhaps his name was Julius Claudius Civilis.

[2] For Hannibal's person, see Livy, lib. xxii.; and for Sertorius, see his Life in Plutarch.

nation, in a sacred grove;[1] and when he saw that they were warmed with midnight revelry and mirth, he addressed them, first expatiating on the fame and exploits of the Batavians, and then enumerating the wrongs of his countrymen, the depredations of the Romans, and all the other evils of thraldom. Indeed, he said, they were no longer treated as allies, but as bond-slaves. When would a lieutenant-general come to govern them, though with a burthensome retinue, and domineering authority? They were now turned over to præfects and centurions, who, as soon as they have gorged themselves with spoils and blood, are recalled, a fresh set of rapacious creatures sent out, and the same system of depredation carried on under varied names. A levy was just at hand, by which children would be separated in a manner for ever from their parents, brothers from brothers. The Romans were never, at any period, in so feeble a condition. Nor had they aught in their winter-quarters besides old men and plunder. Let them only lift up their eyes, and they would see no reason to dread their shadowy, unsubstantial legions. On the other hand, they had themselves an efficient force of foot and horse. The Germans were their kinsmen; the Gauls sympathised with them. Not even the Romans' displeasure was to be apprehended in the war he advised: in which, if they failed, they could lay the blame on Vespasian; and if they succeeded, there was no account to be rendered at all.

15. Having been heard with zealous approbation, he bound them all according to barbarian forms, and by the oaths and imprecations of their country. Deputies were sent to the Canninefates,[2] to engage them in the confederacy. That nation occupies part of the island, in their origin, language, and valour equal to the Batavians, but inferior in numbers. He then, by secret communications, gained over the British auxiliaries, consisting of cohorts of Batavians, that were sent into Germany, as I have mentioned already, and now quartered at Magontiacum.[3] Among the Canninefates was a chieftain

[1] The barbarians held councils of war at their festivals. (See cc. 9 and 22 of the Germania.) Brotier thinks the wood where Civilis held his convention was between the Rhine and the Mosa (the Meuse), at a place now called Dooden-Werd.

[2] The Canninefates occupied the western part of the island of Batavia, near the Hague and Rotterdam.

[3] Now Mentz.

named Brinno, a man of stolid daring, and of signally illustrious parentage. His father, after many signal exploits, had laughed at the ridiculous expedition of Caligula with impunity. As the mere descendant, therefore, of a rebel family, he was acceptable to his countrymen, and, being placed on a shield, according to the custom of the nation, and rocked to and fro on the shoulders of the men, was chosen general-in-chief. Calling in the aid of the Frisians, a people beyond the Rhine, he forthwith assaulted, by way of the sea, the winter-quarters of two cohorts, which, from their proximity, lay most open to attack. The assault was not foreseen; nor if it had been, had they force enough to repulse them. The camp was therefore taken and pillaged. They next fell upon the settlers and Roman traders, who had spread themselves over the country as in security. At the same time they menaced their strongholds with destruction; but, as they could not be defended, they were burned by the præfects of the cohorts to the ground. Aquilius, a principal centurion, collected together all the colours and standards, and the remnant of his forces, into the upper part of the island,[1] exhibiting rather the name than the strength of an army. For the flower of the cohorts having been drawn away, Vitellius had encumbered with arms a nerveless band collected from the neighbouring villages of the Nervians and Germans.

16. Civilis, thinking it his interest to proceed by craft, actually blamed the præfects for deserting their forts. With the cohort under his command, he would quell the insurrection of the Canninefates: the Romans might return to their respective quarters. That fraud was at the bottom of this advice, and that the cohorts when dispersed might fall an easy prey,—and also that Civilis, and not Brinno, was at the head of this war,—was evident from proofs which were gradually disclosing themselves, and which the Germans, a nation transported with war, were not able long to suppress. When his stratagem failed, he resorted to force, and combined the Canninefates, Frisians, and Batavians in distinct bodies in the form of wedges. The line of battle of their opponents was formed not far from the Rhine; while their ships, which, when the forts were burned, they had brought to land there, were ranged to face the enemy. The battle had not lasted

[1] The part of the island now called Betuwe, or Betaw.

long, when a cohort of Tungrians,[1] with their ensigns displayed, went over to Civilis. By this unexpected treachery, the Roman army was thrown into confusion, and the soldiers were slaughtered by their friends and enemies. Nor did the fleet behave with less perfidy. Some of the rowers, pretending inexperience, impeded the functions of the mariners and fighting-men. Soon afterwards they pulled in the opposite direction, and drove the sterns against the bank occupied by the enemy: at last they butchered the pilots and centurions who did not join with them; till at length the whole four-and-twenty ships were either taken, or went over to the enemy.

17. This victory was attended with éclât at the moment, and with future advantages. In want of arms and shipping, they were now supplied with both, and their fame resounded throughout Gaul and Germany as the assertors of liberty. The Germanies, by their ambassadors, forthwith offered auxiliaries. Civilis sought to allure the Gauls to his interest by policy and presents, granting to such of their officers as were taken prisoners liberty to return to their native country, and giving to the cohorts the power of doing as they pleased, whether they preferred to go or to remain. If they stayed, honourable military employment, if they departed, the spoils of the Romans, were offered to them. At the same time Civilis reminded them of the oppressions they had endured for so many years, while by an abuse of language they gave the name of peace to a state of miserable bondage. The Batavians were exempt from taxes and tributes, and yet they took up arms against the oppressors of mankind. In the first engagement the Romans were routed and conquered. What if the Gauls shake off the yoke? What amount of force would remain in Italy? It was, he said, by the blood of the provinces that the provinces are conquered. He bade them not think of the battle with Vindex.[2] By the Batavian cavalry the Æduans and Arvernians were put to the rout. Among the auxiliaries of Verginius on that occasion were Belgians;[3] and Gaul, on a just estimate of the case, had been crushed by

[1] This name is still preserved in the town of Tongres.

[2] The defeat of Vindex, at Visontium in Gaul.

[3] Pliny says, "A Scalde (the Scheldt) ad Sequanam (the Seine) erat Belgica."

her own forces. At present, one common interest united all, with the further advantage of whatever military discipline was observed in a Roman camp. The veteran cohorts, before whom Otho's legions fell prostrate, had declared for them. Syria and Asia, and the oriental nations, habituated to despots, might bow down in slavery. In Gaul, many still lived who were born before tributes were imposed. Unquestionably, by the overthrow of Varus and his legions slavery was recently driven out of Germany; when it was not Vitellius, but Augustus Cæsar, who was challenged to the conflict. Liberty, he said, was imparted by nature even to dumb animals, while valour was the characteristic excellence of man. The gods looked with favour on superior courage. Wherefore, let them, unoccupied as they were, and with vigour unimpaired, pounce upon men whose thoughts were engaged with other matters, and whose strength was exhausted; while some espoused the cause of Vespasian, and others that of Vitellius, an opportunity of striking a blow against both was presented.

18. Thus Civilis, while vigorously prosecuting his designs upon Gaul and Germany, if his project succeeded, thought of making himself king of the richest and most powerful nations. On the other hand, Hordeonius Flaccus encouraged the first essays of Civilis by affecting not to see them. When, however, messengers arrived in haste and alarm with intelligence that the camp was taken by storm, the cohorts cut to pieces, and the Roman name exterminated from the isle of Batavia, he ordered Mummius Lupercus, with two legions, then under his command in winter-quarters, to march forth against the enemy. That officer, with all speed, conveyed over into the island the legionaries he had with him, the Ubians, who were near at hand, and the Treverian cavalry, stationed at no great distance, adding a squadron of Batavian horse, long since wavering in their allegiance, but keeping up a semblance of fidelity, that by abandoning the Romans in the crisis of a regular battle, they might earn a greater reward by going over. Civilis having surrounded himself with the banners taken from the vanquished cohorts, that his own troops might have their recent trophies before their eyes, and the enemy be dispirited by the tokens of their defeat, ordered his mother and his sisters, with the wives and little ones of the

soldiers, to stand together in the rear, as objects which would stimulate them to victory, or prevent their giving way by inspiring a sense of shame. When the field resounded with the war-whoop of the men, and the cries of the women, the Roman legions and cohorts returned a shout by no means so great. The Batavian cavalry going over to their countrymen, and at once turning their arms against us, exposed the left wing of the army; but the legionary soldiers, though the predicament was alarming, preserved their ranks and their arms. The Ubian and Treverian auxiliaries fled with scandalous precipitation, and dispersing themselves, skulked all over the fields. The Germans pressed on in that quarter: the legions, in the meantime, were enabled to retreat to the camp called Veterum.[1] Claudius Labeo, captain of the squadron of Batavian cavalry, and who entertained a feeling of rivalry towards Civilis, from a contest about town matters, was removed to the country of the Frisians, lest if put to death, he might be the occasion of odium among his countrymen, or if retained, he might furnish the materials of dissension.

19. During these transactions the cohorts of the Canninefates and Batavians, which, by order of Vitellius, were on their march for Rome, were overtaken by a messenger despatched by Civilis. The soldiers immediately swelled with pride and arrogance. They demanded the donative as a recompense for their march, double pay, and an augmentation of their cavalry; all which had, it must be admitted, been promised by Vitellius; but their object was not to obtain them, but to have a pretext for sedition. Hordeonius Flaccus yielded in several instances; but the only effect was, that they demanded with increased importunity what they knew he would deny. Throwing aside all respect for Flaccus, they bent their course towards the Lower Germany to join Civilis. Flaccus called a council of the tribunes and centurions, to deliberate whether he should reduce the mutineers by force. Soon afterwards, from his natural timidity, and the irresolution of his officers, who regarded with concern the wavering fidelity of the auxiliary forces, and the legions which were recruited by a hasty levy, he resolved to keep his men within their entrenchments. Then altering his mind, and the very

[1] Now Santen, in the duchy of Cleves.

officers who advised the measure condemning it, under the idea of pursuing them, he sent despatches to Herennius Gallus, then at the head of the first legion stationed at Bonna, to oppose the march of the Batavians, and he himself, with his army, would hang upon their rear; and they might have been cut off, if Hordeonius on one side, and Gallus on the other, advancing their troops on either hand, had hemmed them in between them. Flaccus relinquished his project, and in a second letter to Gallus, directed him not to obstruct their departure. Whence a suspicion arose that the war was fomented with the concurrence of the generals, and that all that had happened, or was apprehended, was the result, not of the supineness of the soldiery, or the energy of the enemy, but the dishonesty of the generals.

20. The Batavians, when they drew near to the camp at Bonna, sent forward messengers to lay before Herennius Gallus the resolutions of the cohorts, with which they were charged. They imported that they had often fought for the Romans, and did not mean to make war against them. Worn out in a long and painful service, they desired nothing but a retreat from labour in their native country. Their march, if not obstructed, would leave behind no trace of mischief; but if their passage was disputed, they were determined to cut their way sword in hand. The Roman general hesitated; but the soldiers goaded him on to hazard a battle. Three thousand legionary soldiers, some Belgic cohorts raised by sudden levies, and a body of peasants, and followers of the camp, of no service in action, but tongue-valiant before the hour of danger, sallied forth from all the gates to surround the inferior numbers of the Batavians. The Batavians, who had seen much service, formed themselves into wedges, with deep files on all sides, and secured in front, rear, and flank. Thus they charged through the slender array of our troops. The Belgic cohorts giving way, the legion was driven in, and they made for the rampart and gates in dismay. There an extensive carnage took place; the fosses were filled with heaps of bodies: nor did they perish by the sword only and by wounds, but many of them from the rush and their own weapons. The conquerors pursued their march, avoided the Agrippinian colony, during the rest of the way committing no act of hostility; and alleged, in excuse for the encounter

at Bonna, that they had only acted in self-defence, having solicited peace and received a refusal.

21. Civilis, by the arrival of these veteran cohorts, found himself at the head of a regular army, but doubtful as to the course he should pursue, and reflecting on the power of the Romans, he made his whole army take the oath of fidelity to Vespasian, and also sent a deputation to the two legions, which after their late defeat retired to the Old Camp, inviting them to take the same oath. The legions returned for answer, "that it was not their custom to adopt the counsels of an enemy, nor of a traitor. They had Vitellius for their sovereign, in whose behalf they would maintain their allegiance, and fight to their last gasp. Wherefore, let not a Batavian fugitive assume the style and character of an arbiter in the affairs of Rome, but expect the punishment due to treason." Enraged by this reply, Civilis roused the whole Batavian nation to arms. The Bructerians and Tencterians[1] entered into the league, and by agents despatched for the purpose, all Germany was invited to share in the spoil and glory of the conquest.

22. Mummius Lupercus and Numisius Rufus, the commanders of the legions, to meet this formidable combination of forces, strengthened their rampart and walls. The structures erected during a long peace near the entrenchments, so as to resemble a municipal town, were levelled to the ground, lest they should be of service to the enemy. But sufficient foresight was not exercised in storing the camp with provisions; they allowed them to be seized as plunder; and thus, that which would have long sufficed for the supply of necessary wants, was consumed in a few days in unrestrained excess. Civilis commanded the centre in person, with the flower of the Batavian forces; and that he might appear the more formidable, he lined both banks of the Rhine with battalions of Germans, while the cavalry scoured the country round. His ships, at the same time, were brought up the river. On one side, the colours taken from the veteran cohorts, on the other the images of wild beasts[2] brought forth from the woods and sacred groves, according to the custom observed by each bar-

[1] For the Bructeri and Tencteri, see Annals, xiii. 56.

[2] The Barbarians carried the heads and images of wild beasts among their standards. See c. 7 of the Germania.

barous nation on going into action, astounded the besieged by the appearance of native and foreign forces arrayed together against them. The extent of the entrenchments, designed at first for the reception of two legions, and now defended by scarce five thousand men, inspired the assailants with additional confidence. But there was within a numerous body of sutlers, who on the first alarm had crowded to the camp, and aided in the military operations.

23. A portion of the camp rose up the side of an eminence with a gentle ascent, the other part afforded a level approach. The fact was, Augustus Cæsar conceived that the legions, stationed there in winter-quarters, would be able to check and bridle both the Germanies, but not that such an adverse state of things would occur, that they would come and actually assault our legions. In consequence, no pains were employed to add to the natural strength of the place, or to raise works; courage and arms were deemed sufficient. The Batavians, and the troops from beyond the Rhine, in order that the valour of each separately might be more distinctly seen, took up detached positions according to the several nations, discharging their missile weapons in a skirmishing manner. Afterwards, when most of their darts hung without effect upon the towers and pinnacles of the walls, while they were wounded by the discharge of stones from above, they raised a shout, and rushed forward to assault the ramparts, many of them applying scaling ladders; others, by means of a military shell, formed by their party: and already some were gaining the top of the fortification, when they were thrown down headlong by the swords of the enemy, and by blows with their bucklers, and were overwhelmed with stakes and javelins; for they were over hot at starting, and elated immoderately by success. They even ventured to use engines to which they were unaccustomed: nor had they themselves any skill in them. They were taught by prisoners and deserters to raise, with rude materials, a platform, in the shape of a bridge, and then to move it forward upon wheels; that some standing on it might fight as from a rampart, while others, under cover of it, endeavoured to sap the walls. But the stones discharged from the engines of the Romans dissipated the rude fabric. They then began to prepare penthouses and mantelets, but the besieged attacked them with a volley of flaming

javelins from engines, and even the assailants were enveloped in flames; till at length, in despair of carrying the works by force, they changed their plan for more protracted operations, for they were not uninformed that the besieged had provisions but for a few days, and a vast unwarlike rabble to supply. At the same time, from the effects of scarcity, treason was anticipated; the unstable attachment of slaves seemed likely also to break down, and hopes were entertained that some prize would turn up in the lottery of war.

24. Hordeonius Flaccus, having received intelligence that the Old Camp was invested, sent despatches into Gaul for a reinforcement, and ordered Dillius Vocula, who commanded the eighteenth legion, to proceed at the head of a chosen detachment as rapidly as possible along the banks of the Rhine; being himself paralysed with fear, disabled by bodily infirmity, and detested by his men. Indeed his soldiers complained in terms distinct and audible, of "the Batavian cohorts sent out of Magontiacum; of the machinations of Civilis connived at; that the Germans were drawn into the revolt; that neither by the aid of Antonius Primus or Mucianus, had the interest of Vespasian more advanced. That avowed enmity and hostility might be met openly; but fraud and treachery worked in the dark, and therefore were not to be warded off. That Civilis was standing in undisguised opposition to them, drawing up his troops in order of battle: Hordeonius, from his chamber and his bed, ordered whatever served the cause of their enemy. That so many gallant soldiers, with arms in their hands, were governed by one sickly old man. Nay, rather, by putting the traitor to death, they should relieve their fortune and their valour from the inauspicious omen." While excited by these discourses among themselves, letters were brought from Vespasian which ministered fresh fuel to the flame. Flaccus, as they could not be concealed, read them to the assembled soldiers, and sent the bearer bound in chains to Vitellius.

25. The men thus pacified arrived at Bonna, the winter station of the first legion. The soldiers there, still more exasperated, transferred the blame of their defeat to Hordeonius. "By his orders," they said, "they had advanced in battle array against the Batavians, expecting that the troops from Magontiacum would follow. By the treachery of the same

man they were cut to pieces, no succours arriving to support them. The other armies were kept in ignorance of all that passed, nor was any account sent to Vitellius, although by the timely access of the forces of so many provinces, the perfidious outbreak might have been suppressed." Flaccus read, in the presence of the army, copies of the several letters by which he had entreated succours from Britain, Spain, and Gaul; and introduced a bold and noxious precedent, that letters should be delivered to the eagle-bearers of the legions, to be by them communicated to the soldiers, before they were read by the generals. He then ordered one of the mutineers to be loaded with irons, rather to exercise his authority than because the blame attached to one only. From Bonna the army proceeded to the Agrippinian colony, where numerous succours came pouring in from the Gauls, who at first zealously supported the Roman interest; but shortly afterwards, when the Germans began to make head, most of the states had recourse to arms, from the hope of liberty, and, if the enterprise succeeded, with the ambitious design of lording it over others. The resentment of the legions waxed furious; the example of a single offender bound in chains had not inspired awe; nay, that very man turned round upon the general, and charged him with being an accomplice, alleging, that as he had been a messenger between Civilis and Flaccus, he was overwhelmed with a false accusation, because he was a witness of the truth. Vocula mounted the tribunal with admirable firmness, and ordered the soldier, who remonstrated vociferously, to be seized and led away to execution; and while the seditious were panic-struck, all the well-disposed obeyed his orders. Forthwith the soldiers, with one voice, insisted that he should be their general, and Flaccus resigned the supreme command into his hands.

26. But the minds of the soldiers, still dissatisfied, were violently agitated by various causes. Deficiency of pay and provisions distressed them; the Gauls too were averse to the levy, and to paying their tribute; the Rhine, by reason of a drought unknown in that climate, was hardly navigable; supplies were conveyed with difficulty; to hinder the Germans from fording over, a chain of posts was formed on the banks of the river; and owing to the same cause the supply of grain was diminished, while the consumers were increased.

With vulgar minds, the very shallowness of the stream passed for a prodigy; as if even the rivers and the ancient defences of the empire deserted them. That which in time of peace would have been regarded as accidental or natural, was then called fatality and divine vengeance.[1] The army having entered Novesium,[2] was there joined by the thirteenth legion, the commander of which, Herennius Gallus, was now associated with Vocula in the superintendence of affairs. Not daring to seek the enemy, they pitched their camp at a place called Gelduba.[3] They then endeavoured to restore the tone of the troops by employing them in forming the line of battle, in digging trenches, throwing up ramparts, and other military works; and to animate their courage by plunder, Vocula marched with the main body into the neighbouring villages of the Gugernians,[4] a people leagued with Civilis. A portion of the troops kept possession of the camp with Herennius Gallus.

27. It happened that a barge, laden with grain, was stranded in a shallow part of the river, at a small distance from the camp. The Germans endeavoured to draw the vessel to their own bank. Gallus would not submit to it, and sent a cohort to aid it. On the side of the Germans also the numbers were increased, and succours gradually flocking in on both sides, a regular battle ensued. The Germans, after making a prodigious slaughter, carried off the vessel. The Romans, for this had now grown into a habit, imputed their defeat not to their own want of valour, but to the treachery of the general. The soldiers, dragging Gallus out of his tent, tearing his clothes, and scourging him, demanded who were his accomplices in betraying the army? what was the price of his perfidy? Their rage against Hordeonius Flaccus returned. He, they said, was the author of the crime, and Gallus was an instrument in his hands; and thus they proceeded, till at

[1] Compare the following passage of Cicero: "Atque hæc in bello plura et majora videntur timentibus; eadem non tam animadvertuntur in pace. Accedit illud etiam, quod in metu et periculo, cum creduntur facilius, tum finguntur impunius."—De Divinatione, lib. ii. 27. See the phenomena of this kind, Hist. i. 86.

[2] Now Neuss, near Cologne. [3] Now Gelb, near Neuss.

[4] These people were of German origin, situate between the Ubii and Batavians. Their country is now a part of the duchies of Cleves and Guelderland, between the Rhine and the Meuse.

length Gallus himself also, impelled by his fears of those who menaced instant destruction, charged Hordeonius with treason; and being loaded with fetters, he was not released till Vocula returned to the camp. That general, on the following day, ordered the ringleaders of the mutiny to be put to death. Such was the contrast of lawlessness and passive submission that existed in that army. The common men, beyond all doubt, were devoted to Vitellius, while the most distinguished officers inclined strongly to Vespasian. Hence that alternation of atrocious guilt and capital punishment; that medley of dutiful obedience and savage ferocity; so that those who could not be kept in order, submitted to chastisement.

28. In the meantime all Germany was swelling the power of Civilis by numberless accessions of forces, the fidelity of the several states being guaranteed by hostages of the chief of their nobility. Civilis issued his orders that the territories of the Ubians and Treverians[1] should be laid waste, according to their proximity to each confederate state; and, at the same time, that another party should pass over the Mosa,[2] to harass the Menapians, the Morinians, and the frontiers of Gaul.[3] Booty was seized in both quarters, but with peculiar animosity from the Ubians; because, though originally Germans, they had forsworn their country, and, adopting a Roman name, styled themselves the Agrippinian colony. Their cohorts were cut to pieces in the town of Marcodurum,[4] where they lay in a state of fancied security, because they were at a distance from the bank of the Rhine. Nor did the Ubians take it so passively as not to go in quest of plunder from Germany; at first with impunity, but afterwards they were cut off; and throughout the war the fidelity they observed was more enviable than the fortune that attended them. Flushed with success, and rendered more formidable by the defeat of the Ubians, Civilis pressed the siege of the Old Camp, keeping strict guard that no secret intelligence of coming succours might reach the garrison. The management of the battering-engines, and other warlike preparations, he delegated to the Batavians; the forces from beyond the

[1] The people of Cologne and Treves.
[2] Now the Meuse.
[3] The neighbourhood of Tournay, Boulogne, and St. Omer.
[4] Now Duren, in the Duchy of Juliers.

Rhine, who demanded the signal for action, he ordered to advance and tear down the rampart; and when they were repulsed, he bade them renew the contest, as he had a redundance of men, and the loss of some of them would not be felt: nor did the night put a period to the effort.

29. The barbarians, having placed heaps of wood around and set fire to them, betook themselves to a repast concurrently with their operations; and as each grew warm with liquor, they rushed with bootless temerity to the assault. For indeed their darts were without effect from the darkness, while the Romans took aim at the barbarian line, which was exposed to full view, and singled out as marks whoever was conspicuous by his valour or the splendour of his decorations. Civilis saw the disadvantage, and ordered the fires to be put out, that all might be enveloped in darkness, and the fight carried on without distinction. Then indeed dissonant noises were heard, unforeseen accidents occurred; there was no room for foresight either in striking or avoiding blows; they faced about to the quarter whence the shout proceeded, and directed their weapons thither. Valour could profit nothing; chance confounded all things, and the bravest often fell by the hand of the coward. The Germans fought with blind fury; the Roman soldiers, inured to danger, threw not their poles pointed with iron, nor discharged their massy stones at hap-hazard. Whenever the sound of the barbarians sapping the foundations of the walls, or of the scaling-ladders applied to the ramparts, presented the enemy to their attack, they drove the assailants down with the bosses of their shields, and followed them up with their javelins. Many who made good their way to the top of the walls they stabbed with daggers. After a night spent in this manner, the day disclosed a new mode of conflict.

30. The Batavians had reared a turret two stories high, which, as it approached the prætorian gate,[1] where the ground was most even, was shivered to pieces by strong bars brought forward for the purpose, and beams which were made to impinge upon it: many of those who stood upon it were annihilated; and an attack was made upon the assailants, in their alarm and confusion, by a sudden and successful sally.

[1] The prætorian gate of a Roman camp was opposite to the decuman. See Annals, i. 66.

At the same time more machines were made by the legionary soldiers, who excelled in skill and ingenuity. One in particular struck the enemy with terror and amazement. It was an instrument poised in the air, and having an oscillatory motion, by which, when suddenly let down, one or more of the enemy were borne aloft before the faces of their comrades, and then, by turning the whole mass, were discharged within the camp.[1] Civilis, abandoning the hope of storming the place, again had recourse to a leisurely blockade, employing himself in undermining the fidelity of the legions by messages and promises.

31. These transactions happened in Germany before the battle of Cremona,[2] the issue of which was communicated by a letter from Antonius Primus, with Cæcina's proclamation annexed. Alpinus Montanus also, the commander of one of the vanquished cohorts, in person admitted the fate of his party. By this event the minds of the Roman army were variously affected. The auxiliaries from Gaul, who neither loved nor hated either party, and whose service was unconnected with sentiment, persuaded by their officers, forthwith abandoned the cause of Vitellius. The veteran soldiers hesitated, but at the instance of Hordeonius Flaccus, and importuned by the tribunes, they swore fidelity to Vespasian; but without any decisive indication of concurrence in their looks or their state of mind. And though they repeated accurately all the other words of the oath, they passed over the name of Vespasian in a faltering manner, or in a low murmur, and very generally in silence.

32. A letter from Antonius to Civilis, read before the assembled legions, excited the suspicions of the soldiers, as their tone was that of one writing to a co-partisan, and they spoke in a hostile manner of the Germanic army. Soon afterwards, intelligence of this having been conveyed into the camp at Gelduba, the same things were said and done, and Montanus was deputed to Civilis, with instructions to require that he would "cease from prosecuting the war, and abstain from veiling his hostile views under a false pretence of mili-

[1] This extraordinary engine was invented by Archimedes, the celebrated geometrician, during the siege of Syracuse, which was conducted by Marcellus. See Polybius, lib. viii.

[2] The victory at Cremona was about the end of October. Hist. iii. 22.

tary operations. If he meant to serve Vespasian, that end was answered." To this message, Civilis replied at first with subtlety; but perceiving in Montanus a determined spirit, and a promptitude for enterprise, commencing with complaints and the dangers he had gone through in the Roman service during five-and-twenty years, he said, "A fine reward have I received for my toils, in the death of a brother, in being loaded with fetters myself, in the ferocious language of this army, language in which I was demanded to be given up for capital punishment, and for which I now seek satisfaction according to the law of nations! But as for you Treverians, and the other crouching souls, what reward do you expect for having so often shed your blood, except hard and thankless service, eternal tributes, rods, axes, and the humours of your masters? Lo, I, the præfect of a single cohort, with the Canninefates and the Batavians, who are but a small portion of Gaul, have razed that spacious and inefficient camp, or we hem them in and distress them with sword and famine. In a word, by daring nobly, either liberty will follow, or, if we fail, our condition cannot be worse than it was before." Civilis, having thus fired the ambition of Montanus, dismissed him, but with directions to report his answer in milder terms. Montanus, on his return, reported that he had failed in his negotiation, suppressing the rest; which, however, soon afterwards came to light.

33. Civilis, reserving for himself a part of his forces, despatched his veteran cohorts and the bravest of the Germans under the command of Julius Maximus and Claudius Victor, to act against Vocula and his army. The latter was his sister's son. As they passed, they stormed the winter-quarters of a squadron of cavalry, situated at Asciburgium;[1] and fell upon the camp with such suddenness, that Vocula had not time to harangue his men, nor form his line. The only admonition he could give them, in the tumult of the moment, was to strengthen the centre with legionary troops. The auxiliaries were placed in the wings pellmell. The cavalry advanced to the attack; but being received by the well-ordered ranks of the Germans, they turned their backs, and fled towards their party. From that moment it was a massacre, not a battle. The Nervians, through fear or treachery,

[1] Now Asburg, near Meurs.

left the flank of the Romans open to the enemy; and thus the barbarians penetrated to the legions. The legions, after losing their colours, were driven into their entrenchments with great carnage; when on a sudden the fortune of the day was changed by the arrival of fresh succours. The Vascon cohorts,[1] formerly levied by Galba, and who had then received orders to join the army, hearing, as they approached, the uproar of battle, charged the Batavians in the rear. The terror that seized the enemy was greater than could be expected from the number. Some imagined that they were succours from Novesium; others, the whole army from Magontiacum. That doubt added to the courage of the Romans. Depending on the valour of others, they recovered their own. The bravest of the Batavian infantry were put to the rout; their cavalry escaped with the prisoners and standards which they had taken in the beginning of the action. The number slain on the part of the Romans greatly exceeded the loss of the enemy; but the slaughter fell on the worst of their troops, whereas the Germans lost the flower of their army.

34. The commanders on both sides equally deserved to fail; and, when fortune favoured, equally neglected to improve the advantage. For had Civilis sent into the field a stronger force, his men could not have been hemmed in by so small a number; and, having forced the entrenchments, he would have razed them to the ground. Vocula had sent out no scouts to inform him of the approach of the enemy, and therefore he had no sooner marched out of his camp than he was defeated. And afterwards, falling short of that confidence which the victory should have inspired, he wasted several days before he advanced against the enemy, while, if he had hastened at once to smite, and had followed the tide of fortune, he might have raised the siege of the legions by the same effort. Civilis, meanwhile, had endeavoured to work upon the minds of the besieged, representing that it was all over with the Romans, and victory had crowned the efforts of his party. The standards and banners were carried round,—even the prisoners were exhibited; one of whom had the courage to achieve a memorable act: with a loud voice he declared what had really occurred, when he was instantly put to death;—a proceeding which gave additional credibility

[1] The Vascones inhabited the country of Navarre.

to the informant. At the same time, the blaze of villages on fire, and the country laid waste on every side, announced the approach of a victorious army. Vocula commanded his men to halt in sight of the camp, and ordered a fosse to be made, and a palisade to be thrown up. His desire was, that, the baggage and knapsacks being safely deposited, they might fight without encumbrance. After this arose a clamour from the men, who demanded the signal for battle: they had even accustomed themselves to use menaces. Without taking time to form the line, fatigued by their march, and their ranks in confusion, they commenced the fight. Civilis was ready to receive them: he relied no less on the errors of his enemy than on the valour of his own troops. The Romans fought with fluctuating success. The bold and forward in sedition were cowards in the field. Some, remembering their late victory, maintained their post, smote the Barbarians, kept up their own spirits, cheered on their comrades, and, having restored the broken ranks, and renewed the battle, stretched out their hands to the besieged, inviting them to seize the opportunity. The legions from their ramparts seeing all that occurred, rushed out at every gate. Civilis, as it happened, being thrown to the ground from his horse falling, and, in consequence, a report that he was slain or dangerously wounded being credited in both armies, it is incalculable what terror the circumstance struck into his friends, and with what alacrity it inspired the enemy.

35. Vocula, instead of hanging on the rear of the fugitives, repaired the rampart and turrets of the camp, as if a second siege threatened him; so that he who so often neglected to make use of his victory, was with good reason suspected of preferring the continuance of war. The scarcity of provisions was what chiefly distressed the Roman army. The baggage of the legions, with the crowd of useless persons, were sent to Novesium, to bring in corn from that place by land; for the enemy was master of the river. The first train proceeded in safety, Civilis not having then sufficiently recovered his strength. Being informed soon after that a second party was on their way to Novesium, with a few cohorts, marching in all the negligence of a profound peace, but a few soldiers with the standards, their arms laid up in the wagons, and all scattered in complete disorder, he advanced against them in

regular order, having sent forward troops to occupy the bridges and the narrow defiles. The battle extended through a wide space, and was continued with fluctuating success, till night put an end to the encounter. The cohorts pushed into Gelduba, where the camp, remaining as before, was occupied by a garrison left there for the purpose. The danger the foragers would have been exposed to on their return, when incumbered and disheartened, was apparent. Vocula added to his own army a thousand chosen men from the fifth and fifteenth legions, who had stood the siege in the Old Camp; invincible soldiers, and exasperated against their commanding officers. A number of others, without orders, thought fit to follow, declaring aloud on their march that they would no longer bear the distress of famine, nor the treachery of their chiefs; while those who remained behind complained that, by drawing off a part, they were abandoned to their fate. Hence two seditions raged at the same time; one demanding the return of Vocula, and the other resolved not to re-enter the camp.

36. Civilis, in the meantime, besieged the Old Camp. Vocula retired to Gelduba, and thence to Novesium. Civilis took possession of Gelduba, and soon after, in an engagement of the cavalry near Novesium, gained a victory. But all events, whether prosperous or otherwise, alike excited the soldiery to seek the destruction of their general officers. Being reinforced by the arrival of the fifth and fifteenth legions, and having gained intelligence that a sum of money was sent by Vitellius, they clamoured loudly for their donative. Hordeonius Flaccus complied without much hesitation, but in the name of Vespasian. This formed the great aliment of sedition. The men, betaking themselves to feasts, revellings, and nocturnal carousings, in their liquor renewed their old antipathy to Flaccus; and none of the tribunes or commanders daring to oppose them,—for the night banished all feeling of respect,—they dragged him from his bed and slew him. The same proceeding was meditated against Vocula, but he escaped through the darkness, effectually disguised in the habit of a slave. When their fury had subsided, and fear succeeded, they sent centurions with letters to the states of Gaul, to solicit succours and money.

37. The mutineers themselves, as is usual with a multi-

tude without a leader, rash, faint-hearted, nerveless, on the approach of Civilis seized their arms, laid them down, and betook themselves to flight. Distress engendered a spirit of disunion; the soldiers from the Upper Rhine separating their own cause from the rest. The images of Vitellius were, notwithstanding, set up in the camp and the adjacent Belgic cities when Vitellius had already fallen.[1] Then the soldiers of the first, the fourth, and the eighteenth legions, returning to a sense of their duty, put themselves under the command of Vocula, and having, by his direction, again taken the oath of fidelity to Vespasian, marched under him to raise the siege of Magontiacum. The besiegers had departed; a motley army of Cattians, Usipians, and the Mattiaci, satiated with plunder, but not without loss of blood. While on their march, dispersed and off their guard, our soldiers fell upon them. The Treverians had even constructed a breast-work and palisade along the frontiers of their country, and in their contests with the Germans received and gave defeats of considerable magnitude; but, in the end, they marred their signal services to the Roman people, by renouncing their connexion with them.

38. Meanwhile, Vespasian and his son Titus, though both absent from Rome, entered on the consulship; while the city was plunged in grief, and perplexed with manifold apprehensions; in addition to the calamities that pressed upon her, having given way to groundless fears that Africa[2] had revolted, at the instance of Lucius Piso, who had engaged in revolutionary schemes. Piso was at that time governor of the province; by no means a turbulent character. But because the ships were detained by the severity of the winter, the populace, who are accustomed to buy food from day to day, and concern themselves about the price of provisions alone[3] of all the affairs of the state, while they dreaded, believed that the coast was barred, and the transport of provisions prohibited. The Vitellians, not having yet renounced the spirit of party, did what in them lay to confirm the

[1] Vitellius died about the end of December.

[2] The province of Africa, now the kingdom of Tunis.

[3] To have plenty of corn is the only patriot care of the vulgar. Juvenal adds the love of spectacles in the circus:—

—— duas tantum res anxius optat,
Panem et Circenses.—Sat. x. 80.

report; and even the conquerors did not dislike the news, for they were men whose rapacious appetites, not to be glutted even with foreign war, no civil victory could ever satisfy.

39. On the calends of January, in the senate convened by Julius Frontinus,[1] the city prætor, a vote of thanks was passed to the general officers, the armies, and the kings[2] in alliance with Rome. Tertius Julianus, who had quitted the legion under his command, as soon as the men declared for Vespasian, was deprived of the prætorship. Plotius Griphus succeeded to the office. Hormus was raised to the equestrian rank soon after. Upon the resignation of Frontinus, Cæsar Domitian received the prætorship. His name was prefixed to all edicts and letters; but the authority of government still centred in Mucianus, save that Domitian, prompted by his friends or his own caprice, had the temerity to do many acts. But Antonius Primus and Arrius Varus were the chief objects of apprehension to Mucianus: in all the freshness of recent glory, renowned for the splendour of their achievements, and high in the favour of the soldiery, they were caressed also by the populace, because they had shown no severity towards any one out of the field of battle. Antonius too was reported to have urged Scribonianus Crassus,[3] who derived splendour from a line of illustrious ancestors, and the reflection of his brother's fame, to undertake the government; and he would not have wanted partisans; but Scribonianus declined, being so little disposed to embark in dangerous uncertainties, that he would hardly have been prevailed upon to acquiesce, if every difficulty were removed. Mucianus, therefore, as Antonius could not be openly crushed, after accumulating praises upon him in the senate, loaded him with promises in private, holding out to his view the province of Hither Spain, vacant by the departure of Cluvius Rufus; and at the same time bestowed upon his friends tribuneships and præfectures. Then,

[1] Brotier says, that several works by Frontinus, which show more labour than genius, are still extant; such as "Stratagemata," "De Coloniis," "De Aquæductibus." Being city-prætor, he convened the senate on the first of January, in the absence of the consuls, Vespasian and his son Titus.

[2] Sohemus, Antiochus, and Agrippa, who had taken part with Vespasian. See Hist. ii. 81.

[3] Scribonianus Crassus was the brother of Piso, whom Galba adopted. Hist. i. 15, 16.

having filled his ambitious mind with hopes and aspirations, he destroyed the foundation of his influence, by sending the seventh legion, which was ardently attached to Antonius, into winter-quarters. The third was in the interest of Arrius Varus, and for that reason sent into Syria. Part of the army was marched into Germany; thus, the seeds of sedition being removed, the city began to resume its ancient form: the laws revived, and the magistrates discharged the functions of their office.

40. Domitian, on the day of his first appearance in the senate, discoursed in few and measured terms of the absence of his father and his brother and of his own inexperience. His deportment was graceful, and his propensities being as yet unknown, his frequent blushes were considered as a mark of modesty. Cæsar proposing that the honours of Galba should be revived, Curtius Montanus expressed an opinion that the compliment should be paid to the memory of Piso. The senate voted both propositions, but that relating to Piso was not carried into effect. Commissioners were then appointed by lot; some with power to restore to the lawful owners the property wrested from them during the war; others, to inspect the tables of brass, on which the laws were engraved, and to repair such as were defaced by time; to amend the public registers, which had been vitiated by the servile spirit of the times;[1] and to set limits to the public expenditure. To Tertius Julianus, as it now appeared that he had fled to join the banners of Vespasian, the prætorian dignity was restored; but the honour was confirmed to Griphus. It was resolved that the hearing of the question between Musonius Rufus and Publius Celer should be resumed.[2] Celer was convicted, and atonement made to the manes of Sòranus. This day, which was signalized by an act of public justice, was not without an instance also of individual merit. Musonius was considered to have fully made out his charge in all its parts. In contrast with this was the estimation in which Demetrius,[3] a disciple of the Cynic school, was held, for having defended a notorious culprit, with more ambition

[1] The calendar in Nero's time was filled with days of supplication and public thanks.

[2] See c. 10 of this book.

[3] Demetrius attended Thrasea in his last moments Annals, xvi. 35.

than sincerity. Publius himself, in the hour of danger, had neither courage nor ability to defend himself. The signal for retribution on the whole race of informers being given, Junius Mauricus[1] requested Cæsar to lay the journals of the late emperors before the senate, that in those records they might see who were the accusers, and whom they solicited permission to accuse. Domitian replied, that, in a matter of such magnitude, the emperor ought to be consulted.

41. The senate, on the motion of some of the leading members, devised a form of oath, in which all the magistrates, with rival zeal, and the rest as they were asked to vote, called the gods to witness, that no man's life by any aid of theirs had been affected, and that they themselves had derived no honour or reward from the distresses of the citizens; while those who felt conscious of guilt were agitated, and, by various subtleties, varied the terms of the oath. The fathers approved of their respect for the sanctity of an oath, but still considered them guilty of perjury. That stigma, as it were, fell with intense force upon Sariolenus Vocula, Nonius Actianus, and Cestius Severus, notorious for their frequent informations in the reign of Nero. The first of these laboured under the recent guilt of attempting the same thing with Vitellius; and the fathers continued to threaten him with violence, till he withdrew from the senate-house. Pactius Africanus was the next object of resentment. It was he, they said, who pointed out to Nero as victims the two brothers, the Scribonii,[2] distinguished by the splendour of their fortunes, and the harmony in which they lived. He dared not avow the fact, and he could not deny it. Turning round upon Vibius Crispus,[3] who pressed him with pointed questions, by mixing him up with acts which he could not clear himself of, he parried the odium due to his own crimes by a communication of guilt.

42. On that day Vipstanus Messala, not yet of senatorian

[1] See the praise of Julius Mauricus in Pliny the younger, lib. iv. epist. 22. See also Life of Agricola, c. 45.

[2] The two Scribonii, whose names were Rufus and Proculus, were put to death by Nero, at the instigation of Pactius Africanus, A.D. 67.

[3] For Vibius Crispus, see Hist. ii. 10; also the Dialogue concerning Oratory, c. 8.

age,[1] acquired, in an eminent degree, the fame of eloquence and fraternal affection, in boldly standing forth to plead for his brother Aquilius Regulus.[2] The ruin of the house of the Crassi, and of Orfitus,[3] had raised Regulus to the pinnacle of public detestation. Of his own motion, as it appeared, he undertook the prosecution when a very young man, not to ward off danger from himself, but to promote his views of advancement. Sulpicia Prætextata, the wife of Crassus, with four children, also attended, to demand vengeance if the senate should take cognisance of the case. Messala, therefore, did not attempt to defend the cause of the accused, but by his exposing himself to intercept the dangers that menaced his brother, many of the fathers were softened. To counteract that impression, Curtius Montanus,[4] in a speech of great vehemence, went so far as to allege against Regulus, that as soon as Galba was dispatched, he gave money to the murderer of Piso, and gnawed the head of Piso with his teeth. "This surely," he said, "was an act which Nero did not force him to—nor did you, Regulus, redeem your dignity, or earn your life by that barbarity. Let us, if you will, admit the plea of those who, to save themselves, accomplish the ruin of others. You, Regulus, after the banishment of your father, and the distribution of his effects among his creditors, were left beyond the reach of danger. Excluded by your youth from public honours, you had nothing to tempt the avarice of Nero; nothing to excite his fears. From a thirst for blood, a yearning for the wages of iniquity, you initiated your genius, as yet unknown and untried in the defence of any man, with the murder of an illustrious citizen; when, after snatching the spoils of a consul from the funeral pile of your country, pampered with a reward of seven thousand great

[1] That is, not five-and-twenty.

[2] Regulus was a practised informer. Pliny calls him "Bipedam nequissimas." (Lib. i. epist. 5.) See lib. ii. epist. 20.

[3] Crassus Camerinus and Scribonianus Camerinus were accused by Regulus in the reign of Nero, and put to death. (See Pliny, lib. i. epist. 5.) Cornelius Orfitus was consul in the time of Claudius, A.D. 51. (Annals, xii. 41.) He was afterwards a time-serving orator under Nero. (Annals, xvi. 12.)

[4] Curtius Montanus is mentioned with ridicule (Juvenal, Sat. iv. 107) as a man distinguished by the enormous size of his belly:—

"Montani quoque venter adest abdomine tardus."

sesterces, and glittering with the sacerdotal honours, you prostrated in one common ruin, unoffending youths, aged men of the highest character, and women of illustrious rank; when you upbraided the supineness of Nero in troubling himself and the informers to go from house to house: the whole senate, you said, might be destroyed by one word. Retain amongst you, conscript fathers, preserve this man of compendious counsels, that Romans of every time of life may be instructed, and as Marcellus and Crispus are models for our old men, so our youth may imitate Regulus. Iniquity, even when unsuccessful, finds its followers. What if it thrives and flourishes? and what if we shall see the man whom we dare not now cross, though only of quæstorian rank, raised to the rank of prætor and consul? Think you that the race of tyrants ended with Nero? The men who survived Tiberius reasoned in that manner; after the death of Caligula they said the same; whereas, in the meantime, there sprang up one still more hateful and barbarous. From Vespasian we have nothing to fear; such is his time of life, such his moderation: but examples continue to operate when the authors of them are no more. Conscript fathers, we have lost all our energy: we are no longer the senate, that, after Nero was put to death, demanded that the informers and the ministers of his iniquity should be punished in the established way. The day that succeeds the downfall of a tyrant is a blessed day indeed."

43. Montanus was heard with such marked approbation that Helvidius conceived the hope that Marcellus also might be borne down. Commencing, therefore, with an encomium upon Cluvius Rufus,[1] who, though equally rich and distinguished for eloquence, during the reign of Nero, had never busied himself to work the ruin of any man, he pressed Eprius with this example, and the charge he had against him, at once, while the minds of the fathers were still glowing with indignation. Marcellus saw the temper of the assembly, and, pretending to quit the senate-house, said, "I withdraw, Priscus, and leave your senate to you. Reign there in the presence of a Cæsar." Vibius Crispus followed him; both enraged, but with different expressions in their looks; Marcellus with eyes flashing vengeance—Crispus with a malig-

[1] See Hist. i. 8.

nant smile. Their friends, however, hastening up to them, prevailed on them to return. As the matter was hotly contested,—by the men of integrity on one side, the largest party; on the other by a few, but powerful, striving with pertinacious rancour to carry their point,—the day was consumed in altercation.

44. At the next meeting of the senate, Domitian proposed to bury in oblivion all complaints, all resentments, and all the sad necessities of former times. Mucianus spoke at large in behalf of the informers, and in a mild tone advised, and, as it were, entreated such as wanted to revive prosecutions which had been commenced, and afterwards abandoned. The fathers gave up the independence which they had just begun to exercise, when they found that opposition was made to it. Mucianus apprehending that, by this check, a blow might appear to be given to the authority of the senate, and that impunity would seem to be granted to all the crimes committed in Nero's time, remanded to the islands, to which they had been banished, Octavius Sagitta,[1] and Antistius Sosianus,[2] of senatorian rank. The former had, in a frenzy of love, murdered Pontia Posthumia, whom he had been connected with, and who refused to marry him. Sosianus, by his evil practices, had been the ruin of numbers. Both had been condemned by a solemn decree of the senate, and banished; and though others were allowed to return, they were compelled to abide by the original sentence. But the odium Mucianus had incurred was not mitigated by this expedient; for, verily, Sosianus and Sagitta, even if they had returned from exile, were of small account. The danger was from the craft, the wealth of the informers, and their influence, ever employed in schemes of villany.

45. A cause, which was heard in the senate, according to ancient usage, had the effect of calming the excited feelings of the fathers. Manlius Patruitus, a senator, complained that, in the colony of the Senensians,[3] he had been beaten by a crowd of people, and by order of the magistrates. Nor did

[1] The murder committed by Octavius Sabinus Sagitta is related more fully, Annals, xiii. 44.

[2] Antistius Sosianus was banished for his verses against Nero. Annals, xiv. 48. See also Annals, xvi. 14.

[3] Now Sienna, in Tuscany.

the injury stop there: a representation of funeral obsequies, with wailings and lamentations, was exhibited around him in his presence, together with insults and invectives thrown out against the whole body of the senate. The parties accused were cited to appear. The cause was heard, the accused convicted, and condign punishment inflicted. The fathers added a decree, by which the people of the colony were required to observe good order. About the same time, Antonius Flamma, at the suit of the inhabitants of Cyrene, was condemned to suffer the penalties under the law against extortion, and to be banished for his cruelty.

46. During these transactions, a sedition was near breaking out among the soldiery. The soldiers disbanded by Vitellius, and afterwards embodied in the service of Vespasian, claimed a right to their former rank in the prætorian guards. At the same time, a number of others, who had been drafted from the legions, under a prospect of being promoted to that station, demanded their promised pay. Even the Vitellian soldiers could not be displaced without much bloodshed. Mucianus entered the camp. The better to ascertain the period of time each had served, he directed that the victorious troops, leaving proper distances between them, should be drawn up under arms, and with their standards. The Vitellians, who, as has been mentioned, surrendered at Bovillæ, with all that could be found either at Rome or in the neighbourhood, were brought forward almost in a state of nudity. These Mucianus directed to be placed apart, and the German and the British soldiery, and if there were any belonging to other armies, to stand near in separate bodies. The Vitellians were at once paralyzed with fear at the first view, since they beheld, as it were, a hostile army, exhibiting a terrific appearance with javelins and arms, while they themselves were enclosed, unarmed, and disfigured by neglect. But when they began to be haled hither and thither, an universal panic seized them, and great above all was the alarm of the German soldiers, who thought, from this separation, that they were marked out for slaughter. They embraced their companions, clung round their necks, bade them give a last kiss, begged that they might not be left to perish alone, nor, their cause being one, that they would allow them to suffer a different fate. One while they appealed to Mucianus, then invoked their

absent sovereign, and lastly summoned heaven and the gods to witness; till at length Mucianus, calling to them in the name of soldiers bound by the same oath, and serving the same emperor, proceeded to obviate their groundless fears; for the victorious army also aided their tears by clamours; and so ended matters that day. A few days after, when Domitian addressed them, having now recovered their firmness, they replied in a tone of confidence. They spurned the lands offered, requested to serve, and receive their arrears of pay. Their request was in a supplicatory style, but it was not to be resisted. They were accordingly incorporated with the prætorian guards. The superannuated, and such as had served out their time, were then discharged with honour from the service. Some were cashiered for misbehaviour; but gradually and singly, the safest expedient for destroying a combination of numbers.

47. For the rest, whether it arose from real poverty, or a wish to seem poor, a scheme was proposed in the senate for raising, by a loan from private persons, the sum of sixty millions sesterces.[1] The management of the business was committed to Poppæus Silvanus; but, soon after, the necessity was removed, or perhaps the pretence was dropped. On the proposal of Domitian, the several appointments to the consulship, by Vitellius, were declared null and void. A censorian funeral was performed in honour of Flavius Sabinus;[2] signal instances these of the instability of fortune, exhibiting the extremes of elevation and depression in the same persons.

48. About this time Lucius Piso, the proconsul, was murdered. I shall be enabled to exhibit this sanguinary deed in the most perfect light, after having retraced a few circumstances already related, and which are not unconnected with the cause and origin of this class of atrocities. In the time of Augustus and Tiberius, the legion quartered in Africa, with the auxiliaries employed to defend the frontier of the province, were subject to the proconsul. Caligula, whose mind was of a wild unsettled character, suspecting Marcus Silanus, then governor of Africa, transferred the command of the

[1] Rather more than 500,000*l.*

[2] Flavius Sabinus, the brother of Vespasian, was murdered by the Vitellians. Hist. iii. 74.

legion to an imperial lieutenant, whom he sent for the purpose. The power of granting military preferment was divided between the two; and the orders of both clashing with each other, a disagreement arose, which was aggravated by an improper feeling of rivalry. The authority of the lieutenants gained the ascendant, either from the long continuance of the office, or because inferiors are naturally more diligent in a contest for preeminence; while the most illustrious of the proconsuls were more solicitous about personal security than the preservation of their authority.

49. But at that time Valerius Festus had the command of the legion; a young man of lavish expenditure and immoderate ambition. He also felt uncomfortable on account of his alliance with Vitellius. Whether it be true, that, in private conferences, he endeavoured to incite Piso to a revolt, or withstood the solicitations of Piso, is uncertain, as no man was admitted to their privacy; and after the death of Piso the generality were inclined by views of interest towards the murderer. The natives of the province, as well as the soldiers, were undoubtedly disaffected to Vespasian. It is likewise certain, that partisans of Vitellius, coming from Rome, represented to Piso that Gaul was on the eve of a revolt; the Germans ready to take up arms; the dangerous situation in which he stood; and the greater security afforded by open war than doubtful peace. In that juncture, Claudius Sagitta, who commanded the squadron of horse called Petrina, favoured by a quick passage, arrived in Africa before Papirius, a centurion, despatched by Mucianus, and asserted that the centurion was charged to assassinate Piso; that Galerianus,[1] his cousin-german and son-in-law, had already fallen: his only hope of safety was in taking a bold step; two courses were open to him; he might, if he preferred it, forthwith summon the province to arms; or, passing over into Gaul, offer to head the Vitellian party. Piso remained deaf to these remonstrances. The centurion sent by Mucianus had no sooner landed at Carthage, than with a loud voice, and without intermission, he cried, "All prosperity to Piso!" as though he were prince, and urged all he met, thunderstruck as they were at an event so strange and unexpected, to echo his huzzas. The credulous multitude rushed to the forum, and

[1] Calpurnius Galerianus, see above, c. 11.

insisted on Piso's making his appearance. They made the whole place ring with shouts of joy, from an inherent supineness in ascertaining truth, and a propensity to adulation. Piso, from the information of Sagitta, or his natural modesty, went not out of his house, nor committed himself to the intemperate zeal of the people; but interrogated the centurion; and finding that the object was to lay a ground of charge against him as a pretext for his murder, he ordered his officers to put him to death, not so much from the hope of saving his life, as indignation at the assassin, because, being also one of the murderers of Clodius Macer, he had come with hands reeking with the blood of a legate to assassinate a proconsul. Henceforth, having rebuked the Carthaginians in an edict indicating the anxiety he felt, he discontinued the duties of his station, and confined himself to his house, lest any occasion of fresh disturbance should arise even by accident.

50. But when Festus was apprised of the excitement of the populace, the punishment of the centurion, and other matters true and false, magnified, as usual, by the voice of fame, he despatched a party of horse to kill Piso. The assassins, who performed the journey at full speed, rushed, sword in hand, into Piso's house, at the dim hour when the light is still but beginning to appear; and being chosen from the Carthaginian auxiliaries and Moors, many of them were ignorant of the person of Piso. Near his chamber-door they met one of the slaves, and asked him who he was, and where was Piso. The slave replied, with a noble falsehood, that he was Piso, and was butchered on the spot. Piso in a short time after met his fate; for there was one among them who knew him, Bebius Massa,[1] one of the imperial procurators in Africa, even then the bane of every worthy character, and whose name will often recur hereafter as a prime mover in the calamities of our country. Festus proceeded from Adrumetum,[2] where he had stopped, anxiously looking out for the result, to the legion, and ordered Cetronius Pisanus, præfect of the camp, to be put in bonds, from personal animosity; but

[1] For more of Bebius Massa, see Life of Agricola, c. 45. He is mentioned by Juvenal as a noted informer:—

"—— Quem Massa timet, quem munere palpat
Carus."

[2] See Annals, xi. 21.

he called him a satellite of Piso. He also punished some of the soldiers, and rewarded others, with no good reason for either, but to acquire the reputation of having suppressed a war. He then adjusted a quarrel between the Œensians[1] and the people of Leptis; which, from the seizure of fruits and cattle by rustics one among another, an affair of no such magnitude, was now carried on by arms and regular battles. For the Œensians were inferior in number to their adversaries; but they had formed a league with the Garamantes, a fierce and savage race, and a prolific source of depredations among their neighbours. Hence the people of Leptis were reduced to the last extremity. Their lands were laid waste, and they were trembling within their walls, till, by the intervention of the Roman cohorts and cavalry, the Garamantes were routed, and all their booty was retaken, except what some of them, going about from one to another of their inaccessible huts, had sold to the inhabitants of more remote districts.

51. Vespasian having received intelligence of the victory at Cremona, and the success of his arms in every quarter, the death of Vitellius was announced to him by men of all ranks, who, with equal courage and good fortune, ventured to traverse the sea at that tempestuous season. Vologeses, the Parthian king, offered by his ambassadors to assist him with forty thousand of his cavalry. It was a proud and gratifying circumstance to him to be solicited to accept such powerful auxiliaries, and not to want them. He returned thanks to Vologeses, and told him to send ambassadors to the senate, apprising him also that the war was concluded. Vespasian, whose thoughts were anxiously fixed on Italy and the affairs of the city, heard the unfavourable rumour of the conduct of Domitian, according to which it seemed that he was outstepping the limits that belonged to his time of life, and assuming more than could be conceded to a son. Vespasian, therefore, consigned to Titus the main strength of his forces, to accomplish the remains of the Jewish war.

52. Titus, we are told, before he parted from his father, entreated him, in a lengthened conversation, not to allow himself to be excited too easily by the statements of the accusers of his son; and that in dealing with him, he would show a mind unprejudiced and placable. Fleets and armies

[1] "Œensis civitas," between the two Syrtes, is mentioned by Pliny.

were not such impregnable bulwarks of empire, as a numerous family; for friends may be cut off by the effects of time, transfer their attachment in a change of fortune, or fail under the influence either of inordinate desires or erroneous views; but it was a difficult task to detach from men those who are connected with them by the ties of blood: but especially in the case of princes, in whose prosperity others participate, whilst their misfortunes pressed peculiarly upon their nearest relatives. Even between brothers, unanimity would not be lasting, if the parent did not set the example. Vespasian, more charmed with the filial piety of Titus than softened towards Domitian, desired him to banish all anxiety, and proceed in the great work of aggrandizing his country by vigorous prosecution of the war. His own business should be to cultivate the arts of peace, and secure the welfare of his family. Vespasian then committed to the still tempestuous sea some of the swiftest of his ships, laden with corn; and well it was he did, for the city was then tottering under a state of things so critical that the corn in the granaries was sufficient for no more than ten days' supply, when the stores from Vespasian came in to their aid.

53. The care of rebuilding the capitol he committed to Lucius Vestinus,[1] a man of equestrian rank, but in credit and dignity among the first men in Rome. The soothsayers, who were convened by him, advised that the ruins of the former shrine should be removed to the marshes, and a temple raised on the old foundation; for the gods would not permit a change of the ancient form. On the eleventh day before the calends of July, the sky being remarkably serene, the whole space devoted to the sacred structure was encompassed with chaplets and garlands. Such of the soldiers as had names of auspicious import,[2] entered within the enclosure, with branches from trees emblematical of good fortune. Then the vestal virgins in procession, with a band of boys and girls, whose parents, male and female, were still living, sprinkled the whole place with water drawn from living fountains and rivers. Helvidius Priscus, the prætor, preceded by Plautius Ælianus, the pontiff, after purifying the area by

[1] Lucius Vestinus was a native of Vienne, a city near Lyons.

[2] Upon all solemn occasions the Romans made choice of men whose names they thought auspicious. See Cicero, De Divinatione, lib. i. 102.

sacrificing a swine, a sheep, and a bull, and replacing the entrails upon the turf, invoked Jupiter, Juno, and Minerva, and the tutelar deities of the empire, praying that they would prosper the undertaking, and, with divine power, carry to perfection a work begun by the piety of man; and then Helvidius laid his hand upon the wreaths that bound the foundation-stone and were twined about the cords; at the same time, the magistrates, the priests, the senators, the knights, and a number of citizens, with simultaneous efforts, prompted by zeal and exultation, haled the ponderous stone along. Contributions of gold and silver, and pieces of other metals, the first that were taken from the mines, that had never been melted in the furnace, but in their native state, were thrown upon the foundations on all hands. The soothsayers enjoined that neither stone nor gold which had been applied to other uses, should profane the building. Additional height was given to the edifice; this was the only variation conceded by religion; and in point of magnificence it was considered to be inferior to the former temple. [1]

54. Meanwhile, the news of Vitellius's death, spreading through Gaul and Germany, gave rise to two wars at once: for Civilis, throwing off his mask, declared open hostility against the Romans; and the Vitellian soldiers, rather than acknowledge Vespasian, were ready to submit to slavery under a foreign yoke. The Gauls had assumed a tone of confidence, concluding that the same fate had attended the Roman armies wherever stationed; a rumour being current among them that the Dacians and Sarmatians had laid siege to the encampments in Mœsia and Pannonia. Affairs in Britain were supposed to be in no better situation. Above all, the destruction of the capitol impressed them with a conviction that the dissolution of the Roman empire was at hand; the city, they said, had been captured formerly by the Gauls, but the abode of Jupiter being untouched, the empire had survived. The Druids, [2] in their wild enthusiasm, declared in prophetic strains that now a sign of the wrath of heaven

[1] The splendour and magnificence of the capitol and the temple of Jupiter are described by Plutarch, Life of Poplicola.

[2] The order of Druids had been suppressed in Gaul by Tiberius (Pliny, lib. xxx. 4); and the emperor Claudius extinguished their religion (Suetonius, Life of Claudius, s. 25). It is probable, therefore, that a race of Druids was sent from Britain.

had been given by the appointed fire, and that the transfer of the empire of the world to the transalpine nations was portended. A report prevailed, at the same time, that the chieftains of Gaul, who had been employed by Otho against Vitellius, bound themselves in a compact not to neglect the opportunity for regaining their liberty, should the power of the Roman people be broken in a succession of civil wars and internal calamities.

55. Before the murder of Hordeonius Flaccus, nothing transpired from which the confederacy could be inferred; but after the assassination of Hordeonius, messengers were seen passing to and fro between Civilis and Classicus, who commanded a squadron of Treverian horse. Classicus, in rank and wealth, surpassed the rest of his countrymen; he was of a royal lineage, and his ancestors renowned for wisdom and valour: as for himself, he made his boast, that he was the hereditary enemy, rather than the ally of Rome. He was joined in the plot by Julius Tutor and Julius Sabinus; the former a Treverian, the latter a Lingonian. Tutor had been preferred by Vitellius to command on the banks of the Rhine. Sabinus, in addition to his natural vanity, was inflamed by pride, in consideration of his falsely supposed descent. He pretended, that his great-grandmother attracted the admiration of the deified Julius, and had an adulterous amour with him. These men made it their business, in secret conferences, to sound the temper of others; and, having engaged in the plot such as they thought proper for the purpose, met at a private house in the Agrippinian colony; for, as a body, the inhabitants abhorred attempts of this kind. Nevertheless there were present certain of the Ubians and Tungrians, but the Treverians and Lingonians possessed the greatest influence; nor could they brook the delay of deliberating. They proclaimed each as loud as he could, that "the Roman people were raging with civil dissensions; her armies were cut to pieces; Italy was laid waste, and the city at that moment taken by storm; while all her armies had each of them a war upon its hands. If the passes over the Alps should be secured by garrisons, the Gauls, having established their independence, might then determine what limit they would assign to their efforts." This project was approved as soon as heard.

56. How to dispose of the remaining Vitellian soldiers was

a perplexing question; many were of opinion that they ought to be put to the sword, as turbulent and unprincipled men, and polluted with the blood of their officers. The plan of sparing them prevailed, lest by removing all hope of pardon they should rouse them to a desperate resistance. It were better that they should be enticed into the confederacy. Let their officers only be put to death, and the common men, conscious of their crimes, yet entertaining hopes of impunity, would readily join them. Such was the nature of their first measure. They also sent emissaries to kindle the flame of war in Gaul. The conspirators thêmselves feigned submission to the commands of Vocula, that he might be the more off his guard, and fall an easier victim. Some persons, however, conveyed information to Vocula, but he wanted strength to suppress the movement, as the legions were deficient in complement, and not to be trusted. Surrounded by a suspected soldiery and secret enemies, he concluded that his best course under existing circumstances was to proceed by dissimulation and the arts by which he was assailed, and therefore went down to the Agrippinian colony. Thither Claudius Labeo, who, as already mentioned, had been captured and sent out of the province to the Frisians, having corrupted his guard, had fled for refuge; and having promised, if a force were assigned him, to penetrate into Batavia, and bring back the chiefs of the country to the interest of Rome, he obtained a small party of foot and cavalry; but not venturing to attempt anything with the Batavians, he induced some of the Nervians and Betasians [1] to take up arms, and made incursions upon the Canninefates and Marsacians more by stealth than open war.

57. Vocula, induced by the fraudulent representations of the Gauls, proceeded against the enemy. As soon as he approached the Old Camp, Classicus and Tutor, advancing under colour of exploring the motions of the enemy, concluded a treaty with the German chiefs; and then for the first time they encamped apart from the legions, and threw a separate rampart round a camp of their own, Vocula protesting "that Rome was not so rent by her own divisions as to become the scorn of the Treverians and Lingones: she had still a number of provinces firm in her interest; victorious

[1] Betasii, inhabitants of what is now called Brabant.

armies; the auspicious fortune of the empire; and the gods her avengers. Thus formerly Sacrovir[1] and the Æduans, and recently Vindex and the Gauls, had been crushed, each by a single battle. And now too, the violators of treaties might expect the same gods, the same fate. Julius and Augustus best understood the disposition of the Gauls; Galba, and a mitigation of tributes, had excited hostile feelings in them. They were enemies now because they were subjected to a mild rule; when they had been despoiled and stripped of their possessions, they would resume a friendly spirit." Having delivered this speech in a tone of high displeasure, seeing that Classicus and Tutor persisted in their perfidy, he marched back to Novesium. The Gauls encamped at the distance of two miles. The minds of the centurions and soldiers that visited them there were seduced by bribes to engage that a Roman army, with a baseness of spirit till then unheard of, should swear fidelity to a foreign power; and that an earnest of the atrocious compact should be given by the murder of their commanders, or by delivering them up in chains. Vocula, though many persuaded him to escape, resolved to go boldly through with the business; and summoning an assembly, discoursed in the following manner:—

58. "Never have I addressed you, my fellow-soldiers, with more anxiety for your welfare, or with less concern for myself. For that my destruction is meditated I hear without regret; and look forward to death, plunged as I am in affliction, as the termination of my sufferings. But I blush for you, my heart bleeds for you, against whom no battle is preparing, no troops are marshalling; for that were according to the law of arms and the usage of enemies; Classicus hopes to fight against the Roman people with your hands, and boasts of the empire of Gaul, and of the oath of fidelity to Gaul. If our good fortune and courage have failed us for the moment, are we so deficient in examples of former times, when, as was often the case, Roman legions chose to perish rather than abandon their post? The allies of Rome have seen their cities wrapped in fire, and, with their wives and children, have perished in the flames; nor had they any other motive for undergoing that fate, than the preservation of their honour and fame. At this moment, in the Old Camp, the legions are

[1] For Sacrovir, see Annals, iii. 46.

enduring the horrors of famine and a siege; but neither threats nor promises can shake their constancy. Besides arms and men, and a camp excellently fortified, we have provisions sufficient for a war, however protracted. Our money sufficed on a recent occasion, even for a donative; and whether you prefer to impute it to Vespasian or to Vitellius, doubtless it is the bounty of a Roman emperor. Conquerors in so many wars, after routing the enemy so often at Gelduba at the Old Camp, if you dread a pitched battle, that surely were unworthy of you; but you have a rampart and walls, and methods of protracting the war till succours arrive, and armies crowd to your relief from the neighbouring provinces. Grant that I have incurred your displeasure; there are other commanders; there are tribunes; at all events, a centurion, or even a common soldier. Let not this portentous fact be circulated round the world, that Civilis and Classicus purpose invading Italy attended by Roman soldiers. And if the Gauls and Germans shall lead you to the walls of Rome, will you use your arms against your country? My soul recoils with horror at the bare idea of such an atrocity. Shall watches be set for Tutor the Treverian? Shall a Batavian give the signal for battle? Will you serve as recruits to complete the German battalions? When the Roman legions appear before you in order of battle, in what horrible act will your conduct issue? Deserters already, will you become so a second time? Traitors to your country, will you turn traitors to your new allies? Will you stagger between your recent and your former oath, objects of detestation to the gods? Thee, Jove, supremely great and good, to whom through a space of eight hundred and twenty years we have paid our vows for so many triumphs; and thee, Quirinus, founder of the Roman name, I implore and beseech, that if it is not your pleasure that under my command this camp shall remain pure and inviolate, you would at least not suffer it to be defiled and polluted by Tutor and Classicus. Grant, I beseech you, to Roman soldiers, either purity of thought, or that they may repent speedily, and before they plunge into guilty acts."

59. This speech was heard with various emotions, between hope, fear, and shame. Vocula retired; and while he was deliberating about putting a period to his existence, his slaves and freedmen prevented him from forestalling a horrible

death by a voluntary act. Classicus hastened to destroy him by the hand of Æmilius Longinus, a deserter from the first legion, whom he sent for the purpose. It was thought enough that Herennius and Numisius, commanders of legions, should be secured in chains. Classicus, in a short time afterwards, entered the camp, with the pomp and apparel of a Roman commander; and though he brought with him a mind inured to every kind of daring, he had not power to say anything beyond reciting the words of the oath. All the soldiers present swore fidelity to the empire of the Gauls. The assassin of Vocula was raised to high rank in the army; the rest he signalized by rewards in proportion to their services in crime. Tutor and Classicus took their respective shares in the conduct of the war. Tutor, with a strong force, invested the Agrippinian colony, and compelled the inhabitants and all the soldiers stationed on the Upper Rhine, to swear on the same terms, after putting to death the tribunes at Magontiacum, and driving away the præfect of the camp, because they declined. From those who submitted, Classicus selected the most profligate, and sent them to the Old Camp, with directions to promise a free pardon if they acquiesced in things as they were; otherwise, that famine, the sword, and the utmost he could inflict, would be their portion. The messengers added the weight of their own example.

60. The besieged were distracted between the claims of duty and the pangs of hunger; between honour and infamy. While in this state of indecision, they were in want not only of ordinary food, but even of such as is unusual; their beasts of burden and horses being consumed, and all other animals impure and filthy which necessity brought into use: at last, while tearing up shrubs and stocks, and the herbs that grew between the stones, they exhibited an example of patience and suffering; till at length they tarnished their transcendent merit by an inglorious termination, in suing for their lives by deputies sent to Civilis. Nor were their supplications listened to till they swore fidelity to the empire of Gaul. Then having stipulated for the plunder of the camp, he assigned guards to secure the money, sutlers, and baggage, and to escort them away, destitute as they were. They had proceeded about five miles, when the Germans starting up, fell upon them as they were marching and off their guard. The most resolute of

them never stirred a foot; many were cut off while attempting to escape; the rest fled for refuge back to the camp; when it must be admitted Civilis complained of the proceeding, and rebuked the Germans, as having broken faith by an act of villany. Whether this was mere pretence, or he was really unable to restrain their savage violence, is not clearly made out. After ransacking the camp they threw fire upon it, and all who survived the battle the flames destroyed.

61. Now, at length, having completed the destruction of the legions, Civilis cropped his long and burnished hair, in fulfilment of a vow common to barbarians,[1] which he took upon himself after he commenced hostilities against the Romans. He is also reported to have placed as marks some of the captives, to be shot by his son, a little boy, with arrows and javelins suited to children. However, he neither took the oath of allegiance to the Gauls himself, nor obliged any Batavian to do so, relying on the power of the Germans; and should it be necessary to contend for empire, he knew that his name stood high, and that he had the advantage. Mummius Lupercus, the commander of a legion, was sent, among a number of presents, as a gift to Veleda,[2] a virgin of the Bructerian nation, who ruled over a large tract of territory; according to an ancient custom among the Germans of supposing that many of their women have a prophetic spirit, and, when the superstitious notion has waxed strong, of believing them to be divinities. The authority of Veleda, at that time, was at its zenith, for she had foretold the success of her countrymen, and the destruction of the legions. Lupercus was murdered on the road. A few centurions and tribunes, who were natives of Gaul, were reserved as hostages for the alliance. The winter-camps of the cohorts, the cavalry, and the legions, excepting one at Magontiacum, and another at Vindonissa,[3] were pulled down and burned.

62. The thirteenth legion, with the auxiliaries that surrendered at the same time, received orders to march from

[1] This custom obtained also in civilized nations, insomuch that Suetonius tells us of Julius Cæsar,—"Milites diligebat usque adeo, ut, audita clade Tituriana, barbam capillumque summiserit, nec ante dempserit, quam vindicasset."—Life of Julius Cæsar, s. 67. See also c. 7 of the Germania.

[2] For Veleda, and other prophetic women, see c. 8 of the Germania.

[3] Now Wendish, in the Canton of Berne.

Novesium to the colony of the Treverians; a day being fixed within which they should quit the camp. The whole interval they passed in anxiety, some on one account, others on another; the dastardly looked with horror at the example of those slain at the Old Camp; the better sort were touched with shame, and felt the infamy of their situation. "What kind of march would that be, they reflected? and who was to lead them? And everything would be at the caprice of those whom they had made their masters." Others, without a thought about the disgrace, stowed their money and what they valued most about their persons; some prepared their arms, and accoutred themselves as if for battle. While occupied with these reflections, the day for their departure arrived, and brought with it still deeper woes than they anticipated; for indeed the mutilation and defacement within the camp was not so striking. It was the open space, the light of day, that developed their degradation. The images of the emperors were torn down; the Roman standards neglected; while the banners of the Gauls flared on all sides. The train moved on in silence, like a long funereal procession. Their leader was Claudius Sanctus, a man who had lost an eye, of a horrid countenance, and still more deformed in mind. The disgrace was redoubled by the arrival of another legion from the camp at Bonna; and when the report was spread of the captured legions, all who a little before shuddered at the Roman name, hastening from the fields and houses, and pouring forth from all quarters, gazed on the unwonted spectacle with too much zest. The joy of the jeering rabble was more than the squadron of horse, called Picentina, could endure, and heedless of the threats or promises of Sanctus, they marched off towards Magontiacum; and in their way accidentally meeting Longinus, the murderer of Vocula, by overwhelming him with their darts, they made the first step in the expiation of their guilt, which they had to complete thereafter. The legions, without altering their course, proceeded to the city of the Treverians, and pitched their tents under the walls.

63. Civilis and Classicus, elated with success, were in doubt whether to give up the Agrippinian colony to be plundered by the soldiers. Their own natural ferocity and love of plunder conspired to prompt them to raze the city, but the

plan of the war suggested arguments against it, and the advantage of a reputation for clemency to those who are commencing a new empire. Civilis was also moved by the recollection of a service rendered to his son, on the first breaking out of the war, who was laid hold of in the colony of the Agrippinians, and whom they had treated with respect while in their custody. But the nations beyond the Rhine saw the opulence and growth of the place with envy, and considered that, to terminate the war, it was necessary either that it should be an open city for all Germans, or that by being demolished, it should occasion the dispersion of the Ubians also.

64. Accordingly the Tencterians, a people separated by the Rhine, sent ambassadors to the Agrippinian colony, with directions to explain to an assembly of the state their resolution, which the boldest of the deputies thus laid before them:—"That you should have returned to the community and title of Germans, we give thanks to the gods, whom we adore in common, and to Mars, the chief of deities; and we congratulate you that at length free yourselves, you will live, henceforward, among free men. For the Romans hitherto have barred our lands, our rivers, and, in a manner, the heavens, to prevent our holding intercourse in word or deed; or, what is still more offensive to men born to arms, to make us visit you without arms, and almost naked, under the eye of a guard, and obliged to pay a tax for the favour. But, that our friendship and union may be established imperishably, we require of you to pull down the walls of your city, those strongholds of slavery: even savage animals, if you keep them confined, forget their natural courage. We require that you put to the sword all the Romans within your borders—liberty and tyrants cannot dwell together. Let the goods of the slain be brought into a common stock, that no one may embezzle anything, or consult his own private interest apart. Let it be lawful for us and you to inhabit both banks of the Rhine, as our ancestors of old. As the use of light and air is given by nature to us all, she has made every land free to the brave. Revive the institutions and customs of your country; renouncing those luxuries by which the Romans acquire power over the people subject to them, more than by their valour.[1] An unmixed, and untainted, and

[1] Compare the Life of Agricola, c. 21.

unenslaved people, you will either live upon a footing of equality, or you will exercise authority over others."

65. The Agrippinians having taken time for deliberation, since their present state was not such as to admit of their accepting terms which would expose them to future danger, nor of openly rejecting them, replied in this sort:—"As soon as the opportunity of recovering our liberty presented itself, we seized it, more in compliance with our eager desire to be united with you and the rest of the Germans, our kinsmen by blood, than from calculations of security. Now that the Roman armies are just assembling, it is more for our safety to add to the strength of our walls than to demolish them. If there were any strangers out of Italy, or the provinces within our borders, they have perished in the war, or they have escaped to their respective homes. Those who of old were settled here, and have been united with us in marriages, and those who have since sprung from them, may fairly claim this colony as their country. Nor do we esteem you so inhuman as to wish us to murder our fathers, our brothers, and our children. All duties, all restrictions upon commerce we repeal. Let the passage over the river be free and unguarded, but in the day-time and without arms, until these new and recent privileges become established by custom. We desire that Civilis and Veleda may arbitrate between us: under their sanction the treaty shall be ratified." The Tencterians were thus appeased, and ambassadors were sent with presents to Civilis and Veleda, who concluded everything to the satisfaction of the Agrippinians. The deputies, however, were not admitted to the presence of Veleda, and to accost her. Persons were not allowed to see her, to increase the awe of her. She herself resided in the summit of a lofty tower: a relation, chosen for the purpose, was employed to convey the questions and responses, like a messenger between man and a deity.

66. Strengthened by his alliance with the Agrippinian colony, Civilis determined to gain over the neighbouring states; or, if they opposed them, to subdue them by force. The Sunicians[1] had already submitted to his arms, and he had formed the youth of the country capable of bearing arms into regular cohorts. To oppose his progress, Claudius

[1] Now Limburg. There still exists a village with the name of Sinnich.

Labeo encountered him with a body of Betasians, Tungrians, and Nervians, raised by sudden levies, relying on the advantage of his position, as he had got possession of the bridge over the Meuse. The battle was for some time fought in a narrow defile, with doubtful success, till the Germans swam across the river, and charged Labeo's forces in the rear. Civilis at the same time, whether from an effort of courage or a preconcerted plan, rushed among the Tungrians, proclaiming aloud, "that the object of the war was not to procure for the Batavians and Treverians dominion over the nations. Far be from us such arrogance," said he; "accept our alliance: I am ready to join you; your general, if you will; if not, a common soldier." The crowd were moved by his words, and sheathed their swords. In that moment, Campanus and Juvenalis, the leading chieftains of the Tungrians, surrendered the whole nation to Civilis. Labeo made his escape, lest he should be cut off. The Betasians and the Nervians, who also surrendered, Civilis incorporated with his army; carrying all before him, as the states were either awed into submission, or came over spontaneously.

67. Meanwhile, Julius Sabinus, having destroyed the monuments[1] of the alliance with Rome, caused himself to be proclaimed Cæsar; and at the head of a large and undisciplined body of his countrymen, marched hastily against the Sequanians, a neighbouring state, and faithful to Rome. The Sequanians did not decline the conflict. Fortune favoured the juster cause: the Lingones were defeated. The rashness with which Sabinus rushed on to the attack, was equalled by the precipitation with which he fled. He escaped to a farmhouse, and, in order to spread a report of his death, set fire to it. It was believed that he died there voluntarily; but the various arts by which he protracted life for nine years, and the places in which he lay concealed, together with the constancy of his friends, and the memorable example of his wife Epponina, shall be recorded in their proper place.[2]

[1] Tables of brass, on which was engraven the treaty of alliance between the Romans and the Lingones.

[2] The account here promised of Epponina's fidelity has not come down to us. She was discovered in a cavern with Sabinus her husband nine years afterwards, and with him conveyed to Rome. Plutarch, who relates the particulars, says that her death was the disgrace of Vespasian's reign.

The victory obtained by the Sequanians checked the progress of the war; the states began to alter their tone, and to reflect on the obligations of justice and the faith of treaties; the Remi[1] setting the example, who sent a notice to the different states of Gaul, to send deputies to consult in common, whether they should strike for liberty or remain quiet.

68. These transactions, when reported at Rome, in an exaggerated form, were a source of anxiety to Mucianus, lest the generals, though distinguished soldiers, for he had selected Annius Gallus and Petilius Cerealis to command the German armies, should prove unequal to the weight of the war. Rome, at the same time, could not be left without a ruler. The unbridled passions of Domitian also were dreaded; while Antonius Primus and Arrius Varus were suspected. The latter, who had been put in command of the prætorian guards, had arms and men in his power. Mucianus removed him from his office, and, to solace him, made him superintendent of provisions. To pacify the mind of Domitian, the friend of Varus, he appointed Arretinus Clemens, a man nearly related to the house of Vespasian, and high in favour with Domitian, to the command of the prætorian guards; urging that, in the reign of Caligula, his father held the same command with high repute. The same name, he observed, would be welcome to the soldiers; and Clemens himself, though a member of the senate, would be able to discharge the duties of both stations. The most eminent individuals among the citizens were chosen to join the expedition, and others procured the appointment by intrigue. Domitian and Mucianus prepared to set out, but in dissimilar moods; the young prince in the confidence and fervour of youth, impatient for action; Mucianus, studying pretexts for delay, to check his ardent spirit, lest, if he were suddenly placed at the head of an army, from the impetuosity natural to his years, and the impulse of pernicious counsellors, he should embarrass the negotiations for peace, and the operations of war. Two of the victorious legions,[2] the sixth and eighth, the twenty-first from the Vitellian party, and the second from the forces lately raised, were marched into Gaul; some

[1] The Remi inhabited what is now called the Diocese of Rheims.

[2] Concerning all these legions, see Hist. ii. 6. The Second Legion is the additional second, "Legio Secunda Adjutrix."

over the Penine and Cottian Alps,[1] and others over the Graian mountain.[2] The fourteenth legion was summoned from Britain, and the sixth and tenth from Spain. The consequence was, that the states of Gaul, being inclined to more pacific counsels from the rumour of the approaching army, as well as the impulse of their own minds, assembled in congress at Rheims. A deputation from the Treverians waited there for the result of the deliberations, and with them Tullius Valentinus, the most strenuous promoter of the war. In a speech prepared for the purpose, he poured forth all the charges usually urged against extensive empires, with insulting and invidious reflections upon the Roman people; a congenial promoter of seditious disturbances, and a favourite with the multitude on account of his intemperate eloquence.

69. On the other hand, Julius Auspex, a leading chief among the people of Rheims, by representing the power of the Romans, and the blessings of peace,—that war was undertaken even by men of no account in the field, but was carried on at the peril of the bravest spirits, and that the legions were already bearing down upon them,—restrained the more discreet by motives of respect and allegiance, and the more inexperienced by setting before them the danger they would encounter, and by appealing to their fears. The consequence was, that they applauded the spirit of Valentinus, but adopted the advice of Auspex. There can be no doubt that the interest of the Treverians and Lingones with the states of Gaul was impaired by their having espoused the cause of Verginius, in the commotions excited by Vindex. The mutual jealousies of the provinces operated in deterring many. Which was to be the leading state in the war? where the source of authority and the auspices? what city would they choose as the seat of empire, if all things succeeded according to their wishes? They had not yet gained the victory, but were already quarrelling among themselves. One state boasted of its alliances; another of its riches and power; a third of its ancient origin, in their altercations. Dissatisfied with the prospect of the future, they resolved to acquiesce in their present condition. Letters were despatched to the Treverians in the name of the states of Gaul, requiring them to lay down

[1] The passes of Great St. Bernard and Briançon.
[2] The pass of the Little St. Bernard.

their arms, while they might obtain their pardon, and their friends were ready to solicit for them. The same Valentinus opposed this course, and his countrymen, by his advice, were deaf to all remonstrances: though he was not so diligent in preparing the means of war, as he was assiduous in his attendance on public assemblies.

70. Thus the exertions of the Treverians, the Lingones, and other revolted states, were in no proportion to the extent of the danger they had incurred in undertaking the war. Not even their generals acted in concert. Civilis traversed the devious regions of Belgium with the object of making Labeo his prisoner, or forcing him out of the country. Classicus loitered away the best part of his time in indolence, as if he were enjoying the privileges of empire already acquired. Even Tutor neglected to secure the upper bank of the Rhine, and the passes of the Alps. In the meantime, the one-and-twentieth legion, by the way of Vindonissa, penetrated into Gaul, and Sextilius Felix, with auxiliary cohorts, through Rhætia.[1] He was joined by a squadron of horse, called Singulares, first summoned to his aid by Vitellius, and afterwards united with Vespasian. Their commanding officer was Julius Briganticus, whose mother was the sister of Civilis,—hated by his uncle, and hating him implacably, as is often the case in quarrels between near connexions. Tutor having augmented his army by new musters in the country of the Vangiones,[2] the Caeracatians, and Tribocians, strengthened them with a body of veterans, horse and foot, from the legions; men whom he had either inveigled by promises, or compelled by menaces. A cohort detatched by Sextilius Felix appeared in sight; they put them to the sword; but soon after, seeing the approach of Roman generals and an army, they went over to that side; a desertion that did them honour; and the Tribocians, the Vangiones, and the Caeracatians, followed their example. Tutor, avoiding Magontiacum, retired with the Treverians to Bingium,[3] where, having broken down the bridge over the Nava,[4] he thought himself posted to

[1] The Rhæti, now the Grisons.

[2] Vangiones, now the diocese of Worms.

[3] Still called Bingen; but the town appears to have been formerly on the other side of the river.

[4] Now the Nahe.

advantage; but being charged by Felix with a cohort under his command, who had found a fordable place, Tutor's reliance was gone; and he was put to the rout. The Treverians were struck with terror at this severe defeat, and the common people laying down their arms dispersed themselves about the country. Some of their chiefs, to claim the merit of being the first to submit, fled for refuge to such states as had not renounced the Roman alliance. The legions which had been removed, as already mentioned, from Novesium and Bonna to the territory of the Treverians, voluntarily swore fidelity to Vespasian. Valentinus was absent during these transactions; he returned furious, and resolved to throw everything into confusion and peril again, but the legions went off to Mediomatricum,[1] a city in alliance with Rome. Tutor and Valentinus induced the Treverians once more to take up arms; putting to death Herennius and Numisius, commanders of legions, to strengthen the bond of guilt that held them together by diminishing the hope of pardon.

71. Such was the state of the war when Petilius Cerealis reached Magontiacum. By his arrival the hopes of the party were raised. Eager for battle himself, and more to be admired for the contempt in which he held the enemy than the prudence of his measures, he kindled the spirit of the soldiery by the bold tone of his language, intimating that he would not hesitate a moment to engage the enemy on the first opportunity of getting at him. The levies, which had been raised in Gaul, he ordered back to their country, with directions to publish everywhere, that the legions were sufficient to defend the empire; and, therefore, that the allies might return to the employments of peace, as though the war was concluded, now that the Roman armies had taken up the matter. By this message the Gauls were brought to a more submissive temper. Their young men being thus restored to their country, they felt their tribute lighter; and were the more zealous in their duties, because they were not made much of. Civilis and Classicus, learning that Tutor was defeated, the Treverians cut to pieces, and fortune favouring the Roman arms in everything, were in a state of alarm and nervous agitation, and drawing together their scattered forces, in the meantime warned Valentinus, by repeated messengers, not to run the hazard of

[1] Now the diocese of Metz.

a decisive engagement. Cerealis was the more impatient to strike a sudden blow. He despatched emissaries to Mediomatricum, with orders to bring the legions against the enemy by a shorter rout, and uniting the soldiers stationed at Magontiacum with the forces which he brought with him from Italy, he in three days' march arrived at Rigodulum.[1] At that place Valentinus, at the head of a large body of Treverians, had taken post, defended on one side by the Moselle, and on the other by mountains. He added a fosse, and a barrier of stones; nor did these defences deter the Roman general from ordering his infantry to force them, and marching his cavalry in battle array up the hill, despising an enemy hastily drawn together, who, he knew, would not be so much benefited by their position, as that his own troops would not derive superior advantages from their valour. The soldiers were retarded a little in the ascent till they got clear of the enemy's missiles; when they came to engage hand to hand, the barbarians were hurled headlong from the steep like the fragments of a falling edifice. In the meantime a party of the cavalry, wheeling round the more level eminences, made the principal Belgic chiefs prisoners of war, with Valentinus, their general, in the number.

72. On the following day Cerealis entered the capital of the Treverians. The soldiers panted for the destruction of the city. "It was the birth-place of Classicus and of Tutor. By their treason the legions had been besieged and massacred. What was the great demerit of Cremona, a city which had been plucked from the bosom of Italy because, for a single night, she delayed the career of the victors? A city standing on the confines of Germany, exulting in the spoils of armies, and reeking with the blood of generals, was left untouched. The booty might be brought into the account of the public treasury; they would themselves be satisfied with the burning and demolition of a rebel colony, as an atonement for the destruction of so many camps." Cerealis, from fear of the disgrace that would attach to him, if he should be supposed to engender in his soldiers a taste for rapine and cruelty, checked the fury of his men, and they obeyed. The rage of civil war was over, and against foreign enemies there was less violence of feeling. Their attention was immediately turned to the legions summoned from

[1] Now Rigol, on the Moselle, near Treves.

Mediomatricum, who presented a spectacle truly wretched. Conscious of their guilt, they stood overwhelmed with grief, with their eyes fixed on the ground. Between the two armies no mutual salutation passed when they met. They heard the words of consolation and encouragement, but made no answer, skulking to their tents, and shunning even the light of day. Nor was it so much a sense of danger and fear that had confounded them, as shame and humiliation; while even the victors were overpowered by their feelings, not daring to lift up their voices and entreat for them, but interceding for their pardon with tears and silent appeals, till at length Cerealis soothed their minds by declaring that all that had happened, either in consequence of dissensions among the superior officers, and among the soldiers, or the treachery of the enemy, was the effect of fatal necessity. They must consider that day as the first of their service, and of sworn allegiance. Their former offences, great though they were, neither the emperor nor their general desired to look back upon. They were then admitted into the camp; and the general gave out orders to every company, that no man should presume, upon any occasion of contest or altercation, to reproach his comrades with having been engaged in sedition, or having suffered a defeat.

73. Cerealis then called an assembly of the Treverians and Lingones, and thus addressed them: "Eloquence, indeed, is a talent which I never cultivated. In the field of battle I have maintained the character of the Roman people for valour. But as words weigh with you more than anything, and things good and evil are estimated by you, not with reference to their intrinsic merits, but the colouring of incendiaries, I have resolved to address a few words to you, which, now the war is over, it will be more your interest to have heard, than mine to have uttered. The Roman generals and emperors entered your territories, and the other provinces of Gaul, from no lust of conquest, but solicited by your ancestors, at that time torn by intestine divisions, and driven to the brink of ruin; and when the Germans, whom you called to your aid, enslaved, without distinction, those who invited them, and those who resisted. The battles which Rome has fought with the Teutones and the Cimbrians,[1]—her wars in

[1] See Velleius Paterculus, lib. ii. 8, 12; and Plutarch, Life of Marius.

Germany, and the toil and vigour of her legions, with the various events that followed, are all sufficiently known. If our legions were posted on the banks of the Rhine, it was not for the defence of Italy, but lest another Ariovistus[1] should aspire to reign over you. And do you now imagine that Civilis, or the Batavians, or the nations beyond the Rhine, have that affection for you and your welfare which your forefathers never experienced from their ancestors? The same motives that first incited the Germans to cross the Rhine, will ever subsist: ambition, avarice, and the love of new settlements: ready, at all times, to change their swampy fens and barren deserts to get possession of your fertile plains and yourselves. But liberty and specious pretences are employed to veil their designs; nor did ever any man desire to reduce others to servitude and subjection to himself, without using the same terms.

74. "Your country, till you put yourselves under our protection, was at all times harassed with wars, and oppressed by tyrants. Rome, though so often provoked by war, imposed upon you by the right of conquest that only which was necessary to preserve peace. For to maintain the tranquillity of nations, arms are necessary; soldiers must be kept in pay; and without a tribute supplies cannot be raised. All other things are placed upon a footing of equality. Our legions are often commanded by you; you are governors of your own provinces, and even of others. Nothing is reserved to ourselves, no exclusiveness exercised. Does a virtuous prince reign at Rome? though placed at a distance, you feel the mildness of his government equally with ourselves. Tyrants turn their rage upon those immediately within their reach. In the same manner as you submit to excessive rains, and barren seasons, and all the other calamities of nature, so also put up with the avarice and prodigality of princes.[2] As long as human nature remains there will be

[1] For Ariovistus, the German chief, who pushed his conquests in Gaul, see Cæsar, De Bell. Gall. i. 31.

[2] Seneca expresses himself to the same effect: "Omnia itaque sic patitur sapiens, ut hiemis rigorem, et intemperantiam cœli, ut fervores morbosque, et cætera forte accidentia."—Seneca, De Const. Sap. c. 9. Pope has said, in the same spirit,—

"If plagues or earthquakes break not Heaven's design,
Why then a Borgia or a Catiline?"

faults. But even these are not unvaried; but are compensated by the occasional display of better qualities. Unless, perhaps, you expect from Tutor and Classicus a milder and more equitable reign; or that under their auspices armies will be raised to repel the Germans and the Britons, by means of lighter tributes than are now paid. For if the Roman dominion is repudiated, (which may the gods avert!) what other consequence will result than that all the nations will be engaged in war with each other? During a space of eight hundred years, this fabric of empire has been raised by good fortune and strict discipline; nor can it be torn down without bringing ruin upon its destroyers. But you will be exposed to the greatest danger. You have gold and riches, those great incentives to war. Cherish, therefore, and honour peace and the city of Rome: a city whose privileges we enjoy, alike the conqueror and the conquered. Let the experience you have had of the vicissitudes of fortune instruct you to prefer submission with security to rebellion with ruin." Such was the speech in which he allayed the fears, and revived the hopes of the Gauls, who apprehended severer treatment.

75. The Treverian state was occupied by the victorious army, when Cerealis received letters from Civilis and Classicus, the purport of which was, that Vespasian, though they suppressed the intelligence, was no more; that Italy and Rome were reduced to the last extremity by intestine war; that the names of Domitian and Mucianus carried no weight or authority with them. If Cerealis aspired to the sovereignty of Gaul, Civilis and Classicus would rest contented with the limits of their own states. If he preferred the decision of the sword, they did not decline even that. To this message Cerealis returned no answer, but sent the letter, and the person who brought it, to Domitian. Meanwhile, the barbarians, in detached parties, came pouring down from every quarter. Cerealis was generally censured for suffering the forces to form a junction, when he might have cut them off in detail. The Roman army threw a fosse and rampart round the camp, which he had occupied hitherto in an unprotected state.

76. The Germans were arrayed against each other in a conflict of opinions. Civilis was for waiting the arrival of

the nations beyond the Rhine, with the terror of whose name the Roman forces would be disheartened, and yield an easy victory. What more were the Gauls than a ready prey to the conqueror? and yet the Belgians, the main strength of the nation, were with them, either avowedly, or in their hearts. Tutor maintained that, by protracting the war, the Roman power would increase, as their legions were drawing together from all parts. One was already arrived from Britain, others were summoned from Spain, and more were on their march from Italy; not tumultuary bands, but veterans inured to war. He alleged, too, that the Germans, for whom they should wait, listened to no orders, submitted to no rule, but were governed solely by caprice; that money and presents, the sole objects for which they bartered their principles, were possessed in a superior degree by the Romans, and that no man was so addicted to arms as not to prefer the same reward for repose to incurring danger for it. But if they engaged the enemy immediately, Cerealis had no legions except those saved from the wreck of the Germanic army, who were bound by treaties with the states of Gaul: and the very circumstance of their having lately routed an undisciplined band under Valentinus, contrary to their own expectations, would minister to their own temerity, and that of their general. They would venture again, and would encounter, not an untutored boy, whose thoughts were occupied with words and harangues more than steel and arms, but Civilis and Classicus; whom they will no sooner have set eyes upon than their former fears will again take possession of their minds, their former flight and famine, and the thought of the many occasions in which they had been captured, and held their lives at the mercy of others. Nor were the Treverians and Lingonians[1] bound to them by affection; they would take up arms against them again when their fear was removed. Classicus put an end to the war of opinion by approving of the sentiments of Tutor, and they proceeded to carry them into effect.

77. In the centre of their array were the Ubians and Lingonians, the Batavian cohorts in the right wing, the Bructerians and Tencterians in the left. They made their assault

[1] The Treveri and Lingones had been persuaded by Cerealis to lay down their arms.

with such suddenness, one division from the hills, another along the plain between the high road and the Moselle, that Cerealis, who passed the night out of his camp, received in bed the news of the attack and the defeat at once, rebuking the timidity of the messengers; but at length the whole extent of the disaster presented itself to his view. The Germans had forced the entrenchments of the legions; the cavalry were routed; and the bridge over the Moselle, which, forming a communication, connected the parts beyond it with the colony, was in possession of the enemy. Cerealis, undismayed in the midst of this confusion and alarm, and staying the fugitives with his own hand, exposed himself unsparingly amidst the weapons of the enemy, though his person was unprotected; and by a happy effort of desperate courage, with the prompt assistance of the most intrepid of his troops, succeeded in recapturing the bridge, and securing it by a chosen band. Then returning to the camp, he saw the legions which had been captured at Novesium and Bonna, dispersed in wild disorder, their standards well-nigh abandoned, and the eagles in danger of falling into the hands of the enemy. Fired with indignation, he exclaimed, "It is not Flaccus, it is not Vocula, whom you thus abandon. There is no treachery here; nor have I anything to be apologised for, except that I was simple enough to believe that you had blotted out the remembrance of your Gallic alliance, and had returned to your former obligation of fealty to Rome. I shall be numbered with the murdered generals; stretch me in death with the Herennii and Numisii; that all your commanders may have perished by the hands of their soldiers, or of the enemy.[1] Go, tell Vespasian, or, if you will, tell Classicus and Civilis, for they are nearer, that you have deserted your general in the battle. But remember, the legions will come, who will neither leave me unavenged, nor you unpunished."

78. These reproaches were founded in truth; and the tribunes and other officers assailed them with the same topics. The soldiers rallied, and formed by cohorts and companies. Indeed, as the enemy had advanced with precipitation, and the tents and baggage obstructed them,—for the fight took place within the rampart,—their line could not extend itself.

[1] Hordeonius Flaccus and Vocula were murdered by their own soldiers. Numisius and Herennius died by the sword of the enemy.

Tutor, Classicus, and Civilis, each in his station, kept up the spirit of the battle, urging the Gauls to fight for liberty, the Batavians for glory, and the Germans by motives of plunder. All things conspired in their favour, till the one-and-twentieth legion, having more space than the rest, forming into a dense body, sustained the shock of the charge, and soon after made them give way. Nor was it without divine interposition that the victors, their courage all at once forsaking them, turned their backs. Their consternation, as they themselves pretended, was occasioned by the appearance of the cohorts, which, having been dispersed on the first onset, rallied on the topmost ridges, and produced an impression that they were a fresh reinforcement. But the fact is, that when on the point of gaining the day, they were impeded by a pernicious rivalry in securing plunder, in the meantime intermitting their exertions against the enemy. Cerealis, as by his carelessness he well-nigh ruined all, so by his intrepidity restored affairs; and following up his success, captured and razed the camp of the enemy the same day.

79. The interval allowed to the soldiers for repose from their fatigue was but short. The Agrippinians implored his aid, offering to deliver up the wife and sister of Civilis, with the daughter of Classicus, all left in their hands as pledges of the alliance. They had, during this time, massacred all the Germans who were amongst them in their several houses; whence their fears and natural entreaties for succours, before the enemy should prepare themselves for any object of ambition, or to avenge their slaughtered countrymen. For Civilis had his thoughts directed to that quarter, having no mean force at his command, with a cohort of resistless bravery and unimpaired strength, composed of Chaucians and Frisians, and posted at Tolbiacum,[1] in the Agrippinian territory. But he was diverted by the mournful intelligence that the cohort was annihilated by a stratagem of the Agrippinians, who, when the Germans were asleep, after a copious feast and drinking bout, fastened the doors, set fire to their habitations, and burnt them. At the same time, Cerealis made a forced march to the relief of the city. Civilis was now beset by another source of fear, lest the fourteenth legion, cooperating with the fleet from Britain, might harass the Batavians on the

[1] Tolbiacum, now Zulpich, in the diocese of Cologne.

sea-coast. That legion, however, marched over-land,[1] under the conduct of Fabius Priscus, to invade the Tungrians and the Nervians; and those two states submitted to the Romans. The Canninefates, assuming an offensive attitude, attacked the fleet, and either took or sunk the greatest part. By the same people a large body of the Nervians, who had voluntarily taken up arms in favour of the Romans, was overthrown. Classicus also fought with a party of horse, detached by Cerealis to Novesium, with good success. These advantages, small it is true, but coming one after another, damaged the éclat of the recently obtained victory.

80. During these transactions, Mucianus ordered the son of Vitellius[2] to be put to death, alleging, as his excuse for it, that discord would continue to prevail unless he extirpated the seeds of dissension. Nor did he suffer Antonius Primus to be summoned by Domitian to form one of his attendants in the expedition, being jealous of his favour with the soldiery, and the lofty bearing of the man, who was so impatient of a superior, that he could not even brook an equal. Antonius went to join Vespasian, by whom he was received, not indeed answerably to his own anticipations, but still not in a manner which indicated any aversion on the part of the emperor. Vespasian was acted upon by conflicting considerations; on the one hand, by the services of Antonius, under whose conduct the war was unquestionably terminated; on the other, by the letters of Mucianus. The other courtiers also represented him in odious colours, as morose and overbearing, not forgetting the imputations that attached to his earlier years.[3] Antonius himself, too, provoked animosities by his arrogance, for he was too fond of magnifying his own merits. Others he censured as men of no capacity for war; Cæcina he stigmatised as a captive,[4] and one that could not hold out. The consequence was, that he grew daily more despised and despicable, though the emperor still kept up an appearance of friendship with him.

81. In the course of those months during which Vespasian

[1] Brotier says, a military road may still be traced from Gessoriacum (now Boulogne) to Atuatuca, the capital of the Tungri; now Tongres, in the bishopric of Liege.

[2] He was called Germanicus; see Hist. ii. 59.

[3] See Hist. ii. 86.

[4] Cæcina was kept in chains by his own soldiers; Hist. iii. 31.

was waiting at Alexandria for the periodical season of the summer winds, and a safe navigation, many miracles occurred, by which the favour of heaven and a sort of bias in the powers above towards Vespasian were manifested. One of the common people of Alexandria, known to have a disease in his eyes, embraced the knees of the emperor, importuning with groans a remedy for his blindness.[1] In this he acted in compliance with the admonition of the god Serapis,[2] whom that nation, devoted to superstition, honours above all other gods; and he prayed the emperor that he would deign to sprinkle his cheeks and the balls of his eyes with the secretion of his mouth. Another, who was diseased in the hand,[3] at the instance of the same god, entreated that he might be pressed by the foot and sole of Cæsar. Vespasian at first ridiculed the request, and treated it with contempt; but when they persisted, at one time he dreaded the imputation of weakness, at another he was led to hope for success, by the supplications of the men themselves, and the encouragements of his flatterers. Lastly, he ordered that the opinion of physicians should be taken, as to whether a blindness and lameness of these kinds could be got the better of by human power. The physicians stated various points: that in the one the power of vision was not wholly destroyed, and that it would be restored if the obstacles were removed; in the other, that the joints which had become diseased might be renovated, if a healing power were applied; such peradventure was the

[1] It is not clear that Tacitus placed any faith in this extraordinary story. Voltaire, indeed, seems to be the only writer who has endeavoured to establish the miraculous cure. He says: "De toutes les guérisons miraculeuses, les plus attestées, les plus authentiques, sont celles de cet aveugle a qui l'empereur Vespasian rendit la vue, et de ce paralytic auquel il rendit l'usage de ses membres. Ce n'est pas lui qui cherche à se faire valoir par des prestiges, dont un monarque affermi n'a pas besoin." Voltaire's reason for giving credit to the story is highly unfortunate; for Suetonius expressly says, "Autoritas et quasi majestas quædam, novo principi deerat." See Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 7.

[2] In case of sickness, it was the custom of the common people, by the advice of the Egyptian priests, to abstain from food, and lie in the temple of Serapis, stretched on the skins of victims slain at the altar. Hence the distempered visions of crazed imaginations, which were considered in the light of inspiration.

[3] Suetonius relates the two miracles; but what Tacitus calls a paralytic hand, he says was a paralytic leg. Life of Vespasian, s. 7.

pleasure of the gods, and the emperor was chosen to perform their will. To sum up all, that the glory of accomplishing the cure would be Cæsar's, the ridicule of its failure would rest upon the sufferers. Accordingly, under an impression that everything was within the power of his fortune, and that after what had occurred nothing was incredible, with a cheerful countenance himself, and while the multitude that stood by waited the event in all the confidence of anticipated success, Vespasian executed what was required of him. Immediately the hand was restored to its functions, and the light of day shone again to the blind. Persons who were present even now attest the truth of both these transactions, when there is nothing to be gained by falsehood.[1]

82. After this, Vespasian conceived a deeper desire to visit the sanctuary of Serapis, in order to consult the god about affairs of the empire. He ordered all persons to be excluded from the temple; and lo, when he entered, and his thoughts were fixed on the deity, he perceived behind him a man of principal note among the Egyptians, named Basilides, whom, at that moment, he knew to be detained by illness at a distance of several days' journey from Alexandria.[2] Vespasian inquired of the priests, whether Basilides that day had entered the temple. He asked of others whom he met, whether he was seen in the city. At length, from messengers whom he despatched on horseback, he received certain intelligence, that Basilides was at that instant of time eighty miles distant from Alexandria. He then concluded that it was a divine vision, and deduced the import of the response from the name of Basilides.[3]

83. The origin of the god Serapis is a subject hitherto not much discussed by Roman writers; the account given by the priests of Egypt is as follows:—At the time when Ptolemy, the first of the Macedonian race, who consolidated the power of Egypt, was adding walls and temples and religious institutions to the new-built city of Alexandria, a youth of surpassing grace, and in appearance transcending the human form, presented himself to him in the night, commanding

[1] Tacitus wrote his History in the reign of Trajan, when the Vespasian or Flavian family was extinct.

[2] This account of Vespasian and Basilides is related by Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 7.

[3] Basilides, from the Greek βασιλεύς, "a king."

him to send some of his most trusty friends into Pontus, to bring from that place his effigy; that it would be a source of prosperity to his kingdom, and great and glorious would be the country that gave reception to it. In that instant the youth was seen mounting to heaven in a column of fire. Ptolemy, struck with the omen and the marvellous event, laid his visions of the night before the Egyptian priests, the usual interpreters of such things. And as they had no knowledge of Pontus, nor of foreign matters, he asked Timotheus the Athenian, one of the race of the Eumolpidæ,[1] whom he had invited from Eleusis, to preside over the mysteries of religion, what were those rites and ceremonies, and who was the deity alluded to? Timotheus, upon inquiry of such as had travelled into Pontus, learned that there was in those parts a city called Sinope, and near it a temple celebrated from of old among the neighbouring people, sacred to the infernal Jupiter; for there also stood near him a female effigy, which many called Proserpina. But Ptolemy, such is the character of despotic kings, easily alarmed, but when his fears had subsided more eager in pursuit of his pleasures than concerned about religious matters, came by degrees to think nothing about it, and devoted his attention to other objects; till at length the same form, now more terrific in aspect, and more urgent in his manner, denounced destruction to himself and his kingdom, unless his behests were fulfilled. He then ordered ambassadors and presents to be despatched to Scydrothemis the king, who then ruled over the Sinopians, and enjoined them, when about to sail, to repair to the Pythian Apollo. They sailed with favourable winds, and had a quick passage. The answer of the oracle was in explicit terms: "That they should go and bring back the statue of his father, and leave unmoved that of his sister."

84. Having reached Sinope, they delivered the presents, supplications, and instructions of their king to Scydrothemis. Fluctuating in his resolves, one while he dreaded the displeasure of the deity; again, he was alarmed by the menaces of the people, who opposed the request; ofttimes the gifts and presents of the ambassadors moved him; and after three years had been spent, while these proceedings were going on,

[1] The descendants of Eumolpus were the priests of Ceres, and presided over the Eleusinian mysteries.

Ptolemy omitted no efforts of zeal, no methods of supplication; he added to the dignity of his ambassadors, increased the number of ships, and augmented the weight of gold. A threatening vision then appeared to Scydrothemis, warning him no longer to impede the appointment of a god. The king still hesitating, was harassed by a variety of disasters, diseases, tokens of divine vengeance which could not be mistaken, and daily increasing in severity. He called an assembly of the people, and laid before them the orders of the god, the visions of Ptolemy and himself, and the miseries that threatened them. The populace turned away in disgust from their sovereign; envied the Egyptian monarch, trembled for themselves, and beset the temple. Hence a more marvellous report states that the god, of his own motion, quitted the temple, and embarked on board the fleet that lay at the shore; and, wonderful to relate, they came to land at Alexandria, on the third day from that time, after measuring so great an expanse of sea. A temple, such as suited a great and opulent city, was built at a place called Rhacotis, where, in ancient times, a chapel had been dedicated to Serapis and Isis. Such is the most generally received history of the god Serapis, and his conveyance into Egypt. I am aware that there are those who state that he was brought from Seleucia, a city of Syria, in the reign of that Ptolemy whom the third generation produced; others assert that he was brought from Memphis, formerly a celebrated city and the pillar of ancient Egypt, by the same Ptolemy. The god himself, on account of his healing art, is by many called Æsculapius; by others, Osiris, the most ancient deity of the country; and many give him the name of Jupiter, as lord of the universe. But the most maintain that he is Pluto; either from tokens which are discernible in the deity himself, or by a circuitous process of probable reasoning.

85. As for Domitian and Mucianus, before they reached the foot of the Alps, they received advices of the victory gained in the country of the Treverians. Of this victory the best evidence was afforded by the presence of Valentinus,[1] the general of the enemy, who appeared by no means cast down, but exhibited in his looks the determined spirit that had animated him in the field. He was heard in his defence, for

[1] Valentinus, mentioned in c. 71 of this book.

the mere object of ascertaining the character of his mind, and was condemned. While under the hands of the executioner, some one remarked insultingly, that his country was reduced to subjection; when he replied, that that circumstance consoled him in his death. But Mucianus now declared, as an idea which occurred to him at the time, what he had long harboured in his breast: "that as by the blessing of the gods the power of the enemy was crushed, it would be hardly becoming in Domitian, now that the war was brought to the verge of a successful termination, to step in and seize the glory which belonged to another. If the repose of the empire, or the safety of the Gauls, were in jeopardy, then Cæsar ought to appear in the field; but the Canninefates and Batavians should be delegated to inferior generals. Domitian himself should remain at Lyons, and, at a short distance from the seat of war, dazzle the enemy with the power and auspicious fortune of the princedom: neither condescending to engage in affairs of minor importance, nor wanting when great occasions occurred.

86. His artifices were seen through, but the respect due to his station required that they should not be exposed. Thus they arrived at Lyons, from which place Domitian is believed to have sent secret messengers to sound Cerealis as to whether on his appearance he would place the army and the command in his hands.[1] Whether Domitian had it in contemplation, when he thought of this proceeding, to levy war against his father, or to support and strengthen himself against his brother Titus, remains uncertain; for Cerealis, by a judicious middle course, evaded his question, as proceeding from one who in the inexperience of youth desired what was ridiculous. Domitian, seeing himself slighted by older officers, ceased to discharge even those functions of empire which were of limited importance, and which he had been in the habit of exercising; burying himself in the depths of his own reflections, while he exhibited externally a semblance of

[1] Domitian is praised by Silius Italicus for the ability and conduct with which he ended the Batavian war:—

"At tu transcendens, Germanice, facta tuorum,
Jam puer auricomo performidate Batavo."—Lib. iii. 607.

But Silius Italicus offered the incense of a poet to the reigning prince Cerealis was the general that conquered the Batavian chief.

simplicity and modesty, affecting the pursuit of letters and a passion for poetry[1] to veil his real purposes and withdraw himself from the jealousy of his brother, whose dissimilar and milder nature he mistook for its opposite.

BOOK V.

1. In the beginning of the same year, Titus, who was appointed by his father to complete the subjugation of Judæa, and who, when both were no higher than subjects, had gained a reputation for military talents,[2] now exercised a more extended influence, and shone with augmented lustre; the provinces and armies emulating each other in their zeal and attachment to him. Titus, on his part, that he might be thought deserving of still higher distinctions, appeared in all the splendour of external embellishments, and showed himself a prompt and resolute soldier, challenging respect by courtesy and affability; mixing with the common soldiers when engaged in the works and on their march, without impairing the dignity of the general. He succeeded to the command of three legions in Judæa, the fifth, the tenth, and the fifteenth;[3] who had long served under Vespasian. To these he added the twelfth, from Syria, and the third and twenty-second, withdrawn from Alexandria. He was attended, besides, by twenty cohorts of the allies, and eight squadrons of horse, with the two kings Agrippa and Sohemus,[4] and auxiliaries from Antiochus. He had also a band of Arabs, formidable in themselves, and harbouring towards the Jews the bitter animosity usually subsisting between neighbouring nations. Many persons had come from Rome and Italy, each impelled

[1] Domitian is highly praised by Quintilian for his love of literature, lib. x. 1; and also by Silius Italicus, lib. iii. 618. Suetonius agrees with Tacitus: "Simulavit et ipse modestiam, imprimisque poeticæ studium, tam insuetum antea sibi, quam postea spretum et abjectum." —Suetonius, Life of Domitian, s. 2.

[2] Titus served with his father in Britain, in Germany, and Judæa. Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 4; and Titus, s. 4.

[3] See an account of the army under Titus; Josephus, Bell. Jud. lib. v. 6.

[4] See Hist. ii. 81.

by the hopes he had of preoccupying the favour of a prince who had not yet chosen his friends. With this force Titus, advancing into the enemy's country in order of battle, by his scouts diligently exploring the motions of the enemy, and prepared for action, formed a camp a short distance from Jerusalem.

2. Being now about to relate the catastrophe of that celebrated city, it seems fitting that I should unfold the particulars of its origin. The Jews,[1] we are told, escaping from the island of Crete, at the time when Saturn was driven from his throne by the violence of Jupiter, settled in the extreme parts of Libya. Their name is adduced as a proof. Ida, it is alleged, is a well-known mountain in Crete: the neighbouring Idæans, by an addition to the name to adapt it to the language of barbarians, are ordinarily called Judæans.[2] Some say that the population, overflowing throughout Egypt, in the reign of Isis, was relieved by emigration into the neighbouring countries, under the conduct of Hierosolymus and Juda. Many state that they are the progeny of the Æthiopians,[3] who were impelled by fear and detestation to change their abode in the reign of king Cepheus. There are those who report that they are a heterogeneous band from Assyria,[4] a

[1] This account of the origin of the Jewish nation has been the subject of much criticism. The commentators are surprised that the historian should not have thought it worth his while to gain the most exact information concerning a people whose final ruin he was to relate. That neglect is still more surprising when it is considered that, in the reign of Trajan, when Tacitus published his work, the page of Jewish history was fully disclosed, and accessible to the curiosity of every Roman. Josephus lived at Rome, under Vespasian, Titus, and Domitian; and under the last of those emperors his History of the War in Judæa was published.

[2] This was the fabulous tradition of the Greeks, who deduced all things from Jupiter and Saturn, as the Romans afterwards from Troy and the Trojans.

[3] The Æthiopians, according to Pliny the elder (lib. vi. 29), were in remote ages a great and powerful people. They held Egypt in subjection, and were the founders of an empire in Syria. Josephus, in his Antiquities, has a tradition, that Moses commanded armies in Æthiopia. Hence the Jews were said to have issued from Æthiopia.

[4] We have in this passage something that borders on the truth. Abraham went forth from Ur of the Chaldees. (Gen. xi. 31.) He went into Egypt to sojourn there. (Gen. xii. 10.) The history of his posterity in Egypt, and the journey into Syria and the land of Canaan, clearly prove the descent of the Jews from Abraham, and throw a light upon what our author says of their Assyrian origin.

people who, being destitute of a country, made themselves masters of a portion of Egypt, and subsequently settled in cities of their own in the Hebrew territories, and the parts bordering on Syria. Others, ascribing to the Jews an illustrious origin, say that the Solymi,[1] a nation celebrated in the poetry of Homer, called the city which they built Hierosolyma, from their own name.

3. Very many authors agree in recording that a pestilential disease, which disfigured the body in a loathsome manner,[2] spreading over Egypt, Bocchoris, at that time king, repairing to the oracle of Jupiter Hammon,[3] in quest of a remedy, was directed to purify his kingdom, and exterminate that race of men as being detested by the gods: that a mass of people thus searched out and collected together were in a wild and barren desert[4] abandoned to their misery, when, all the rest being bathed in tears and torpid with despair, Moses, one of the exiles, admonished them not to look for any aid from gods or men, being deserted of both, but to trust themselves to him as a heaven-commissioned guide, by whose aid already they had warded off the miseries that beset them. They assented, and commenced a venturous journey, not knowing whither they went. But nothing distressed them so much as want of water;[5] and now they lay stretched through all the plains, ready to expire, when a herd of wild asses, returning from pasture, went up a rock shaded with a grove. Moses followed them, and forming his conjecture by the herbage that grew upon the ground, opened copious springs of water.[6]

[1] Homer speaks of the Solymi; but these were a people of Pisidia, or rather of Æthiopia, and quite distinct from the Jews.

[2] Justin mentions this epidemic distemper, and calls it "scabiem ac vitiliginem:" that is, the leprosy. (Justin, lib. xxxvi. 2.) This would seem to be the murrain spoken of Exod. ix. 1—3, 10. That the passage through the Red Sea should be omitted by Tacitus, Brotier observes cannot be a matter of wonder, since it is related even by Josephus in a manner that adds no authenticity to the miracle.

[3] The oracle of Jupiter Hammon is mentioned by Pliny, lib. v. 9: "In Cyrenaica Hammonis oraculum, fidei inclitæ." See also Pomponius Mela, lib. i. 8.

[4] In the plains of Arabia.

[5] "And they went three days in the wilderness, and found no water." Exod. xv. 22.

[6] This discovery of springs in a shady grove calls to mind what Moses tells us: "And they came to Elim, where were twelve wells of water, and threescore and ten palm-trees." (Exod. xv. 27.) Where

This was a relief; and pursuing their journey for six days without intermission, on the seventh, having expelled the natives, they took possession of a country where they built their city, and dedicated their temple.[1]

4. In order to bind the people to him for the time to come, Moses prescribed to them a new form of worship, and opposed to those of all the world beside. Whatever is held sacred by the Romans, with the Jews is profane: and what in other nations is unlawful and impure, with them is permitted. The figure of the animal through whose guidance they slaked their thirst, and were enabled to terminate their wanderings, is consecrated in the sanctuary of their temple;[2] while in contempt of Jupiter Hammon,[3] they sacrifice a ram. The ox, worshipped in Egypt for the god Apis, is slain as a victim by the Jews. They abstain from the flesh of swine, from the recollection of the loathsome affliction which they had formerly suffered from leprosy,[4] to which that animal is subject. The famine, with which they were for a long time distressed, is still commemorated by frequent fastings;[5] and the Jewish bread, made without leaven,[6] is a standing evidence of their

Tacitus found the incident of the troop of wild asses does not appear; but see Gen. xxxvi. 24. The story was probably adopted in the narrative, to prepare the reader for the consecration of the animal, as mentioned in the following chapter.

[1] Brotier observes, that a journey into Palestine, through the deserts of Arabia, could not be performed in six days, as it appears, in the Memoirs of the French Missionaries in the Levant, that Father Sicard went over that whole tract of country, and did not reach Mount Sinai till the thirtieth day. Brotier adds, that in what Tacitus relates, something like the truth is still to be found, since we are told that Joshua and the children of Israel went round the city of Jericho once, and continued so to do *six days*, and on the *seventh day*, which was the sabbath, entered the city; and, having extirpated the inhabitants, became masters of the country, where David built a city, and Solomon dedicated a temple. See Josh. vi. 3, 20, 21.

[2] This fable is refuted by Tacitus himself, who says in the following section, "Nulla simulacra urbibus suis, nedum templis sinunt." See also c. 9 of this book.

[3] The horned head of Jupiter Hammon is often found on coins of the Cyrenæans.

[4] Described in Levit. xiii. xiv.

[5] There was scarce a month in the Jewish calendar without fast-days; but they were instituted to record signal events, not in commemoration of the famine in the desert.

[6] The unleavened bread, mentioned in Exod. xii. 8. It was not, as

seizure of corn. They say that they instituted a rest on the seventh day because that day brought them rest from their toils; but afterwards, charmed with the pleasures of idleness, the seventh year[1] also was devoted to sloth. Others allege that this is an honour rendered to Saturn, either because their religious institutes were handed down by the Idæans, who, we are informed, were expelled from their country with Saturn, and were the founders of the nation; or else because, of the seven stars by which men are governed, the star of Saturn moves in the highest orbit, and exercises the greatest influence; and most of the heavenly bodies complete their effects and course by the number seven.

5. These rites and ceremonies, howsoever introduced, have the support of antiquity. Their other institutions, which have been extensively adopted, are tainted with execrable knavery; for the scum and refuse of other nations, renouncing the religion of their country, were in the habit of bringing gifts and offerings to Jerusalem,—hence the wealth and grandeur of the state; and also because faith is inviolably observed, and compassion is cheerfully shown towards each other, while the bitterest animosity is harboured against all others. They eat and lodge with one another only; and though a people of unbridled lust, they admit no intercourse with women from other nations. Among themselves no restraints are imposed.[2] That they may be known by a distinctive mark, they have established the practice of circumcision.[3] All who embrace their faith submit to the same operation. The first thing instilled into their proselytes is to despise the gods, to abjure their country, to set at nought

Tacitus insinuates, their common food: it was, as we read in Deut. xvi., the bread of affliction, which they were to eat for seven days in memory of the day when they came forth out of the land of Egypt.

[1] The seventh year was also a year of rest, not for the sake of sluggish inactivity, but in consequence of an express command, Levit. xxv. 3, 4. There was still another sabbath of more importance, the Jubilee; see Levit. xxv. 8, 10, 12. Josephus says that Julius Cæsar, when he imposed an annual tribute on the Jewish nation, made an exception of the seventh year, which was called the sabbath, when the people neither reaped nor sowed. See Cæsar's decree, Josephus, Ant. xiv. 10.

[2] The falseness of this statement is evident from the strictness of the enactments of the Jewish law.

[3] Circumcision is called a token of the covenant. Gen. xvii. 2.

parents, children, brothers. They show concern, however, for the increase of their population, for it is forbidden to put any of their brethren to death;[1] and the souls of such as die in battle, or by the hand of the executioner, are thought to be immortal. Hence their desire of procreation, and contempt of death. The bodies of the deceased they choose rather to bury than burn, following in this the Egyptian custom;[2] with whom they also agree in their attention to the dead, and their persuasion as to the regions below,[3] but are opposed to them in their notions about celestial things. The Egyptians worship various animals and images, the work of men's hands; the Jews acknowledge one God only, and conceive of him by the mind alone, condemning, as impious, all who, with perishable materials, wrought into the human shape, form representations of the Deity. That Being, they say, is above all, and everlasting, neither susceptible of likeness nor subject to decay. In consequence they allow no resemblance of him in their city, much less in their temples. In this way they do not flatter their kings, nor show their respect for the Cæsars. But because their priests performed in concert with the pipe and timbrels, were crowned with ivy, and a golden vine[4] was found in the temple, some have supposed that Bacchus, the conqueror of the East, was the object of their adoration; but the Jewish institutions have no conformity whatever to the rites of Bacchus. For Bacchus has

[1] The Romans had power of life and death over their own children, and were not willing to be encumbered with a numerous issue.

[2] It is certain that the Hebrews interred their dead, since Abraham's burying-place is frequently mentioned in Scripture. That the Egyptians buried their dead, is plain from their usage of embalming them. It is probable that the practice of burning the bodies of the deceased sprung originally from a design to prevent any outrage to the bodies from their enemies. Sylla, among the Romans, was the first of his family who ordered his body to be burned, lest the barbarities which he had exercised on the remains of Marius should be retaliated on his own. Cicero says: "Proculdubio cremandi ritus a Græcis venit, nam sepultum legimus Numam ad Anienis fontem, totique genti Corneliæ solemne fuisse sepulchrum usque ad Syllam, qui primus ex ea gente crematus est."—De Legibus, lib. 2.

[3] The Egyptians believed in a state of future rewards and punishments. See Diodorus Siculus, lib. i. 51.

[4] No mention is made in any part of the Bible of Jewish priests crowned with ivy. A vine wrought in gold, of prodigious weight, is mentioned by Josephus as a magnificent ornament. See Ant. xv. 11.

ordained festive and jocund rites, while the usages of the Jews are dull and repulsive.

6. Their land is bounded and their borders are formed on the east by Arabia;[1] on the southern confine lies Egypt; on the west Phœnicia and the sea: they command an extended range northward on the side of Syria. The natives are robust, and patient of labour. Rain is seldom seen, and the soil is rich and fertile. The productions of the earth are such as are usually found with us, and besides them palms and the balm tree flourish in great luxuriance. The palm groves are beautiful and lofty; the balm is of moderate size. As the branches successively swell, if you apply the force of iron the veins shrink, but they may be made to discharge by the fragment of a stone or by a shell; the fluid is employed as a medicine. The principal mountain which this country rears aloft is Libanus, which, astonishing to be related, in a climate intensely hot, is kept cool by its shady groves, and affords a secure retreat for snows.[2] From this mountain the river Jordan[3] springs and receives its supply of waters. The stream does not discharge itself into the sea; it runs into two different lakes,[4] without mixing with them, and is absorbed into a third. The last of these lakes is of immense circuit, resembling a sea, but more nauseous in taste, and, by the offensiveness of its odour, pestiferous to the neighbourhood. The wind does not stir its surface, nor can fish or water-fowl endure it. The equivocal waters sustain things thrown upon them as if they were thrown upon a solid material; those who are able to swim and those who are not are equally upborne.[5] At a stated season of the year, the lake throws up bitumen.[6]

[1] The part known as Arabia Petræa and Deserta.

[2] The snow of Lebanon is mentioned, Jer. xviii. 14.

[3] See a description of this river, Pliny, lib. v. 15.

[4] The first of the lakes is Samachonites, mentioned by Josephus; the second, Cinnereth, by Joshua, (the Lake of Gennesaret, or Sea of Tiberias); the third, Asphaltus, called by Milton the Asphaltic Pool, by others Mare Mortuum, from the immobility of its waters. It is said by Josephus to be seventy miles in length, and in some places twelve or thirteen in breadth.

[5] Pliny says of this lake, "Asphaltites nihil præter bitumen gignit; unde nomen: tauri camelique fluitant. Inde fama nihil in eo mergi." (Pliny, lib. v. 16.) It is related by Josephus, that Vespasian, in order to make an experiment, ordered some prisoners, with their hands tied behind their backs, to be thrown into the lake; when they all emerged and floated on the surface. See Josephus, Bell. Jud. lib. v. 8.

[6] Brotier says, that the slime, or bitumen, by the Greeks called

Experience, the mother of all useful arts, has taught men the method of gathering it. It is a liquid substance, naturally of a black hue, and by sprinkling vinegar upon it, it floats on the surface in a condensed mass, which those whose business it is lay hold of with the hand, and draw to the upper parts of the vessel; thence it continues to flow in and load the vessel, till you cut it off. Nor could you cut it off with brass or iron. It shrinks from the touch of blood, or a garment stained with menstrual evacuations. Such is the account transmitted to us by ancient authors; but persons acquainted with the country record that waving masses of bitumen are driven towards the shore, or drawn by the hand; and when by the vapour from the land, or the heat of the sun, they have dried, they are cut asunder, like wood or stone, by wedges, or the stroke of the hatchet.

7. At a small distance from the lake are plains, which tradition says were formerly a fruitful country, and occupied by populous cities,[1] but had been destroyed by thunderbolts. Traces still remain, we are told, and that the soil, in appearance parched with fire, has lost the power of bringing forth fruits. For all things, whether spontaneously produced or planted by the hand of man, whether they grow to the extent of the blade only and the flower, or their ordinary form, blackened and insubstantial, crumble into ashes. For my part, as I would admit that cities once famous have been destroyed by fire from heaven, so am I of opinion that the earth is tainted by the exhalation from the lake, the superincumbent air contaminated, and that, therefore, the young plants of corn, and the fruits of autumn, wither away, the soil and air alike being infected. There is also a river named Belus,[2] which glides into the Judæan sea; sands are found in

asphalte, is thrown up on the surface of the waters during the autumn. "The vale of Siddim, which is the salt sea, was full of slime-pits." (Gen. xiv. 3, 10.) And this concretion, after floating for some time, is driven by the wind to the shore, where it is carefully collected by the Arabs.

[1] The cities were Sodom, Gomorrah, Admah, Zeboiim. (Gen. xiv. 2.) "The Lord rained upon Sodom and Gomorrah brimstone and fire, and he overthrew those cities, and all the plain."—Gen. xix. 24, 25.

[2] Belus, a river of Galilee, running from the foot of Mount Carmel, and emptying itself into the Mediterranean. Strabo says that the whole coast has a sand fit for glass, but that the sand of the river Belus is the best sort. Here the art of making glass was first discovered. See Pliny, lib. v. 19.

the neighbourhood of its mouth, which mixed with nitre are fused into glass. The shore is of moderate extent, and affords an exhaustless supply to those who dig it out.

8. A great portion of Judæa consists of scattered villages. They have likewise towns. Jerusalem is the capital of the nation: there stands a temple of immense wealth; the city is enclosed by the first fortifications you meet with; the royal palace by the second; the temple by the inmost. A Jew is not admitted beyond the portal; all, except the priests, are excluded from the threshold. While the Assyrians, and after them the Medes and Persians, were masters of the East, the Jews, of all the nations then held in subjection, were deemed the vilest. After the Macedonian monarchy was established, king Antiochus having formed a plan to abolish their superstition, and introduce the manners and institutions of Greece, was prevented by a war with the Parthians (for Arsaces had then revolted) from reforming this execrable nation. In process of time, when the Macedonians were by degrees enfeebled, when the Parthian state was in its infancy, and the Romans were at a distance, the Jews seized the opportunity to erect a monarchy of their own.[1] Their kings were soon deposed by the caprice and levity of the people; but having recovered the throne by force of arms, and having dared to drive citizens into exile, demolish cities, put to death brothers, wives, and parents, and all the cruelties usual with despotic kings, they encouraged the superstition, because they took to themselves the dignity of the priesthood as a support of their power.

9. Pompey was the first Roman that subdued the Jews, and by right of conquest entered their temple.[2] Thenceforward it became generally known that the habitation was empty,

[1] Justin informs us, that the power of Demetrius I. and his successors, kings of Syria, not being supported with vigour, the Jews took their opportunity to shake off a foreign yoke, and assert their liberty. (See Justin, lib. xxxvi. 1, 3.) In confirmation of this, we read in Maccabees a treaty between Demetrius and Simon the high-priest, B.C. 143; and thus "the yoke of the heathen was taken away from Israel, and the people of Israel began to write in their instruments and contracts, In the first year of Simon the high-priest, the governor and leader of the Jews."—1 Macc. xiii. 41, 42.

[2] Pompey made himself master of Jerusalem, B.C. 63. He entered the Temple and the Holy of Holies; but, according to Josephus (Ant. xiv. 4), abstained from plunder, content with imposing an annual tribute. See Florus, lib. iii. 5; and Cicero, pro Flacco, 28.

and the sanctuary unoccupied, no representation of the Deity being found within it.[1] The walls of the city were levelled to the ground; the Temple remained. In the civil wars that afterwards shook the empire, when the eastern provinces fell to the lot of Mark Antony, Pacorus,[2] the Parthian king, made himself master of Judæa; but was, in a short time after, put to death by Ventidius, and his forces retired beyond the Euphrates. Caius Sosius once more reduced the Jews to obedience. Herod[3] was placed on the throne by Mark Antony, and Augustus enlarged his privileges. On the death of Herod, a man of the name of Simon,[4] without waiting for the authority of the emperor, seized the sovereignty. He, however, was punished for his ambition by Quinctilius Varus, the governor of Syria; and the nation, reduced to submission, was divided in three portions between the sons of Herod. During the reign of Tiberius things remained in a state of tranquillity. Afterwards, being ordered by Caligula to place his statue in the Temple, the Jews, rather than submit, had recourse to arms. This commotion the death of Caligula extinguished. Claudius, the Jewish kings being either dead, or their dominion reduced to narrow limits, committed the province of Judæa[5] to Roman knights, or his freedmen. One of these, Antonius Felix,[6] exercised the prerogatives of a king with the spirit of a slave, rioting in cruelty and licentiousness. He married Drusilla, the grandaughter of Antony and Cleopatra, that he might be grandson-in-law of Mark Antony, who was the grandfather of Claudius.[7]

[1] This passage affords another proof that the effigy of an ass was not consecrated in the Temple, as mentioned by Tacitus, c. 4 of this book.

[2] Brotier observes, that Pacorus was son of Orodes, king of Parthia. He was sent by his father to wage war in Judæa, B. C. 40; and in the following year defeated and put to death by Ventidius, the general of Mark Antony. Josephus, Ant. xiv. 13—15.

[3] Herod was raised to the throne by Mark Antony, B. C. 40, and his title was confirmed by a decree of the senate, three years after. Josephus, Ant. xiv. 26, 28.

[4] The Simon mentioned in this place must not be confounded with the chief of that name, who was taken prisoner at the siege of Jerusalem, and afterwards executed at Rome.

[5] See Annals, xii. 23.

[6] Felix was brother to Pallas, the favourite freedman and minister of the emperor Claudius. Annals, xii. 54. Suetonius, Life of Claudius, s. 28.

[7] Claudius was son of Antonia, the daughter of Mark Antony.

10. The patience, however, of the Jews held out to the time of Cassius Florus,[1] the procurator. Under him a war broke out. Cestius Gallus, the governor of Syria, endeavoured to crush the revolt. He fought some obstinate battles, most of them unsuccessfully. After his death, which happened either by destiny or from disappointment and vexation, Vespasian, who was sent by Nero, succeeded to the command. By his character, the good fortune that attended his arms, and with the advantage of excellent officers, in two summer campaigns[2] he overran the whole country, and made himself master of all the cities except Jerusalem. The following year, which was devoted to civil war, passed in tranquillity so far as concerned the Jews. The peace of Italy restored, the care of foreign affairs returned. It inflamed his resentment that the Jews were the only nation that had not submitted. At the same time it was deemed politic for Titus to remain at the head of the armies, with a view to any events or casualties that might arise under the new reign. Accordingly the prince, as already mentioned, encamped under the walls of Jerusalem, and displayed his legions in the face of the enemy.[3]

11. The Jews formed in order of battle under the very walls, determined, if successful, to push forward; and, if obliged to give ground, secure of a retreat. The cavalry, with the light-armed cohorts, sent against them, fought with doubtful success. Soon the enemy gave way, and on the following days engaged in frequent skirmishes before the gates, till at length, after a series of losses, they were forced to retire within the walls. The Romans resolved now to carry the place by storm. To linger before it till famine compelled a surrender, appeared indeed unworthy of them, and the soldiers demanded the post of danger, some from courage, many from hardihood and the hope of gaining rewards. Rome, her splendours and her pleasures, kept flitting before the eyes of Titus himself; and if Jerusalem did not fall at once, he looked upon it as obstructing his enjoyments. But Jerusalem, standing upon an eminence, naturally difficult of approach, was rendered still more impregnable by redoubts

[1] The Jewish war, occasioned by the misconduct of Cassius Florus, began A.D. 65.

[2] Vespasian's rapid success against the Jews was A.D. 67 and 68.

[3] See Josephus, Bell. Jud. v. 2. Titus's first camp was near the Mount of Olives.

and bulwarks by which even places on a level plain would have been competently fortified. Two hills that rose to a prodigious height were enclosed by walls constructed so as in some places to project in angles, in others to curve inwards. In consequence the flanks of the besiegers were exposed to the enemy's weapons. The extremities of the rock were abrupt and craggy; and the towers were built, upon the mountain, sixty feet high; in the low ground, a hundred and twenty feet. These works presented a spectacle altogether astonishing. To the distant eye they seemed to be of equal elevation. Within the city there were other fortifications enclosing the palace of the kings, and the tower of Antonia, with its conspicuous pinnacles, so called by Herod, in honour of Mark Antony.

12. The Temple[1] itself was in the nature of a citadel, enclosed in walls of its own, and more elaborate and massy than the rest. The very porticoes that surrounded it were a capital defence. A perennial spring supplied the place with water. Subterraneous caverns were scooped out in the mountains, and there were basins and tanks as reservoirs of rain-water. It was foreseen by the founders of the city, that the manners and institutions of the nation, so repugnant to the rest of mankind, would be productive of frequent wars; hence every kind of provision against a siege, howsoever protracted; and exposed as they had been to the successful assault of Pompey, their fears and experience had taught them many expedients. On the other hand, having purchased the privilege of raising fortifications through the venality of the Claudian times, they constructed such walls in a period of peace as showed they had an eye to war;[2] while their numbers were augmented by a conflux of people from every quarter, and from the overthrow of other cities; for all the most indomitable spirits took refuge with them; and by consequence they lived in a state of greater dissension. They had three armies, and as many generals. The outward walls, which were of the widest extent, were defended by Simon: John, otherwise called Bargioras,[3] guarded the middle precinct;

[1] For a description of the Temple, see Josephus, Bell. Jud. v. 5.

[2] Pompey had destroyed the outward walls of Jerusalem, as mentioned in c. 9 of this book. The fortifications we find were made stronger than ever. See Josephus, Bell. Jud. v. 4.

[3] There is an error here either of the transcribers, or of Tacitus himself. Simon was the son of Gioras; John, of Levi.

and Eleazar the Temple. The two former were strong in the number of men; the latter in situation. But battles, plots, and burnings occurred among themselves, and a large quantity of grain was consumed by fire. After a short time, John, sending a band of assassins under colour of performing a sacrifice,[1] to cut off Eleazar and his party, gained possession of the Temple. From that time the citizens separated into two factions; and in this state they continued till, the Romans approaching, an enemy without produced unanimity within.

13. Prodigies had occurred which that race, enslaved to superstition, but opposed to religion, held it unlawful, either by vows or victims, to expiate. Embattled armies were seen rushing to the encounter,[2] with burnished arms, and the whole Temple appeared to blaze with fire that flashed from the clouds. Suddenly the portals of the sanctuary were flung wide open, and a voice, in more than mortal accents, was heard to announce that the gods were going forth; at the same time, a prodigious bustle, as of persons taking their departure: occurrences which few interpreted as indicative of impending woe: the majority were deeply impressed with a persuasion that it was contained in the ancient writings of the priests, that it would come to pass at that very time, that the East would renew its strength, and they that should go forth from Judæa should be rulers of the world.[3] Mysterious words, which foreshowed Vespasian and Titus: but the people, according to the usual course of human fondness, interpreting this consummation of destiny as referring to themselves, were not induced to abandon their error even by affliction. We learn that the number of the besieged of every age, male and female, was six hundred thousand;[4] all that were capable bore arms, and more than could be expected out of that number had the fortitude to do so. The devotion of the women was equal to that of the men; and if they must needs move their seat, and quit the habitation of their fathers, they dreaded to live more than to die. Such was the city, such the nation, against which Titus Cæsar determined to act

[1] See Josephus, Bell. Jud. v. 6.

[2] For these prodigies, see Josephus, Bell. Jud. vi. 5.

[3] This prophecy, referring to the spread of the Christian religion, is applied by Josephus also to Vespasian. Bell. Jud. vi. 5.

[4] Josephus says that eleven hundred thousand perished during the siege. Bell. Jud. vi. 9.

by means of mounds and mantelets, since the nature of the locality was adverse to assault and sudden attacks. The legions had each their several duties assigned them, and there was a cessation of fighting until all the engines and appliances for reducing cities, invented by ancient or modern genius, were prepared.

14. As for Civilis, after the check he had received in the country of the Treverians, having recruited his army by supplies in Germany, he fixed his station in the Old Camp, depending on the strength of the place, and that the recollection of the exploits already performed there might increase the confidence of the barbarians. Cerealis followed him thither, with an army doubled by the junction of the second, sixth, and fourteenth legions; and the cohorts and cavalry, which had some time before received orders to come up to his assistance, had quickened their motions after the victory. Neither of the commanders was an advocate for slow operations; but the extent of the plains, naturally marshy, kept them apart; and Cerealis had increased their moisture by erecting a mole athwart the Rhine, by which obstruction the water was thrown back and spread over the adjacent regions. Such was the nature of the place, deceptive from the unknown variations in the depth, and unfavourable to us, inasmuch as the Roman soldiers wore heavy armour, and were fearful of getting out of their depth; the Germans, on the contrary, accustomed to rivers, were enabled to keep their heads above water, from the lightness of their arms and the height of their persons.

15. The Batavians therefore endeavouring to provoke a battle, the most forward of our men commenced an engagement. A scene of confusion followed, when arms and horses as well disappeared in the deeper parts of the marshes. The Germans, who knew the shallow places, skipped about with ease and safety, for the most part declining an attack in front, but wheeling round upon our flank and rear. Nor was the contest carried on at close quarters as in a regular engagement upon land, but as if it were a naval combat the men shifted about amidst the waters, or if any firm footing presented itself, there grappling with their whole bodies at liberty, the wounded with the unwounded, those who could swim with those who could not, were inextricably engaged in

mutual destruction. The carnage however was not proportioned to the confusion, because the Romans, not venturing to quit the marsh, returned to their camp. The issue of this encounter stimulated both the generals, but with opposite motives, to expedite a decisive engagement; Civilis to follow up his good fortune, Cerealis to efface the stain of failure. The Germans were flushed with their success, the Romans were goaded on by a sense of shame. The night was spent by the barbarians in songs and shouting: by our men in rage and menaces.

16. Next day Cerealis formed his entire front with his cavalry and auxiliary cohorts; the legions were posted behind them. He reserved for himself a chosen band, to act as occasion might require. Civilis formed not in an extended line, but in platoons. On the right stood the Batavians and Gugernians; the left was occupied by the Germans, with the Rhine on their flank. No general harangue was made to either army, the commanders on both sides exhorting their men as they came up to them. Cerealis called to mind the established renown of the Roman name, and their victories of ancient as well as modern date. "In order to extirpate for ever a faithless, dastard, vanquished enemy, it was necessary to go and inflict the punishment due to his guilt, rather than to fight with him. In the late engagement they were inferior in number, and yet the Germans, the bravest of the enemy's troops, fled before them. There remained some still who in their minds bore the memory of their flight, and on their backs the marks of wounds." He next applied to the legions the incitements peculiarly suited to each. The fourteenth he called the conquerors of Britain; the example of the sixth, he said, raised Galba to the imperial dignity. The soldiers of the second, in that battle for the first time were to consecrate their new banners and their new eagle. From the legions he passed to the German army, and, with hands outstretched, called upon them to redeem by the blood of the enemy their own bank of the Rhine, their own camp. The acclamations were the heartier of all those, who either after a long peace were eager for war, or from weariness of war longed for peace, and who anticipated rewards and tranquillity for the future.

17. Nor did Civilis, when he had formed his troops, omit to address them, appealing to the ground whereon they stood

as the witness of their valour. "The Batavians and the Germans," he said, "were standing on the monuments of their own fame, treading on the ashes and bones of legions. The Romans, whichever way they turned their eyes, had nothing before them but memorials of captivity and defeat. They ought not to be discouraged at the unfavourable turn of the battle in the Treverian territory; their own victory on that occasion stood in the way of the Germans, whilst, neglecting to use their weapons, they encumbered their hands with plunder. From that moment they met with nothing but success, while the Romans had had to struggle with every difficulty. Whatever provision ought to be made by the skill of a general, had been made—the fields were inundated, while they themselves were aware of their nature, and swamps formed which would prove fatal to their enemies. The Rhine and the gods of Germany were before their eyes, under whose protection he bade them apply themselves to the battle, mindful of their wives, their parents, and their country. That day would either rank them among the most renowned of their ancestors, or hand them down to posterity with infamy." When his words had been applauded by the clangour of arms and dancing, (such is their custom,)[1] they commenced the battle by a discharge of stone balls and other missiles; but our men did not enter the fens, though the Germans annoyed them for the purpose of drawing them forward.

18. Their store of darts exhausted, and the battle kindling, the enemy charged with greater determination. With their long spears and towering persons, they were able at a distance to pierce the Romans, who were tossed to and fro, and could not keep their footing. A solid mass of the Bructerians, in the form of a wedge, swam across from the mole which, as I have stated, had been extended into the Rhine. In that quarter the Romans were thrown into disorder, and the auxiliary cohorts began to give way, when the legions advanced to sustain the fight, and checking the impetuous career of the enemy, the battle was restored to an equal footing. In that moment a Batavian deserter came up to Cerealis, and assured him that the enemy might be attacked in the rear, if some cavalry were sent round the extremity of the fen. The ground, he said, was in that part firm, and the Gugernians

[1] See Manners of the Germans, c. 11.

who guarded that quarter were not on the alert. Two squadrons of horse, sent with the deserter, surrounded the unsuspecting enemy; and the event being announced by a shout, the legions at the same time bore down in front. The barbarians gave way, and fled towards the Rhine. Had the fleet been diligent in pursuing them, that day would have closed the war. The approach of night, and a sudden storm of rain, hindered even the cavalry from following them up.

19. Next day, the fourteenth legion was sent into the upper province to Annius Gallus;[1] Cerealis made up the deficiency thus occasioned in his army, by the tenth from Spain. Civilis was reinforced by the Chaucians; but without attempting to take active measures in defence of the Batavian cities.[2] After carrying off whatever was portable, he set fire to the rest, and retired to the island; aware that the Romans could not follow him without constructing a bridge, and for that purpose they had no boats in readiness; nay, he even demolished the mole formed by Drusus Germanicus,[3] and by dissipating the obstruction caused the river to pursue its rapid course towards Gaul. The river being thus as it were swept away, its diminished stream made the space between the island and Germany assume the form of an uninterrupted continent. Tutor also and Classicus passed over the Rhine, with a hundred and thirteen Treverian senators.[4] Alpinus Montanus, the deputy sent, as above mentioned,[5] from Cremona by Antonius Primus to the states of Gaul, was one of the number. He was accompanied by his brother Decimus Alpinus. At the same time the rest of his adherents exerted themselves in collecting troops among those nations that delighted in dangerous enterprises, by exciting compassion, and by gifts.

20. And so great were the means of prosecuting the war still left, that Civilis, dividing his army into four parts, attacked on the same day the Roman cohorts, the cavalry, and the legions; the tenth legion at Arenacum; the second at Batavodurum; and the auxiliaries in their entrenchments

[1] Annius Gallus has been mentioned, Hist. iv. 68.

[2] These towns lay between the Meuse (Mosa) and the Rhine, supposed to be Gennep, Cleves, and Nimeguen.

[3] For the bank raised by Drusus, see Annals, xiii. 53.

[4] We have seen a senate and magistrntes among the Frisians, Annals, xi. 19.

[5] See Hist. iii. 35; iv. 31.

at Grinnes and Vada. In this enterprise, Civilis headed one of the divisions; Verax, his sister's son, led the second; Classicus and Tutor had their separate commands: nor in all their attempts, did they act in confidence of success; but where much was hazarded, the issue in some quarter might be prosperous. They knew that Cerealis was not an officer of the strictest caution; and therefore hoped, that, while he was distracted by different tidings, hastening from one post to another, he might be intercepted on his march. The party destined to storm the quarters of the tenth legion, judging it an enterprise of too much danger, were content with surprising such of the soldiers as had gone out of the camp, and were occupied in hewing wood. In this attack, the præfect of the camp, five principal centurions, and a few soldiers, were cut to pieces. The rest took shelter within the entrenchments. Meanwhile at Batavodurum they exerted themselves to destroy a bridge which the Romans had in part constructed over the river; the troops engaged, but night parted them before the victory was decided.

21. The affairs at Grinnes and Vada were of a more critical character. Civilis led the assault on Grinnes, Classicus that on Vada; nor could they be checked, the bravest of the troops having fallen in the attempt, and amongst them Briganticus, at the head of a squadron of horse; a man, as already stated, distinguished by his fidelity to Rome, and his hostility to Civilis, his uncle.[1] But when Cerealis, with a select body of cavalry, came up to their relief, the fortune of the day was changed, and the Germans were sent flying into the river. Civilis, while attempting to stop their flight, was recognised, and assailed with a shower of darts; but he quitted his horse and swam across the river. Verax escaped in the same way: Tutor and Classicus were conveyed away in boats that were brought to the shore for the purpose. The Roman fleet, notwithstanding positive orders, failed again to co-operate with the land forces; but they were restrained by fear, and the circumstance of the rowers being dispersed on various other duties. It must be admitted that Cerealis did not allow due time for the execution of his orders; hasty in taking his measures, but eminently successful in their issue. Where his conduct was liable to censure, fortune

[1] See Hist. iv. 70.

aided him; and, by consequence, discipline fell into neglect with himself and army. Only a few days after, though he had the luck to escape being made a prisoner, he fell under merited censure.

22. Going to Bonna and Novesium occasionally to inspect the camps erecting at those places for the winter-quarters of the legions, he was in the habit of returning with his fleet, his forces proceeding in a disorderly manner, and no attention being paid to the watches. The Germans observed their negligence, and concerted a plan of surprising them. They chose a night overcast with clouds, and, shooting down the river, entered the entrenchments without opposition. They began the carnage with a stratagem: they cut the cords of the tents, and butchered the men as they lay enveloped in their own dwellings. Another party, in the meantime, surprised the fleet, threw grappling instruments on the vessels, and hauled them away. And as they approached in silence to escape discovery, so, when the slaughter was begun, they raised a deafening shout to add to the alarm. Roused by the wounds inflicted on them, the Romans seek their arms, hurry through the avenues of the camp: a few of them properly armed, most of them with their vestments wrapped round their arms, and with their swords drawn. The general, half asleep and almost naked, was saved by a blunder of the enemy; for they carried off the prætorian ship, in which a flag was hoisted, under an impression that the general was aboard. Cerealis had been passing the night elsewhere, as was generally believed, on account of an illicit amour with Claudia Sacrata, an Ubian woman. The sentinels made an excuse for their guilt that did no honour to the general; alleging that their orders were to observe silence, that they might not disturb his rest, and, by consequence, making no signal, and using no watch-word, they themselves were overpowered with sleep. It was broad day-light when the Germans sailed back, towing with them the captured vessels, and among them the prætorian galley, which they hauled up the river Luppia,[1] as an offering to Veleda.

23. Civilis conceived a vehement desire of exhibiting a naval armament: he manned all the vessels with two ranks of oars, and even those which were impelled by one rank.

[1] Luppia, now the Lippe. For Veleda, see Hist. iv. 61.

To these he added a prodigious number of small craft, among which were thirty or forty fitted out like the Roman Liburnian galleys. The barks lately taken from the Romans were supplied, in lieu of sails, with mantles of various colours, which made no unbecoming appearance. The spot chosen for this naval exhibition was a space resembling a sea, where the Rhine discharges itself through the mouth of the Mosa[1] into the ocean. The motives for fitting out this fleet, in addition to the inherent vanity of the Batavians, was to prevent the supplies on their way from Gaul, by the terror it would inspire. Cerealis, from the strangeness of the thing rather than apprehension, drew out against it a fleet inferior in number, but in the skill of the mariners, the experience of the pilots, and the size of the vessels, superior. The Romans sailed with the current; the enemy had the wind in their favour. Thus, brushing by each other, they parted after a faint discharge of light darts. Civilis, without attempting anything further, retired beyond the Rhine; Cerealis laid waste the isle of Batavia with determined hostility, leaving however the lands and houses of Civilis untouched; according to the known policy of military commanders. But during these proceedings, as it was now the latter end of autumn, and the rainy season had set in, the river, swelling above its banks, so completely inundated the naturally low and swampy island, that it presented the appearance of a lake. No ships were at hand; no means of getting provisions; and the tents, which stood on a flat, were carried away by the force of the waters.

24. Civilis pleaded it as a merit on his part, that the Roman army, in this juncture, might have been cut off, and that the Germans wished it, but were by his artifices diverted from the enterprise. The surrender by that chief, which followed soon after, made this account not improbable. For Cerealis, by secret agents, offered terms of peace to the Batavians, and a promise of pardon to Civilis; and at the same time suggested to Veleda and her family to change the fortune of the war, hitherto pregnant with disasters to them, by conferring a well-timed favour upon the Romans. "The Treverians were cut to pieces, the Ubians reduced, and the Batavians shorn of their country; nor did aught result from

[1] For the mouth of the Meuse, see Annals, ii. 6.

the friendship of Civilis, but wounds, banishment, and mourning. Civilis was now an exile and outcast from his country, a burthen to those who harboured him. Enough of error had they committed in so often crossing the Rhine. If they carried their machinations further, iniquity and guilt would be on one side; on the other, a just retribution and the gods."

25. Menaces were mingled with his promises. The attachment of the nations beyond the Rhine giving way, the Batavians also began to express dissatisfaction. "It was unwise," they said, "to persist in a desperate cause; nor was it possible that a single nation could deliver the world from bondage. By the slaughter of the legions, and the firing of the Roman camps, what end had been answered, except that of bringing into the field a greater number of legions and more efficient? If the war was waged for Vespasian, Vespasian was master of the empire. If they were challenging the Roman people to a trial of strength, what proportion did the Batavians bear to the whole human race? Let them turn their eyes to Rhætia, to Noricum, and the burthens borne by the other allies of Rome. From the Batavians Rome exacted no tribute: men and valour were all she enjoined. This was all but freedom; and if they were to choose who should rule over them, it was more honourable to submit to the emperor of Rome, than the female rulers of the Germans." Such was the reasoning of the common people. The nobles complained that, "exasperated themselves, they were hurried into the war by the more violent frenzy of Civilis; that he had sought to avert the calamities of his house by the ruin of his country. Then it was that the gods were offended at the Batavians, when the legions were being besieged, commanders murdered, and a war undertaken which held out the only hope to one man, but was fatal to themselves. They were now on the brink of destruction, unless they set about retracing their steps, and demonstrating their contrition, by punishing the originator of their guilt."

26. Civilis perceived this turn in the sentiments of his countrymen, and resolved to be beforehand with them; not only because he was weary of the calamities of war, but from the hope of saving his life, a feeling which often subdues noble minds. He desired a conference. The bridge

over the Nabalia[1] was broken through in the middle; the two chiefs advanced to the extremities of the chasm, when Civilis thus began: "Were I pleading my cause before a commander of Vitellius, I should neither deserve pardon for what I have done, nor credit for what I state. Vitellius and I were mortal foes; we acted with avowed hostility. The quarrel was begun by him; it was inflamed by me. Towards Vespasian I have long behaved with respect. While he was yet a private man, we were reputed friends. This was well understood by Antonius Primus; by whose letters[2] I was urged to kindle the flame of war, lest the German legions and the youth of Gaul should pass over the Alps. The instructions Antonius communicated by letters, Hordeonius Flaccus gave in person. I stirred up a war in Germany in the same manner as Mucianus did in Syria, Aponius in Mœsia, and Flavianus in Pannonia."[3]

[1] This was the canal of Drusus, which was made from the Rhine to the Sala, or Issel. See Annals, ii. 8.

[2] Letters from Antonius, exciting Civilis to a war, in order to hinder the legions on the Rhine from marching to support Vitellius in Italy. See Hist. iv. 13.

[3] The rest of the History is lost, and with it the siege of Jerusalem, with the reigns of Vespasian, Titus, and Domitian.

END OF THE HISTORY.

A TREATISE

ON

THE SITUATION, MANNERS, AND INHABITANTS

OF

GERMANY.[1]

1. GERMANY[2] is separated from Gaul, Rhætia,[3] and Pannonia,[4] by the rivers Rhine and Danube; from Sarmatia and Dacia, by mountains[5] and mutual dread. The rest is surrounded by an ocean, embracing broad promontories[6] and vast insular tracts,[7] in which our military expeditions have lately discovered various nations and kingdoms. The Rhine, issuing from the inaccessible and precipitous summit of the Rhætic Alps,[8]

[1] This treatise was written in the year of Rome 851, A.D. 98; during the fourth consulate of the emperor Nerva, and the third of Trajan.

[2] The Germany here meant is that beyond the Rhine. The Germania Cisrhenana, divided into the Upper and Lower, was a part of Gallia Belgica.

[3] Rhætia comprehended the country of the Grisons, with part of Suabia and Bavaria.

[4] Lower Hungary, and part of Austria.

[5] The Carpathian mountains in Upper Hungary.

[6] "Broad promontories." Latos sinus. Sinus strictly signifies "a bending," especially inwards. Hence it is applied to a gulf, or bay, of the sea. And hence, again, by metonymy, to that projecting part of the land, whereby the gulf is formed; and still further to any promontory or peninsula. It is in this latter force it is here used;—and refers especially to the Danish peninsula. See Livy, xxvii. 30, xxxviii. 5; Servius on Virgil, Æn. xi. 626.

[7] Scandinavia and Finland, of which the Romans had a very slight knowledge, were supposed to be islands.

[8] The mountains of the Grisons. That in which the Rhine rises is at present called Vogelberg.

bends gently to the west, and falls into the Northern Ocean. The Danube, poured from the easy and gently-raised ridge of Mount Abnoba,[1] visits several nations in its course, till at length it bursts out[2] by six channels[3] into the Pontic sea: a seventh is lost in marshes.

2. The people of Germany appear to me indigenous,[4] and free from intermixture with foreigners, either as settlers or casual visitants. For the emigrants of former ages performed their expeditions not by land, but by water;[5] and that immense, and, if I may so call it, hostile ocean, is rarely navigated by ships from our world.[6] Then, besides the dangers of a boisterous and unknown sea, who would relinquish Asia, Africa, or Italy, for Germany, a land rude in its surface, rigorous in its climate, cheerless to every beholder and cultivator, except a native? In their ancient songs,[7] which are their only records or annals, they celebrate the god Tuisto,[8]

[1] Now called Schwartz-wald, or the Black Forest. The name Danubius was given to that portion of the river which is included between its source and Vindobona (Vienna); throughout the rest of its course it was called Ister.

[2] *Donec erumpat.* The term *erumpat* is most correctly and graphically employed; for the Danube discharges its waters into the Euxine with so great force, that its course may be distinctly traced for miles out to sea.

[3] There are now but five.

[4] The ancient writers called all nations *indigenæ* (*i.e.* inde geniti), or *αὐτόχθονες*, "sprung from the soil," of whose origin they were ignorant.

[5] It is, however, well established that the ancestors of the Germans migrated by land from Asia. Tacitus here falls into a very common kind of error, in assuming a local fact (viz. the manner in which migrations took place in the basin of the Mediterranean) to be the expression of a general law.—Ed.

[6] Drusus, father of the emperor Claudius, was the first Roman general who navigated the German Ocean. The difficulties and dangers which Germanicus met with from the storms of this sea are related in the Annals, ii. 23.

[7] All barbarous nations, in all ages, have applied verse to the same use, as is still found to be the case among the North American Indians. Charlemagne, as we are told by Eginhart, "wrote out and committed to memory barbarous verses of great antiquity, in which the actions and wars of ancient kings were recorded."

[8] The learned Leibnitz supposes this Tuisto to have been the Teut or Teutates so famous throughout Gaul and Spain, who was a Celto-Scythian king or hero, and subdued and civilized a great part of Europe and Asia. Various other conjectures have been formed concerning him and his son Mannus, but most of them extremely vague and improbable. Among

sprung from the earth, and his son Mannus, as the fathers and founders of their race. To Mannus they ascribe three sons, from whose names[1] the people bordering on the ocean are called Ingævones; those inhabiting the central parts, Herminones; the rest, Istævones. Some,[2] however, assuming the licence of antiquity, affirm that there were more descendants of the god, from whom more appellations were derived; as those of the Marsi,[3] Gambrivii,[4] Suevi,[5] and Vandali;[6] and that these are the genuine and original names.[7] That

Among the rest, it has been thought that in Mannus and his three sons an obscure tradition is preserved of Adam, and his sons Cain, Abel, and Seth; or of Noah, and his sons Shem, Ham, and Japhet.

[1] Conringius interprets the names of the sons of Mannus into Ingäff, Istäf, and Hermin.

[2] Pliny, iv. 14, embraces a middle opinion between these, and mentions five capital tribes. The Vindili, to whom belong the Burgundiones, Varini, Carini, and Guttones; the Ingævones, including the Cimbri, Teutoni, and Chauci; the Istævones, near the Rhine, part of whom are the midland Cimbri; the Hermiones, containing the Suevi, Hermunduri, Catti, and Cherusci; and the Peucini and Bastarnæ, bordering upon the Dacians.

[3] The Marsi appear to have occupied various portions of the north-west part of Germany at various times. In the time of Tiberius (A.D. 14) they sustained a great slaughter from the forces of Germanicus, who ravaged their country for fifty miles with fire and sword, sparing neither age nor sex, neither things profane nor sacred. (See Ann. i. 51.) At this period they were occupying the country in the neighbourhood of the Rura (Ruhr), a tributary of the Rhine. Probably this slaughter was the destruction of them as a separate people; and by the time that Trajan succeeded to the imperial power they seem to have been blotted out from amongst the Germanic tribes. Hence their name will not be found in the following account of Germany.

[4] These people are mentioned by Strabo, vii. 1, 3. Their locality is not very easy to determine.

[5] See note, c. 38.

[6] The Vandals are said to have derived their name from the German word *wendeln*, "to wander." They began to be troublesome to the Romans A.D. 160, in the reigns of Aurelius and Verus. In A.D. 410 they made themselves masters of Spain in conjunction with the Alans and Suevi, and received for their share what from them was termed Vandalusia (Andalusia). In A.D. 429 they crossed into Africa under Genseric, who not only made himself master of Byzacium, Gætulia, and part of Numidia, but also crossed over into Italy, A.D. 455, and plundered Rome. After the death of Genseric the Vandal power declined.

[7] That is, those of the Marsi, Gambrivii, &c. Those of Ingævones, Istævones, and Hermiones, were not so much names of the people, as terms expressing their situation. For, according to the most learned Germans, the Ingævones are *die Inwohner*, those dwelling inwards,

of Germany, on the other hand, they assert to be a modern addition;[1] for that the people who first crossed the Rhine, and expelled the Gauls, and are now called Tungri, were then named Germans; which appellation of a particular tribe, not of a whole people, gradually prevailed; so that the title of Germans, first assumed by the victors in order to excite terror, was afterwards adopted by the nation in general.[2] They have likewise the tradition of a Hercules[3] of their country, whose praises they sing before those of all other heroes as they advance to battle.

3. A peculiar kind of verses is also current among them, by the recital of which, termed "barding,"[4] they stimulate

towards the sea; the Istævones, *die Westwohner*, the inhabitants of the western parts; and the Hermiones, *die Herumwohner*, the midland inhabitants.

[1] It is however found in an inscription so far back as the year of Rome 531, before Christ 222, recording the victory of Claudius Marcellus over the Galli Insubres and their allies the Germans, at Clastidium, now Chiastezzo in the Milanese.

[2] This is illustrated by a passage in Cæsar, Bell. Gall. ii. 4, where, after mentioning that several of the Belgæ were descended from the Germans who had formerly crossed the Rhine and expelled the Gauls, he says, "the first of these emigrants were the Condrusii, Eburones, Cæresi, and Pæmani, who were called by the common name of Germans." The derivation of German is *Wehr mann*, a warrior, or man of war. This appellation was first used by the victorious Cisrhenane tribes, but not by the whole Transrhenane nation, till they gradually adopted it, as equally due to them on account of their military reputation. The Tungri were formerly a people of great name, the relics of which still exist in the extent of the district now termed the ancient diocese of Tongres.

[3] Under this name Tacitus speaks of some German deity, whose attributes corresponded in the main with those of the Greek and Roman Hercules. What he was called by the Germans is a matter of doubt.—*White.*

[4] *Quem barditum vocant.* The word *barditus* is of Gallic origin, being derived from *bardi*, "bards;" it being a custom with the Gauls for bards to accompany the army, and celebrate the heroic deeds of their great warriors; so that *barditum* would thus signify "the fulfilment of the bard's office." Hence it is clear that *barditum* could not be used correctly here, inasmuch as amongst the Germans not any particular, appointed, body of men, but the whole army chanted forth the war-song. Some editions have *baritum*, which is said to be derived from the German word *beren*, or *baeren*, "to shout;" and hence it is translated in some dictionaries as, "the German war-song." From the following passage extracted from Facciolati, it would seem, however, that German critics repudiate this idea: "De *barito*, clamore bellico, seu, ut quædam habent exemplaria, *bardito*, nihil audiuimus nunc in Germaniâ:

their courage; while the sound itself serves as an augury of the event of the impending combat. For, according to the nature of the cry proceeding from the line, terror is inspired or felt: nor does it seem so much an articulate song, as the wild chorus of valour. A harsh, piercing note, and a broken roar, are the favourite tones; which they render more full and sonorous by applying their mouths to their shields.[1] Some conjecture that Ulysses, in the course of his long and fabulous wanderings, was driven into this ocean, and landed in Germany; and that Asciburgium,[2] a place situated on the Rhine, and at this day inhabited, was founded by him, and named Ἀσκιπύργιον. They pretend that an altar was formerly discovered here, consecrated to Ulysses, with the name of his father Laertes subjoined; and that certain monuments

nisi hoc dixerimus, quòd *bracht*, vel *brecht*, milites Germani appellare consueverunt; concursum videlicet certantium, et clamorem ad pugnam descendentium; quem *bar*, *bar*, *bar*, sonuisse nonnulli affirmant."—(Andr. Althameri, Schol. in C. Tacit. De Germanis.) Ritter, himself a German, affirms that *baritus* is a reading worth nothing; and that *barritus* was not the name of the ancient German war-song, but of the shout raised by the Romans in later ages when on the point of engaging; and that it was derived "a clamore barrorum, *i. e.* elephantorum." The same learned editor considers that the words "quem barditum vocant" have been originally the marginal annotation of some unsound scholar, and have been incorporated by some transcriber into the text of his MS. copy, whence the error has spread. He therefore encloses them between brackets, to show that, in his judgment, they are not the genuine production of the pen of Tacitus.—*White.*

[1] A very curious coincidence with the ancient German opinion concerning the prophetic nature of the war-cry or song, appears in the following passage of the Life of Sir Ewen Cameron, in "Pennant's Tour," 1769, Append. p. 363. At the battle of Killicrankie, just before the fight began, "he (Sir Ewen) commanded such of the Camerons as were posted near him to make a great shout, which being seconded by those who stood on the right and left, ran quickly through the whole army, and was returned by the enemy. But the noise of the muskets and cannon, with the echoing of the hills, made the Highlanders fancy that their shouts were much louder and brisker than those of the enemy, and Lochiel cried out, 'Gentlemen, take courage, the day is ours: I am the oldest commander in the army, and have always observed something ominous and fatal in such a dull, hollow, and feeble noise as the enemy made in their shout, which prognosticates that they are all doomed to die by our hands this night; whereas ours was brisk, lively, and strong, and shows we have vigour and courage.' These words, spreading quickly through the army, animated the troops in a strange manner. The event justified the prediction: the Highlanders obtained a complete victory."

[2] Now Asburg in the county of Meurs.

and tombs, inscribed with Greek characters,[1] are still extant upon the confines of Germany and Rhætia. These allegations I shall neither attempt to confirm nor to refute: let every one believe concerning them as he is disposed.

4. I concur in opinion with those who deem the Germans never to have intermarried with other nations; but to be a race, pure, unmixed, and stamped with a distinct character. Hence a family likeness pervades the whole, though their numbers are so great: eyes stern and blue; ruddy hair; large bodies,[2] powerful in sudden exertions, but impatient of toil and labour, least of all capable of sustaining thirst and heat. Cold and hunger they are accustomed by their climate and soil to endure.

5. The land, though varied to a considerable extent in its aspect, is yet universally shagged with forests, or deformed by marshes: moister on the side of Gaul, more bleak on the side of Noricum and Pannonia.[3] It is productive of grain, but unkindly to fruit-trees.[4] It abounds in flocks and herds, but in general of a small breed. Even the beeve kind are destitute of their usual stateliness and dignity of head:[5] they are, however, numerous, and form the most esteemed, and, indeed, the only species of wealth. Silver and gold the gods,

[1] The Greeks, by means of their colony at Marseilles, introduced their letters into Gaul, and the old Gallic coins have many Greek characters in their inscriptions. The Helvetians also, as we are informed by Cæsar, used Greek letters. Thence they might easily pass by means of commercial intercourse to the neighbouring Germans. Count Marsili and others have found monuments with Greek inscriptions in Germany, but not of so early an age.

[2] The large bodies of the Germans are elsewhere taken notice of by Tacitus, and also by other authors It would appear as if most of them were at that time at least six feet high. They are still accounted some of the tallest people in Europe.

[3] Bavaria and Austria.

[4] The greater degree of cold when the country was overspread with woods and marshes, made this observation more applicable than at present. The same change of temperature from clearing and draining the land has taken place in North America. It may be added, that the Germans, as we are afterwards informed, paid attention to no kind of culture but that of corn.

[5] The cattle of some parts of Germany are at present remarkably large; so that their former smallness must have rather been owing to want of care in feeding them and protecting them from the inclemencies of winter, and in improving the breed by mixtures, than to the nature of the climate.

I know not whether in their favour or anger, have denied to this country.[1] Not that I would assert that no veins of these metals are generated in Germany; for who has made the search? The possession of them is not coveted by these people as it is by us. Vessels of silver are indeed to be seen among them, which have been presented to their ambassadors and chiefs; but they are held in no higher estimation than earthenware. The borderers, however, set a value on gold and silver for the purposes of commerce, and have learned to distinguish several kinds of our coin, some of which they prefer to others: the remoter inhabitants continue the more simple and ancient usage of bartering commodities. The money preferred by the Germans is the old and well-known species, such as the *Serrati* and *Bigati*.[2] They are also better pleased with silver than gold;[3] not on account of any fondness for that metal, but because the smaller money is more convenient in their common and petty merchandise.

6. Even iron is not plentiful[4] among them; as may be inferred from the nature of their weapons. Swords or broad

[1] Mines both of gold and silver have since been discovered in Germany; the former, indeed, inconsiderable; but the latter, valuable.

[2] As vice and corruption advanced among the Romans, their money became debased and adulterated. Thus Pliny, xxxiii. 3, relates, that "Livius Drusus during his tribuneship mixed an eighth part of brass with the silver coin:" and *ibid.* 9, "that Antony the triumvir mixed iron with the denarius: that some coined base metal, others diminished the pieces, and hence it became an art to prove the goodness of the denarii." One precaution for this purpose was cutting the edges like the teeth of a saw, by which means it was seen whether the metal was the same quite through, or was only plated. These were the *Serrati*, or serrated Denarii. The *Bigati* were those stamped with the figure of a chariot drawn by two horses, as were the *Quadrigati* with a chariot and four horses. These were old coin, of purer silver than those of the emperors. Hence the preference of the Germans for certain kinds of species was founded on their apprehension of being cheated with false money.

[3] The Romans had the same predilection for silver coin, and probably on the same account originally. Pliny, in the place above cited, expresses his surprise that "the Roman people had always imposed a tribute in silver on conquered nations; as at the end of the second Punic war, when they demanded an annual payment in silver for fifty years, without any gold."

[4] Iron was in great abundance in the bowels of the earth; but this barbarous people had neither patience, skill, nor industry to dig and work it. Besides, they made use of weapons of stone, great numbers of which are found in ancient tombs and barrows.

lances are seldom used; but they generally carry a spear, (called in their language *framea*,[1]) which has an iron blade, short and narrow, but so sharp and manageable, that, as occasion requires, they employ it either in close or distant fighting.[2] This spear and a shield are all the armour of the cavalry. The foot have, besides, missile weapons, several to each man, which they hurl to an immense distance.[3] They are either naked,[4] or lightly covered with a small mantle; and have no pride in equipage: their shields only are ornamented with the choicest colours.[5] Few are provided with a coat of mail;[6] and scarcely here and there one with a casque or helmet.[7] Their horses are neither remarkable for

[1] This is supposed to take its name from *pfriem* or *prem*, the point of a weapon. Afterwards, when iron grew more plentiful, the Germans chiefly used swords.

[2] It appears, however, from Tacitus's Annals, ii. 14, that the length of these spears rendered them unmanageable in an engagement among trees and bushes.

[3] Notwithstanding the manner of fighting is so much changed in modern times, the arms of the ancients are still in use. We, as well as they, have two kinds of swords, the sharp-pointed, and edged (small sword and sabre). The broad lance subsisted till lately in the halberd; the spear and framea in the long pike and spontoon; the missile weapons in the war hatchet, or North American tomahawk. There are, besides, found in the old German barrows, perforated stone balls, which they threw by means of thongs passed through them.

[4] *Nudi.* The Latin *nudus*, like the Greek γυμνὸς, does not point out a person devoid of all clothing, but merely one without an upper garment—clad merely in a vest or tunic, and that perhaps a short one. —*White.*

[5] This decoration at first denoted the valour, afterwards the nobility, of the bearer; and in process of time gave origin to the armorial ensigns so famous in the ages of chivalry. The shields of the private men were simply coloured; those of the chieftains had the figures of animals painted on them.

[6] Plutarch, in his Life of Marius, describes somewhat differently the arms and equipage of the Cimbri. "They wore (says he) helmets representing the heads of wild beasts, and other unusual figures, and crowned with a winged crest, to make them appear taller. They were covered with iron coats of mail, and carried white glittering shields. Each had a battle-axe; and in close fight they used large heavy swords." But the learned Eccard justly observes, that they had procured these arms in their march; for the Holsatian barrows of that age contain few weapons of brass, and none of iron; but stone spear-heads, and instead of swords, the wedgelike bodies vulgarly called thunderbolts.

[7] Casques (*cassis*) are of metal; helmets (*galea*) of leather.—*Isidorus.*

beauty nor swiftness, nor are they taught the various evolutions practised with us. The cavalry either bear down straight forwards, or wheel once to the right, in so compact a body that none is left behind the rest. Their principal strength, on the whole, consists in their infantry: hence in an engagement these are intermixed with the cavalry;[1] so well accordant with the nature of equestrian combats is the agility of those foot soldiers, whom they select from the whole body of their youth, and place in the front of the line. Their number, too, is determined; a hundred from each canton:[2] and they are distinguished at home by a name expressive of this circumstance; so that what at first was only an appellation of number, becomes thenceforth a title of honour. Their line of battle is disposed in wedges.[3] To give ground, provided they rally again, is considered rather as a prudent stratagem, than cowardice. They carry off their slain even while the battle remains undecided. The greatest disgrace

[1] This mode of fighting is admirably described by Cæsar. "The Germans engaged after the following manner:—There were 6,000 horse, and an equal number of the swiftest and bravest foot; who were chosen, man by man, by the cavalry, for their protection. By these they were attended in battle; to these they retreated; and these, if they were hard pressed, joined them in the combat. If any fell wounded from their horses, by these they were covered. If it were necessary to advance or retreat to any considerable distance, such agility had they acquired by exercise, that, supporting themselves by the horses' manes, they kept pace with them."—Bell. Gall. i. 48.

[2] To understand this, it is to be remarked, that the Germans were divided into nations or tribes,—these into cantons, and these into districts or townships. The cantons (*pagi* in Latin) were called by themselves *gauen*. The districts or townships (*vici*) were called *hunderte*, whence the English hundreds. The name given to these select youth, according to the learned Dithmar, was *die hunderte*, hundred men. From the following passage in Cæsar, it appears that in the more powerful tribes a greater number was selected from each canton. "The nation of the Suevi is by far the greatest and most warlike of the Germans. They are said to inhabit a hundred cantons; from each of which a thousand men are sent annually to make war out of their own territories. Thus neither the employments of agriculture, nor the use of arms are interrupted."—Bell. Gall. iv. 1. The warriors were summoned by the *heribannum*, or army-edict; whence is derived the French *arrière-ban*.

[3] A wedge is described by Vegetius (iii. 19,) as a body of infantry, narrow in front, and widening towards the rear; by which disposition they were enabled to break the enemy's ranks, as all their weapons were directed to one spot. The soldiers called it a boar's head.

that can befal them is to have abandoned their shields.[1] A person branded with this ignominy is not permitted to join in their religious rites, or enter their assemblies; so that many, after escaping from battle, have put an end to their infamy by the halter.

7. In the election of kings they have regard to birth; in that of generals,[2] to valour. Their kings have not an absolute or unlimited power;[3] and their generals command less through the force of authority, than of example. If they are daring, adventurous, and conspicuous in action, they procure obedience from the admiration they inspire. None, however, but the priests[4] are permitted to judge offenders, to inflict bonds or stripes; so that chastisement appears not as an act of military discipline, but as the instigation of the god whom they suppose present with warriors. They also carry with them to battle certain images and standards taken from the sacred groves.[5] It is a principal incentive to their

[1] It was also considered as the height of injury to charge a person with this unjustly. Thus, by the *Salic* law, tit. xxxiii. 5, a fine of 600 denarii (about 9*l*.) is imposed upon "every free man who shall accuse another of throwing down his shield, and running away, without being able to prove it."

[2] Vertot (Mem. de l'Acad. des. Inscrip.) supposes that the French *maires du palais* had their origin from these German military leaders. If the kings were equally conspicuous for valour as for birth, they united the regal with the military command. Usually, however, several kings and generals were assembled in their wars. In this case, the most eminent commanded, and obtained a common jurisdiction in war, which did not subsist in time of peace. Thus Cæsar (Bell. Gall. vi.) says, "In peace they have no common magistracy." A general was elected by placing him on a shield, and lifting him on the shoulders of the bystanders. The same ceremonial was observed in the election of kings.

[3] Hence Ambiorix, king of the Eburones, declared that "the nature of his authority was such, that the people had no less power over him, than he over the people."—Cæsar, Bell. Gall. v. The authority of the North American chiefs is almost exactly similar.

[4] The power of life and death, however, was in the hands of magistrates. Thus Cæsar: "When a state engages either in an offensive or defensive war, magistrates are chosen to preside over it, and exercise power of life and death."—Bell. Gall. vi. The infliction of punishments was committed to the priests, in order to give them more solemnity, and render them less invidious.

[5] *Effigiesque et signa quædam.* That effigies does not mean the images of their deities is proved by what is stated at chap. ix., viz. that they deemed it derogatory to their deities to represent them in human

courage, that their squadrons and battalions are not formed by men fortuitously collected, but by the assemblage of families and clans. Their pledges also are near at hand; they have within hearing the yells of their women, and the cries of their children. These, too, are the most revered witnesses of each man's conduct, these his most liberal applauders. To their mothers and their wives they bring their wounds for relief, nor do these dread to count or to search out the gashes. The women also administer food and encouragement to those who are fighting.

8. Tradition relates, that armies beginning to give way have been rallied by the females, through the earnestness of their supplications, the interposition of their bodies,[1] and the pictures they have drawn of impending slavery,[2] a calamity which these people bear with more impatience for their women than themselves; so that those states who have been obliged to give among their hostages the daughters of noble families, are the most effectually bound to fidelity.[3] They even suppose somewhat of sanctity and prescience to be inherent in the female sex; and therefore neither despise their counsels,[4] nor disregard their responses.[5] We have beheld,

form; and, if in human form, we may argue, *à fortiori*, in the form of the lower animals. The interpretation of the passage will be best derived from Hist. iv. 22, where Tacitus says:—"Depromptæ silvis lucisve ferarum imagines, ut cuique genti inire prælium mos est." It would hence appear that these effigies and signa were images of wild animals, and were national standards preserved with religious care in sacred woods and groves, whence they were brought forth when the clan or tribe was about to take the field.—*White.*

[1] They not only interposed to prevent the flight of their husbands and sons, but, in desperate emergencies, themselves engaged in battle. This happened on Marius's defeat of the Cimbri (hereafter to be mentioned); and Dio relates, that when Marcus Aurelius overthrew the Marcomanni, Quadi, and other German allies, the bodies of women in armour were found among the slain.

[2] Thus, in the army of Ariovistus, the women, with their hair dishevelled, and weeping, besought the soldiers not to deliver them captives to the Romans.—Cæsar, Bell. Gall. i.

[3] Relative to this, perhaps, is a circumstance mentioned by Suetonius in his Life of Augustus. "From some nations he attempted to exact a new kind of hostages, women; because he observed that those of the male sex were disregarded."—Aug. xxi.

[4] See the same observation with regard to the Celtic women, in Plutarch, on the virtues of women. The North Americans pay a similar regard to their females.

[5] A remarkable instance of this is given by Cæsar. "When he

in the reign of Vespasian, Veleda,[1] long reverenced by many as a deity. Aurima, moreover, and several others,[2] were formerly held in equal veneration, but not with a servile flattery, nor as though they made them goddesses.[3]

9. Of the gods, Mercury[4] is the principal object of their

inquired of the captives the reason why Ariovistus did not engage, he learned, that it was because the matrons, who among the Germans are accustomed to pronounce, from their divinations, whether or not a battle will be favourable, had declared that they would not prove victorious, if they should fight before the new moon."—Bell. Gall. i. The cruel manner in which the Cimbrian women performed their divinations is thus related by Strabo: "The women who follow the Cimbri to war, are accompanied by grey-haired prophetesses, in white vestments, with canvas mantles fastened by clasps, a brazen girdle, and naked feet. These go with drawn swords through the camp, and, striking down those of the prisoners that they meet, drag them to a brazen kettle, holding about twenty amphoræ. This has a kind of stage above it, ascending on which, the priestess cuts the throat of the victim, and, from the manner in which the blood flows into the vessel, judges of the future event. Others tear open the bodies of the captives thus butchered, and, from inspection of the entrails, presage victory to their own party."—Lib. vii.

[1] She was afterwards taken prisoner by Rutilius Gallicus. Statius, in his Sylvæ, i. 4, refers to this event. Tacitus has more concerning her in his History, iv. 61.

[2] Viradesthis was a goddess of the Tungri; Harimella, another provincial deity; whose names were found by Mr. Pennant inscribed on altars at the Roman station at Burrens. These were erected by the German auxiliaries.—Vide Tour in Scotland, 1772, part ii. p. 406.

[3] Ritter considers that here is a reference to the servile flattery of the senate as exhibited in the time of Nero, by the deification of Poppæa's infant daughter, and afterwards of herself. (See Ann. xv. 23, Dion. lxiii., Ann. xiv. 3.) There is no contradiction in the present passage to that found at Hist. iv. 61, where Tacitus says, "plerasque feminarum fatidicas et, augescente superstitione, arbitrantur deas;" *i.e.* they deem (*arbitrantur*) very many of their women possessed of prophetic powers, and, as their religious feeling increases, they deem (*arbitrantur*) them goddesses, *i.e.* possessed of a superhuman nature; they do not, however, make them goddesses and worship them, as the Romans did Poppæa and her infant, which is covertly implied in *facerent deas.*—*White.*

[4] Mercury, *i.e.* a god whom Tacitus thus names, because his attributes resembled those of the Roman Mercury. According to Paulus Diaconus (de Gestis Langobardorum, i. 9), this deity was Wodun, or Gwodan, called also Odin. Mallet (North. Ant. ch. v.) says, that in the Icelandic mythology he is called "the terrible and severe God, the Father of Slaughter, he who giveth victory and receiveth courage in the conflict, who nameth those that are to be slain." "The Germans

adoration; whom, on certain days,[1] they think it lawful to propitiate even with human victims. To Hercules and Mars[2] they offer the animals usually allotted for sacrifice.[3]

drew their gods by their own character, who loved nothing so much themselves as to display their strength and power in battle, and to signalize their vengeance upon their enemies by slaughter and desolation." There remain to this day some traces of the worship paid to Odin in the name given by almost all the people of the north to the fourth day of the week, which was formerly consecrated to him. It is called by a name which signifies "Odin's day;" "Old Norse, *Odinsdagr;* Swedish and Danish, *Onsdag;* Anglo-Saxon, *Wodenesdæg, Wodnesdæg;* Dutch, *Woensdag;* English, Wednesday. As Odin or Wodun was supposed to correspond to the Mercury of the Greeks and Romans, the name of this day was expressed in Latin *Dies Mercurii.*"—*White.*

[1] "The appointed time for these sacrifices," says Mallet (North. Ant. ch. vi.), "was always determined by a superstitious opinion which made the northern nations regard the number 'three' as sacred and particularly dear to the gods. Thus, in every ninth month they renewed the bloody ceremony, which was to last nine days, and every day they offered up nine living victims, whether men or animals. But the most solemn sacrifices were those which were offered up at Upsal in Sweden every ninth year. . . . ." After stating the compulsory nature of attendance at this festival, Mallet adds, "Then they chose among the captives in time of war, and among the slaves in time of peace, nine persons to be sacrificed. In whatever manner they immolated men, the priest always took care in consecrating the victim to pronounce certain words, as 'I devote thee to Odin,' 'I send thee to Odin.'" See Lucan i. 444.

"Et quibus immitis placatur sanguine diro
Teutates, horrensque feris altaribus Hesus."

Teutates is Mercury, Hesus, Mars. So also at iii. 399, &c.

"Lucus erat longo nunquam violatus ab ævo.
. . . . . Barbara ritu
Sacra Deum, structæ diris altaribus aræ,
Omnis et humanis lustrata cruoribus arbor."

[2] That is, as in the preceding case, a deity whose attributes corresponded to those of the Roman Mars. This appears to have been not *Thor*, who is rather the representative of the Roman Jupiter, but *Tyr*, "a warrior god, and the protector of champions and brave men!" "From *Tyr* is derived the name given to the third day of the week in most of the Teutonic languages, and which has been rendered into Latin by *Dies Martis.* Old Norse, *Tirsdagr, Tisdagr;* Swedish, *Tisdag;* Danish, *Tirsdag;* German, *Dienstag;* Dutch, *Dingsdag;* Anglo-Saxon, *Tyrsdæg, Tyresdæg, Tivesdæg;* English, *Tuesday.*" —Mallet's North. Ant. ch. v.)—*White.*

[3] The Suevi appear to have been the Germanic tribes, and this also the worship spoken of at chap. xl. *Signum in modum liburnæ figuratum*

Some of the Suevi also perform sacred rites to Isis. What was the cause and origin of this foreign worship, I have not been able to discover; further than that her being represented with the symbol of a galley, seems to indicate an imported religion.[1] They conceive it unworthy the grandeur of celestial beings to confine their deities within walls, or to represent them under a human similitude:[2] woods and groves are their temples; and they affix names of divinity to that secret power, which they behold with the eye of adoration alone.

10. No people are more addicted to divination by omens and lots. The latter is performed in the following simple manner. They cut a twig[3] from a fruit-tree, and divide it into small pieces, which, distinguished by certain marks, are thrown promiscuously upon a white garment. Then, the priest of the canton, if the occasion be public; if private, the master of the family; after an invocation of the gods, with his eyes lifted up to heaven, thrice takes out each piece, and, as they come up, interprets their signification according to the marks fixed upon them. If the result prove unfavourable, there is no more consultation on the same affair that day; if propitious, a confirmation by omens is still required. In common with other nations, the Germans are acquainted with the practice of auguring from the notes and flight of

corresponds with the *vehiculum* there spoken of; the real thing being, according to Ritter's view, a pinnace placed on wheels. That *signum ipsum* ("the very symbol") does not mean any image of the goddess, may be gathered also from chap. xl., where the goddess herself, *si credere velis*, is spoken of as being washed in the sacred lake.

[1] As the Romans in their ancient coins, many of which are now extant, recorded the arrival of Saturn by the stern of a ship; so other nations have frequently denoted the importation of a foreign religious rite by the figure of a galley on their medals.

[2] Tacitus elsewhere speaks of temples of German divinities (*e. g.* 40: Templum Nerthæ, Ann. i. 51; Templum Tanfanæ); but a consecrated grove, or any other sacred place, was called templum by the Romans.

[3] The Scythians are mentioned by Herodotus, and the Alans by Ammianus Marcellinus, as making use of these divining rods. The German method of divination with them is illustrated by what is said by Saxo-Grammaticus (Hist. Dan. xiv. 288) of the inhabitants of the Isle of Rugen in the Baltic Sea: "Throwing, by way of lots, three pieces of wood, white in one part, and black in another, into their laps, they foretold good fortune by the coming up of the white; bad by that of the black."

birds; but it is peculiar to them to derive admonitions and presages from horses also.[1] Certain of these animals, milk-white, and untouched by earthly labour, are pastured at the public expense in the sacred woods and groves. These, yoked to a consecrated chariot, are accompanied by the priest, and king, or chief person of the community, who attentively observe their manner of neighing and snorting; and no kind of augury is more credited, not only among the populace, but among the nobles and priests. For the latter consider themselves as the ministers of the gods, and the horses, as privy to the divine will. Another kind of divination, by which they explore the event of momentous wars, is to oblige a prisoner, taken by any means whatsoever from the nation with whom they are at variance, to fight with a picked man of their own, each with his own country's arms; and, according as the victory falls, they presage success to the one or to the other party.[2]

11. On affairs of smaller moment, the chiefs consult; on those of greater importance, the whole community; yet with this circumstance, that what is referred to the decision of the people, is first maturely discussed by the chiefs.[3] They assemble, unless upon some sudden emergency, on stated days, either at the new or full moon, which they account the most auspicious season for beginning any enterprise. Nor do they, in their computation of time, reckon, like us, by the number of days, but of nights. In this way they arrange their business; in this way they fix their appointments; so

[1] The same practice obtained among the Persians, from whom the Germans appear to be sprung. Darius was elected king by the neighing of a horse; sacred white horses were in the army of Cyrus; and Xerxes, retreating after his defeat, was preceded by the sacred horses and consecrated chariot. Justin (i. 10) mentions the cause of this superstition, viz. that "the Persians believed the Sun to be the only God, and horses to be peculiarly consecrated to him." The priest of the Isle of Rugen also took auspices from a white horse, as may be seen in Saxo-Grammaticus.

[2] Montesquieu finds in this custom the origin of the duel, and of knight-errantry.

[3] This remarkable passage, so curious in political history, is commented on by Montesquieu, in his Spirit of Laws, vi. 11. That celebrated author expresses his surprise at the existence of such a balance between liberty and authority in the forests of Germany; and traces the origin of the English constitution from this source. Tacitus again mentions the German form of government in his Annals, iv. 33.

that, with them, the night seems to lead the day.[1] An inconvenience produced by their liberty is, that they do not all assemble at a stated time, as if it were in obedience to a command; but two or three days are lost in the delays of convening. When they all think fit,[2] they sit down armed.[3] Silence is proclaimed by the priests, who have on this occasion a coercive power. Then the king, or chief, and such others as are conspicuous for age, birth, military renown, or eloquence, are heard; and gain attention rather from their ability to persuade, than their authority to command. If a proposal displease, the assembly reject it by an inarticulate murmur; if it prove agreeable, they clash their javelins;[4] for the most honourable expression of assent among them is the sound of arms.

12. Before this council, it is likewise allowed to exhibit accusations, and to prosecute capital offences. Punishments are varied according to the nature of the crime. Traitors and deserters are hung upon trees:[5] cowards, dastards,[6] and those

[1] The high antiquity of this mode of reckoning appears from the Book of Genesis. "The evening and the morning were the first day." The Gauls, we are informed by Cæsar, "assert that, according to the tradition of their Druids, they are all sprung from Father Dis; on which account they reckon every period of time according to the number of nights, not of days; and observe birthdays and the beginnings of months and years in such a manner, that the day seems to follow the night." (Bell. Gall. vi. 18.) The vestiges of this method of computation still appear in the English language, in the terms se'nnight and fort'night.

[2] *Ut turbæ placuit.* Doederlein interprets this passage as representing the confused way in which the people took their seats in the national assembly, without reference to order, rank, age, &c. It rather represents, however, that the people, not the chieftains, determined when the business of the council should begin.—*White.*

[3] And in an open plain. Vast heaps of stone still remaining, denote the scenes of these national councils. (See Mallet's Introduct. to Hist. of Denmark.) The English Stonehenge has been supposed a relic of this kind. In these assemblies are seen the origin of those which, under the Merovingian race of French kings, were called the Fields of March; under the Carlovingian, the Fields of May; then, the Plenary Courts of Christmas and Easter; and lastly, the States General.

[4] The speech of Civilis was received with this expression of applause. Tacitus, Hist. iv. 15.

[5] Gibbeted alive. Heavy penalties were denounced against those who should take them down, alive or dead. These are particularised in the Salic law.

[6] By cowards and dastards, in this passage, are probably meant those

guilty of unnatural practices.[1] are suffocated in mud under a hurdle.[2] This difference of punishment has in view the principle, that villainy should be exposed while it is punished, but turpitude concealed. The penalties annexed to slighter offences[3] are also proportioned to the delinquency. The convicts are fined in horses and cattle:[4] part of the mulct[5] goes to the king or state; part to the injured person, or his relations. In the same assemblies chiefs[6] are also elected, to

who, being summoned to war, refused or neglected to go. Cæsar (Bell. Gall. vi. 22) mentions, that those who refused to follow their chiefs to war were considered as deserters and traitors. And, afterwards, the emperor Clothaire made the following edict, preserved in the Lombard law: "Whatever freeman, summoned to the defence of his country by his Count, or his officers, shall neglect to go, and the enemy enter the country to lay it waste, or otherwise damage our liege subjects, he shall incur a capital punishment." As the crimes of cowardice, treachery, and desertion were so odious and ignominious among the Germans, we find by the Salic law, that penalties were annexed to the unjust imputation of them.

[1] These were so rare and so infamous among the Germans, that barely calling a person by a name significant of them was severely punished.

[2] Incestuous people were buried alive in bogs in Scotland. Pennant's Tour in Scotland, 1772; part i. p. 351; and part ii. p. 421.

[3] Among these slighter offences, however, were reckoned homicide, adultery, theft, and many others of a similar kind. This appears from the laws of the Germans, and from a subsequent passage of Tacitus himself.

[4] These were at that time the only riches of the country, as was already observed in this treatise. Afterwards gold and silver became plentiful: hence all the mulcts required by the Salic law are pecuniary. Money, however, still bore a fixed proportion to cattle; as appears from the Saxon law (Tit. xviii.): "The Solidus is of two kinds; one contains two tremisses, that is, a beeve of twelve months, or a sheep with its lamb; the other, three tremisses, or a beeve of sixteen months. Homicide is compounded for by the lesser solidus; other crimes by the greater." The Saxons had their Weregeld,—the Scotch their Cro, Galnes, and Kelchin,—and the Welsh their Gwerth, and Galanus, or compensations for injuries; and cattle were likewise the usual fine. Vide Pennant's Tour in Wales of 1773, pp. 273, 274.

[5] This mulct is frequently in the Salic law called "fred," that is, peace; because it was paid to the king or state, as guardians of the public peace.

[6] A brief account of the civil economy of the Germans will here be useful. They were divided into nations; of which some were under a regal government, others a republican. The former had kings, the latter chiefs. Both in kingdoms and republics, military affairs were under the conduct of the generals. The nations were divided into

administer justice through the cantons and districts. A hundred companions, chosen from the people, attend upon each of them, to assist them as well with their advice as their authority.

13. The Germans transact no business, public or private, without being armed:[1] but it is not customary for any person to assume arms till the state has approved his ability to use them. Then, in the midst of the assembly, either one of the chiefs, or the father, or a relation, equips the youth with a shield and javelin.[2] These are to them the manly gown;[3] this is the first honour conferred on youth: before this they are considered as part of a household; afterwards, of the state. The dignity of chieftain is bestowed even on mere lads, whose descent is eminently illustrious, or whose fathers have performed signal services to the public; they are associated,

cantons; each of which was superintended by a chief, or count, who administered justice in it. The cantons were divided into districts or hundreds, so called because they contained a hundred vills or townships. In each hundred was a companion, or centenary, chosen from the people, before whom small causes were tried. Before the count, all causes, as well great as small, were amenable. The centenaries are called companions by Tacitus, after the custom of the Romans; among whom the titles of honour were, Cæsar, the Legatus or Lieutenant of Cæsar, and his comites, or companions. The courts of justice were held in the open air, on a rising ground, beneath the shade of an oak, elm, or some other large tree.

[1] Even judges were armed on the seat of justice. The Romans, on the contrary, never went armed but when actually engaged in military service.

[2] These are the rudiments of the famous institution of chivalry. The sons of kings appear to have received arms from foreign princes. Hence, when Audoin, after overcoming the Gepidæ, was requested by the Lombards to dine with his son Alboin, his partner in the victory, he refused; for, says he, "you know it is not customary with us for a king's son to dine with his father, until he has received arms from the king of another country."—Warnefrid, De gestis Langobardorum. i. 23.

[3] An allusion to the *toga virilis* of the Romans. The German youth were presented with the shield and spear probably at twelve or fifteen years of age. This early initiation into the business of arms gave them that warlike character for which they were so celebrated. Thus, Seneca (Epist. 46) says, "A native of Germany brandishes, while yet a boy, his slender javelin." And again, (in his book on Anger, i. 11), "Who are braver than the Germans?—who more impetuous in the charge?—who fonder of arms, in the use of which they are born and nourished, which are their only care?—who more inured to hardships, insomuch that for the most part they provide no covering for their bodies, no retreat against the perpetual severity of the climate?"

however, with those of mature strength, who have already been declared capable of service; nor do they blush to be seen in the rank of companions.[1] For the state of companionship itself has its several degrees, determined by the judgment of him whom they follow; and there is a great emulation among the companions, which shall possess the highest place in the favour of their chief; and among the chiefs, which shall excel in the number and valour of his companions. It is their dignity, their strength, to be always surrounded with a large body of select youth, an ornament in peace, a bulwark in war. And not in his own country alone, but among the neighbouring states, the fame and glory of each chief consists in being distinguished for the number and bravery of his companions. Such chiefs are courted by embassies; distinguished by presents; and often by their reputation alone decide a war.

14. In the field of battle, it is disgraceful for the chief to be surpassed in valour; it is disgraceful for the companions not to equal their chief; but it is reproach and infamy during a whole succeeding life to retreat from the field surviving him.[2] To aid, to protect him; to place their own gallant actions to the account of his glory, is their first and most sacred engagement. The chiefs fight for victory; the companions for their chief. If their native country be long sunk in peace and inaction, many of the young nobles repair to some other state then engaged in war. For, besides that repose is unwelcome to their race, and toils and perils afford them a better opportunity of distinguishing themselves; they are unable, without war and violence, to maintain a large train of followers. The companion requires from the liberality of his chief, the warlike steed, the bloody and conquering spear: and in place of pay, he expects to be supplied with a

[1] Hence it seems these noble lads were deemed *principes* in rank, yet had their position among the *comites* only. The German word *Gesell* is peculiarly appropriated to these comrades in arms. So highly were they esteemed in Germany, that for killing or hurting them a fine was exacted treble to that for other freemen.

[2] Hence, when Chonodomarus, king of the Alamanni, was taken prisoner by the Romans, "his companions, two hundred in number, and three friends peculiarly attached to him, thinking it infamous to survive their prince, or not to die for him, surrendered themselves to be put in bonds."—Ammianus Marcellinus, xvi. 13.

table, homely indeed, but plentiful.[1] The funds for this munificence must be found in war and rapine; nor are they so easily persuaded to cultivate the earth, and await the produce of the seasons, as to challenge the foe, and expose themselves to wounds; nay, they even think it base and spiritless to earn by sweat what they might purchase with blood.

15. During the intervals of war, they pass their time less in hunting than in a sluggish repose,[2] divided between sleep and the table. All the bravest of the warriors, committing the care of the house, the family affairs, and the lands, to the women, old men, and weaker part of the domestics, stupify themselves in inaction: so wonderful is the contrast presented by nature, that the same persons love indolence, and hate tranquillity![3] It is customary for the several states to present, by voluntary and individual contributions,[4] cattle or grain[5] to their chiefs; which are accepted as honorary gifts, while they serve as necessary supplies.[6] They are peculiarly

[1] Hence Montesquieu (Spirit of Laws, xxx. 3) justly derives the origin of vassalage. At first, the prince gave to his nobles arms and provision: as avarice advanced, money, and then lands, were required, which from benefices became at length hereditary possessions, and were called fiefs. Hence the establishment of the feudal system.

[2] Cæsar, with less precision, says, "The Germans pass their whole lives in hunting and military exercises." (Bell. Gall. vi. 21.) The picture drawn by Tacitus is more consonant to the genius of a barbarous people; besides that, hunting being the employment but of a few months of the year, a greater part must necessarily be passed in indolence by those who had no other occupation. In this circumstance, and those afterwards related, the North American savages exactly agree with the ancient Germans.

[3] This apparent contradiction is, however, perfectly agreeable to the principles of human nature. Among people governed by impulse more than reason, everything is in the extreme: war and peace; motion and rest; love and hatred; none are pursued with moderation.

[4] These are the rudiments of tributes; though the contributions here spoken of were voluntary, and without compulsion. The origin of exchequers is pointed out above, where "part of the mulct" is said to be "paid to the king or state." Taxation was taught the Germans by the Romans, who levied taxes upon them.

[5] So, in after-times, when tributes were customary, 500 oxen or cows were required annually from the Saxons by the French kings Clothaire I. and Pepin. (See Eccard, tom. i. pp. 84, 480.) Honey, corn, and other products of the earth, were likewise received in tribute. (Ibid. p. 392.)

[6] For the expenses of war, and other necessities of state, and particularly the public entertainments. Hence, besides the Steora, or annual

pleased with presents from neighbouring nations, offered not only by individuals, but by the community at large; such as fine horses, heavy armour, rich housings, and gold chains. We have now taught them also to accept of money.[1]

16. It is well known that none of the German nations inhabit cities;[2] or even admit of contiguous settlements. They dwell scattered and separate, as a spring, a meadow, or a grove may chance to invite them. Their villages are laid out, not like ours in rows of adjoining buildings; but every one surrounds his house with a vacant space,[3] either by way of security against fire,[4] or through ignorance of the art of building. For, indeed, they are unacquainted with the use of mortar and tiles; and for every purpose employ rude unshapen timber, fashioned with no regard to pleasing the eye. They bestow more than ordinary pains in coating certain parts of their buildings with a kind of earth, so pure and shining that it gives the appearance of painting. They also dig subterraneous caves,[5] and cover them over with a great

tribute, the Osterstuopha, or Easter cup, previous to the public assembly of the Field of March, was paid to the French kings.

[1] This was a dangerous lesson, and in the end proved ruinous to the Roman empire. Herodian says of the Germans in his time, "They are chiefly to be prevailed upon by bribes; being fond of money, and continually selling peace to the Romans for gold."—Lib. vi. 139.

[2] This custom was of long duration; for there is not the mention of a single city in Ammianus Marcellinus, who wrote on the wars of the Romans in Germany. The names of places in Ptolemy (ii. 11) are not, therefore, those of cities, but of scattered villages. The Germans had not even what we should call towns, notwithstanding Cæsar asserts the contrary.

[3] The space surrounding the house, and fenced in by hedges, was that celebrated Salic land, which descended to the male line, exclusively of the female.

[4] The danger of fire was particularly urgent in time of war; for, as Cæsar informs us, these people were acquainted with a method of throwing red-hot clay bullets from slings, and burning javelins, on the thatch of houses. (Bell. Gall. v. 42.)

[5] Thus likewise Mela (ii. 1), concerning the Sarmatians: "On account of the length and severity of their winters, they dwell under ground, either in natural or artificial caverns." At the time that Germany was laid waste by a forty years' war, Kircher saw many of the natives who, with their flocks, herds, and other possessions, took refuge in the caverns of the highest mountains. For many other curious particulars concerning these and other subterranean caves, see his Mundus Subterraneus, viii. 3, p. 100. In Hungary, at this day, corn is commonly stored in subterranean chambers.

quantity of dung. These they use as winter-retreats, and granaries; for they preserve a moderate temperature; and upon an invasion, when the open country is plundered, these recesses remain unviolated, either because the enemy is ignorant of them, or because he will not trouble himself with the search.[1]

17. The clothing common to all is a sagum[2] fastened by a clasp, or, in want of that, a thorn. With no other covering, they pass whole days on the hearth, before the fire. The more wealthy are distinguished by a vest, not flowing loose, like those of the Sarmatians and Parthians, but girt close, and exhibiting the shape of every limb. They also wear the skins of beasts, which the people near the borders are less curious in selecting or preparing than the more remote inhabitants, who cannot by commerce procure other clothing. These make choice of particular skins, which they variegate with spots, and strips of the furs of marine animals,[3] the produce of the exterior ocean, and seas to us unknown.[4] The dress of the women does not differ from that of the men; except that they more frequently wear linen,[5] which they stain with purple;[6] and do not lengthen their upper garment into

[1] Near Newbottle, the seat of the Marquis of Lothian, are some subterraneous apartments and passages cut out of the live rock, which had probably served for the same purposes of winter-retreats and granaries as those dug by the ancient Germans. Pennant's Tour in 1769, 4to, p. 63.

[2] This was a kind of mantle of a square form, called also *rheno*. Thus Cæsar (Bell. Gall. vi. 21): "They use skins for clothing, or the short rhenones, and leave the greatest part of the body naked." Isidore (xix. 23) describes the rhenones as "garments covering the shoulders and breast, as low as the navel, so rough and shaggy that they are impenetrable to rain." Mela (iii. 3), speaking of the Germans, says, "The men are clothed only with the sagum, or the bark of trees, even in the depth of winter."

[3] All savages are fond of variety of colours; hence the Germans spotted their furs with the skins of other animals, of which those here mentioned were probably of the seal kind. This practice is still continued with regard to the ermine, which is spotted with black lamb's skin.

[4] The Northern Sea, and Frozen Ocean.

[5] Pliny testifies the same thing; and adds, that "the women beyond the Rhine are not acquainted with any more elegant kind of clothing." —xix. 1.

[6] Not that rich and costly purple in which the Roman nobility shone, but some ordinary material, such as the *vaccinium*, which Pliny

sleeves, but leave exposed the whole arm, and part of the breast.

18. The matrimonial bond is, nevertheless, strict and severe among them; nor is there anything in their manners more commendable than this.[1] Almost singly among the barbarians, they content themselves with one wife; a very few of them excepted, who, not through incontinence, but because their alliance is solicited on account of their rank,[2] practise polygamy. The wife does not bring a dowry to her husband, but receives one from him.[3] The parents and relations assemble, and pass their approbation on the presents—presents not adapted to please a female taste, or decorate the bride; but oxen, a caparisoned steed, a shield, spear, and sword. By virtue of these, the wife is espoused; and she in her turn makes a present of some arms to her husband. This they consider as the firmest bond of union; these, the sacred mysteries, the conjugal deities. That the woman may not think herself excused from exertions of fortitude, or exempt from the casualties of war, she is admonished by the very ceremonial of her marriage, that she comes to her husband as a partner in toils and dangers; to suffer and to dare equally with him, in peace and in war: this is indicated by the yoked oxen, the harnessed steed, the offered arms. Thus she is to live; thus to die. She receives what she is to return invio-

says was used by the Gauls as a purple dye for the garments of the slaves. (xvi. 18.)

[1] The chastity of the Germans, and their strict regard to the laws of marriage, are witnessed by all their ancient codes of law. The purity of their manners in this respect afforded a striking contrast to the licentiousness of the Romans in the decline of the empire, and is exhibited in this light by Salvian, in his treatise De Gubernatione Dei, lib. vii.

[2] Thus we find in Cæsar (Bell. Gall. i. 53), that Ariovistus had two wives. Others had more. This indulgence proved more difficult to abolish, as it was considered as a mark of opulence, and an appendage of nobility.

[3] The Germans purchased their wives, as appears from the following clauses in the Saxon law concerning marriage: "A person who espouses a wife shall pay to her parents 300 solidi (about 180*l.* sterling); but if the marriage be without the consent of the parents, the damsel, however, consenting, he shall pay 600 solidi. If neither the parents nor damsel consent, that is, if she be carried off by violence, he shall pay 300 solidi to the parents, and 340 to the damsel, and restore her to her parents."

late[1] and honoured to her children; what her daughters-in-law are to receive, and again transmit to her grandchildren.

19. They live, therefore, fenced around with chastity;[2] corrupted by no seductive spectacles,[3] no convivial incitements. Men and women are alike unacquainted with clandestine correspondence. Adultery is extremely rare among so numerous a people. Its punishment is instant, and at the pleasure of the husband. He cuts off the hair[4] of the offender, strips her, and in presence of her relations expels her from his house, and pursues her with stripes through the whole village.[5] Nor is any indulgence shown to a prostitute. Neither beauty, youth, nor riches can procure her a husband: for none there looks on vice with a smile, or calls mutual seduction the way of the world. Still more exemplary is the practice of those states[6] in which

[1] Thus in the Saxon law, concerning dowries, it is said: "The Ostfalii and Angrarii determine, that if a woman have male issue, she is to possess the dower she received in marriage during her life, and transmit it to her sons."

[2] *Ergo septæ pudicitiâ agunt.* Some editions have *septâ pudicitiâ.* This would imply, however, rather the result of the care and watchfulness of their husbands; whereas it seems the object of Tacitus to show that this their chastity was the effect of innate virtue, and this is rather expressed by *septæ pudicitiâ*, which is the reading of the Arundelian MS.

[3] Seneca speaks with great force and warmth on this subject: "Nothing is so destructive to morals as loitering at public entertainments; for vice more easily insinuates itself into the heart when softened by pleasure. What shall I say! I return from them more covetous, ambitious, and luxurious."—Epist. vii.

[4] The Germans had a great regard for the hair, and looked upon cutting it off as a heavy disgrace; so that this was made a punishment for certain crimes, and was resented as an injury if practised upon an innocent person.

[5] From an epistle of St. Boniface, archbishop of Mentz, to Ethelbald, king of England, we learn that among the Saxons the women themselves inflicted the punishment for violated chastity: "In ancient Saxony (now Westphalia), if a virgin pollute her father's house, or a married woman prove false to her vows, sometimes she is forced to put an end to her own life by the halter, and over the ashes of her burned body her seducer is hanged: sometimes a troop of females assembling lead her through the circumjacent villages, lacerating her body, stripped to the girdle, with rods and knives; and thus, bloody and full of minute wounds, she is continually met by new tormenters, who in their zeal for chastity do not quit her till she is dead, or scarcely alive, in order to inspire a dread of such offences." See Michael Alford's Annales Ecclesiæ Anglo-Saxon., and Eccard.

[6] A passage in Valerius Maximus renders it probable that the Cimbrian states were of this number: "The wives of the Teutones besought

none but virgins marry, and the expectations and wishes of a wife are at once brought to a period. Thus, they take one husband as one body and one life; that no thought, no desire, may extend beyond him; and he may be loved not only as their husband, but as their marriage.[1] To limit the increase of children,[2] or put to death any of the later progeny,[3] is accounted infamous: and good habits have there more influence than good laws elsewhere.[4]

20. In every house the children grow up, thinly and meanly clad,[5] to that bulk of body and limb which we behold with wonder. Every mother suckles her own children, and does not deliver them into the hands of servants and nurses. No indulgence distinguishes the young master from the slave. They lie together amidst the same cattle, upon the same ground, till age[6] separates, and valour marks out, the free-

Marius, after his victory, that he would deliver them as a present to the Vestal virgins; affirming that they should henceforth, equally with themselves, abstain from the embraces of the other sex. This request not being granted, they all strangled themselves the ensuing night."—Lib. vi. 1. 3.

[1] Among the Heruli, the wife was expected to hang herself at once at the grave of her husband, if she would not live in perpetual infamy.

[2] This expression may signify as well the murder of young children, as the procurement of abortion; both which crimes were severely punished by the German laws.

[3] *Quemquam ex agnatis.* By *agnati* generally in Roman law were meant relations by the father's side; here it signifies children born after there was already an heir to the name and property of the father.

[4] Justin has a similar thought concerning the Scythians: "Justice is cultivated by the dispositions of the people, not by the laws." (ii. 2.) How inefficacious the good laws here alluded to by Tacitus were in preventing enormities among the Romans, appears from the frequent complaints of the senators, and particularly of Minucius Felix: "I behold you, exposing your babes to the wild beasts and birds, or strangling the unhappy wretches with your own hands. Some of you, by means of drugs, extinguish the newly-formed man within your bowels, and thus commit parricide on your offspring before you bring them into the world." (Octavius, c. 30.) So familiar was this practice grown at Rome, that the virtuous Pliny apologises for it, alleging that "the great fertility of some women may require such a licence."—xxix. 4. 37.

[5] *Nudi ac sordidi* does not mean "in nakedness and filth," as most translators have supposed. Personal filth is inconsistent with the daily practice of bathing mentioned c. 22; and *nudus* does not necessarily imply absolute nakedness (see note 4, p. 293).

[6] This age appears at first to have been twelve years; for then a youth became liable to the penalties of law. Thus in the Salic law it

born. The youths partake late of the pleasures of love,[1] and hence pass the age of puberty unexhausted: nor are the virgins hurried into marriage; the same maturity, the same full growth, is required: the sexes unite equally matched,[2] and robust; and the children inherit the vigour of their parents. Children are regarded with equal affection by their maternal uncles[3] as by their fathers: some even consider this as the more sacred bond of consanguinity, and prefer it in the requisition of hostages, as if it held the mind by a firmer tie, and the family by a more extensive obligation. A person's own children, however, are his heirs and successors; and no wills are made. If there be no children, the next in order of inheritance are brothers, paternal and maternal uncles. The more numerous are a man's relations and kinsmen, the more comfortable is his old age; nor is it here any advantage to be childless.[4]

21. It is an indispensable duty to adopt the enmities[5] of a father or relation, as well as their friendships: these, how-

is said, "If a child under twelve commit a fault, 'fred,' or a mulct, shall not be required of him." Afterwards the term was fifteen years of age. Thus in the Ripuary law, "A child under fifteen shall not be responsible." Again, "If a man die, or be killed, and leave a son; before he have completed his fifteenth year, he shall neither prosecute a cause, nor be called upon to answer in a suit: but at this term, he must either answer himself, or choose an advocate. In like manner with regard to the female sex." The Burgundian law provides to the same effect. This then was the term of majority, which in later times, when heavier armour was used, was still longer delayed.

[1] This is illustrated by a passage in Cæsar (Bell. Gall. vi. 21): "They who are the latest in proving their virility are most commended. By this delay they imagine the stature is increased, the strength improved, and the nerves fortified. To have knowledge of the other sex before twenty years of age, is accounted in the highest degree scandalous."

[2] Equal not only in age and constitution, but in condition. Many of the German codes of law annex penalties to those of both sexes who marry persons of inferior rank.

[3] Hence, in the history of the Merovingian kings of France, so many instances of regard to sisters and their children appear, and so many wars undertaken on their account.

[4] The court paid at Rome to rich persons without children, by the Hæredipetæ, or legacy-hunters, is a frequent subject of censure and ridicule with the Roman writers.

[5] Avengers of blood are mentioned in the law of Moses, Numb. xxxv. 19. In the Roman law also, under the head of "those who on account of unworthiness are deprived of their inheritance," it is pronounced, that "such heirs as are proved to have neglected revenging the testator's death, shall be obliged to restore the entire profits"

ever, are not irreconcilable or perpetual. Even homicide is atoned[1] by a certain fine in cattle and sheep; and the whole family accepts the satisfaction, to the advantage of the public weal, since quarrels are most dangerous in a free state. No people are more addicted to social entertainments, or more liberal in the exercise of hospitality.[2] To refuse any person whatever admittance under their roof, is accounted flagitious.[3] Every one according to his ability feasts his guest: when his provisions are exhausted, he who was late the host, is now the guide and companion to another hospitable board. They enter the next house uninvited, and are received with equal cordiality. No one makes a distinction with respect to the rights of hospitality, between a stranger and an acquaintance. The departing guest is presented with whatever he may ask for; and with the same freedom a boon is desired in return. They are pleased with presents; but think no obligation incurred either when they give or receive.

22. [4][Their manner of living with their guests is easy and affable.] As soon as they arise from sleep, which they generally protract till late in the day, they bathe, usually in warm water,[5] as cold weather chiefly prevails there. After bathing they take their meal, each on a distinct seat, and at

[1] It was a wise provision, that among this fierce and warlike people, revenge should be commuted for a payment. That this intention might not be frustrated by the poverty of the offender, his whole family were conjointly bound to make compensation.

[2] All uncivilized nations agree in this property, which becomes less necessary as a nation improves in the arts of civil life.

[3] *Convictibus et hospitiis.* "Festivities and entertainments." The former word applies to friends and fellow-countrymen; the latter, to those not of the same tribe, and foreigners. Cæsar (Bell. Gall. vi. 23) says, "They think it unlawful to offer violence to their guests, who, on whatever occasion they come to them, are protected from injury, and considered as sacred. Every house is open to them, and provision everywhere set before them." Mela (iii. 3) says of the Germans, "They make right consist in force, so that they are not ashamed of robbery: they are only kind to their guests, and merciful to suppliants. The Burgundian law lays a fine of three solidi on every man who refuses his roof or hearth to the coming guest." The Salic law, however, rightly forbids the exercise of hospitality to atrocious criminals; laying a penalty on the person who shall harbour one who has dug up or despoiled the dead, till he has made satisfaction to the relations.

[4] The clause here put within brackets is probably misplaced; since it does not connect well either with what goes before, or what follows.

[5] The Russians are at present the most remarkable among the northern nations for the use of warm bathing. Some of the North American tribes also have their hypocausts, or stoves.

a separate table.[1] Then they proceed, armed, to business; and not less frequently to convivial parties, in which it is no disgrace to pass days and nights, without intermission, in drinking. The frequent quarrels that arise amongst them, when intoxicated, seldom terminate in abusive language, but more frequently in blood.[2] In their feasts, they generally deliberate on the reconcilement of enemies, on family alliances, on the appointment of chiefs, and finally on peace and war; conceiving that at no time the soul is more opened to sincerity, or warmed to heroism. These people, naturally void of artifice or disguise, disclose the most secret emotions of their hearts in the freedom of festivity. The minds of all being thus displayed without reserve, the subjects of their deliberation are again canvassed the next day;[3] and each time has its advantages. They consult when unable to dissemble; they determine when not liable to mistake.

23. Their drink is a liquor prepared from barley or wheat[4] brought by fermentation to a certain resemblance of wine. Those who border on the Rhine also purchase wine. Their food is simple; wild fruits, fresh venison,[5] or coagulated milk.[6]

[1] Eating at separate tables is generally an indication of voracity. Traces of it may be found in Homer, and other writers who have described ancient manners. The same practice has also been observed among the people of Otaheite; who occasionally devour vast quantities of food.

[2] The following article in the Salic law shows at once the frequency of these bloody quarrels, and the laudable endeavours of the legislature to restrain them:—"If at a feast where there are four or five men in company, one of them be killed, the rest shall either convict one as the offender, or shall jointly pay the composition for his death. And this law shall extend to seven persons present at an entertainment."

[3] The same custom is related by Herodotus, i. p. 63, as prevailing among the Persians.

[4] Of this liquor, beer or ale, Pliny speaks in the following passage: "The western nations have their intoxicating liquor, made of steeped grain. The Egyptians also invented drinks of the same kind. Thus drunkenness is a stranger in no part of the world; for these liquors are taken pure, and not diluted as wine is. Yet, surely, the Earth thought she was producing corn. Oh, the wonderful sagacity of our vices! we have discovered how to render even water intoxicating."—xiv. 22.

[5] Mela says, "Their manner of living is so rude and savage, that they eat even raw flesh; either fresh killed, or softened by working with their hands and feet, after it has grown stiff in the hides of tame or wild animals." (iii. 3.) Florus relates that the ferocity of the Cimbri was mitigated by their feeding on bread and dressed meat, and drinking wine, in the softest tract of Italy.—iii. 3.

[6] This must not be understood to have been cheese; although Cæsar

They satisfy hunger without seeking the elegances and delicacies of the table. Their thirst for liquor is not quenched with equal moderation. If their propensity to drunkenness be gratified to the extent of their wishes, intemperance proves as effectual in subduing them as the force of arms.[1]

24. They have only one kind of public spectacle, which is exhibited in every company. Young men, who make it their diversion, dance naked amidst drawn swords and presented spears. Practice has conferred skill at this exercise, and skill has given grace; but they do not exhibit for hire or gain: the only reward of this pastime, though a hazardous one, is the pleasure of the spectators. What is extraordinary, they play at dice, when sober, as a serious business: and that with such a desperate venture of gain or loss, that, when everything else is gone, they set their liberties and persons on the last throw. The loser goes into voluntary servitude; and, though the youngest and strongest, patiently suffers himself to be bound and sold.[2] Such is their obstinacy in a bad practice—they

says of the Germans, "Their diet chiefly consists of milk, cheese and flesh." (Bell. Gall. vi. 22.) Pliny, who was thoroughly acquainted with the German manners, says, more accurately, "It is surprising that the barbarous nations who live on milk should for so many ages have been ignorant of, or have rejected, the preparation of cheese; especially since they thicken their milk into a pleasant tart substance, and a fat butter: this is the scum of milk, of a thicker consistence than what is called the whey. It must not be omitted that it has the properties of oil, and is used as an unguent by all the barbarians, and by us for children."—xi. 41.

[1] This policy has been practised by the Europeans with regard to the North American savages, some tribes of which have been almost totally extirpated by it.

[2] St. Ambrose has a remarkable passage concerning this spirit of gaming among a barbarous people:—"It is said that the Huns, who continually make war upon other nations, are themselves subject to usurers, with whom they run in debt at play; and that, while they live without laws, they obey the laws of the dice alone; playing when drawn up in line of battle; carrying dice along with their arms and perishing more by each others' hands than by the enemy. In the midst of victory they submit to become captives, and suffer plunder from their own countrymen, which they know not how to bear from the foe. On this account they never lay aside the business of war, because, when they have lost all their booty by the dice, they have no means of acquiring fresh supplies for play, but by the sword. They are frequently borne away with such a desperate ardour, that, when the loser has given up his arms, the only part of his property which he greatly values, he sets the power over his life at a single cast to the winner or usurer. It is a fact, that a person, known to the Roman

themselves call it honour. The slaves thus acquired are exchanged away in commerce, that the winner may get rid of the scandal of his victory.

25. The rest of their slaves have not, like ours, particular employments in the family allotted them. Each is the master of a habitation and household of his own. The lord requires from him a certain quantity of grain, cattle, or cloth, as from a tenant; and so far only the subjection of the slave extends.[1] His domestic offices are performed by his own wife and children. It is usual to scourge a slave, or punish him with chains or hard labour. They are sometimes killed by their masters; not through severity of chastisement, but in the heat of passion, like an enemy; with this difference, that it is done with impunity.[2] Freedmen are little superior to slaves; seldom filling any important office in the family; never in the state, except in those tribes which are under regal government.[3] There, they rise above the free-born, and even the nobles: in the rest, the subordinate condition of the freedmen is a proof of freedom.

26. Lending money upon interest, and increasing it by usury,[4] is unknown amongst them: and this ignorance more effectually prevents the practice than a prohibition would do. The lands are occupied by townships,[5] in allotments propor-

emperor, paid the price of a servitude which he had by this means brought upon himself, by suffering death at the command of his master."

[1] The condition of these slaves was the same as that of the vassals, or serfs, who a few centuries ago made the great body of the people in every country in Europe. The Germans, in after times, imitating the Romans, had slaves of inferior condition, to whom the name of slave became appropriated; while those in the state of rural vassalage were called *lidi*.

[2] A private enemy could not be slain with impunity, since a fine was affixed to homicide; but a man might kill his own slave without any punishment. If, however, he killed another person's slave, he was obliged to pay his price to the owner.

[3] The amazing height of power and insolence to which freedmen arrived by making themselves subservient to the vices of the prince, is a striking characteristic of the reigns of some of the worst of the Roman emperors.

[4] In Rome, on the other hand, the practice of usury was, as our author terms it, "an ancient evil, and a perpetual source of sedition and discord."—Annals, vi. 16.

[5] All the copies read *per vices*, "by turns," or alternately; but the connexion seems evidently to require the easy alteration of *per vicos*,

tional to the number of cultivators; and are afterwards parcelled out among the individuals of the district, in shares according to the rank and condition of each person.[1] The wide extent of plain facilitates this partition. The arable lands are annually changed, and a part left fallow; nor do they attempt to make the most of the fertility and plenty of the soil, by their own industry in planting orchards, inclosing meadows, and watering gardens. Corn is the only product required from the earth: hence their year is not divided into so many seasons as ours; for, while they know and distinguish by name Winter, Spring, and Summer, they are unacquainted equally with the appellation and bounty of Autumn.[2]

27. Their funerals are without parade.[3] The only circumstance to which they attend, is to burn the bodies of eminent persons with some particular kinds of wood. Neither vest-

which has been approved by many learned commentators, and is therefore adopted in this translation.

[1] Cæsar has several particulars concerning this part of German polity. "They are not studious of agriculture, the greater part of their diet consisting of milk, cheese, and flesh; nor has any one a determinate portion of land, his own peculiar property; but the magistrates and chiefs allot every year to tribes and clanships forming communities, as much land, and in such situations, as they think proper, and oblige them to remove the succeeding year. For this practice they assign several reasons: as, lest they should be led, by being accustomed to one spot, to exchange the toils of war for the business of agriculture; lest they should acquire a passion for possessing extensive domains, and the more powerful should be tempted to dispossess the weaker; lest they should construct buildings with more art than was necessary to protect them from the inclemencies of the weather; lest the love of money should arise amongst them, the source of faction and dissensions; and in order that the people, beholding their own possessions equal to those of the most powerful, might be retained by the bonds of equity and moderation."—Bell. Gall. vi. 21.

[2] The Germans, not planting fruit-trees, were ignorant of the proper products of autumn. They have now all the autumnal fruits of their climate; yet their language still retains a memorial of their ancient deficiencies, in having no term for this season of the year, but one denoting the gathering in of corn alone—*Herbst*, Harvest.

[3] In this respect, as well as many others, the manners of the Germans were a direct contrast to those of the Romans. Pliny mentions a private person, C. Cæcilius Claudius Isidorus, who ordered the sum of about 10,000*l.* sterling to be expended in his funeral: and in another place he says, "Intelligent persons asserted that Arabia did not produce such a quantity of spices in a year as Nero burned at the obsequies of his Poppæa."—xxxiii. 10, and xii. 18.

ments nor perfumes are heaped upon the pile:[1] the arms of the deceased, and sometimes his horse,[2] are given to the flames, The tomb is a mound of turf. They contemn the elaborate and costly honours of monumental structures, as mere burthens to the dead. They soon dismiss tears and lamentations; slowly, sorrow and regret. They think it the women's part to bewail their friends, the men's to remember them.

28. This is the sum of what I have been able to learn concerning the origin and manners of the Germans in general. I now proceed to mention those particulars in which they differ from each other; and likewise to relate what nations have migrated from Germany into Gaul. That great writer, the deified Julius, asserts that the Gauls were formerly the superior people;[3] whence it is probable that some Gallic colonies passed over into Germany: for how small an obstacle would a river be to prevent any nation, as it increased in

[1] The following lines of Lucan, describing the last honours paid by Cornelia to the body of Pompey the Great, happily illustrate the customs here referred to:—

> Collegit vestes, miserique insignia Magni,
> Armaque, et impressas auro, quas gesserat olim
> Exuvias, pictasque togas, velamina summo
> Ter conspecta Jovi, funestoque intulit igni.—Lib. ix. 175.

> "There shone his arms, with antique gold inlaid,
> There the rich robes which she herself had made,
> Robes to imperial Jove in triumph thrice display'd:
> The relics of his past victorious days,
> Now this his latest trophy serve to raise,
> And in one common flame together blaze."—Rowe.

[2] Thus in the tomb of Childeric, king of the Franks, were found his spear and sword, and also his horse's head, with a shoe, and gold buckles and housings. A human skull was likewise discovered, which, perhaps, was that of his groom.

[3] Cæsar's account is as follows:—"There was formerly a time when the Gauls surpassed the Germans in bravery, and made war upon them; and, on account of their multitude of people and scarcity of land, sent colonies beyond the Rhine. The most fertile parts of Germany, adjoining to the Hercynian forest, (which, I observe, was known by report to Eratosthenes and others of the Greeks, and called by them Orcinia,) were accordingly occupied by the Volcæ and Tectosages, who settled there. These people still continue in the same settlements, and have a high character as well for the administration of justice as military prowess: and they now remain in the same state of penury and content as the Germans, whose manner of life they have adopted."—Bell. Gall. vi. 24.

strength, from occupying or changing settlements as yet lying in common, and unappropriated by the power of monarchies! Accordingly, the tract betwixt the Hercynian forest and the rivers Rhine and Mayne was possessed by the Helvetii:[1] and that beyond, by the Boii;[2] both Gallic tribes. The name of Boiemum still remains, a memorial of the ancient settlement, though its inhabitants are now changed.[3] But whether the Aravisci[4] migrated into Pannonia from the Osi,[5] a German nation; or the Osi into Germany from the Aravisci; the language, institutions, and manners of both being still the same, is a matter of uncertainty; for, in their pristine state of equal indigence and equal liberty, the same advantages and disadvantages were common to both sides of the river. The Treveri[6] and Nervii[7] are ambitious of being thought of German origin; as if the reputation of this descent would distinguish them from the Gauls, whom they resemble in person and effeminacy. The Vangiones, Triboci, and Nemetes,[8] who inhabit the bank of the Rhine, are without doubt German

[1] The inhabitants of Switzerland, then extending further than at present, towards Lyons.

[2] A nation of Gauls, bordering on the Helvetii, as appears from Strabo and Cæsar. After being conquered by Cæsar, the Ædui gave them a settlement in the country now called the Bourbonnois. The name of their German colony, Boiemum, is still extant in Bohemia. The æra at which the Helvetii and Boii penetrated into Germany is not ascertained. It seems probable, however, that it was in the reign of Tarquinius Priscus; for at that time, as we are told by Livy, Ambigatus, king of the Bituriges (people of Berry), sent his sister's son Sigovesus into the Hercynian forest, with a colony, in order to exonerate his kingdom which was overpeopled. (Livy, v. 33, *et seq.*)

[3] In the time of Augustus, the Boii, driven from Boiemum by the Marcomanni, retired to Noricum, which from them was called Boioaria, now Bavaria.

[4] This people inhabited that part of Lower Hungary now called the Palatinate of Pilis.

[5] Towards the end of this treatise, Tacitus seems himself to decide this point, observing that their use of the Pannonian language, and acquiescence in paying tribute, prove the Osi not to be a German nation. They were settled beyond the Marcomanni and Quadi, and occupied the northern part of Transdanubian Hungary; perhaps extending to Silesia, where is a place called Ossen in the duchy of Oels, famous for salt and glass works. The learned Pelloutier, however, contends that the Osi were Germans; but with less probability.

[6] The inhabitants of the modern diocese of Treves.

[7] Those of Cambresis and Hainault.

[8] Those of the dioceses of Worms, Strasburg, and Spires.

tribes. Nor do the Ubii,[1] although they have been thought worthy of being made a Roman colony, and are pleased in bearing the name of Agrippinenses from their founder, blush to acknowledge their origin from Germany; from whence they formerly migrated, and for their approved fidelity were settled on the bank of the Rhine, not that they might be guarded themselves, but that they might serve as a guard against invaders.

29. Of all these people, the most famed for valour are the Batavi; whose territories comprise but a small part of the banks of the Rhine, but consist chiefly of an island within it.[2] These were formerly a tribe of the Catti, who, on account of an intestine division, removed to their present settlements, in order to become a part of the Roman empire. They still retain this honour, together with a memorial of their ancient alliance;[3] for they are neither insulted by taxes, nor oppressed by farmers of the revenue. Exempt from fiscal burthens and extraordinary contributions, and kept apart for military use alone, they are reserved, like a magazine of arms, for the purposes of war. The nation of the Mattiaci[4] is under a degree of subjection of the same kind: for the greatness of the Roman people has carried a reverence for the empire beyond the Rhine and the ancient limits. The Mattiaci, therefore, though occupying a settlement and borders[5] on the opposite

[1] Those of the diocese of Cologne. The Ubii, migrating from Germany to Gaul, on account of the enmity of the Catti, and their own attachment to the Roman interest, were received under the protection of Marcus Agrippa, in the year of Rome 717. (Strabo, iv. p. 194.) Agrippina, the wife of Claudius and mother of Nero, who was born among them, obtained the settlement of a colony there, which was called after her name.

[2] Now the Betuwe, part of the provinces of Holland and Guelderland.

[3] Hence the Batavi are termed, in an ancient inscription, "the brothers and friends of the Roman people."

[4] This nation inhabited part of the countries now called the Weteraw, Hesse, Isenburg, and Fulda. In this territory was Mattium, now Marpurg, and the Fontes Mattiaci, now Wisbaden, near Mentz.

[5] The several people of Germany had their respective borders, called marks or marches, which they defended by preserving them in a desert and uncultivated state. Thus Cæsar, Bell. Gall. iv. 3:—"They think it the greatest honour to a nation, to have as wide an extent of vacant land around their dominions as possible; by which it is indicated, that a great number of neighbouring communities are unable to withstand them. On this account, the Suevi are said to have, on one

side of the river, from sentiment and attachment act with us; resembling the Batavi in every respect, except that they are animated with a more vigorous spirit by the soil and air of their own country.[1] I do not reckon among the people of Germany those who occupy the Decumate lands,[2] although inhabiting between the Rhine and Danube. Some of the most fickle of the Gauls, rendered daring through indigence, seized upon this district of uncertain property. Afterwards, our boundary line being advanced, and a chain of fortified posts established, it became a skirt of the empire, and part of the Roman province.[3]

30. Beyond these dwell the Catti,[4] whose settlements, beginning from the Hercynian forest, are in a tract of country less open and marshy than those which overspread the other states of Germany; for it consists of a continued range of hills, which gradually become more scattered; and the Hercynian forest[5] both accompanies and leaves behind, its Catti. This

side, a tract of 600 (some learned men think we should read 60) miles desert for their boundaries." In another place Cæsar mentions, as an additional reason for this policy, that they think themselves thereby rendered secure from the danger of sudden incursions. (Bell. Gall. vi. 23.)

[1] The difference between the low situation and moist air of Batavia, and the high and dry country of the Mattiaci, will sufficiently justify this remark, in the opinion of those who allow anything to the influence of climate.

[2] Now Swabia. When the Marcomanni, towards the end of the reign of Augustus, quitting their settlements near the Rhine, migrated to Bohemia, the lands they left vacant were occupied by some unsettled Gauls among the Rauraci and Sequani. They seem to have been called Decumates (Decimated), because the inhabitants, liable to the incursions of the Germans, paid a tithe of their products to be received under the protection of the Romans. Adrian defended them by a rampart, which extended from Neustadt, a town on the Danube near the mouth of the river Altmühl, to the Neckar near Wimpfen; a space of sixty French leagues.

[3] Of Upper Germany.

[4] The Catti possessed a large territory between the Rhine, Mayne, and Sala, and the Hartz forest on this side the Weser; where are now the countries of Hesse, Thuringia, part of Paderborn, of Fulda, and of Franconia. Learned writers have frequently noted, that what Cæsar, Florus, and Ptolemy have said of the Suevi, is to be understood of the Catti. Leibnitz supposes the Catti were so called from the active animal which they resemble in name, the German for cat being *Catte*, or *Hessen*.

[5] Pliny, who was well acquainted with Germany, gives a very striking

nation is distinguished by hardier frames,[1] compactness of limb, fierceness of countenance, and superior vigour of mind. For Germans, they have a considerable share of understanding and sagacity: they choose able persons to command, and obey them when chosen; keep their ranks; seize opportunities; restrain impetuous motions; distribute properly the business of the day; intrench themselves against the night; account fortune dubious, and valour only certain; and, what is extremely rare, and only a consequence of discipline, depend more upon the general than the army.[2] Their force consists entirely in infantry; who, besides their arms, are obliged to carry tools and provisions. Other nations appear to go to a battle; the Catti, to war. Excursions and casual encounters are rare amongst them. It is, indeed, peculiar to cavalry soon to obtain, and soon to yield, the victory. Speed borders upon timidity; slow movements are more akin to steady valour.

31. A custom followed among the other German nations only by a few individuals, of more daring spirit than the rest, is adopted by general consent among the Catti. From the time they arrive at years of maturity they let their hair and beard grow;[3] and do not divest themselves of this votive

description of the Hercynian forest:—"The vast trees of the Hercynian forest, untouched for ages, and as old as the world, by their almost immortal destiny exceed common wonders. Not to mention circumstances which would not be credited, it is certain that hills are raised by the repercussion of their meeting roots; and where the earth does not follow them, arches are formed as high as the branches, which, struggling, as it were, with each other, are bent into the form of open gates, so wide, that troops of horse may ride under them."—xvi. 2.

[1] *Duriora corpora.* "Hardier frames;" *i.e.* than the rest of the Germans. At Hist. ii. 32, the Germans, in generai, are said to have *fluxa corpora;* while in c. 4 of this treatise they are described as *tantùm ad impetum valida.*

[2] Florus, ii. 18, well expresses this thought by the sentence "Tanti exercitus, quanti imperator." "An army is worth so much as its general is."

[3] Thus Civilis is said by our author (Hist. iv. 61), to have let his hair and beard grow in consequence of a private vow. Thus too, in Paul Warnefrid's "History of the Lombards," iii. 7, it is related, that "six thousand Saxons who survived the war, vowed that they would never cut their hair, nor shave their beards, till they had been revenged of their enemies, the Suevi." A later instance of this custom is mentioned by Strada (Bell. Belg. vii. p. 344), of William Lume, one of the Counts of Mark, "who bound himself by a vow not to cut his hair till he had revenged the deaths of Egmont and Horn."

badge, the promise of valour, till they have slain an enemy. Over blood and spoils they unveil the countenance, and proclaim that they have at length paid the debt of existence, and have proved themselves worthy of their country and parents. The cowardly and effeminate continue in their squalid disguise. The bravest among them wear also an iron ring[1] (a mark of ignominy in that nation) as a kind of chain, till they have released themselves by the slaughter of a foe. Many of the Catti assume this distinction, and grow hoary under the mark, conspicuous both to foes and friends. By these, in every engagement, the attack is begun: they compose the front line, presenting a new spectacle of terror. Even in peace they do not relax the sternness of their aspect. They have no house, land, or domestic cares: they are maintained by whomsoever they visit: lavish of another's property, regardless of their own; till the debility of age renders them unequal to such a rigid course of military virtue.[2]

32. Next to the Catti, on the banks of the Rhine, where, now settled in its channel, it is become a sufficient boundary, dwell the Usipii and Tencteri.[3] The latter people, in addition to the usual military reputation, are famed for the discipline of their cavalry; nor is the infantry of the Catti in higher estimation than the horse of the Tencteri. Their ancestors

[1] The iron ring seems to have been a badge of slavery. This custom was revived in later times, but rather with a gallant than a military intention. Thus, in the year 1414, John duke of Bourbon, in order to ingratiate himself with his mistress, vowed, together with sixteen knights and gentlemen, that they would wear, he and the knights a gold ring, the gentlemen a silver one, round their left legs, every Sunday for two years, till they had met with an equal number of knights and gentlemen to contend with them in a tournament. (Vertot, Mém. de l'Acad. des Inscr. tom. ii. p. 596.)

[2] It was this nation of Catti, which, about 150 years afterwards, uniting with the remains of the Cherusci on this side the Weser, the Attuarii, Sicambri, Chamavi, Bructeri, and Chauci, entered into the Francic league, and, conquering the Romans, seized upon Gaul. From them are derived the name, manners, and laws of the French.

[3] These two tribes, united by a community of wars and misfortunes, had formerly been driven from the settlements on the Rhine a little below Mentz. They then, according to Cæsar, (Bell. Gall. iv. 1, *et seq.*) occupied the territories of the Menapii on both sides the Rhine. Still proving unfortunate, they obtained the lands of the Sicambri, who, in the reign of Augustus, were removed on this side the Rhine by Tiberius: these were the present counties of Berg, Mark, Lippe, and Waldeck; and the bishopric of Paderborn.

established it, and are imitated by posterity. Horsemanship is the sport of their children, the point of emulation of their youth, and the exercise in which they persevere to old age. Horses are bequeathed along with the domestics, the household gods, and the rights of inheritance: they do not, however, like other things, go to the eldest son, but to the bravest and most warlike.

33. Contiguous to the Tencteri were formerly the Bructeri;[1] but report now says that the Chamavi and Angrivarii,[2] migrating into their country, have expelled and entirely extirpated them,[3] with the concurrence of the neighbouring nations, induced either by hatred of their arrogance,[4] love of plunder, or the favour of the gods towards the Romans. For they even gratified us with the spectacle of a battle, in which above sixty thousand Germans were slain, not by Roman arms, but, what was still grander, by mutual hostilities, as it were for our pleasure and entertainment.[5] May the nations

[1] Their settlements were between the rivers Rhine, Lippe (Luppia), and Ems (Amisia), and the province of Friesland; now the countries of Westphalia and Over-Issel. Alting (Notit. German. Infer. p. 20) supposes they derived their name from *Broeken*, or *Bruchen*, marshes, on account of their frequency in that tract of country.

[2] Before this migration, the Chamavi were settled on the Ems, where at present are Lingen and Osnaburg; the Angrivarii, on the Weser (Visurgis), where are Minden and Schawenburg. A more ancient migration of the Chamavi to the banks of the Rhine is cursorily mentioned by Tacitus, Annal. xiii. 55. The Angrivarii were afterwards called Angrarii, and became part of the Saxon nation.

[3] They were not so entirely extirpated that no relics of them remained. They were even a conspicuous part of the Francic league, as before related. Claudian also, in his panegyric on the fourth consulate of Honorius, v. 450, mentions them.

Venit accola sylvæ
Bructerus Hercyniæ.

"The Bructerian, borderer on the Hercynian forest, came."

After their expulsion, they settled, according to Eccard, between Cologne and Hesse.

[4] The Bructeri were under regal government, and maintained many wars against the Romans. Hence their arrogance and power. Before they were destroyed by their countrymen, Vestricius Spurinna terrified them into submission without an action, and had on that account a triumphal statue decreed him. Pliny the younger mentions this fact, book ii. epist. 7.

[5] An allusion to gladiatorial spectacles. This slaughter happened near the canal of Drusus, where the Roman guard on the Rhine could be spectators of the battle. The account of it came to Rome in the first year of Trajan.

retain and perpetuate, if not an affection for us, at least an animosity against each other! since, while the fate of the empire is thus urgent,[1] fortune can bestow no higher benefit upon us, than the discord of our enemies.

34. Contiguous to the Angrivarii and Chamavi backwards lie the Dulgibini, Chasauri,[2] and other nations less known.[3] In front, the Frisii[4] succeed; who are distinguished by the appellations of Greater and Lesser, from their proportional power. The settlements of both stretch along the border of the Rhine to the ocean; and include, besides, vast lakes,[5] which have been navigated by Roman fleets. We have even explored the ocean itself on that side; and fame reports that columns of Hercules[6] are still remaining on that coast; whether it be that Hercules was ever there in reality, or that

[1] As this treatise was written in the reign of Trajan, when the affairs of the Romans appeared unusually prosperous, some critics have imagined that Tacitus wrote *vigentibus*, "flourishing," instead of *urgentibus*, "urgent." But it is sufficiently evident, from other passages, that the causes which were operating gradually, but surely, to the destruction of the Roman empire, did not escape the penetration of Tacitus, even when disguised by the most flattering appearances. The common reading is therefore, probably, right.—*Aikin.*

[2] These people first resided near the head of the Lippe; and then removed to the settlements of the Chamavi and Angrivarii, who had expelled the Bructeri. They appear to have been the same with those whom Velleius Paterculus, ii. 105, calls the Attuarii, and by that name they entered into the Francic league. Strabo calls them Chattuarii.

[3] Namely, the Ansibarii and Tubantes. The Ansibarii or Amsibarii are thought by Alting to have derived their name from their neighbourhood to the river Ems (Amisia); and the Tubantes, from their frequent change of habitation, to have been called *Tho Benten*, or the wandering troops, and to have dwelt where now is Drente in Over-Issel. Among these nations, Furstenburg (Monum. Paderborn.) enumerates the Ambrones, borderers upon the river Ambrus, now Emmeren.

[4] The Frieslanders. The lesser Frisii were settled on this side, the greater, on the other, of the Flevum (Zuyder-zee).

[5] In the time of the Romans this country was covered by vast meres, or lakes; which were made still larger by frequent inundations of the sea. Of these, one so late as 1530 overwhelmed seventy-two villages; and another, still more terrible, in 1569, laid under water great part of the sea-coast of Holland, and almost all Friesland, in which alone 20,000 persons were drowned.

[6] Wherever the land seemed to terminate, and it appeared impossible to proceed further, maritime nations have feigned pillars of Hercules. Those celebrated by the Frisians must have been at the extremity of Friesland, and not in Sweden and the Cimmerian promontory, as Rudbeck supposes.

whatever great and magnificent is anywhere met with is, by common consent, ascribed to his renowned name. The attempt of Drusus Germanicus[1] to make discoveries in these parts was sufficiently daring; but the ocean opposed any further inquiry into itself and Hercules. After a while no one renewed the attempt; and it was thought more pious and reverential to believe the actions of the gods, than to investigate them.

35. Hitherto we have traced the western side of Germany. It turns from thence with a vast sweep to the north: and first occurs the country of the Chauci,[2] which, though it

[1] Drusus, the brother of Tiberius, and father of Germanicus, imposed a tribute on the Frisians, as mentioned in the Annals, iv. 72, and performed other eminent services in Germany; whence he was himself styled Germanicus.

[2] The Chauci extended along the sea-coast from the Ems to the Elbe (Albis); whence they bordered on all the fore-mentioned nations, between which and the Cherusci they came round to the Catti. The Chauci were distinguished into Greater and Lesser. The Greater, according to Ptolemy, inhabited the country between the Weser and the Elbe; the Lesser, that between the Weser and Ems; but Tacitus (Annals, xi. 19) seems to reverse this order. Alting supposes the Chauci had their name from *Kauken*, signifying persons eminent for valour and fidelity, which agrees with the character Tacitus gives them. Others derive it from *Kauk*, an owl, with a reference to the enmity of that animal to cats (*Catti*). Others, from *Kaiten*, daws, of which there are great numbers on their coast. Pliny has admirably described the country and manners of the maritime Chauci, in his account of people who live without any trees or fruit-bearing vegetables:—"In the North are the nations of Chauci, who are divided into Greater and Lesser. Here, the ocean, having a prodigious flux and reflux twice in the space of every day and night, rolls over an immense tract, leaving it a matter of perpetual doubt whether it is part of the land or sea. In this spot, the wretched natives, occupying either the tops of hills, or artificial mounds of turf, raised out of reach of the highest tides, build their small cottages; which appear like sailing vessels when the water covers the circumjacent ground, and like wrecks when it has retired. Here from their huts they pursue the fish, continually flying from them with the waves. They do not, like their neighbours, possess cattle, and feed on milk; nor have they a warfare to maintain against wild beasts; for every fruit of the earth is far removed from them. With flags and sea-weed they twist cordage for their fishing-nets. For fuel they use a kind of mud, taken up by hand, and dried, rather in the wind than the sun: with this earth they heat their food, and warm their bodies, stiffened by the rigorous north. Their only drink is rain-water collected in ditches at the thresholds of their doors. Yet this miserable people, if conquered to-day by the Roman arms, would call themselves slaves. Thus it is that fortune spares many to their own punishment."—Hist. Nat. xvi. 1.

begins immediately from Frisia, and occupies part of the sea-shore, yet stretches so far as to border on all the nations before mentioned, till it winds round so as to meet the territories of the Catti. This immense tract is not only possessed, but filled by the Chauci; a people the noblest of the Germans, who choose to maintain their greatness by justice rather than violence. Without ambition, without ungoverned desires, quiet and retired, they provoke no wars, they are guilty of no rapine or plunder; and it is a principal proof of their power and bravery, that the superiority they possess has not been acquired by unjust means. Yet all have arms in readiness;[1] and, if necessary, an army is soon raised: for they abound in men and horses, and maintain their military reputation even in inaction.

36. Bordering on the Chauci and Catti are the Cherusci;[2] who, for want of an enemy, long cherished a too lasting and enfeebling peace: a state more flattering than secure; since the repose enjoyed amidst ambitious and powerful neighbours is treacherous; and when an appeal is made to the sword, moderation and probity are names appropriated by the victors. Thus, the Cherusci, who formerly bore the titles of just and upright, are now charged with cowardice and folly; and the good fortune of the Catti, who subdued them, has grown into wisdom. The ruin of the Cherusci involved that of the Fosi,[3] a neighbouring tribe, equal partakers of their adver-

[1] On this account, fortified posts were established by the Romans to restrain the Chauci; who by Lucan are called Cayci in the following passage·

> Et vos crinigeros bellis arcere Caycos
> Oppositi. Phars. i. 463.
>
> "You too, tow'rds Rome advance, ye warlike band,
> That wont the shaggy Cauci to withstand."—ROWE.

[2] The Cherusci, at that time, dwelt between the Weser and the Elbe, where now are Luneburg, Brunswick, and part of the Marche of Brandenburg on this side the Elbe. In the reign of Augustus they occupied a more extensive tract; reaching even this side the Weser, as appears from the accounts of the expedition of Drusus given by Dio and Velleius Paterculus: unless, as Dithmar observes, what is said of the Cherusci on this side the Weser relates to the Dulgibini, their dependents. For, according to Strabo, Varus was cut off by the Cherusci, and the people subject to them. The brave actions of Arminius, the celebrated chief of the Cherusci, are related by Tacitus in the 1st and 2d books of his Annals.

[3] Cluver, and several others, suppose the Fosi to have been the same with the ancient Saxons; but, since they bordered on the Cherusci, the

sity, although they had enjoyed an inferior share of their prosperity.

37. In the same quarter of Germany, adjacent to the ocean, dwell the Cimbri;[1] a small[2] state at present, but great in renown.[3] Of their past grandeur extensive vestiges still remain, in encampments and lines on either shore,[4] from the compass of which the strength and numbers of the nation may still be computed, and credit derived to the account of so prodigious an army. It was in the 640th year of Rome that the arms of the Cimbri were first heard of, under the consulate of Cæcilius Metellus and Papirius Carbo; from which era to the second consulate of the emperor Trajan[5] is a period of nearly 210 years. So long has Germany withstood the arms of Rome. During this long interval many mutual wounds have been inflicted. Not the Samnite, the

opinion of Leibnitz is nearer the truth, that they inhabited the banks of the river Fusa, which enters the Aller (Allera) at Cellæ; and were a sort of appendage to the Cherusci, as Hildesheim now is to Brunswick. The name of Saxons is later than Tacitus, and was not known till the reign of Antoninus Pius, at which period they poured forth from the Cimbric Chersonesus, and afterwards, in conjunction with the Angles, seized upon Britain.

[1] The name of this people still exists; and the country they inhabited is called the Cimbric Chersonesus, or Peninsula; comprehending Jutland, Sleswig, and Holstein. The renown and various fortune of the Cimbri is briefly, but accurately, related by Mallet in the "Introduction" to the "History of Denmark."

[2] Though at this time they were greatly reduced by migrations, inundations and wars, they afterwards revived; and from this storehouse of nations came forth the Franks, Saxons, Normans, and various other tribes, which brought all Europe under Germanic sway.

[3] Their fame spread through Germany, Gaul, Spain, Britain, Italy, and as far as the Sea of Azoph (Palus Mæotis), whither, according to Posidonius, they penetrated, and called the Cimmerian or Cimbrian Bosphorus after their own name.

[4] This is usually, and probably rightly, explained as relating to both shores of the Cimbric Chersonesus. Cluver and Dithmar, however, suppose that these encampments are to be sought for either in Italy, upon the river Athesis (Adige), or in Narbonnensian Gaul near Aquæ Sextiæ (Aix in Provence), where Florus (iii. 3) mentions that the Teutoni defeated by Marius took post in a valley with a river running through it. Of the prodigious numbers of the Cimbri who made this terrible irruption we have an account in Plutarch, who relates that their fighting men were 300,000, with a much greater number of women and children. (Plut. Marius, p. 411.)

[5] Nerva was consul the fourth time, and Trajan the second, in the 851st year of Rome; in which Tacitus composed this treatise.

Carthaginian, Spain, Gaul, or Parthia, have given more frequent alarms; for the liberty of the Germans is more vigorous than the monarchy of the Arsacidæ. What has the East, which has itself lost Pacorus, and suffered an overthrow from Ventidius,[1] to boast against us, but the slaughter of Crassus? But the Germans, by the defeat or capture of Carbo,[2] Cassius,[3] Scaurus Aurelius,[4] Servilius Cæpio, and Cneius Manlius,[5] deprived the Roman people of five consular

[1] After the defeat of P. Decidius Saxa, lieutenant of Syria, by the Parthians, and the seizure of Syria by Pacorus, son of king Orodes, P. Ventidius Bassus was sent there, and vanquished the Parthians, killed Pacorus, and entirely restored the Roman affairs.

[2] The Epitome of Livy informs us, that "in the year of Rome 640, the Cimbri, a wandering tribe, made a predatory incursion into Illyricum, where they routed the consul Papirius Carbo with his army." According to Strabo, it was at Noreia, a town of the Taurisci, near Aquileia, that Carbo was defeated. In the succeeding years, the Cimbri and Teutonia ravaged Gaul, and brought great calamities on that country; but at length, deterred by the unshaken bravery of the Gauls, they turned another way; as appears from Cæsar, Bell. Gal vii. 17. They then came into Italy, and sent ambassadors to the Senate, demanding lands to settle on. This was refused; and the consul M. Junius Silanus fought an unsuccessful battle with them, in the year of Rome 645. (Epitome of Livy, lxv.)

[3] "L. Cassius the consul, in the year of Rome 647, was cut off with his army in the confines of the Allobroges, by the Tigurine Gauls, a canton of the Helvetians (now the cantons of Zurich, Appenzell, Schaffhausen, &c.), who had migrated from their settlements. The soldiers who survived the slaughter gave hostages for the payment of half they were worth, to be dismissed with safety." (Ibid.) Cæsar further relates that the Roman army was passed under the yoke by the Tigurini:—"This single canton, migrating from home, within the memory of our fathers, slew the consul L. Cassius, and passed his army under the yoke."—Bell. Gall. i. 12.

[4] M. Aurelius Scaurus, the consul's lieutenant (or rather consul, as he appears to have served that office in the year of Rome 646), was defeated and taken by the Cimbri; and when, being asked his advice, he dissuaded them from passing the Alps into Italy, assuring them the Romans were invincible, he was slain by a furious youth, named Boiorix. (Epit. Livy, lxvii.)

[5] Florus, in like manner, considers these two affairs separately:—"Neither could Silanus sustain the first onset of the barbarians; nor Manlius, the second; nor Cæpio, the third." (iii. 3.) Livy joins them together:—"By the same enemy (the Cimbri) Cn. Manlius the consul, and Q. Servilius Cæpio the proconsul, were defeated in an engagement, and both dispossessed of their camps." (Epit. lxvii.) Paulus Orosius relates the affair more particularly:—"Manlius the consul, and Q. Cæpio, proconsul, being sent against the Cimbri, Teutones, Tigurini, and Ambronæ,

armies;[1] and afterwards took from Augustus himself Varus with three legions.[2] Nor did Caius Marius[3] in Italy, the deified Julius[4] in Gaul, or Drusus,[4] Nero,[4] or Germanicus[4] in

Gaulish and German nations, who had conspired to extinguish the Roman empire, divided their respective provinces by the river Rhone. Here, the most violent dissensions prevailing between them, they were both overcome, to the great disgrace and danger of the Roman name. According to Antias, 80,000 Romans and allies were slaughtered. Cæpio, by whose rashness this misfortune was occasioned, was condemned, and his property confiscated by order of the Roman people." (Lib. v. 16.) This happened in the year of Rome 649; and the anniversary was reckoned among the unlucky days.

[1] The Republic; in opposition to Rome when governed by emperors.

[2] This tragical catastrophe so deeply affected Augustus, that, as Suetonius informs us, "he was said to have let his beard and hair grow for several months; during which he at times struck his head against the doors, crying out, 'Varus, restore my legions!' and ever after kept the anniversary as a day of mourning." (Aug. s. 23.) The finest history piece, perhaps, ever drawn by a writer, is Tacitus's description of the army of Germanicus visiting the field of battle, six years after, and performing funeral obsequies to the scattered remains of their slaughtered countrymen. (Annals, i. 61.)

[3] "After so many misfortunes, the Roman people thought no general so capable of repelling such formidable enemies, as Marius." Nor was the public opinion falsified. In his fourth consulate, in the year of Rome 652, "Marius engaged the Teutoni beyond the Alps near Aquæ Sextiæ (Aix in Provence), killing, on the day of battle and the following day, above 150,000 of the enemy, and entirely cutting off the Teutonic nation." (Velleius Paterculus, ii. 12.) Livy says there were 200,000 slain, and 90,000 taken prisoners. The succeeding year he defeated the Cimbri, who had penetrated into Italy and crossed the Adige, in the Raudian plain, where now is Rubio, killing and taking prisoners upwards of 100,000 men. That he did not, however, obtain an unbought victory over this warlike people, may be conjectured from the resistance he met with even from their women. We are told by Florus (iii. 3) that "he was obliged to sustain an engagement with their wives, as well as themselves; who, entrenching themselves on all sides with waggons and cars, fought from them, as from towers, with lances and poles. Their death was no less glorious than their resistance. For, when they could not obtain from Marius what they requested by an embassy, their liberty, and admission into the vestal priesthood (which, indeed, could not lawfully be granted); after strangling their infants, they either fell by mutual wounds, or hung themselves on trees or the poles of their carriages in ropes made of their own hair. King Boiorix was slain, not unrevenged, fighting bravely in the field." On account of these great victories, Marius, in the year of Rome 652, triumphed over the Teutoni, Ambroni, and Cimbri.

[4] In the 596th year of Rome, Julius Cæsar defeated Ariovistus, a German king, near Dampierre in the Franche-Comté, and pursued his

their own country, defeat them without loss. The subsequent mighty threats of Caligula terminated in ridicule. Then succeeded tranquillity; till, seizing the occasion of our discords and civil wars, they forced the winter-quarters of the legions,[1] and even aimed at the possession of G[illegible] and, again expelled thence, they have in latter times be[illegible] rather triumphed over[2] than vanquished.

38. We have now to speak of the Suevi;[3] who do not compose a single state, like the Catti or Tencteri, but occupy the greatest part of Germany, and are still distributed into different names and nations, although all bearing the common appellation of Suevi. It is a characteristic of this people to turn their hair sideways, and tie it beneath the poll in a knot. By this mark the Suevi are distinguished from the rest of the Germans; and the freemen of the Suevi from the slaves.[4] Among other nations, this mode, either on account of some relationship with the Suevi, or from the usual propensity to imitation, is sometimes adopted; but rarely, and only during the period of youth. The Suevi, even till they are hoary, continue to have their hair growing stiffly backwards, and often it is fastened on the very crown of the head. The chiefs

routed troops with great slaughter thirty miles towards the Rhine, filling all that space with spoils and dead bodies. (Bell. Gall. i. 33 and 52.) He had before chastised the Tigurini, who, as already mentioned, had defeated and killed L. Cassius. Drusus: This was the son of Livia, and brother of the emperor Tiberius. He was in Germany B.C. 12, 11. His loss was principally from shipwreck on the coast of the Chauci. See Lynam's Roman Emperors, i. 37, 45. Nero; *i.e.* Tiberius, afterwards emperor. His names were Tiberius Claudius Drusus Nero. See Lynam's Roman Emperors, i. 51, 53, 62, 78. Germanicus: He was the son of Drusus, and so nephew of Tiberius. His victories in Germany took place A.D. 14—16. He too, like his father, was shipwrecked, and nearly at the same spot. See Lynam's Roman Emperors, i. 103—118.

[1] In the war of Civilis, related by Tacitus, Hist. iv. and v.

[2] By Domitian, as is more particularly mentioned in the Life of Agricola.

[3] The Suevi possessed that extensive tract of country lying between the Elbe, the Vistula, the Baltic Sea, and the Danube. They formerly had spread still further, reaching even to the Rhine. Hence Strabo, Cæsar, Florus, and others, have referred to the Suevi what related to the Catti.

[4] Among the Suevi, and also the rest of the Germans, the slaves seem to have been shaven; or at least cropped so short that they could not twist or tie up their hair in a knot.

dress it with still greater care: and in this respect they study ornament, though of an undebasing kind. For their design is not to make love, or inspire it: they decorate themselves in this manner as they proceed to war, in order to seem taller and more terrible; and dress for the eyes of their enemies.

39. The Semnones[1] assert themselves to be the most ancient and noble of the Suevi; and their pretensions are confirmed by religion. At a stated time, all the people of the same lineage assemble by their delegates in a wood, consecrated by the auguries of their forefathers and ancient terror, and there by the public slaughter of a human victim celebrate the horrid origin of their barbarous rites. Another kind of reverence is paid to the grove. No person enters it without being bound with a chain, as an acknowledgment of his inferior nature, and the power of the deity residing there. If he accidentally fall, it is not lawful for him to be lifted or to rise up; they roll themselves out along the ground. The whole of their superstition has this import: that from this spot the nation derives its origin; that here is the residence of the Deity, the Governor of all, and that everything else is subject and subordinate to him. These opinions receive additional authority from the power of the Semnones, who inhabit a hundred cantons, and, from the great body they compose, consider themselves as the head of the Suevi.

40. The Langobardi,[2] on the other hand, are ennobled by the smallness of their numbers; since, though surrounded by many powerful nations, they derive security, not from obse-

[1] The Semnones inhabited both banks of the Viadrus (Oder); the country which is now part of Pomerania, of the Marche of Brandenburg, and of Lusatia.

[2] In the reign of Augustus, the Langobardi dwelt on this side the Elbe, between Luneburg and Magdeburg. When conquered and driven beyond the Elbe by Tiberius, they occupied that part of the country where are now Prignitz, Ruppin, and part of the Middle Marche. They afterwards founded the Lombard kingdom in Italy; which, in the year of Christ 774, was destroyed by Charlemagne, who took their king Desiderius, and subdued all Italy. The laws of the Langobardi are still extant, and may be met with in Lindenbrog. The Burgundians are not mentioned by Tacitus, probably because they were then an inconsiderable people. Afterwards, joining with the Langobardi, they settled on the Decuman lands and the Roman boundary. They from thence made an irruption into Gaul, and seized that country which is still named from them Burgundy. Their laws are likewise extant.

quiousness, but from their martial enterprise. The neighbouring Reudigni,[1] and the Aviones,[2] Angli,[3] Varini, Eudoses, Suardones, and Nuithones,[4] are defended by rivers or forests. Nothing remarkable occurs in any of these; except that they unite in the worship of Hertha,[5] or Mother Earth; and suppose her to interfere in the affairs of men, and to visit the different nations. In an island[6] of the ocean stands a sacred and unviolated grove, in which is a consecrated chariot, covered with a veil, which the priest alone is permitted to touch. He becomes conscious of the entrance of the goddess into this secret recess; and with profound veneration attends the vehicle, which is drawn by yoked cows. At this season[7] all

[1] From Tacitus's description, the Reudigni must have dwelt in part of the present duchy of Mecklenburg, and of Lauenburg. They had formerly been settled on this side the Elbe, on the sands of Luneburg.

[2] Perhaps the same people with those called by Mamertinus, in his Panegyric on Maximian, the Chaibones. From their vicinity to the fore-mentioned nations, they must have inhabited part of the duchy of Mecklenburg. They had formerly dwelt on this side the Elbe, on the banks of the river Ilmenavia in Luneburg; which is now called Ava; whence, probably, the name of the people.

[3] Inhabitants of what is now part of Holstein and Sleswig; in which tract is still a district called Angeln, between Flensborg and Sleswig. In the fifth century, the Angles, in conjunction with the Saxons, migrated into Britain, and perpetuated their name by giving appellation to England.

[4] From the enumeration of Tacitus, and the situation of the other tribes, it appears that the Eudoses must have occupied the modern Wismar and Rostock; the Suardones, Stralsund, Swedish Pomerania, and part of the Hither Pomerania, and of the Uckerane Marche. Eccard, however, supposes these nations were much more widely extended; and that the Eudoses dwelt upon the Oder; the Suardones, upon the Warte; the Nuithones, upon the Netze.

[5] The ancient name of the goddess Herth still subsists in the German *Erde*, and in the English *Earth*.

[6] Many suppose this island to have been the isle of Rugen in the Baltic sea. It is more probable, however, that it was an island near the mouth of the Elbe, now called the isle of Helgeland, or Heiligeland (Holy Island). Besides the proof arising from the name, the situation agrees better with that of the nations before enumerated.

[7] Olaus Rudbeck contends that this festival was celebrated in winter, and still continues in Scandinavia under the appellation of Julifred, the peace of Juul. (Yule is the term used for Christmas season in the old English and Scottish dialects.) But this feast was solemnized not in honour of the Earth, but of the Sun, called by them Thor or Taranim. The festival of Herth was held later, in the month of February; as may be seen in Mallet's "Introduction to the History of Denmark."

is joy'; and every place which the goddess deigns to visit is a scene of festivity. No wars are undertaken; arms are untouched; and every hostile weapon is shut up. Peace abroad and at home are then only known; then only loved: till at length the same priest reconducts the goddess, satiated with mortal intercourse, to her temple.[1] The chariot, with its curtain, and, if we may believe it, the goddess herself, then undergo ablution in a secret lake. This office is performed by slaves, whom the same lake instantly swallows up. Hence proceeds a mysterious horror; and a holy ignorance of what that can be, which is beheld only by those who are about to perish. This part of the Suevian nation extends to the most remote recesses of Germany.

41. If we now follow the course of the Danube, as we before did that of the Rhine, we first meet with the Hermunduri;[2] a people faithful to the Romans,[3] and on that account the only Germans who are admitted to commerce, not on the bank alone, but within our territories, and in the flourishing colony[4] established in the province of Rhætia. They pass and repass at pleasure, without being attended by a guard; and while we exhibit to other nations our arms and camps alone, to these we lay open our houses and country seats, which they behold without coveting. In the country of the Hermunduri rises the Elbe;[5] a river formerly celebrated and known among us, now only heard of by name.

[1] *Templo* here means merely "the consecrated place," *i. e.* the grove before mentioned, for according to c. 9 the Germans built no temples.

[2] It is supposed that this people, on account of their valour, were called Heermanner; corrupted by the Romans into Hermunduri. They were first settled between the Elbe, the Sala, and Bohemia; where now are Anhalt, Voightland, Saxony, part of Misnia, and of Franconia. Afterwards, when the Marcomanni took possession of Bohemia, from which the Boii had been expelled by Maroboduus, the Hermunduri added their settlements to their own, and planted in them the Suevian name, whence is derived the modern appellation of that country, Suabia.

[3] They were so at that time; but afterwards joined with the Marcomanni and other Germans against the Romans in the time of Marcus Aurelius, who overcame them.

[4] Augusta Vindelicorum, now Augsburg; a famous Roman colony in the province of Rhætia, of which Vindelica was then a part.

[5] Tacitus is greatly mistaken if he confounds the source of the Egra, which is in the country of the Hermunduri, with that of the Elbe, which rises in Bohemia. The Elbe had been formerly, as Tacitus

42. Contiguous to the Hermunduri are the Narisci;[1] and next to them, the Marcomanni[2] and Quadi.[3] Of these, the Marcomanni are the most powerful and renowned; and have even acquired the country which they inhabit, by their valour in expelling the Boii.[4] Nor are the Narisci and Quadi inferior in bravery;[5] and this is, as it were, the van of Germany as far as it is bordered by the Danube. Within our memory the Marcomanni and Quadi were governed by kings of their own nation, of the noble line of Maroboduus[6] and Tudrus. They now submit even to foreigners; but all the power of their kings depends upon the authority of the Romans.[7] We seldom assist them with our arms, but frequently with our money; nor are they the less potent on that account.

observes, well known to the Romans by the victories of Drusus, Tiberius, and Domitius; but afterwards, when the increasing power of the Germans kept the Roman arms at a distance, it was only indistinctly heard of. Hence its source was probably inaccurately laid down in the Roman geographical tables. Perhaps, however, the Hermunduri, when they had served in the army of Maroboduus, received lands in that part of Bohemia in which the Elbe rises; in which case there would be no mistake in Tacitus's account.

[1] Inhabitants of that part of Bavaria which lies between Bohemia and the Danube.

[2] Inhabitants of Bohemia.

[3] Inhabitants of Moravia, and the part of Austria between it and the Danube. Of this people, Ammianus Marcellinus, in his account of the reign of Valentinian and Valens, thus speaks:—"A sudden commotion arose among the Quadi; a nation at present of little consequence, but which was formerly extremely warlike and potent, as their exploits sufficiently evince."—xxix. 15.

[4] Their expulsion of the Boii, who had given name to Bohemia, has been already mentioned. Before this period, the Marcomanni dwelt near the sources of the Danube, where now is the duchy of Wirtemburg; and, as Dithmar supposes, on account of their inhabiting the borders of Germany, were called Marcmanner, from *Marc* (the same with the old English *March*), a border, or boundary.

[5] These people justified their military reputation by the dangerous war which, in conjunction with the Marcomanni, they excited against the Romans, in the reign of Marcus Aurelius.

[6] Of this prince, and his alliance with the Romans against Arminius, mention is made by Tacitus, Annals, ii.

[7] Thus Vannius was made king of the Quadi by Tiberius. (See Annals, ii. 63.) At a later period, Antoninus Pius (as appears from a medal preserved in Spanheim) gave them Furtius for their king. And when they had expelled him, and set Ariogæsus on the throne, Marcus Aurelius, to whom he was obnoxious, refused to confirm the election. (Dio, lxxi.)

43. Behind these are the Marsigni,[1] Gothini,[2] Osi,[3] and Burii,[4] who close the rear of the Marcomanni and Quadi. Of these, the Marsigni and Burii in language[5] and dress resemble the Suevi. The Gothini and Osi prove themselves not to be Germans; the first, by their use of the Gallic, the second, of the Pannonian tongue; and both, by their submitting to pay tribute; which is levied on them, as aliens, partly by the Sarmatians, partly by the Quadi. The Gothini, to their additional disgrace, work iron mines.[6] All these people inhabit but a small proportion of champaign country; their settlements are chiefly amongst forests, and on the sides and summits of mountains; for a continued ridge of mountains[7] separates Suevia from various remoter tribes. Of these, the Lygian[8] is the most extensive, and diffuses its name through several communities. It will be sufficient to name the most powerful of them—the Arii, Helvecones, Manimi, Elysii, and Naharvali.[9] In the country of the latter is a grove, consecrated to religious rites of great antiquity. A priest presides over them, dressed in woman's apparel; but the gods worshipped there are said, according to the Roman interpretation,

[1] These people inhabited what is now Galatz, Jagerndorf, and part of Silesia.

[2] Inhabitants of part of Silesia, and of Hungary.

[3] Inhabitants of part of Hungary to the Danube.

[4] These were settled about the Carpathian mountains, and the sources of the Vistula.

[5] It is probable that the Suevi were distinguished from the rest of the Germans by a peculiar dialect, as well as by their dress and manners.

[6] Ptolemy mentions iron mines in or near the country of the Quadi. I should imagine that the expression "additional disgrace" (or, more literally, "which might make them more ashamed") does not refer merely to the slavery of working in mines, but to the circumstance of their digging up iron, the substance by means of which they might acquire freedom and independence. This is quite in the manner of Tacitus. The word *iron* was figuratively used by the ancients to signify military force in general. Thus Solon, in his well-known answer to Crœsus, observed to him, that the nation which possessed more iron would be master of all his gold.—*Aikin.*

[7] The mountains between Moravia, Hungary, Silesia, and Bohemia.

[8] The Lygii inhabited what is now part of Silesia, of the New Marche, of Prussia and Poland on this side the Vistula.

[9] These tribes were settled between the Oder and Vistula, where now are part of Silesia, of Brandenburg, and of Poland. The Elysii are supposed to have given name to Silesia.

to be Castor and Pollux. Their attributes are the same; their name, Alcis.[1] No images, indeed, or vestiges of foreign superstition, appear in their worship; but they are revered under the character of young men and brothers. The Arii, fierce beyond the superiority of strength they possess over the other just-enumerated people, improve their natural ferocity of aspect by artificial helps. Their shields are black; their bodies painted:[2] they choose the darkest nights for an attack; and strike terror by the funereal gloom of their sable bands—no enemy being able to sustain their singular, and, as it were, infernal appearance; since in every combat the eyes are the first part subdued. Beyond the Lygii are the Gothones,[3] who live under a monarchy, somewhat more strict than that of the other German nations, yet not to a degree incompatible with liberty. Adjoining to these are the Rugii[4] and Lemovii,[5] situated on the sea-coast:—all these tribes are distinguished by round shields, short swords, and submission to regal authority.

[1] The Greeks and Romans, under the name of the Dioscuri, or Castor and Pollux, worshipped those meteorous exhalations which, during a storm, appear on the masts of ships, and are supposed to denote an approaching calm. A kind of religious veneration is still paid to this phenomenon by the Roman Catholics, under the appellation of the fire of St. Elmo. The Naharvali seem to have affixed the same character of divinity on the *ignis fatuus;* and the name Alcis is probably the same with that of Alff or Alp, which the northern nations still apply to the fancied Genii of the mountains. The Sarmatian deities Lebus and Polebus, the memory of whom still subsists in the Polish festivals, had, perhaps, the same origin.

[2] No custom has been more universal among uncivilized people than painting the body, either for the purpose of ornament, or that of inspiring terror.

[3] Inhabitants of what is now Further Pomerania, the New Marche and the Western part of Poland, between the Oder and Vistula. They were a different people from the Goths, though, perhaps, in alliance with them.

[4] These people were settled on the shore of the Baltic, where now are Colburg, Cassubia, and Further Pomerania. Their name is still preserved in the town of Rugenwald and Isle of Rugen.

[5] These were also settlers on the Baltic, about the modern Stolpe, Dantzig, and Lauenburg. The Heruli appear afterwards to have occupied the settlements of the Lemovii. Of these last no further mention occurs; but the Heruli made themselves famous throughout Europe and Asia, and were the first of the Germans who founded a kingdom in Italy under Odoacer.

44. Next occur the communities of the Suiones,[1] seated in the very Ocean,[2] who, besides their strength in men and arms, also possess a naval force.[3] The form of their vessels differs from ours in having a prow at each end,[4] so that they are always ready to advance. They make no use of sails, nor have regular benches of oars at the sides: they row, as is practised in some rivers, without order, sometimes on one side, sometimes on the other, as occasion requires. These people honour wealth;[5] for which reason they are subject to monarchical government, without any limitations,[6] or precarious conditions of allegiance. Nor are arms allowed to be kept promiscuously, as among the other German nations: but are committed to the charge of a keeper, and he, too, a

[1] The Suiones inhabited Sweden, and the Danish isles of Funen, Langland, Zeeland, Laland, &c. From them and the Cimbri were derived the Normans, who, after spreading terror through various parts of the empire, at last seized upon the fertile province of Normandy in France. The names of Goths, Visigoths, and Ostrogoths, became still more famous, they being the nations who accomplished the ruin of the Roman empire. The laws of the Visigoths are still extant; but they depart much from the usual simplicity of the German laws.

[2] The Romans, who had but an imperfect knowledge of this part of the world, imagined here those "vast insular tracts" mentioned in the beginning of this treatise. Hence Pliny, also, says of the Baltic sea (Codanus sinus), that "it is filled with islands, the most famous of which, Scandinavia, (now Sweden and Norway,) is of an undiscovered magnitude; that part of it only being known which is occupied by the Hilleviones, a nation inhabiting five hundred cantons; who call this country another globe." (Lib. iv. 13.) The memory of the Hilleviones is still preserved in the part of Sweden named Halland.

[3] Their naval power continued so great, that they had the glory of framing the nautical code, the laws of which were first written at Wisby, the capital of the isle of Gothland, in the eleventh century.

[4] This is exactly the form of the Indian canoes, which, however, are generally worked with sails as well as oars.

[5] The great opulence of a temple of the Suiones, as described by Adam of Bremen, (Eccl. Hist. ch. 233,) is a proof of the wealth that at all times has attended naval dominion. "This nation," says he, "possesses a temple of great renown, called Ubsola (now Upsal), not far from the cities Sictona and Birca (now Sigtuna and Bioerkoe). In this temple, which is entirely ornamented with gold, the people worship the statues of three gods; the most powerful of whom, Thor, is seated on a couch in the middle; with Woden on one side, and Fricca on the other." From the ruins of the towns Sictona and Birca arose the present capital of Sweden, Stockholm.

[6] Hence Spener (Notit. German. Antiq.) rightly concludes that the crown was hereditary, and not elective, among the Suiones.

slave. The pretext is, that the Ocean defends them from any sudden incursions; and men unemployed, with arms in their hands, readily become licentious. In fact, it is for the king's interest not to entrust a noble, a freeman, or even an emancipated slave, with the custody of arms.

45. Beyond the Suiones is another sea, sluggish and almost stagnant,[1] by which the whole globe is imagined to be girt and enclosed, from this circumstance, that the last light of the setting sun continues so vivid till its rising, as to obscure the stars.[2] Popular belief adds, that the sound of his emerging[3] from the ocean is also heard; and the forms of deities,[4] with the rays beaming from his head, are beheld. Only thus far, report says truly, does nature extend.[5] On the right shore of the Suevic sea[6] dwell the tribes of the Æstii,[7] whose dress and customs are the same with those of the Suevi, but their language more resembles the British.[8] They worship the mother of the gods;[9] and as the symbol of their superstition, they carry about them the figures of wild boars.[10] This serves

[1] It is uncertain whether what is now called the Frozen Ocean is here meant, or the northern extremities of the Baltic Sea, the Gulfs of Bothnia and Finland, which are so frozen every winter as to be unnavigable.

[2] The true principles of astronomy have now taught us the reason why, at a certain latitude, the sun, at the summer solstice, appears never to set; and at a lower latitude, the evening twilight continues till morning.

[3] The true reading here is, probably, "immerging;" since it was a common notion at that period, that the descent of the sun into the ocean was attended with a kind of hissing noise, like red hot iron dipped into water. Thus Juvenal, Sat. xiv. 280:—

Audiet Herculeo stridentem gurgite solem.

"Hear the sun hiss in the Herculean gulf."

[4] Instead of *formas deorum*, "forms of deities," some, with more probability, read *equorum*, "of the horses," which are feigned to draw the chariot of the sun.

[5] Thus Quintus Curtius, speaking of the Indian Ocean, says, "Nature itself can proceed no further."

[6] The Baltic Sea.

[7] Now, the kingdom of Prussia, the duchies of Samogitia and Courland, the palatinates of Livonia and Esthonia, in the name of which last the ancient appellation of these people is preserved.

[8] Because the inhabitants of this extreme part of Germany retained the Scythico-Celtic language, which long prevailed in Britain.

[9] A deity of Scythian origin, called Frea or Fricca. See Mallet's Introduct. to Hist. of Denmark.

[10] Many vestiges of this superstition remain to this day in Sweden.

them in place of armour and every other defence: it renders the votary of the goddess safe even in the midst of foes. Their weapons are chiefly clubs, iron being little used among them. They cultivate corn and other fruits of the earth with more industry than German indolence commonly exerts.[1] They even explore the sea; and are the only people who gather amber, which by them is called *Glese*,[2] and is collected among the shallows and upon the shore.[3] With the usual indifference of barbarians, they have not inquired or ascertained from what natural object or by what means it is produced. It long lay disregarded[4] amidst other things thrown up by the sea, till our luxury[5] gave it a name. Useless to them, they gather it in the rough; bring it unwrought; and wonder at the price they receive. It would appear, however, to be an exudation from certain trees; since reptiles, and even winged animals, are often seen shining through it, which, entangled in it while in a liquid state, became enclosed as it hardened.[6] I should therefore imagine that, as the luxuriant

The peasants, in the month of February, the season formerly sacred to Frea, make little images of boars in paste, which they apply to various superstitious uses. (See Eccard.) A figure of a Mater Deûm, with the boar, is given by Mr. Pennant, in his Tour in Scotland, 1769, p. 268, engraven from a stone found at the great station at Netherby in Cumberland.

[1] The cause of this was, probably, their confined situation, which did not permit them to wander in hunting and plundering parties, like the rest of the Germans.

[2] This name was transferred to *glass* when it came into use. Pliny speaks of the production of amber in this country as follows:—"It is certain that amber is produced in the islands of the Northern Ocean, and is called by the Germans *gless*. One of these islands, by the natives named Austravia, was on this account called Glessaria by our sailors in the fleet of Germanicus."—Lib. xxxvii. 3.

[3] Much of the Prussian amber is even at present collected on the shores of the Baltic. Much also is found washed out of the clayey cliffs of Holderness. See Tour in Scotland, 1769, p. 16.

[4] Insomuch that the Guttones, who formerly inhabited this coast, made use of amber as fuel, and sold it for that purpose to the neighbouring Teutones. (Plin. xxxvii. 2.)

[5] Various toys and utensils of amber, such as bracelets, necklaces, rings, cups, and even pillars, were to be met with among the luxurious Romans.

[6] In a work by Goeppert and Berendt, on "Amber and the Fossil Remains of Plants contained in it," published at Berlin, 1845, a passage is found (of which a translation is here given) which quite harmonizes with the account of Tacitus:—"About the parts which are known by

woods and groves in the secret recesses of the East exude frankincense and balsam, so there are the same in the islands and continents of the West; which, acted upon by the near rays of the sun, drop their liquid juices into the subjacent sea, whence, by the force of tempests, they are thrown out upon the opposite coasts. If the nature of amber be examined by the application of fire, it kindles like a torch, with a thick and odorous flame; and presently resolves into a glutinous matter resembling pitch or resin. The several communities of the Sitones[1] succeed those of the Suiones; to whom they are similar in other respects, but differ in submitting to a female reign; so far have they degenerated, not only from liberty, but even from slavery. Here Suevia terminates.

46. I am in doubt whether to reckon the Peucini, Venedi, and Fenni among the Germans or Sarmatians;[2] although the Peucini,[3] who are by some called Bastarnæ, agree with the Germans in language, apparel, and habitations.[4] All of them live in filth and laziness. The intermarriages of their chiefs with the Sarmatians have debased them by a mixture of the manners of that people.[5] The Venedi have drawn much

the name of Samland an island emerged, or rather a group of islands, ... which gradually increased in circumference, and, favoured by a mild sea climate, was overspread with vegetation and forest. This forest was the means of amber being produced. Certain trees in it exuded gums in such quantities that the sunken forest soil now appears to be filled with it to such a degree, as if it had only been deprived of a very trifling part of its contents by the later eruptions of the sea, and the countless storms which have lashed the ocean for centuries." Hence, though found underground, it appears to have been originally the production of some resinous tree. Hence, too, the reason of the appearance of insects, &c. in it, as mentioned by Tacitus.

[1] Norwegians.

[2] All beyond the Vistula was reckoned Sarmatia. These people, therefore, were properly inhabitants of Sarmatia, though from their manners they appeared of German origin.

[3] Pliny also reckons the Peucini among the German nations:—"The fifth part of Germany is possessed by the Peucini and Bastarnæ, who border on the Dacians." (iv. 14.) From Strabo it appears that the Peucini, part of the Bastarnæ, inhabited the country about the mouths of the Danube, and particularly the island Peuce, now Piczina, formed by the river.

[4] The habitations of the Peucini were fixed; whereas the Sarmatians wandered about in their waggons.

[5] "Sordes omnium ac torpor; procerum connubiis mixtis nonnihil in Sarmatarum habitum fœdantur." In many editions the semicolon

from this source;[1] for they overrun in their predatory excursions all the woody and mountainous tracts between the Peucini and Fenni. Yet even these are rather to be referred to the Germans, since they build houses, carry shields, and travel with speed on foot; in all which particulars they totally differ from the Sarmatians, who pass their time in waggons and on horseback.[2] The Fenni[3] live in a state of amazing savageness and squalid poverty. They are destitute of arms, horses, and settled abodes: their food is herbs;[4] their clothing, skins; their bed, the ground. Their only dependence is on their arrows, which, for want of iron, are headed with bone;[5] and the chace is the support of the women as well as the men; the former accompany the latter in the pursuit, and

is placed not after *torpor*, but after *procerum*. The sense of the passage so read is: "The chief men are lazy and stupid, besides being filthy, like all the rest. Intermarriages with the Sarmatians have debased," &c.

[1] The Venedi extended beyond the Peucini and Bastarnæ as far as the Baltic Sea; where is the Sinus Venedicus, now the Gulf of Dantzig. Their name is also preserved in Wenden, a part of Livonia. When the German nations made their irruption into Italy, France, and Spain, the Venedi, also called Winedi, occupied their vacant settlements between the Vistula and Elbe. Afterwards they crossed the Danube, and seized Dalmatia, Illyricum, Istria, Carniola, and the Noric Alps. A part of Carniola still retains the name of Windismarck derived from them. This people were also called Slavi; and their language, the Sclavonian, still prevails through a vast tract of country.

[2] This is still the manner of living of the successors of the Sarmatians, the Nogai Tartars.

[3] Their country is called by Pliny, Eningia, now Finland. Warnefrid (De Gest. Langobard. i. 5) thus describes their savage and wretched state:—"The Scritobini, or Scritofinni, are not without snow in the midst of summer; and, being little superior in sagacity to the brutes, live upon no other food than the raw flesh of wild animals, the hairy skins of which they use for clothing. They derive their name, according to the barbarian tongue, from leaping, because they hunt wild beasts by a certain method of leaping or springing with pieces of wood bent in the shape of a bow." Here is an evident description of the snow-shoes or raquets in common use among the North American savages, as well as the inhabitants of the most northern parts of Europe.

[4] As it is just after mentioned that their chief dependence is on the game procured in hunting, this can only mean that the vegetable food they use consists of wild herbs, in opposition to the cultivated products of the earth.

[5] The Esquimaux and the South Sea islanders do the same thing to this day.

claim a share of the prey. Nor do they provide any other shelter for their infants from wild beasts and storms, than a covering of branches twisted together. This is the resort of youth; this is the receptacle of old age. Yet even this way of life is in their estimation happier than groaning over the plough; toiling in the erection of houses; subjecting their own fortunes and those of others to the agitations of alternate hope and fear. Secure against men, secure against the gods, they have attained that most difficult point, not to need even a wish.

All our further accounts are intermixed with fable; as, that the Hellusii and Oxionæ[1] have human faces, with the bodies and limbs of wild beasts. These unauthenticated reports I shall leave untouched.[2]

[1] People of Lapland. The origin of this fable was probably the manner of clothing in these cold regions, where the inhabitants bury themselves in the thickest furs, scarcely leaving anything of the form of a human creature.

[2] It is with true judgment that this excellent historian forbears to intermix fabulous narrations with the very interesting and instructive matter of this treatise. Such a mixture might have brought an impeachment on the fidelity of the account in general; which, notwithstanding the suspicions professed by some critics, contains nothing but what is entirely consonant to truth and nature. Had Tacitus indulged his invention in the description of German manners, is it probable that he could have given so just a picture of the state of a people under similar circumstances, the savage tribes of North America, as we have seen them within the present century? Is it likely that his relations would have been so admirably confirmed by the codes of law still extant of the several German nations; such as the Salic, Ripuary, Burgundian, English, and Lombard? or that after the course of so many centuries. and the numerous changes of empire, the customs, laws, and manners he describes should still be traced in all the various people of German derivation? As long as the original constitution and jurisprudence of our own and other European countries are studied, this treatise will be regarded as one of the most precious and authentic monuments of historical antiquity.

THE

LIFE OF CNÆUS JULIUS AGRICOLA.

[THIS work is supposed by the commentators to have been written before the treatise on the Manners of the Germans, in the third consulship of the emperor Nerva, and the second of Verginius Rufus, in the year of Rome 850, and of the Christian era 97. Brotier accedes to this opinion; but the reason which he assigns does not seem to be satisfactory. He observes that Tacitus, in the third section, mentions the emperor Nerva; but as he does not call him Divus Nerva, the deified Nerva, the learned commentator infers that Nerva was still living. This reasoning might have some weight, if we did not read, in section 44, that it was the ardent wish of Agricola that he might live to behold Trajan in the imperial seat. If Nerva was then alive, the wish to see another in his room would have been an awkward compliment to the reigning prince. It is, perhaps, for this reason that Lipsius thinks this very elegant tract was written at the same time with the Manners of the Germans, in the beginning of the emperor Trajan. The question is not very material, since conjecture alone must decide it. The piece itself is admitted to be a masterpiece in the kind. Tacitus was son-in-law to Agricola; and while filial piety breathes through his work, he never departs from the integrity of his own character. He has left an historical monument highly interesting to every Briton, who wishes to know the manners of his ancestors, and the spirit of liberty that from the earliest time distinguished the natives of Britain. "Agricola," as Hume observes, "was the general who finally established the dominion of the Romans in this island. He governed it in the reigns of Vespasian, Titus, and Domitian. He carried his victorious arms northward; defeated the Britons in every encounter, pierced into the forests and the mountains of Caledonia, reduced every state to subjection in the southern parts of the island, and chased before him all the men of fiercer and more intractable spirits, who deemed war and death itself less intolerable than servitude under the victors. He defeated them in a decisive action, which they fought under Galgacus; and having fixed a chain of garrisons between the friths of Clyde and Forth, he cut off the ruder and more barren parts of the island, and secured the Roman province from the incursions of the barbarous inhabitants. During

these military enterprises he neglected not the arts of peace. He introduced laws and civility among the Britons; taught them to desire and raise all the conveniences of life; reconciled them to the Roman language and manners; instructed them in letters and science; and employed every expedient to render those chains, which he had forged, both easy and agreeable to them." (Hume's Hist. vol. i. p. 9.) In this passage Mr. Hume has given a summary of the Life of Agricola. It is extended by Tacitus in a style more open than the didactic form of the essay on the German Manners required, but still with the precision, both in sentiment and diction, peculiar to the author. In rich but subdued colours he gives a striking picture of Agricola, leaving to posterity a portion of history which it would be in vain to seek in the dry gazette style of Suetonius, or in the page of any writer of that period.]

1. THE ancient custom of transmitting to posterity the actions and manners of famous men, has not been neglected even by the present age, incurious though it be about those belonging to it, whenever any exalted and noble degree of virtue has triumphed over that false estimation of merit, and that ill-will to it, by which small and great states are equally infested. In former times, however, as there was a greater propensity and freer scope for the performance of actions worthy of remembrance, so every person of distinguished abilities was induced through conscious satisfaction in the task alone, without regard to private favour or interest, to record examples of virtue. And many considered it rather as the honest confidence of integrity, than a culpable arrogance, to become their own biographers. Of this, Rutilius and Scaurus[1] were instances; who were never yet censured on this account, nor was the fidelity of their narrative called in question: so much more candidly are virtues always estimated, in those periods which are the most favourable to their production. For myself, however, who have undertaken to be the historian of a person deceased, an apology seemed necessary;

[1] Rutilius was consul B.C. 104; and for his upright life and great strictness was banished B.C. 92. Tacitus is the only writer who says he wrote his own life. Athenæus mentions that he wrote a history of the affairs of Rome, in the Greek language. Scaurus was consul B.C. 114, and again B.C. 106. He is the same Scaurus whom Sallust mentions as having been bribed by Jugurtha. As the banishment of Rutilius took place on the accusation of Scaurus, it is possible that, when the former wrote his life, the latter also wrote his, in order to defend himself from charges advanced against him.

which I should not have made, had my course lain through times less cruel and hostile to virtue.[1]

[1] *Venia opus fuit.* This whole passage has greatly perplexed the critics. The text is disputed, and it is not agreed why Tacitus asks indulgence. Brotier, Dronke, and others, say he asks indulgence for the inferiority of his style and manner (*incondita ac rudi voce*, c. 3), as compared with the distinguished authors (*quisque celeberrimus*) of an earlier and better age. But there would have been no less occasion to apologise for that, if the times he wrote of had not been so hostile to virtue. Hertel, La Bletterie, and many French critics, understand that he apologises for writing the memoir of his father-in-law so late (*nunc*), when he was already dead (*defuncti*), instead of doing it, as the great men of a former day did, while the subject of their memoirs was yet alive; and he pleads, in justification of the delay, that he could not have written it earlier without encountering the dangers of that cruel age (the age of Domitian). This makes a very good sense. The only objection against it is, that the language, *opus fuit*, seems rather to imply that it was necessary to justify himself for writing it at all, by citing the examples of former distinguished writers of biography, as he had done in the foregoing introduction. But why would it have been unnecessary to apologise for writing the life of Agricola, if the times in which he lived had not been so unfriendly to virtue? Because then Agricola would have had opportunity to achieve victories and honours, which would have demanded narration, but for which the jealousy and cruelty of Domitian now gave no scope. This is the explanation of Roth; and he supports it by reference to the fact, that the achievements of Agricola in the conquest of Britain, though doubtless just as Tacitus has described them, yet occupy so small a space in general history, that they are not even mentioned by any ancient historian except Dio Cassius; and he mentions them chiefly out of regard to the discovery made by Agricola, for the first time, that Britain was an island. (Vid. R. Exc. 1.) This explanation answers all the demands of grammar and logic; but as a matter of taste and feeling, I cannot receive it. Such an apology for the unworthiness of his subject at the commencement of the biography, ill accords with the tone of dignified confidence which pervades the memoir. The best commentary I have seen on the passage is that of Walther; and it would not, perhaps, be giving more space to so mooted a question than the scholar requires, to extract it entire:—"*Venia*," he says, "is here nothing else than what we, in the language of modesty, call an apology, and has respect to the very justification he has just offered in the foregoing exordium. For Tacitus there appeals to the usage, not of remote antiquity only, but of later times also, to justify his design of writing the biography of a distinguished man. There would have been no need of such an apology in other times. In other times, dispensing with all preamble, he would have begun, as in c. 4, 'Cnæus Julius Agricola,' &c., assured that no one would question the propriety of his course. But now, after a long and servile silence, when one begins again 'facta moresque posteris tradere,' when he utters the first word where speech and almost memory (c. 2) had so long been lost, when he stands forth as the first

2. We read that when Arulenus Rusticus published the praises of Pætus Thrasea, and Herennius Senecio those of Priscus Helvidius, it was construed into a capital crime;[1] and the rage of tyranny was let loose not only against the authors, but against their writings; so that those monuments of exalted genius were burnt at the place of election in the forum by triumvirs appointed for the purpose. In that fire they thought to consume the voice of the Roman people, the freedom of the senate, and the conscious emotions of all mankind; crowning the deed by the expulsion of the professors of wisdom,[2] and the banishment of every liberal art, that nothing generous or honourable might remain. We gave, indeed, a consummate proof of our patience; and as remote ages saw the very utmost degree of liberty, so we, deprived by inquisitions of all the intercourse of conversation, experienced the utmost of slavery. With language we should have lost

vindicator of condemned virtue, he seems to venture on something so new, so strange, so bold, that it may well require apology." In commenting upon *cursaturus—tempora*, Walther adds: "If there is any boldness in the author's use of words here, that very fact suits the connexion, that by the complexion of his language even, he might paint the audacity 'cursandi tam sæva et infesta virtutibus tempora'—of running over (as in a race, for such is Walther's interpretation of *cursandi*) times so cruel and so hostile to virtue. Not that those times could excite in Tacitus any real personal fear, for they were past, and he could now think what he pleased, and speak what he thought (Hist. i. 1). Still he shudders at the recollection of those cruelties; and he treads with trembling footstep, as it were, even the path lately obstructed by them. He looks about him to see whether, even now, he may safely utter his voice, and he timidly asks pardon for venturing to break the reigning silence."—*Tyler.*

[1] A passage in Dio excellently illustrates the fact here referred to: "He (Domitian) put to death Rusticus Arulenus, because he studied philosophy, and had given Thrasea the appellation of holy; and Herennius Senecio, because, although he lived many years after serving the office of quæstor, he solicited no other post, and because he had written the Life of Helvidius Priscus." (lxvii. p. 765.) With less accuracy, Suetonius, in his Life of Domitian (s. 10), says: "He put to death Junius Rusticus, because he had published the panegyrics of Pætus Thrasea and Helvidius Priscus, and had styled them most holy persons; and on this occasion he expelled all the philosophers from the city, and from Italy." Arulenus Rusticus was a Stoic; on which account he was contumeliously called by M. Regulus "the ape of the Stoics, marked with the Vitellian scar." (Pliny, Epist. i. 5.) Thrasea, who killed Nero, is particularly recorded in the Annals, book xvi.

[2] The expulsion of the philosophers, mentioned in the passage above quoted from Suetonius.

memory itself, had it been as much in our power to forget, as to be silent.

3. Now our spirits begin to revive. But although at the first dawning of this happy period,[1] the emperor Nerva united two things before incompatible, monarchy and liberty; and Trajan is now daily augmenting the felicity of the empire; and the public security[2] has not only assumed hopes and wishes, but has seen those wishes arise to confidence and stability; yet, from the nature of human infirmity, remedies are more tardy in their operation than diseases: and, as bodies slowly increase, but quickly perish, so it is more easy to suppress industry and genius, than to recal them. For indolence itself acquires a charm; and sloth, however odious at first, becomes at length engaging. During the space of fifteen years,[3] a large portion of human life, how great a number have fallen by casual events, and, as was the fate of all the most distinguished, by the cruelty of the prince; whilst we, the few survivors, not of others alone, but, if I may be allowed the expression, of ourselves, find a void of so many years in our lives, which has silently brought us from youth to maturity, from mature age to the very verge of life! Still, however, I shall not regret having composed, though in rude and artless language, a memorial of past servitude, and a testimony of present blessings.[4]

The present work, in the meantime, which is dedicated to the honour of my father-in-law, may be thought to merit approbation, or at least excuse, from the piety of the intention.

4. Cnæus Julius Agricola was born at the ancient and illustrious colony of Forumjulii.[5] Both his grandfathers were

[1] This truly happy period began when, after the death of Domitian, and the recision of his acts, the imperial authority devolved on Nerva, whose virtues were emulated by the successive emperors, Trajan, Hadrian, and both the Antonines.

[2] *Securitas publica*, "the public security," was a current expression and wish, and was frequently inscribed on medals.

[3] The term of Domitian's reign.

[4] It appears that at this time Tacitus proposed to write not only the books of his History and Annals, which contain the "memorial of past servitude," but an account of the "present blessings" exemplified in the occurrences under Nerva and Trajan.

[5] There were two Roman colonies of this name; one in Umbria, supposed to be the place now called Friuli; the other in Narbonnensian

imperial procurators,[1] an office which confers the rank of equestrian nobility. His father, Julius Græcinus,[2] of the senatorian order, was famous for the study of eloquence and philosophy; and by these accomplishments he drew on himself the displeasure of Caius Cæsar;[3] for, being commanded to undertake the accusation of Marcus Silanus,[4]—on his refusal, he was put to death. His mother was Julia Procilla, a lady of exemplary chastity. Educated with tenderness in her bosom,[5] he passed his childhood and youth in the attainment of every liberal art. He was preserved from the

Gaul, the modern name of which is Frejus. This last was probably the birth-place of Agricola.

[1] Of the procurators who were sent to the provinces, some had the charge of the public revenue; others, not only of that, but of the private revenue of the emperor. These were the imperial procurators. All the offices relative to the finances were in the possession of the Roman knights; of whom the imperial procurators were accounted noble. Hence the equestrian nobility of which Tacitus speaks. In some of the lesser provinces, the procurators had the civil jurisdiction, as well as the administration of the revenue. This was the case in Judæa.

[2] Seneca bears a very honourable testimony to this person. "If," says he, "we have occasion for an example of a great mind, let us cite that of Julius Græcinus, an excellent person, whom Caius Cæsar put to death on this account alone, that he was a better man than could be suffered under a tyrant." (De Benef. ii. 21.) His books concerning Vineyards are commended by Columella and Pliny.

[3] Caligula.

[4] Marcus Silanus was the father of Claudia, the first wife of Caius. According to the historians of that period, Caius was jealous of him, and took every opportunity of mortifying him. Tacitus (Hist. iv. 48) mentions that the emperor deprived him of the military command of the troops in Africa in an insulting manner. Dion (lix.) states, that when, from his age and rank, Silanus was usually asked his opinion first in the senate, the emperor found a pretext for preventing this respect being paid to his worth. Suetonius (iv. 23) records that the emperor one day put to sea in a hasty manner, and commanded Silanus to follow him. This, from fear of illness, he declined to do; upon which the emperor, alleging that he stayed on shore in order to get possession of the city in case any accident befell himself, compelled him to cut his own throat. It would seem, from the present passage of Tacitus, that there were some legal forms taken in the case of Silanus, and that Julius Græcinus was ordered to be the accuser; and that that noble-minded man, refusing to take part in proceedings so cruel and iniquitous, was himself put to death.

[5] Of the part the Roman matrons took in the education of youth, Tacitus has given an elegant and interesting account, in his Dialogue concerning Oratory, c. 28.

allurements of vice, not only by a naturally good disposition, but by being sent very early to pursue his studies at Massilia;[1] a place where Grecian politeness and provincial frugality are happily united. I remember he was used to relate, that in his early youth he should have engaged with more ardour in philosophical speculation than was suitable to a Roman and a senator, had not the prudence of his mother restrained the warmth and vehemence of his disposition: for his lofty and upright spirit, inflamed by the charms of glory and exalted reputation, led him to the pursuit with more eagerness than discretion. Reason and riper years tempered his warmth; and from the study of wisdom, he retained what is most difficult to compass,—moderation.

5. He learned the rudiments of war in Britain, under Suetonius Paullinus, an active and prudent commander, who chose him for his tent companion, in order to form an estimate of his merit.[2] Nor did Agricola, like many young men, who convert military service into wanton pastime, avail himself licentiously or slothfully of his tribunitial title, or his inexperience, to spend his time in pleasures and absences from duty; but he employed himself in gaining a knowledge of the country, making himself known to the army, learning from the experienced, and imitating the best; neither pressing to be employed through vainglory, nor declining it through timidity; and performing his duty with equal solicitude and spirit. At no other time in truth was Britain more agitated or in a state of greater uncertainty. Our veterans slaughtered, our colonies burnt,[3] our armies cut off,[4]—we were then contending for safety, afterwards for victory. During this period,

[1] Now Marseilles. This was a colony of the Phocæans; whence it derived that Grecian politeness for which it was long famous.

[2] It was usual for generals to admit young men of promising characters to this honourable companionship, which resembled the office of an aide-de-camp in the modern service. Thus, Suetonius informs us that Cæsar made his first campaign in Asia as tent-companion to Marcus Thermus the prætor.

[3] This was the fate of the colony of veterans at Camalodunum, now Colchester or Maldon. A particular account of this revolt is given in the 14th book of the Annals.

[4] This alludes to the defeat of Petilius Cerialis, who came with the ninth legion to succour the colony of Camalodunum. All the infantry were slaughtered; and Petilius, with the cavalry alone, got away to the camp. It was shortly after this, that Suetonius defeated Boadicea and her forces.

although all things were transacted under the conduct and direction of another, and the stress of the whole, as well as the glory of recovering the province, fell to the general's share, yet they imparted to the young Agricola skill, experience, and incentives; and the passion for military glory entered his soul; a passion ungrateful to the times,[1] in which eminence was unfavourably construed, and a great reputation was no less dangerous than a bad one.

6. Departing thence to undertake the offices of magistracy in Rome, he married Domitia Decidiana, a lady of illustrious descent, from which connexion he derived credit and support in his pursuit of greater things. They lived together in admirable harmony and mutual affection; each giving the preference to the other; a conduct equally laudable in both, except that a greater degree of praise is due to a good wife, in proportion as a bad one deserves the greater censure. The lot of quæstorship[2] gave him Asia for his province, and the proconsul Salvius Titianus[3] for his superior; by neither of which circumstances was he corrupted, although the province was wealthy and open to plunder, and the proconsul, from his rapacious disposition, would readily have agreed to a mutual concealment of guilt. His family was there increased by the birth of a daughter, who was both the support of his house, and his consolation; for he lost an elder-born son in infancy. The interval between his serving the offices of quæstor and tribune of the people, and even the year of the latter magistracy, he passed in repose and inactivity; well knowing the temper of the times under Nero, in which indolence was wisdom. He maintained the same tenor of conduct when prætor; for the judiciary part of the office did not fall to his share.[4] In the exhibition of public games, and the idle

[1] Those of Nero.

[2] The office of quæstor was the entrance to all public employments. The quæstors and their secretaries were distributed by lot to the several provinces, that there might be no previous connexions between them and the governors, but they might serve as checks upon each other.

[3] Brother of the emperor Otho.

[4] At the head of the prætors, the number of whom was different at different periods of the empire, were the Prætor Urbanus, and Prætor Peregrinus. The first administered justice among the citizens, the second among strangers. The rest presided at public debates, and had the charge of exhibiting the public games, which were celebrated

trappings of dignity, he consulted propriety and the measure of his fortune; by no means approaching to extravagance, yet inclining rather to a popular course. When he was afterwards appointed by Galba to manage an inquest concerning the offerings which had been presented to the temples, by his strict attention and diligence he preserved the state from any further sacrilege than what it had suffered from Nero.[1]

7. The following year[2] inflicted a severe wound on his peace of mind, and his domestic concerns. The fleet of Otho, roving in a disorderly manner on the coast,[3] made a hostile descent on Intemelii,[4] a part of Liguria, in which the mother of Agricola was murdered at her own estate, her lands were ravaged, and a great part of her effects, which had invited the assassins, was carried off. As Agricola upon this event was hastening to perform the duties of filial piety, he was overtaken by the news of Vespasian's aspiring to the empire,[5] and immediately went over to his party. The first acts of power, and the government of the city, were entrusted to Mucianus; Domitian being at that time very young, and taking no other privilege from his father's elevation than that of indulging his licentious tastes. Mucianus, having approved the vigour and fidelity of Agricola in the service of raising levies, gave him the command of the twentieth legion,[6] which had appeared backward in taking the oaths, as soon as he had heard of the seditious practices of its commander.[7]

with great solemnity for seven successive days, and at a vast expense. This, indeed, in the times of the emperors, was almost the sole business of the prætors, whose dignity, as Tacitus expresses it, consisted in the idle trappings of state; whence Boethius justly terms the prætorship "an empty name, and a grievous burthen on the senatorian rank."

[1] Nero had plundered the temples for the supply of his extravagance and debauchery. See Annals, xv. 45.

[2] This was the year of Rome 822; from the birth of Christ, 69.

[3] The cruelties and depredations committed on the coast of Italy by this fleet are described in lively colours by Tacitus, Hist. ii. 12, 13.

[4] Now the county of Vintimiglia. The attack upon the municipal town of this place, called Albium Intemelium, is particularly mentioned in the passage above referred to.

[5] In the month of July of this year.

[6] The twentieth legion, surnamed the Victorious, was stationed in Britain at Deva, the modern Chester, where many inscriptions and other monuments of Roman antiquities have been discovered.

[7] Roscius Cælius. His disputes with the governor of Britain, Trebellius Maximus, are related by Tacitus, Hist. i. 60.

This legion had been unmanageable and formidable even to the consular lieutenants;[1] and its late commander, of prætorian rank, had not sufficient authority to keep it in obedience; though it was uncertain whether from his own disposition, or that of his soldiers. Agricola was therefore appointed as his successor and avenger; but, with an uncommon degree of moderation, he chose rather to have it appear that he had found the legion obedient, than that he had made it so.

8. Vettius Bolanus was at that time governor of Britain, and ruled with a milder sway than was suitable to so turbulent a province. Under his administration, Agricola, accustomed to obey, and taught to consult utility as well as glory, tempered his ardour, and restrained his enterprising spirit. His virtues had soon a larger field for their display, from the appointment of Petilius Cerealis,[2] a man of consular dignity, to the government. At first he only shared the fatigues and dangers of his general; but was presently allowed to partake of his glory. Cerealis frequently entrusted him with part of his army as a trial of his abilities; and from the event sometimes enlarged his command. On these occasions, Agricola was never ostentatious in assuming to himself the merit of his exploits; but always, as a subordinate officer, gave the honour of his good fortune to his superior. Thus, by his spirit in executing orders, and his modesty in reporting his success, he avoided envy, yet did not fail of acquiring reputation.

9. On his return from commanding the legion he was raised by Vespasian to the patrician order, and then invested with the government of Aquitania,[3] a distinguished promotion, both in respect to the office itself, and the hopes of the consulate to which it destined him. It is a common supposition that military men, habituated to the unscrupulous and summary processes of camps, where things are carried with a strong hand, are deficient in the address and subtlety of genius requisite in civil jurisdiction. Agricola, however, by his natural prudence, was enabled to act with facility and

[1] The governors of the province, and commanders in chief over all the legions stationed in it.

[2] He had formerly been commander of the ninth legion.

[3] The province of Aquitania extended from the Pyrenean mountains to the river Liger (Loire).

precision even among civilians. He distinguished the hours of business from those of relaxation. When the court or tribunal demanded his presence, he was grave, intent, awful, yet generally inclined to lenity. When the duties of his office were over, the man of power was instantly laid aside. Nothing of sternness, arrogance, or rapaciousness appeared; and, what was a singular felicity, his affability did not impair his authority, nor his severity render him less beloved. To mention integrity and freedom from corruption in such a man, would be an affront to his virtues. He did not even court reputation, an object to which men of worth frequently sacrifice, by ostentation or artifice: equally avoiding competition with his colleagues,[1] and contention with the procurators. To overcome in such a contest he thought inglorious; and to be put down, a disgrace. Somewhat less than three years were spent in this office, when he was recalled to the immediate prospect of the consulate; while at the same time a popular opinion prevailed that the government of Britain would be conferred upon him; an opinion not founded upon any suggestions of his own, but upon his being thought equal to the station. Common fame does not always err, sometimes it even directs a choice. When consul,[2] he contracted his daughter, a lady already of the happiest promise, to myself, then a very young man; and after his office was expired I received her in marriage. He was immediately appointed governor of Britain, and the pontificate[3] was added to his other dignities.

10. The situation and inhabitants of Britain have been described by many writers;[4] and I shall not add to the number with the view of vying with them in accuracy and ingenuity, but because it was first thoroughly subdued in the period of the present history. Those things which, while yet unascertained, they embellished with their eloquence, shall here be related with a faithful adherence to known facts. Britain, the

[1] The governors of the neighbouring provinces.

[2] Agricola was consul in the year of Rome 830, A. D. 77, along with Domitian. They succeeded, in the calends of July, the consuls Vespasian and Titus, who began the year.

[3] He was admitted into the Pontifical College, at the head of which was the Pontifex Maximus.

[4] Julius Cæsar, Livy, Strabo, Fabius Rusticus, Pomponius Mela, Pliny, &c.

largest of all the islands which have come within the knowledge of the Romans, stretches on the east towards Germany, on the west towards Spain,[1] and on the south it is even within sight of Gaul. Its northern extremity has no opposite land, but is washed by a wide and open sea. Livy, the most eloquent of ancient, and Fabius Rusticus, of modern writers, have likened the figure of Britain to an oblong target, or a two-edged axe.[2] And this is in reality its appearance, exclusive of Caledonia; whence it has been popularly attributed to the whole island. But that tract of country, irregularly stretching out to an immense length towards the furthest shore, is gradually contracted in form of a wedge.[3] The Roman fleet, at this period first sailing round this remotest coast, gave certain proof that Britain was an island; and at the same time discovered and subdued the Orcades,[4] islands till then unknown. Thule[5] was also distinctly seen, which winter and eternal snow had hitherto concealed. The sea is reported to be sluggish and laborious to the rower; and even to be scarcely agitated by winds. The cause of this stagnation I imagine to be the deficiency of land and mountains

[1] Thus Cæsar: "One side of Britain inclines towards Spain, and the setting sun; on which part Ireland is situated."—Bell. Gall. v. 13.

[2] These, as well as other resemblances suggested by ancient geographers, have been mostly destroyed by the greater accuracy of modern maps.

[3] This is so far true, that the northern extremity of Scotland is much narrower than the southern coast of England.

[4] The Orkney Islands. These, although now first thoroughly known to the Romans, had before been heard of, and mentioned by authors. Thus Mela, iii. 6: "There are thirty of the Orcades, separated from each other by narrow straits." And Pliny, iv. 16: "The Orcades are forty in number, at a small distance from each other." In the reign of Claudius, the report concerning these islands was particularly current, and adulation converted it into the news of a victory. Hence Hieronymus in his Chronicon says, "Claudius triumphed over the Britons, and added the Orcades to the Roman empire."

[5] Camden supposes the Shetland Islands to be meant here by Thule; others imagine it to have been one of the Hebrides. Pliny, iv. 16, mentions Thule as the most remote of all known islands; and, by placing it but one day's sail from the Frozen Ocean, renders it probable that Iceland was intended. Procopius (Bell. Goth. ii. 15) speaks of another Thule, which must have been Norway, which many of the ancients thought to be an island. Mr. Pennant supposes that the Thule here meant was Foula, a very lofty isle, one of the most westerly of the Shetlands, which might easily be descried by the fleet.

where tempests are generated; and the difficulty with which such a mighty mass of waters, in an uninterrupted main, is put in motion.[1] It is not the business of this work to investigate the nature of the ocean and the tides; a subject which many writers have already undertaken. I shall only add one circumstance: that the dominion of the sea is nowhere more extensive; that it carries many currents in this direction and in that; and its ebbings and flowings are not confined to the shore, but it penetrates into the heart of the country, and works its way among hills and mountains, as though it were in its own domain.[2]

11. Who were the first inhabitants of Britain, whether indigenous[3] or immigrants, is a question involved in the obscurity usual among barbarians. Their temperament of body is various, whence deductions are formed of their different origin. Thus, the ruddy hair and large limbs of the Caledonians[4] point out a German derivation. The swarthy complexion and curled hair of the Silures,[5] together with their situation opposite to Spain, render it probable that a colony of the ancient Iberi[6] possessed themselves of that territory. They who are nearest Gaul[7] resemble the inhabitants

[1] As far as the meaning of this passage can be elucidated, it would appear as if the first circumnavigators of Britain, to enhance the idea of their dangers and hardships, had represented the Northern sea as in such a thickened half solid state, that the oars could scarcely be worked, or the water agitated by winds. Tacitus, however, rather chooses to explain its stagnant condition from the want of winds, and the difficulty of moving so great a body of waters. But the fact, taken either way, is erroneous; as this sea is never observed frozen, and is remarkably stormy and tempestuous.—*Aikin.*

[2] The great number of firths and inlets of the sea, which almost cut through the northern parts of the island, as well as the height of the tides on the coast, render this observation peculiarly proper.

[3] Cæsar mentions that the interior inhabitants of Britain were supposed to have originated in the island itself. (Bell. Gall. v. 12.)

[4] Caledonia, now Scotland, was at that time overspread by vast forests. Thus Pliny, iv. 16, speaking of Britain, says, that "for thirty years past the Roman arms had not extended the knowledge of the island beyond the Caledonian forest."

[5] Inhabitants of what are now the counties of Glamorgan, Monmouth, Brecknock, Hereford, and Radnor.

[6] The Iberi were a people of Spain, so called from their neighbourhood to the river Iberus, now Ebro.

[7] Of these, the inhabitants of Kent are honourably mentioned by Cæsar. "Of all these people, by far the most civilized are those

of that country; whether from the duration of hereditary influence, or whether it be that when lands jut forward in opposite directions,[1] climate gives the same condition of body to the inhabitants of both. On a general survey, however, it appears probable that the Gauls originally took possession of the neighbouring coast. The sacred rites and superstitions[2] of these people are discernible among the Britons. The languages of the two nations do not greatly differ. The same audacity in provoking danger, and irresolution in facing it when present, is observable in both. The Britons, however, display more ferocity,[3] not being yet softened by a long peace: for it appears from history that the Gauls were once renowned in war, till, losing their valour with their liberty, languor and indolence entered amongst them. The same change has also taken place among those of the Britons who have been long subdued;[4] but the rest continue such as the Gauls formerly were.

12. Their military strength consists in infantry: some nations also make use of chariots in war; in the management of which, the most honourable person guides the reins, while his dependents fight from the chariot.[5] The Britons were formerly governed by kings,[6] but at present they are divided in factions and parties among their chiefs; and this want of union for concerting some general plan is the most favourable circumstance to us, in our designs against so powerful a people. It is seldom that two or three communities concur in

inhabiting the maritime country of Cantium, who differ little in their manners from the Gauls."—Bell. Gall. v. 14.

[1] From the obliquity of the opposite coasts of England and France, some part of the former runs further south than the northern extremity of the latter.

[2] Particularly the mysterious and bloody solemnities of the Druids.

[3] The children were born and nursed in this ferocity. Thus Solinus, c. 22, speaking of the warlike nation of Britons, says, "When a woman is delivered of a male child, she lays its first food upon the husband's sword, and with the point gently puts it within the little one's mouth, praying to her country deities that his death may in like manner be in the midst of arms."

[4] In the reign of Claudius.

[5] The practice of the Greeks in the Homeric age was the reverse of this

[6] Thus the kings Cunobelinus, Caractacus, and Prasutagus, and the queens Cartismandua and Boadicea, are mentioned in different parts of Tacitus.

repelling the common danger; and thus, while they engage singly, they are all subdued. The sky in this country is deformed by clouds and frequent rains; but the cold is never extremely rigorous.[1] The length of the days greatly exceeds that in our part of the world.[2] The nights are bright, and, at the extremity of the island, so short, that the close and return of day is scarcely distinguished by a perceptible interval. It is even asserted that, when clouds do not intervene, the splendour of the sun is visible during the whole night, and that it does not appear to rise and set, but to move across.[3] The cause of this is, that the extreme and flat parts of the earth, casting a low shadow, do not throw up the darkness, and so night falls beneath the sky and the stars.[4] The soil, though improper for the olive, the vine, and other productions of warmer climates, is fertile, and suitable for corn. Growth is quick, but maturation slow; both from the

[1] Cæsar says of Britain, "the climate is more temperate than that of Gaul, the cold being less severe." (Bell. Gall. v. 12.) This certainly proceeds from its insular situation, and the moistness of its atmosphere.

[2] Thus Pliny (ii. 75):—"The longest day in Italy is of fifteen hours, in Britain of seventeen, where in summer the nights are light."

[3] Tacitus, through the medium of Agricola, must have got this report, either from the men of Scandinavia, or from those of the Britons who had passed into that country, or been informed to this effect by those who had visited it. It is quite true, that in the further part of Norway, and so also again in Iceland and the regions about the North Pole, there is, at the summer solstice, an almost uninterrupted day for nearly two months. Tacitus here seems to affirm this as universally the case, not having heard that, at the winter solstice, there is a night of equal duration.

[4] Tacitus, after having given the report of the Britons as he had heard it, probably from Agricola, now goes on to state his own views on the subject. He represents that, as the far north is level, there is nothing, when the sun is in the distant horizon, to throw up a shadow towards the sky: that the light, indeed, is intercepted from the surface of the earth itself, and so there is darkness upon it; but that the sky above is still clear and bright from its rays. And hence he supposes that the brightness of the upper regions neutralizes the darkness on the earth, forming a degree of light equivalent to the evening twilight or the morning dawn, or, indeed, rendering it next to impossible to decide when the evening closes and the morning begins. Compare the following account, taken from a "Description of a Visit to Shetland," in vol. viii. of Chambers' Miscellany:—"Being now in the 60th degree of north latitude, daylight could scarcely be said to have left us during the night, and at 2 o'clock in the morning, albeit the mist still hung about us, we could see as clearly as we can do in London, at about any hour in a November day."

same cause, the great humidity of the ground and the atmosphere.[1] The earth yields gold and silver[2] and other metals, the rewards of victory. The ocean produces pearls,[3] but of a cloudy and livid hue; which some impute to unskilfulness in the gatherers; for in the Red Sea the fish are plucked from the rocks alive and vigorous, but in Britain they are collected as the sea throws them up. For my own part, I can more readily conceive that the defect is in the nature of the pearls, than in our avarice.

13. The Britons cheerfully submit to levies, tributes, and the other services of government, if they are not treated

[1] Mr. Pennant has a pleasing remark concerning the soil and climate of our island, well agreeing with that of Tacitus:—"The climate of Great Britain is above all others productive of the greatest variety and abundance of wholesome vegetables, which, to crown our happiness, are almost equally diffused through all its parts: this general fertility is owing to those clouded skies, which foreigners mistakenly urge as a reproach on our country; but, let us cheerfully endure a temporary gloom, which clothes not only our meadows, but our hills, with the richest verdure."—Brit. Zool. 4to. i. 15.

[2] Strabo (iv. 138) testifies the same. Cicero, on the other hand, asserts, that not a single grain of silver is found on this island. (Ep. ad Attic. iv. 16.) If we have recourse to modern authorities, we find Camden mentioning gold and silver mines in Cumberland, silver in Flintshire, and gold in Scotland. Dr. Borlase (Hist. of Cornwall, p. 214) relates, that so late as the year 1753, several pieces of gold were found in what the miners call stream tin; and silver is now got in considerable quantity from several of our lead ores. A curious paper, concerning the Gold Mines of Scotland, is given by Mr. Pennant in Append. (No. x.) to his second part of a "Tour in Scotland in 1772," and a much more general account of the mines and ores of Great Britain in early times, in his "Tour in Wales of 1773," pp. 51—66.

[3] Camden mentions pearls being found in the counties of Caernarvon and Cumberland, and in the British sea. Mr. Pennant, in his "Tour in Scotland in 1769," takes notice of a considerable pearl fishery out of the fresh-water mussel, in the vicinity of Perth, from whence 10,000*l.* worth of pearls were sent to London from 1761 to 1764. It was, however, almost exhausted when he visited the country. See also the fourth volume of Mr. Pennant's Br. Zool. (Class vi. No. 18), where he gives a much more ample account of the British pearls. Origen, in his Comment. on Matthew, pp. 210, 211, gives a description of the British pearl, which, he says, was next in value to the Indian:—"Its surface is of a gold colour, but it is cloudy, and less transparent than the Indian." Pliny speaks of the British unions as follows:—"It is certain that small and discoloured ones are produced in Britain; since the deified Julius has given us to understand that the breastplate which he dedicated to Venus Genitrix, and placed in her temple, was made of British pearls."—ix. 35.

injuriously; but such treatment they bear with impatience, their subjection only extending to obedience, not to servitude. Accordingly Julius Cæsar,[1] the first Roman who entered Britain with an army, although he terrified the inhabitants by a successful engagement, and became master of the shore, may be considered rather to have transmitted the discovery than the possession of the country to posterity. The civil wars soon succeeded; the arms of the leaders were turned against their country; and a long neglect of Britain ensued, which continued even after the establishment of peace. This Augustus attributed to policy; and Tiberius to the injunctions of his predecessor.[2] It is certain that Caius Cæsar[3] meditated an expedition into Britain; but his temper, precipitate in forming schemes, and unsteady in pursuing them, together with the ill success of his mighty attempts against Germany, rendered the design abortive. Claudius[4] accomplished the undertaking, transporting his legions and auxiliaries, and associating Vespasian in the direction of affairs, which laid the foundation of his future fortune. In this expedition, nations were subdued, kings made captive, and Vespasian was held forth to the fates.

14. Aulus Plautius, the first consular governor, and his successor Ostorius Scapula,[5] were both eminent for military abilities. Under them, the nearest part of Britain was gradually reduced into the form of a province, and a colony of veterans[6] was settled. Certain districts were bestowed upon king Cogidunus, a prince who continued in perfect fidelity within our own memory. This was done agreeably

[1] Cæsar's two expeditions into Britain were in the years of Rome 699 and 700. He himself gives an account of them, and they are also mentioned by Strabo and Dio.

[2] It was the wise policy of Augustus not to extend any further the limits of the empire; and with regard to Britain, in particular, he thought the conquest and preservation of it would be attended with more expense than it could repay. (Strabo, ii. 79, and iv. 138.) Tiberius, who always professed an entire deference for the maxims and injunctions of Augustus, in this instance, probably, was convinced of their propriety.

[3] Caligula.

[4] Claudius invaded Britain in the year of Rome 796, A. D. 43.

[5] In the parish of Dinder, near Hereford, are yet remaining the vestiges of a Roman encampment, called Oyster-hill, as is supposed from this Ostorius. Camden's Britan. by Gibson, p. 580.

[6] That of Camalodunum, now Colchester, or Maldon.

to the ancient and long established practice of the Romans, to make even kings the instruments of servitude. Didius Gallus, the next governor, preserved the acquisitions of his predecessors, and added a very few fortified posts in the remoter parts, for the reputation of enlarging his province. Veranius succeeded, but died within the year. Suetonius Paullinus then commanded with success for two years, subduing various nations, and establishing garrisons. In the confidence with which this inspired him, he undertook an expedition against the island Mona,[1] which had furnished the revolters with supplies; and thereby exposed the settlements behind him to a surprise.

15. For the Britons, relieved from present dread by the absence of the governor, began to hold conferences, in which they painted the miseries of servitude, compared their several injuries, and inflamed each other with such representations as these: "That the only effects of their patience were more grievous impositions upon a people who submitted with such facility. Formerly they had one king respectively; now two were set over them, the lieutenant and the procurator, the former of whom vented his rage upon their life's blood, the latter upon their properties;[2] the union or discord[3] of these governors was equally fatal to those whom they ruled, while the officers of the one, and the centurions of the other, joined in oppressing them by all kinds of violence and contumely; so that nothing was exempted from their avarice, nothing from their lust. In battle it was the bravest who took spoils; but those whom *they* suffered to seize their houses, force away their children, and exact levies, were, for the most part, the cowardly and effeminate; as if the only lesson of suffering of which they were ignorant was how to die for their country. Yet how inconsiderable would the number of invaders appear did the Britons but compute their own forces! From considerations like these, Germany had thrown off the yoke,[4] though

[1] The Mona of Tacitus is the Isle of Anglesey, that of Cæsar is the Isle of Man, called by Pliny Monapia.

[2] The avarice of Catus Decidianus the procurator is mentioned as the cause by which the Britons were forced into this war, by Tacitus, Annal. xiv. 32.

[3] Julius Classicianus, who succeeded Decidianus, was at variance with the governor, but was no less oppressive to the province.

[4] By the slaughter of Varus.

a river[1] and not the ocean was its barrier. The welfare of their country, their wives, and their parents called *them* to arms, while avarice and luxury alone incited their enemies; who would withdraw as even the deified Julius had done, if the present race of Britons would emulate the valour of their ancestors, and not be dismayed at the event of the first or second engagement. Superior spirit and perseverance were always the share of the wretched; and the gods themselves now seemed to compassionate the Britons, by ordaining the absence of the general, and the detention of his army in another island. The most difficult point, assembling for the purpose of deliberation, was already accomplished; and there was always more danger from the discovery of designs like these, than from their execution."

16. Instigated by such suggestions, they unanimously rose in arms, led by Boadicea,[2] a woman of royal descent, (for they make no distinction between the sexes in succession to the throne,) and attacking the soldiers dispersed through the garrisons, stormed the fortified posts, and invaded the colony[3] itself, as the seat of slavery. They omitted no species of cruelty with which rage and victory could inspire barbarians; and had not Paullinus, on being acquainted with the commotion of the province, marched speedily to its relief, Britain would have been lost. The fortune of a single battle, however, reduced it to its former subjection; though many still remained in arms, whom the consciousness of revolt, and particular dread of the governor, had driven to despair. Paullinus, although otherwise exemplary in his administration, having treated those who surrendered with severity, and having pursued too rigorous measures, as one who was revenging his own personal injury also, Petronius Turpilianus[4] was sent in his stead, as a person more inclined to lenity, and one who, being unacquainted with the enemy's delinquency, could more easily accept their penitence. After having restored things to their

[1] The Rhine and Danube.

[2] Boadicea, whose name is variously written Boudicea, Bonduca, Voadicea, &c., was queen of the Iceni, or people of Suffolk, Norfolk, Cambridgeshire, and Huntingdonshire. A particular account of this revolt is given in the Annals, xiv. 31, and seq.

[3] Of Camalodunum.

[4] This was in A. D. 61. According to Tac. Hist. i. 6, Petronius Turpilianus was put to death by Galba, A. D. 68.

former quiet state, he delivered the command to Trebellius Maximus.[1] Trebellius, indolent, and inexperienced in military affairs, maintained the tranquillity of the province by popular manners; for even the barbarians had now learned to pardon under the seductive influence of vices; and the intervention of the civil wars afforded a legitimate excuse for his inactivity. Sedition however infected the soldiers, who, instead of their usual military services, were rioting in idleness. Trebellius, after escaping the fury of his army by flight and concealment, dishonoured and abased, regained a precarious authority; and a kind of tacit compact took place, of safety to the general, and licentiousness to the army. This mutiny was not attended with bloodshed. Vettius Bolanus,[2] succeeding during the continuance of the civil wars, was unable to introduce discipline into Britain. The same inaction towards the enemy, and the same insolence in the camp, continued; except that Bolanus, unblemished in his character, and not obnoxious by any crime, in some measure substituted affection in the place of authority.

17. At length, when Vespasian received the possession of Britain together with the rest of the world, the great commanders and well-appointed armies which were sent over abated the confidence of the enemy; and Petilius Cerealis struck terror by an attack upon the Brigantes,[3] who are reputed to compose the most populous state in the whole province. Many battles were fought, some of them attended with much bloodshed; and the greater part of the Brigantes were either brought into subjection, or involved in the ravages of war. The conduct and reputation of Cerealis were so brilliant that they might have eclipsed the splendour of a successor; yet Julius Frontinus,[4] a truly great man, supported the arduous competition, as far as circumstances would permit.[5] He subdued the strong and warlike nation of the

[1] The date of his arrival is uncertain.

[2] He was sent to Britain by Vespasian, A.D. 69.

[3] The Brigantes inhabited Yorkshire, Lancashire, Westmoreland, Cumberland, and Durham.

[4] The date of his arrival in Britain is uncertain. This Frontinus is the author of the work on "Stratagems," and, at the time of his appointment to the lieutenancy of Britain, he was *curator aquarum* at Rome. This, probably, it was that induced him to write his other work on the aqueducts of Rome.

[5] This seems to relate to his having been curtailed in his military

Silures,[1] in which expedition, besides the valour of the enemy, he had the difficulties of the country to struggle with.

18. Such was the state of Britain, and such had been the vicissitudes of warfare, when Agricola arrived in the middle of summer;[2] at a time when the Roman soldiers, supposing the expeditions of the year were concluded, were thinking of enjoying themselves without care, and the natives, of seizing the opportunity thus afforded them. Not long before his arrival, the Ordovices[3] had cut off almost an entire corps of cavalry stationed on their frontiers; and the inhabitants of the province being thrown into a state of anxious suspense by this beginning, inasmuch as war was what they wished for, either approved of the example, or waited to discover the disposition of the new governor.[4] The season was now far advanced, the troops dispersed through the country, and possessed with the idea of being suffered to remain inactive during the rest of the year; circumstances which tended to retard and discourage any military enterprise; so that it was generally thought most advisable to be contented with defending the suspected posts: yet Agricola determined to march out and meet the approaching danger. For this purpose, he drew together the detachments from the legions,[5] and a small body of auxiliaries; and when he perceived that the Ordovices would not venture to descend into the plain, he led an advanced party in person to the attack, in order to inspire the rest of his troops with equal ardour. The result of the action was almost the total extirpation of the Ordovices; when Agricola, sensible that renown must be followed up, and that the future

operations by the parsimony of Vespasian, who refused him permission to attack other people than the Silures. See c. 11.

[1] Where these people inhabited is mentioned in p. 355, note [5].

[2] This was in the year of Rome 831, of Christ 78.

[3] Inhabitants of North Wales, exclusive of the Isle of Anglesey.

[4] *i. e.* Some were for immediate action, others for delay. Instead of *et quibus*, we read with Dr. Smith's edition (London, 1850), *ut quibus*.

[5] *Vexilla* is here used for *vexillarii*. "Under the Empire the name of Vexillarii was given to a distinct body of soldiers supposed to have been composed of veterans, who were released from the military oath and regular service, but kept embodied under a separate flag (*vexillum*), to render assistance to the army if required, guard the frontier, and garrison recently conquered provinces; a certain number of these supernumeraries being attached to each legion. (Tac. Hist. ii. 83, 100; Ann. i. 36.)"—Rich, Comp. to Dict. and Lex. s. v. Vexillum.

events of the war would be determined by the first success, resolved to make an attempt upon the island Mona, from the occupation of which Paullinus had been summoned by the general rebellion of Britain, as before related.[1] The usual deficiency of an unforeseen expedition appearing in the want of transport vessels, the ability and resolution of the general were exerted to supply this defect. A select body of auxiliaries, disencumbered of their baggage, who were well acquainted with the fords, and accustomed, after the manner of their country, to direct their horses and manage their arms while swimming,[2] were ordered suddenly to plunge into the channel; by which movement, the enemy, who expected the arrival of a fleet, and a formal invasion by sea, were struck with terror and astonishment, conceiving nothing arduous or insuperable to troops who thus advanced to the attack. They were therefore induced to sue for peace, and make a surrender of the island; an event which threw lustre on the name of Agricola, who, on the very entrance upon his province, had employed in toils and dangers that time which is usually devoted to ostentatious parade, and the compliments of office. Nor was he tempted, in the pride of success, to term that an expedition or a victory, which was only bridling the vanquished; nor even to announce his success in laureate despatches.[3] But this concealment of his glory served to augment it; since men were led to entertain a high idea of the grandeur of his future views, when such important services were passed over in silence.

19. Well acquainted with the temper of the province, and taught by the experience of former governors how little proficiency had been made by arms, when success was followed by injuries, he next undertook to eradicate the causes of war. And beginning with himself, and those next him, he first laid

[1] A pass into the vale of Clwyd, in the parish of Llanarmon, is still called Bwlch Agrikle, probably from having been occupied by Agricola, in his road to Mona.—*Mr. Pennant.*

[2] From this circumstance it would appear that these auxiliaries were Batavians, whose skill in this practice is related by Tacitus, Hist. iv. 12.

[3] It was customary for the Roman generals to decorate with sprigs of laurel the letters in which they sent home the news of any remarkable success. Thus Pliny, xv. 30: "The laurel, the principal messenger of joy and victory among the Romans, is affixed to letters, and to the spears and javelins of the soldiers." The *laurus* of the ancients was probably the bay-tree, and not what we now call laurel.

restrictions upon his own household, a task no less arduous to most governors than the administration of the province. He suffered no public business to pass through the hands of his slaves or freedmen. In admitting soldiers into regular service,[1] to attendance about his person, he was not influenced by private favour, or the recommendation or solicitation of the centurions, but considered the best men as likely to prove the most faithful. He would know everything; but was content to let some things pass unnoticed.[2] He could pardon small faults, and use severity to great ones; yet did not always punish, but was frequently satisfied with penitence. He chose rather to confer offices and employments upon such as would not offend, than to condemn those who had offended. The augmentation[3] of tributes and contributions he mitigated by a just and equal assessment, abolishing those private exactions which were more grievous to be borne than the taxes themselves. For the inhabitants had been compelled in mockery to sit by their own locked-up granaries, to buy corn needlessly, and to sell it again at a stated price. Long and difficult journeys had also been imposed upon them; for the several districts, instead of being allowed to supply the nearest winter quarters, were forced to carry their corn to remote and devious places; by which means, what was easy to be procured by all, was converted into an article of gain to a few.

20. By suppressing these abuses in the first year of his administration, he established a favourable idea of peace, which, through the negligence or oppression of his prede-

[1] *Ascire*, al. *accire*. "To receive into regular service." The reference is to the transfer of soldiers from the supernumeraries to the legions. So Walch, followed by Dronke, Roth, and Walther. The next clause implies, that he took care to receive into the service none but the best men (*optimum quemque*), who, he was confident, would prove faithful (*fidelissimum*).

[2] In like manner Suetonius says of Julius Cæsar, "He neither noticed nor punished every crime; but while he strictly inquired into and rigorously punished desertion and mutiny, he connived at other delinquencies."—Life of Julius Cæsar, s. 67.

[3] Many commentators propose reading "exaction," instead of "augmentation." But the latter may be suffered to remain, especially as Suetonius informs us that "Vespasian, not contented with renewing some taxes remitted under Galba, added new and heavy ones; and augmented the tributes paid by the provinces, even doubling some."—Life of Vesp. s. 19.

cessors, had been no less dreaded than war. At the return of summer[1] he assembled his army. On their march, he commended the regular and orderly, and restrained the stragglers; he marked out the encampments,[2] and explored in person the estuaries and forests. At the same time he perpetually harassed the enemy by sudden incursions; and after sufficiently alarming them, by an interval of forbearance he held to their view the allurements of peace. By this management, many states, which till that time had asserted their independence, were now induced to lay aside their animosity, and to deliver hostages. These districts were surrounded with castles and forts, disposed with so much attention and judgment, that no part of Britain, hitherto new to the Roman arms, escaped unmolested.

21. The succeeding winter was employed in the most salutary measures. In order, by a taste of pleasures, to reclaim the natives from that rude and unsettled state which prompted them to war, and reconcile them to quiet and tranquillity, he incited them, by private instigations and public encouragements, to erect temples, courts of justice, and dwelling-houses. He bestowed commendations upon those who were prompt in complying with his intentions, and reprimanded such as were dilatory; thus promoting a spirit of emulation which had all the force of necessity. He was also attentive to provide a liberal education for the sons of their chieftains, preferring the natural genius of the Britons to the attainments of the Gauls; and his attempts were attended with such success, that they who lately disdained to make use of the Roman language, were now ambitious of becoming eloquent. Hence the Roman habit began to be held in honour, and the toga was frequently worn. At length they gradually deviated into a taste for those luxuries which stimulate to vice; porticos, and baths, and the elegances of the table; and this, from their inexperience, they termed politeness, whilst, in reality, it constituted a part of their slavery.

22. The military expeditions of the third year[3] discovered

[1] In the year of Rome 832, A. D. 79.

[2] Many vestiges of these or other Roman camps yet remain in different parts of Great Britain. Two principal ones, in the county of Annandale in Scotland, called Burnswork and Middleby, are described at large by Gordon in his Itiner. Septentrion. pp. 16, 18.

[3] The year of Rome 833, A. D. 80.

new nations to the Romans, and their ravages extended as far as the estuary of the Tay.[1] The enemies were thereby struck with such terror that they did not venture to molest the army, though harassed by violent tempests; so that they had sufficient opportunity for the erection of fortresses.[2] Persons of experience remarked, that no general had ever shown greater skill in the choice of advantageous situations than Agricola; for not one of his fortified posts was either taken by storm, or surrendered by capitulation. The garrisons made frequent sallies; for they were secured against a blockade by a year's provision in their stores. Thus the winter passed without alarm, and each garrison proved sufficient for its own defence; while the enemy, who were generally accustomed to repair the losses of the summer by the successes of the winter, now equally unfortunate in both seasons, were baffled and driven to despair. In these transactions, Agricola never attempted to arrogate to himself the glory of others; but always bore an impartial testimony to the meritorious actions of his officers, from the centurion to the commander of a legion. He was represented by some as rather harsh in reproof; as if the same disposition which made him affable to the deserving, had inclined him to austerity towards the worthless. But his anger left no relics behind; his silence and reserve were not to be dreaded; and he esteemed it more honourable to show marks of open displeasure, than to entertain secret hatred.

23. The fourth summer[3] was spent in securing the country which had been overrun; and if the valour of the army and the glory of the Roman name had permitted it, our conquests would have found a limit within Britain itself. For the tides of the opposite seas, flowing very far up the estuaries of Clota and Bodotria,[4] almost intersect the country; leaving only a narrow neck of land, which was then defended by a chain of forts.[5] Thus all the territory on this side was held in sub-

[1] Now the Firth of Tay.

[2] The principal of these was at Ardoch, seated so as to command the entrance into two valleys, Strathallan and Strathearn. A description and plan of its remains, still in good preservation, are given by Mr. Pennant in his Tour in Scotland in 1772, part ii. p. 101.

[3] The year of Rome 834, A.D. 81.

[4] The Firths of Clyde and Forth.

[5] The neck of land between these opposite arms of the sea is only

jection, and the remaining enemies were removed, as it were, into another island.

24. In the fifth campaign,[1] Agricola, crossing over in the first ship,[2] subdued, by frequent and successful engagements, several nations till then unknown; and stationed troops in that part of Britain which is opposite to Ireland, rather with a view to future advantage, than from any apprehension of danger from that quarter. For the possession of Ireland, situated between Britain and Spain, and lying commodiously to the Gallic sea,[3] would have formed a very beneficial connexion between the most powerful parts of the empire. This island is less than Britain, but larger than those of our sea.[4] Its soil, climate, and the manners and dispositions of its inhabitants, are little different from those of Britain. Its ports and harbours are better known, from the concourse of merchants for the purposes of commerce. Agricola had received into his protection one of its petty kings, who had been expelled by a domestic sedition; and detained him, under the semblance of friendship, till an occasion should offer of making use of him. I have frequently heard him assert, that a single legion and a few auxiliaries would be sufficient entirely to conquer Ireland and keep it in subjection; and that such an event would also have contributed to restrain the Britons, by awing them with the prospect of the Roman arms all round them, and, as it were, banishing liberty from their sight.

25. In the summer which began the sixth year[5] of Agricola's administration, extending his views to the countries situated beyond Bodotria,[6] as a general insurrection of the

about thirty miles over. About fifty-five years after Agricola had left the island, Lollius Urbicus, governor of Britain under Antoninus Pius, erected a vast wall or rampart, extending from Old Kirkpatrick on the Clyde, to Caeridden, two miles west of Abercorn, on the Forth, a space of near thirty-seven miles, defended by twelve or thirteen forts. These are supposed to have been on the site of those of Agricola. This wall is usually called Graham's dike; and some parts of it are now subsisting.

[1] The year of Rome 835, A. D. 82.

[2] Crossing the Firth of Clyde, or Dumbarton Bay, and turning to the western coast of Argyleshire, or the Isles of Arran and Bute.

[3] The Bay of Biscay. [4] The Mediterranean.

[5] The year of Rome 836, A. D. 83.

[6] The eastern parts of Scotland, north of the Firth of Forth, where now are the counties of Fife, Kinross, Perth, Angus, &c.

remoter nations was apprehended, and the enemy's army rendered marching unsafe, he caused the harbours to be explored by his fleet, which, now first acting in aid of the land-forces, gave the formidable spectacle of war at once pushed on by sea and land. The cavalry, infantry, and marines were frequently mingled in the same camp, and recounted with mutual pleasure their several exploits and adventures; comparing, in the boastful language of military men, the dark recesses of woods and mountains, with the horrors of waves and tempests; and the land and enemy subdued, with the conquered ocean. It was also discovered from the captives, that the Britons had been struck with consternation at the view of the fleet, conceiving the last refuge of the vanquished to be cut off, now the secret retreats of their seas were disclosed. The various inhabitants of Caledonia immediately took up arms, with great preparations, magnified, however, by report, as usual where the truth is unknown; and by beginning hostilities, and attacking our fortresses, they inspired terror as daring to act offensively; insomuch that some persons, disguising their timidity under the mask of prudence, were for instantly retreating on this side the firth, and relinquishing the country rather than waiting to be driven out. Agricola, in the meantime, being informed that the enemy intended to bear down in several bodies, distributed his army into three divisions, that his inferiority of numbers, and ignorance of the country, might not give them an opportunity of surrounding him.

26. When this was known to the enemy, they suddenly changed their design; and making a general attack in the night upon the ninth legion, which was the weakest,[1] in the confusion of sleep and consternation they slaughtered the sentinels, and burst through the intrenchments. They were now fighting within the camp, when Agricola, who had received information of their march from his scouts, and followed close upon their track, gave orders for the swiftest of his horse and foot to charge the enemy's rear. Presently the whole army

[1] This legion, which had been weakened by many engagements, was afterwards recruited, and then called Gemina. Its station at this affair is supposed by Gordon to have been Lochore in Fifeshire. Mr. Pennant rather imagines the place of the attack to have been Comerie in Perthshire.

raised a general shout; and the standards now glittered at the approach of day. The Britons were distracted by opposite dangers; whilst the Romans in the camp resumed their courage, and, secure of safety, began to contend for glory. They now in their turns rushed forwards to the attack, and a furious engagement ensued in the gates of the camp; till by the emulous efforts of both Roman armies, one to give assistance, the other to appear not to need it, the enemy was routed: and had not the woods and marshes sheltered the fugitives, that day would have terminated the war.

27. The soldiers, inspirited by the steadfastness which characterised and the fame which attended this victory, cried out that "nothing could resist their valour; now was the time to penetrate into the heart of Caledonia, and in a continued series of engagements at length to discover the utmost limits of Britain." Those even who had before recommended caution and prudence, were now rendered rash and boastful by success. It is the hard condition of military command, that a share in prosperous events is claimed by all, but misfortunes are imputed to one alone. The Britons meantime, attributing their defeat not to the superior bravery of their adversaries, but to chance, and the skill of the general, remitted nothing of their confidence; but proceeded to arm their youth, to send their wives and children to places of safety, and to ratify the confederacy of their several states by solemn assemblies and sacrifices. Thus the parties separated with minds mutually irritated.

28. During the same summer, a cohort of Usipii,[1] which had been levied in Germany, and sent over into Britain, performed an extremely daring and memorable action. After murdering a centurion and some soldiers who had been incorporated with them for the purpose of instructing them in military discipline, they seized upon three light vessels, and compelled the masters to go on board with them. One of these, however, escaping to shore, they killed the other two upon suspicion; and before the affair was publicly known, they sailed away, as it were by miracle. They were presently driven at the mercy of the waves; and had frequent conflicts, with various success, with the Britons, defending their pro-

[1] For an account of these people see Manners of the Germans, c. 32.

perty from plunder.[1] At length they were reduced to such extremity of distress as to be obliged to feed upon each other; the weakest being first sacrificed, and then such as were taken by lot. In this manner having sailed round the island, they lost their ships through want of skill; and, being regarded as pirates, were intercepted, first by the Suevi, then by the Frisii. Some of them, after being sold for slaves, by the change of masters were brought to the Roman side of the river,[2] and became notorious from the relation of their extraordinary adventures.[3]

29. In the beginning of the next summer,[4] Agricola received a severe domestic wound in the loss of a son, about a year old. He bore this calamity, not with the ostentatious firmness which many have affected, nor yet with the tears and lamentations of feminine sorrow; and war was one of the remedies of his grief. Having sent forwards his fleet to spread its ravages through various parts of the coast, in order to excite an extensive and dubious alarm, he marched with an army equipped for expedition, to which he had joined the bravest of the Britons whose fidelity had been approved by a long allegiance, and arrived at the Grampian hills, where the enemy was already encamped.[5] For the Britons, undismayed by the event of the former action, expecting revenge or slavery, and at length taught that the common danger was to be repelled by union alone, had assembled the strength of all their tribes by embassies and confederacies. Upwards of

[1] Mr. Pennant had a present made him in Skye, of a brass sword and a denarius found in that island. Might they not have been lost by some of these people in one of their landings?

[2] The Rhine.

[3] This extraordinary expedition, according to Dio, set out from the western side of the island. They therefore must have coasted all that part of Scotland, must have passed the intricate navigation through the Hebrides, and the dangerous strait of Pentland Firth, and, after coming round to the eastern side, must have been driven to the mouth of the Baltic Sea. Here they lost their ships; and, in their attempt to proceed homeward by land, were seized as pirates, part by the Suevi, and the rest by the Frisii.

[4] The year of Rome 837, A. D. 84.

[5] The scene of this celebrated engagement is by Gordon (Itin. Septent.) supposed to be in Strathern, near a place now called the Kirk of Comerie, where are the remains of two Roman camps. Mr. Pennant, however, in his Tour in 1772, part ii. p. 96, gives reasons which appear well founded for dissenting from Gordon's opinion.

thirty thousand men in arms were now descried; and the youth, together with those of a hale and vigorous age, renowned in war, and bearing their several honorary decorations, were still flocking in; when Calgacus,[1] the most distinguished for birth and valour among the chieftains, is said to have harangued the multitude, gathering round, and eager for battle, after the following manner:—

30. "When I reflect on the causes of the war, and the circumstances of our situation, I feel a strong persuasion that our united efforts on the present day will prove the beginning of universal liberty to Britain. For we are all undebased by slavery; and there is no land behind us, nor does even the sea afford a refuge, whilst the Roman fleet hovers around. Thus the use of arms, which is at all times honourable to the brave, now offers the only safety even to cowards. In all the battles which have yet been fought, with various success, against the Romans, our countrymen may be deemed to have reposed their final hopes and resources in us: for we, the noblest sons of Britain, and therefore stationed in its last recesses, far from the view of servile shores, have preserved even our eyes unpolluted by the contact of subjection. We, at the furthest limits both of land and liberty, have been defended to this day by the remoteness of our situation and of our fame. The extremity of Britain is now disclosed; and whatever is unknown becomes an object of magnitude. But there is no nation beyond us; nothing but waves and rocks, and the still more hostile Romans, whose arrogance we cannot escape by obsequiousness and submission. These plunderers of the world, after exhausting the land by their devastations, are rifling the ocean: stimulated by avarice, if their enemy be rich; by ambition, if poor: unsatiated by the East and by the West: the only people who behold wealth and indigence with equal avidity. To ravage, to slaughter, to usurp under false titles, they call empire; and where they make a desert, they call it peace.[2]

31. "Our children and relations are by the appointment of nature the dearest of all things to us. These are torn

[1] The more usual spelling of this name is Galgacus; but the other is preferred as of better authority.

[2] "Peace given to the world" is a very frequent inscription on the Roman medals.

away by levies to serve in foreign lands.[1] Our wives and sisters, though they should escape the violation of hostile force, are polluted under names of friendship and hospitality. Our estates and possessions are consumed in tributes; our grain in contributions. Even our bodies are worn down amidst stripes and insults in clearing woods and draining marshes. Wretches born to slavery are once bought, and afterwards maintained by their masters: Britain every day buys, every day feeds, her own servitude.[2] And as among domestic slaves every new comer serves for the scorn and derision of his fellows; so, in this ancient household of the world, we, as the newest and vilest, are sought out to destruction. For we have neither cultivated lands, nor mines, nor harbours, which can induce them to preserve us for our labours. The valour too and unsubmitting spirit of subjects only render them more obnoxious to their masters; while remoteness and secrecy of situation itself, in proportion as it conduces to security, tends to inspire suspicion. Since then all hopes of mercy are vain, at length assume courage, both you to whom safety and you to whom glory is dear. The Trinobantes, even under a female leader, had force enough to burn a colony, to storm camps, and, if success had not damped their vigour, would have been able entirely to throw off the yoke; and shall not we, untouched, unsubdued, and struggling not for the acquisition but the security of liberty, show at the very first onset what men Caledonia has reserved for her defence?

32. "Can you imagine that the Romans are as brave in war as they are licentious in peace? Acquiring renown from our discords and dissensions, they convert the faults of their enemies to the glory of their own army; an army compounded of the most different nations, which success alone has kept together, and which misfortune will as certainly dissipate.

[1] It was the Roman policy to send the recruits raised in the provinces to some distant country, for fear of their desertion or revolt.

[2] How much this was the fate of the Romans themselves, when, in the decline of the empire, they were obliged to pay tribute to the surrounding barbarians, is shown in lively colours by Salvian:—"We call that a gift which is a purchase, and a purchase of a condition the most hard and miserable. For all captives, when they are once redeemed, enjoy their liberty: we are continually paying a ransom, yet are never free."—De Gubern. Dei, vi.

Unless, indeed, you can suppose that Gauls, and Germans, and (I blush to say it) even Britons, who, though they expend their blood to establish a foreign dominion, have been longer its foes than its subjects, will be retained by loyalty and affection! Terror and dread alone are the weak bonds of attachment; which once broken, they who cease to fear will begin to hate. Every incitement to victory is on our side. The Romans have no wives to animate them; no parents to upbraid their flight. Most of them have either no home, or a distant one. Few in number, ignorant of the country, looking around in silent horror at woods, seas, and a heaven itself unknown to them, they are delivered by the gods, as it were imprisoned and bound, into our hands. Be not terrified with an idle show, and the glitter of silver and gold, which can neither protect nor wound. In the very ranks of the enemy we shall find our own bands. The Britons will acknowledge their own cause. The Gauls will recollect their former liberty. The rest of the Germans will desert them, as the Usipii have lately done. Nor is there anything formidable behind them: ungarrisoned forts; colonies of old men; municipal towns distempered and distracted between unjust masters and ill-obeying subjects. Here is a general; here an army. There, tributes, mines, and all the train of punishments inflicted on slaves; which whether to bear eternally, or instantly to revenge, this field must determine. March then to battle, and think of your ancestors and your posterity."

33. They received this harangue with alacrity, and testified their applause after the barbarian manner, with songs, and yells, and dissonant shouts. And now the several divisions were in motion, the glittering of arms was beheld, while the most daring and impetuous were hurrying to the front, and the line of battle was forming; when Agricola, although his soldiers were in high spirits, and scarcely to be kept within their intrenchments, kindled additional ardour by these words:—

"It is now the eighth year, my fellow-soldiers, in which, under the high auspices of the Roman empire, by your valour and perseverance you have been conquering Britain. In so many expeditions, in so many battles, whether you have been required to exert your courage against the enemy, or your patient labours against the very nature of the country, neither

have I ever been dissatisfied with my soldiers, nor you with your general. In this mutual confidence, we have proceeded beyond the limits of former commanders and former armies; and are now become acquainted with the extremity of the island, not by uncertain rumour, but by actual possession with our arms and encampments. Britain is discovered and subdued. How often, on a march, when embarrassed with mountains, bogs, and rivers, have I heard the bravest among you exclaim, 'When shall we descry the enemy? when shall we be led to the field of battle?' At length they are unharboured from their retreats; your wishes and your valour have now free scope; and every circumstance is equally propitious to the victor, and ruinous to the vanquished. For, the greater our glory in having marched over vast tracts of land, penetrated forests, and crossed arms of the sea, while advancing towards the foe, the greater will be our danger and difficulty if we should attempt a retreat. We are inferior to our enemies in knowledge of the country, and less able to command supplies of provision; but we have arms in our hands, and in these we have everything. For myself, it has long been my principle, that a retiring general or army is never safe. Not only, then, are we to reflect that death with honour is preferable to life with ignominy, but to remember that security and glory are seated in the same place. Even to fall in this extremest verge of earth and of nature cannot be thought an inglorious fate.

34. "If unknown nations or untried troops were drawn up against you, I would exhort you from the example of other armies. At present, recollect your own honours, question your own eyes. These are they, who, the last year, attacking by surprise a single legion in the obscurity of the night, were put to flight by a shout: the greatest fugitives of all the Britons, and therefore the longest survivors. As in penetrating woods and thickets the fiercest animals boldly rush on the hunters, while the weak and timorous fly at their very noise; so the bravest of the Britons have long since fallen: the remaining number consists solely of the cowardly and spiritless; whom you see at length within your reach, not because they have stood their ground, but because they are overtaken. Torpid with fear, their bodies are fixed and chained down in yonder field, which to you will speedily be

the scene of a glorious and memorable victory. Here bring your toils and services to a conclusion; close a struggle of fifty years[1] with one great day; and convince your countrymen, that to the army ought not to be imputed either the protraction of the war, or the causes of rebellion."

35. Whilst Agricola was yet speaking, the ardour of the soldiers declared itself; and as soon as he had finished, they burst forth into cheerful acclamations, and instantly flew to arms. Thus eager and impetuous, he formed them so that the centre was occupied by the auxiliary infantry, in number eight thousand, and three thousand horse were spread in the wings. The legions were stationed in the rear, before the intrenchments; a disposition which would render the victory signally glorious, if it were obtained without the expense of Roman blood; and would ensure support if the rest of the army were repulsed. The British troops, for the greater display of their numbers, and more formidable appearance, were ranged upon the rising grounds, so that the first line stood upon the plain, the rest, as if linked together, rose above one another upon the ascent. The charioteers[2] and horsemen filled the middle of the field with their tumult and careering. Then Agricola, fearing from the superior number of the enemy lest he should be obliged to fight as well on his

[1] The expedition of Claudius into Britain was in the year of Rome 796, from which to the period of this engagement only forty-two years were elapsed. The number fifty therefore is given oratorically rather than accurately.

[2] The Latin word used here, *covinarius*, signifies the driver of a *covinus*, or chariot, the axle of which was bent into the form of a scythe. The British manner of fighting from chariots is particularly described by Cæsar, who gives them the name of *essedæ*:—"The following is the manner of fighting from the *essedæ*: They first drive round with them to all parts of the line, throwing their javelins, and generally disordering the ranks by the very alarm occasioned by the horses, and the rattling of the wheels: then, as soon as they have insinuated themselves between the troops of horse, they leap from their chariots and fight on foot. The drivers then withdraw a little from the battle, in order that, if their friends are overpowered by numbers, they may have a secure retreat to the chariots. Thus they act with the celerit of horse, and the stability of foot; and by daily use and exercise they acquire the power of holding up their horses at full speed down a steep declivity, of stopping them suddenly, and turning in a short compass; and they accustom themselves to run upon the pole, and stand on the cross-tree, and from thence with great agility to recover their place in the chariot."—Bell. Gall. iv. 33.

flanks as in front, extended his ranks; and although this rendered his line of battle less firm, and several of his officers advised him to bring up the legions, yet, filled with hope, and resolute in danger, he dismissed his horse, and took his station on foot before the colours.

36. At first the action was carried on at a distance. The Britons, armed with long swords and short targets,[1] with steadiness and dexterity avoided or struck down our missile weapons, and at the same time poured in a torrent of their own. Agricola then encouraged three Batavian and two Tungrian[2] cohorts to fall in and come to close quarters; a method of fighting familiar to these veteran soldiers, but embarrassing to the enemy from the nature of their armour; for the enormous British swords, blunt at the point, are unfit for close grappling, and engaging in a confined space. When the Batavians, therefore, began to redouble their blows, to strike with the bosses of their shields, and mangle the faces of the enemy; and, bearing down all those who resisted them on the plain, were advancing their line up the ascent; the other cohorts, fired with ardour and emulation, joined in the charge, and overthrew all who came in their way: and so great was their impetuosity in the pursuit of victory, that they left many of their foes half dead or unhurt behind them. In the meantime the troops of cavalry took to flight, and the armed chariots mingled in the engagement of the infantry; but although their first shock occasioned some consternation, they were soon entangled among the close ranks of the cohorts, and the inequalities of the ground. Not the least appearance was left of an engagement of cavalry; since the men, long keeping their ground with difficulty, were forced along with the bodies of the horses; and frequently, straggling chariots, and affrighted horses without their riders, flying variously as terror impelled them, rushed obliquely athwart or directly through the lines.[3]

[1] These targets, called *cetræ* in the Latin, were made of leather. The broad sword and target were till very lately the peculiar arms of the Highlanders.

[2] Several inscriptions have been found in Britain commemorating the Tungrian cohorts.

[3] The great conciseness of Tacitus has rendered the description of this battle somewhat obscure. The following, however, seems to have been the general course of occurrences in it:—The foot on both sides

37. Those of the Britons who, yet disengaged from the fight, sat on the summits of the hills, and looked with careless contempt on the smallness of our numbers, now began gradually to descend; and would have fallen on the rear of the conquering troops, had not Agricola, apprehending this very event, opposed four reserved squadrons of horse to their attack, which, the more furiously they had advanced, drove them back with the greater celerity. Their project was thus turned against themselves; and the squadrons were ordered to wheel from the front of the battle and fall upon the enemy's rear. A striking and hideous spectacle now appeared on the plain: some pursuing; some striking; some making prisoners, whom they slaughtered as others came in their way. Now, as their several dispositions prompted, crowds of armed Britons fled before inferior numbers, or a few, even unarmed, rushed upon their foes, and offered themselves to a voluntary death. Arms, and carcases, and mangled limbs, were promiscuously strewed, and the field was dyed in blood. Even among the vanquished were seen instances of rage and valour. When the fugitives approached the woods, they collected, and surrounded the foremost of the pursuers, advancing incautiously, and unacquainted with the country; and had not Agricola, who was everywhere present, caused some strong and lightly-equipped cohorts to encompass the ground, while part of the cavalry dismounted made way through the thickets, and part on horseback scoured the open woods, some disaster would have proceeded from the excess of confidence. But when the enemy saw their pursuers again formed in compact order, they renewed their flight, not in bodies as before, or waiting for their companions, but scattered

began the engagement. The first line of the Britons which was formed on the plain being broken, the Roman auxiliaries advanced up the hill after them. In the meantime the Roman horse in the wings, unable to withstand the shock of the chariots, gave way, and were pursued by the British chariots and horse, which then fell in among the Roman infantry. These, who at first had relaxed their files to prevent their being out-fronted, now closed, in order better to resist the enemy, who by this means were unable to penetrate them. The chariots and horse, therefore, became entangled amidst the inequalities of the ground, and the thick ranks of the Romans; and, no longer able to wheel and career as upon the open plain, gave not the least appearance of an equestrian skirmish: but, keeping their footing with difficulty on the declivity, were pushed off, and scattered in disorder over the field.

and mutually avoiding each other; and thus took their way to the most distant and devious retreats. Night and satiety of slaughter put an end to the pursuit. Of the enemy ten thousand were slain: on our part three hundred and sixty fell; among whom was Aulus Atticus, the præfect of a cohort, who, by his juvenile ardour, and the fire of his horse, was borne into the midst of the enemy.

38. Success and plunder contributed to render the night joyful to the victors; whilst the Britons, wandering and forlorn, amid the promiscuous lamentations of men and women, were dragging along the wounded; calling out to the unhurt; abandoning their habitations, and in the rage of despair setting them on fire; choosing places of concealment, and then deserting them; consulting together, and then separating. Sometimes, on beholding the dear pledges of kindred and affection, they were melted into tenderness, or more frequently roused into fury; insomuch that several, according to authentic information, instigated by a savage compassion, laid violent hands upon their own wives and children. On the succeeding day, a vast silence all around, desolate hills, the distant smoke of burning houses, and not a living soul descried by the scouts, displayed more amply the face of victory. After parties had been detached to all quarters without discovering any certain tracks of the enemy's flight, or any bodies of them still in arms, as the lateness of the season rendered it impracticable to spread the war through the country, Agricola led his army to the confines of the Horesti.[1] Having received hostages from this people, he ordered the commander of the fleet to sail round the island; for which expedition he was furnished with sufficient force, and preceded by the terror of the Roman name. He himself then led back the cavalry and infantry, marching slowly, that he might impress a deeper awe on the newly conquered nations; and at length distributed his troops into their winter-quarters. The fleet, about the same time, with prosperous gales and renown, entered the Trutulensian[2] harbour, whence, coasting all the

[1] People of Fifeshire.

[2] Where this was does not appear. Brotier calls it Sandwich, making it the same as *Rutupium:* others Plymouth or Portsmouth. It is clear, however, this cannot be the case, from the subsequent words.—*White.*

hither shore of Britain, it returned entire to its former station.[1]

39. The account of these transactions, although unadorned with the pomp of words in the letters of Agricola, was received by Domitian, as was customary with that prince, with outward expressions of joy, but inward anxiety. He was conscious that his late mock-triumph over Germany,[2] in which he had exhibited purchased slaves, whose habits and hair[3] were contrived to give them the resemblance of captives, was a subject of derision; whereas here, a real and important victory, in which so many thousands of the enemy were slain, was celebrated with universal applause. His greatest dread was that the name of a private man should be exalted above that of the prince. In vain had he silenced the eloquence of the forum, and cast a shade upon all civil honours, if military glory were still in possession of another. Other accomplishments might more easily be connived at, but the talents of a great general were truly imperial. Tortured with such anxious thoughts, and brooding over them in secret,[4] a certain indication of some malignant intention, he judged it most prudent for the present to suspend his rancour, till the first burst of glory and the affections of the army should remit: for Agricola still possessed the command in Britain.

40. He therefore caused the senate to decree him triumphal ornaments,[5]—a statue crowned with laurel, and all the other

[1] This circumnavigation was in a contrary direction to that of the Usipian deserters, the fleet setting out from the Firth of Tay on the eastern coast, and sailing round the northern, western, and southern coasts, till it arrived at the port of Sandwich in Kent. After staying here some time to refit, it went to its former station, in the Firth of Forth, or Tay.

[2] It was in this same year that Domitian made his pompous expedition into Germany, from whence he returned without ever seeing the enemy.

[3] Caligula in like manner got a number of tall men with their hair dyed red to give credit to a pretended victory over the Germans.

[4] Thus Pliny, in his Panegyric on Trajan, xlviii., represents Domitian as "ever affecting darkness and secrecy, and never emerging from his solitude but in order to make a solitude."

[5] Not the triumph itself, which, after the year of Rome 740, was no longer granted to private persons, but reserved for the imperial family. This new piece of adulation was invented by Agrippa in order to gratify Augustus. The "triumphal ornaments," which were still

honours which are substituted for a real triumph, together with a profusion of complimentary expressions; and also directed an expectation to be raised that the province of Syria, vacant by the death of Atilius Rufus, a consular man, and usually reserved for persons of the greatest distinction, was designed for Agricola. It was commonly believed that one of the freedmen, who were employed in confidential services, was despatched with the instrument appointing Agricola to the government of Syria, with orders to deliver it if he should be still in Britain; but that this messenger, meeting Agricola in the straits,[1] returned directly to Domitian without so much as accosting him.[2] Whether this was really the fact, or only a fiction founded on the genius and character of the prince, is uncertain. Agricola, in the meantime, had delivered the province, in peace and security, to his successor;[3] and lest his entry into the city should be rendered too conspicuous by the concourse and acclamations of the people, he declined the salutation of his friends by arriving in the night; and went by night, as he was commanded, to the palace. There, after being received with a slight embrace, but not a word spoken, he was mingled with the servile throng. In this situation, he endeavoured to soften the glare of military reputation, which is offensive to those who themselves live in indolence, by the practice of virtues of a different cast. He resigned himself to ease and tranquillity, was modest in his garb and equipage, affable in conversation, and in public was

bestowed, were a peculiar garment, a statue, and other *insignia* which had distinguished the person of the triumphing general.

[1] Of Dover.

[2] Domitian, it seems, was afraid that Agricola might refuse to obey the recal he forwarded to him, and even maintain his post by force. He therefore despatched one of his confidential freedmen with an autograph letter, wherein he was informed Syria was given to him as his province. This, however, was a mere ruse; and hence it was not to be delivered if Agricola had already set out on his return. In compliance with these instructions, the freedman returned at once to Domitian, when he found Agricola on his passage to Rome. According to Dion (liii.), the emperor's lieutenants were required to leave their province immediately upon the arrival of their successor, and return to Rome within three months.—*White.*

[3] Agricola's successor in Britain appears to have been Sallustius Lucullus, who, as Suetonius informs us, was put to death by Domitian because he permitted certain lances of a new construction to be called Lucullean.—Life of Domitian, s. 10.

only accompanied by one or two of his friends; insomuch that the many, who are accustomed to form their ideas of great men from their retinue and figure, when they beheld Agricola, were apt to call in question his renown: few could interpret his conduct.

41. He was frequently, during that period, accused in his absence before Domitian, and in his absence also acquitted. The source of his danger was not any criminal action, nor the complaint of any injured person; but a prince hostile to virtue, and his own high reputation, and the worst kind of enemies, eulogists.[1] For the situation of public affairs which ensued was such as would not permit the name of Agricola to rest in silence: so many armies in Mœsia, Dacia, Germany, and Pannonia lost through the temerity or cowardice of their generals;[2] so many men of military character, with numerous cohorts, defeated and taken prisoners; whilst a dubious contest was maintained, not for the boundaries of the empire, and the banks of the bordering rivers,[3] but for the winter-quarters of the legions, and the possession of our territories. In this state of things, when loss succeeded loss, and every year was signalized by disasters and slaughters, the public voice loudly demanded Agricola for general: every one comparing his vigour, firmness, and experience in war, with the indolence and pusillanimity of the others. It is certain that the ears of Domitian himself were assailed by such discourses, while the best of his freedmen pressed him to the choice through motives of fidelity and affection, and the worst through envy and malignity, emotions to which he was of himself sufficiently prone. Thus Agricola, as well by his own virtues as the vices of others, was urged on precipitously to glory.

42. The year now arrived in which the proconsulate of Asia or Africa must fall by lot upon Agricola;[4] and as Civica

[1] Of this worst kind of enemies, who praise a man in order to render him obnoxious, the emperor Julian, who had himself suffered greatly by them, speaks feelingly in his 12th epistle to Basilius:—"For we live together not in that state of dissimulation which, I imagine, you have hitherto experienced; in which those who praise you, hate you with a more confirmed aversion than your most inveterate enemies."

[2] These calamitous events are recorded by Suetonius in his Life of Domitian.

[3] The Rhine and Danube.

[4] The two senior consulars cast lots for the government of Asia and Africa.

had lately been put to death, Agricola was not unprovided with a lesson, nor Domitian with an example.[1] Some persons, acquainted with the secret inclinations of the emperor, came to Agricola, and inquired whether he intended to go to his province; and first, somewhat distantly, began to commend a life of leisure and tranquillity; then offered their services in procuring him to be excused from the office; and at length, throwing off all disguise, after using arguments both to persuade and intimidate him, compelled him to accompany them to Domitian. The emperor, prepared to dissemble, and assuming an air of stateliness, received his petition for excuse, and suffered himself to be formally thanked[2] for granting it, without blushing at so invidious a favour. He did not, however, bestow on Agricola the salary[3] usually offered to a proconsul, and which he himself had granted to others; either taking offence that it was not requested, or feeling a consciousness that it would seem a bribe for what he had in reality extorted by his authority. It is a principle of human nature to hate those whom we have injured;[4] and Domitian was constitutionally inclined to anger, which was the more difficult to be averted, in proportion as it was the more disguised. Yet he was softened by the temper and prudence of Agricola; who did not think it necessary, by a contumacious spirit, or a vain ostentation of liberty, to challenge fame or urge his fate.[5] Let those be apprised, who are accustomed to admire every opposition to control, that

[1] Suetonius relates that Civica Cerealis was put to death in his proconsulate of Asia, on the charge of meditating a revolt. (Life of Domitian, s. 10.)

[2] Obliging persons to return thanks for an injury was a refinement in tyranny frequently practised by the worst of the Roman emperors. Thus Seneca informs us, that "Caligula was thanked by those whose children had been put to death, and whose property had been confiscated." (De Tranquil. xiv.) And again:—"The reply of a person who had grown old in his attendance on kings, when he was asked how he had attained a thing so uncommon in courts as old age? is well known. It was, said he, by receiving injuries, and returning thanks."—De Ira, ii. 33.

[3] From a passage in Dio, lxxviii. p. 899, this sum appears to have been *decies sestertium*, about 9,000*l.* sterling.

[4] Thus Seneca: "Little souls rendered insolent by prosperity have this worst property, that they hate those whom they have injured."—De Ira, ii. 33.

[5] Several who suffered under Nero and Domitian erred, though nobly, in this respect.

even under a bad prince men may be truly great; that submission and modesty, if accompanied with vigour and industry, will elevate a character to a height of public esteem equal to that which many, through abrupt and dangerous paths, have attained, without benefit to their country, by an ambitious death.

43. His decease was a severe affliction to his family, a grief to his friends, and a subject of regret even to foreigners, and those who had no personal knowledge of him.[1] The common people too, and the class who little interest themselves about public concerns, were frequent in their inquiries at his house during his sickness, and made him the subject of conversation at the forum and in private circles; nor did any person either rejoice at the news of his death, or speedily forget it. Their commiseration was aggravated by a prevailing report that he was taken off by poison. I cannot venture to affirm anything certain of this matter;[2] yet, during the whole course of his illness, the principal of the imperial freedmen and the most confidential of the physicians was sent much more frequently than was customary with a court whose visits were chiefly paid by messages; whether that was done out of real solicitude, or for the purposes of state inquisition. On the day of his decease, it is certain that accounts of his approaching dissolution were every instant transmitted to the emperor by couriers stationed for the purpose; and no one believed that the information, which so much pains was taken to accelerate, could be received with regret. He put on, however, in his countenance and demeanour, the semblance of grief: for he was now secured from an object of hatred, and could more easily conceal his joy than his fear. It was well known that on reading the will, in which he was nominated co-heir[3] with the excellent wife and most dutiful daughter of

[1] A Greek epigram still extant of Antiphilus a Byzantine, to the memory of a certain Agricola, is supposed by the learned to refer to the great man who is the subject of this work. It is in the Anthologia, lib. i. tit. 37.

[2] Dio absolutely affirms it; but from the manner in which Tacitus, who had better means of information, speaks of it, the story was probably false.

[3] It appears that the custom of making the emperor co-heir with the children of the testator was not by any means uncommon. It was done in order to secure the remainder to the family. Thus Prasutagus, king

Agricola, he expressed great satisfaction, as if it had been a voluntary testimony of honour and esteem: so blind and corrupt had his mind been rendered by continual adulation, that he was ignorant none but a bad prince could be nominated heir to a good father.

44. Agricola was born in the ides of June, during the third consulate of Caius Cæsar:[1] he died in his fifty-sixth year, on the tenth of the calends of September, when Collega and Priscus were consuls.[2] Posterity may wish to form an idea f his person. His figure was comely rather than majestic. i his countenance there was nothing to inspire awe; its aracter was gracious and engaging. You would readily ve believed him a good man, and willingly a great one. d indeed, although he was snatched away in the midst of igorous age, yet if his life be measured by his glory, it was a period of the greatest extent. For after the full enjoyment of all that is truly good, which is found in virtuous pursuits alone, decorated with consular and triumphal ornaments, what more could fortune contribute to his elevation? Immoderate wealth did not fall to his share, yet he possessed a decent affluence.[3] His wife and daughter surviving, his dignity unimpaired, his reputation flourishing, and his kindred and friends yet in safety, it may even be thought an additional felicity that he was thus withdrawn from impending evils. For, as we have heard him express his wishes of continuing to the dawn of the present auspicious day, and

of the Iceni in Britain, made Nero co-heir with his two daughters. Thus, when Lucius Vetus was put to death by Nero, his friends urged him to leave part of his property to the emperor, that his grandsons might enjoy the rest. (Ann. xvi. 11.) Suetonius (viii. 17) mentions that Domitian used to seize the estates of persons the most unknown to him, if any one could be found to assert that the deceased had expressed an intention to make the emperor his heir.—*White.*

[1] Caligula. This was A. D. 40, when he was sole consul.

[2] According to this account, the birth of Agricola was on June 13th, in the year of Rome 793, A. D. 40; and his death on August 23d, in the year of Rome 846, A. D. 93: for this appears by the Fasti Consulares to have been the year of the consulate of Collega and Priscus. He was therefore only in his fifty-fourth year when he died; so that the copyists must probably have written by mistake LVI. instead of LIV.

[3] From this representation, Dio appears to have been mistaken in asserting that Agricola passed the latter part of his life in dishonour and penury.

beholding Trajan in the imperial seat,—wishes in which he formed a certain presage of the event; so it is a great consolation, that by his untimely end he escaped that latter period, in which Domitian, not by intervals and remissions, but by a continued, and, as it were, a single act, aimed at the destruction of the commonwealth.[1]

45. Agricola did not behold the senate-house besieged, and the senators enclosed by a circle of arms;[2] and in one havoc the massacre of so many consular men, the flight and banishment of so many honourable women. As yet, Carus Metius[3] was distinguished only by a single victory; the counsels of Messalinus[4] resounded only through the Albanian

[1] Juvenal breaks out in a noble strain of indignation against this savage cruelty, which distinguished the latter part of Domitian's reign:

Atque utinam his potius nugis tota illa dedisset
Tempora sævitiæ: claras quibus abstulit Urbi
Illustresque animas impune, et vindice nullo.
Sed periit, postquam cerdonibus esse timendus
Cœperat: hoc nocuit Lamiarum cæde madenti.—Sat. iv. 150.

"What folly this! but oh! that all the rest
Of his dire reign had thus been spent in jest!
And all that time such trifles had employ'd
In which so many nobles he destroy'd!
He safe, they unrevenged, to the disgrace
Of the surviving, tame, patrician race!
But when he dreadful to the rabble grew,
Him, who so many lords had slain, they slew."—DUKE.

[2] This happened in the year of Rome 848.

[3] Carus and Massa, who were proverbially infamous as informers, are represented by Juvenal as dreading a still more dangerous villain, Heliodorus.

—— Quem Massa timet, quem munere palpat
Carus. Sat. i. 35.

"Whom Massa dreads, whom Carus soothes with bribes."

Carus is also mentioned with deserved infamy by Pliny and Martial. He was a mimic by profession.

[4] Of this odious instrument of tyranny, Pliny the younger thus speaks: "The conversation turned upon Catullus Messalinus, whose loss of sight added the evils of blindness to a cruel disposition. He was irreverent, unblushing, unpitying. Like a weapon, of itself blind and unconscious, he was frequently hurled by Domitian against every man of worth." (iv. 22.) Juvenal launches the thunder of invective against him in the following lines:—

Et cum mortifero prudens Vejento Catullo,
Qui numquam visæ flagrabat amore puellæ,

citadel;[1] and Massa Bæbius[2] was himself among the accused. Soon after, our own hands[3] dragged Helvidius[4] to prison; ourselves were tortured with the spectacle of Mauricus and Rusticus,[5] and sprinkled with the innocent blood of Senecio.[6] Even Nero withdrew his eyes from the cruelties he commanded. Under Domitian, it was the principal part of our miseries to

> Grande, et conspicuum nostro quoque tempore monstrum,
> Cæcus adulator, dirusque a ponte satelles,
> Dignus Aricinos qui mendicaret ad axes,
> Blandaque devexæ jactaret basia rhedæ.—Sat. iv. 113.
>
> "Cunning Vejento next, and by his side
> Bloody Catullus leaning on his guide:
> Decrepit, yet a furious lover he,
> And deeply smit with charms he could not see.
> A monster, that ev'n this worst age outvies,
> Conspicuous and above the common size.
> A blind base flatterer; from some bridge or gate,
> Raised to a murd'ring minister of state.
> Deserving still to beg upon the road,
> And bless each passing waggon and its load."—DUKE.

[1] This was a famous villa of Domitian's, near the site of the ancient Alba, about twelve miles from Rome. The place is now called Albano, and vast ruins of its magnificent edifices still remain.

[2] Tacitus, in his History, mentions this Massa Bæbius as a person most destructive to all men of worth, and constantly engaged on the side of villains. From a letter of Pliny's to Tacitus, it appears that Herennius Senecio and himself were joined as counsel for the province of Bœtica in a prosecution of Massa Bæbius; and that Massa after his condemnation petitioned the consuls for liberty to prosecute Senecio for treason.

[3] By "our own hands," Tacitus means one of our own body, a senator. As Publicius Certus had seized upon Helvidius and led him to prison, Tacitus imputes the crime to the whole senatorian order. To the same purpose Pliny observes: "Amidst the numerous villanies of numerous persons, nothing appeared more atrocious than that in the senate-house one senator should lay hands on another, a prætorian on a consular man, a judge on a criminal."—B. ix. ep. 13.

[4] Helvidius Priscus, a friend of Pliny the younger, who did not suffer his death to remain unrevenged. See the Epistle above referred to.

[5] There is in this place some defect in the manuscripts, which critics have endeavoured to supply in different manners. Brotier seems to prefer, though he does not adopt in the text, "nos Mauricum Rusticumque divisimus," "we parted Mauricus and Rusticus," by the death of one and the banishment of the other. The prosecution and crime of Rusticus (Arulenus) is mentioned at the beginning of this piece, c. 2. Mauricus was his brother.

[6] Herennius Senecio. See c. 2.

behold and to be beheld: when our sighs were registered; and that stern countenance, with its settled redness,[1] his defence against shame, was employed in noting the pallid horror of so many spectators. Happy, O Agricola! not only in the splendour of your life, but in the seasonableness of your death. With resignation and cheerfulness, from the testimony of those who were present in your last moments, did you meet your fate, as if striving to the utmost of your power to make the emperor appear guiltless. But to myself and your daughter, besides the anguish of losing a parent, the aggravating affliction remains, that it was not our lot to watch over your sick-bed, to support you when languishing, and to satiate ourselves with beholding and embracing you. With what attention should we have received your last instructions, and engraven them on our hearts! This is our sorrow; this is our wound: to us you were lost four years before by a tedious absence. Everything, doubtless, O best of parents! was administered for your comfort and honour, while a most affectionate wife sat beside you; yet fewer tears were shed upon your bier, and in the last light which your eyes beheld, something was still wanting.

46. If there be any habitation for the shades of the virtuous; if, as philosophers suppose, exalted souls do not perish with the body; may you repose in peace, and call us, your household, from vain regret and feminine lamentations, to the contemplation of your virtues, which allow no place for mourning or complaining! Let us rather adorn your memory by our admiration, by our short-lived praises, and, as far as our natures will permit, by an imitation of your example. This is truly to honour the dead; this is the piety of every near relation. I would also recommend it to the wife and daughter of this great man, to show their veneration of a husband's and a father's memory by revolving his actions and words in their breasts, and endeavouring to retain an idea of the form and features of his mind, rather than of his person.

[1] Thus Pliny, in his Panegyr. on Trajan, xlviii.: "Domitian was terrible even to behold; pride in his brow, anger in his eyes, a feminine paleness in the rest of his body, in his face shamelessness suffused in a glowing red." Seneca, in Epist. xi. remarks, that "some are never more to be dreaded than when they blush; as if they had effused all their modesty. Sylla was always most furious when the blood had mounted into his cheeks."

Not that I would reject those resemblances of the human figure which are engraven in brass or marble: but as their originals are frail and perishable, so likewise are they: while the form of the mind is eternal, and not to be retained or expressed by any foreign matter, or the artist's skill, but by the manners of the survivors. Whatever in Agricola was the object of our love, of our admiration, remains. and will remain in the minds of men, transmitted in the records of fame, through an eternity of years. For, while many great personages of antiquity will be involved in a common oblivion with the mean and inglorious, Agricola shall survive, represented and consigned to future ages.

A

DIALOGUE CONCERNING ORATORY,

OR THE CAUSES

OF CORRUPT ELOQUENCE.[1]

1. You have often inquired of me, Justus Fabius,[2] why it is, that while ancient times display a race of great and splendid orators, the present age, divested of all claim to the praise of oratory, has scarcely retained even the name. By the appellation of orator we now distinguish none but those who flourished in a former period; while the eminent speakers of the present day are styled pleaders, advocates, patrons, in short, everything but orators.

The inquiry is in its nature delicate; tending, if we are not able to vie with antiquity, to impeach our genius, and if we are not willing, to arraign our judgment. An answer to so nice a question is more than I should venture to undertake, were I to rely altogether upon myself: but it happens, that I am able to state the sentiments of men distinguished by their eloquence, such as it is in modern times; having, in the early part of my life, been present at their conversation on the very subject now before us. What I have to offer, will not be the result of my own thinking: it is the work of memory only; a mere recital of what fell from the most celebrated orators of their time: men who thought with subtlety, and expressed themselves with energy and precision; each, in his turn, assigning different but probable causes, at times insisting on the same, and, in the course of the debate, maintaining his

[1] The scene of the following Dialogue is laid in the sixth year of Vespasian, A. U. C. 828, A. D. 75.

[2] Justus Fabius was consul A. U. C. 864, A. D. 111. But as he did not begin the year, his name does not appear in the Fasti Consulares.

own proper character, and the peculiar cast of his mind. What they said upon the occasion, I shall relate, as nearly as may be, in the style and manner of the several speakers, observing always the regular course and order of the controversy. For a controversy it certainly was, where the speakers of the present age did not want an advocate, who supported their cause with zeal, and, after treating antiquity with severity, and even derision, assigned the palm of eloquence to modern times.

2. Curiatius Maternus[1] gave a public reading of his tragedy of Cato. On the following day a report prevailed, that the piece had given umbrage to the men in power. The author, it was said, had laboured only to enhance the character of his hero, regardless of himself. This soon became the topic of public conversation. Maternus received a visit from Marcus Aper[2] and Julius Secundus,[3] then the first ornaments

[1] Concerning Maternus, nothing is known with any kind of certainty. Dio relates that a sophist of that name was put to death by Domitian, for a school declamation against tyrants; but not one of the commentators ventures to assert that he was the Curiatius Maternus who makes so conspicuous a figure in the Dialogue before us.

[2] No mention is made of Marcus Aper, either by Quintilian or Pliny. It is supposed that he was father of Marcus Flavius Aper, who was substituted consul A.U.C. 883, A.D. 130. His oratorical character, and that of Secundus, as we find them drawn in this section, are not unlike what we are told by Cicero of Crassus and Antonius. Crassus, he says, was not willing to be thought destitute of literature, but he wished to have it said of him, that he despised it, and preferred the good sense of the Romans to the refinements of Greece. Antonius, on the other hand, was of opinion that his fame would rise to greater magnitude, if he was considered as a man wholly illiterate, and void of education. In this manner they both expected to increase their popularity; the former by despising the Greeks, and the latter by not knowing them. Cicero, De Orat. ii. 1.

[3] Quintilian makes honourable mention of Julius Secundus, who, if he had not been prematurely cut off, would have transmitted his name to posterity among the most celebrated orators. He would have added, and he was daily doing it, whatever was requisite to complete his oratorical genius: and all that could be desired was more vigour in argument, and more attention to matter and sentiment than to the choice of words. But he died too soon, and his fame was, in some degree, intercepted. (Quintil. x. 1.) It is remarkable that Quintilian, in his list of Roman orators, has neither mentioned Maternus nor Marcus Aper. The Dialogue, for that reason, cannot properly be ascribed to him: men who figure so much in the inquiry concerning oratory, would not have been omitted by the critic who thought their conversation worth recording.

of the forum. I was, at that time, a constant attendant on those eminent men; I heard them, not only in their courts of judicature, but, feeling an inclination to the same studies, I followed them with youthful ardour, in public and in private, to hear their familiar talk, their discussions, and the most intimate expression of their sentiments. True it is that by many it was captiously objected to Secundus, that he had no command of words, no flow of language; and to Aper, that he was indebted for his fame, not to art or literature, but to the natural powers of a vigorous understanding. The truth is, the style of the former was remarkable for its purity; concise, yet sufficiently free and copious: and the latter was well versed in general erudition. It might be said of him, that he despised literature, not that he wanted it. He thought, perhaps, that, by scorning the aid of letters, and by drawing altogether from his own fund, his fame would stand on a more solid foundation.

3. We went together to pay our visit to Maternus. Upon entering his study, we found him with the tragedy, which he had read on the preceding day, lying before him. Secundus began:—Are you then so little affected by the censure of malignant critics, as to persist in cherishing this obnoxious tragedy of yours? Perhaps you are revising the piece, and, after retrenching certain passages, intend to send your Cato into the world, in, I will not say an improved, but certainly a safer form.—There lies the poem, said Maternus; you may peruse it, if you think proper; you will find it just the same as when you heard it read. If Cato has omitted anything, Thyestes,[1] at my next reading, shall supply the deficiency. I have formed the fable of a tragedy on that subject; the plan is warm in my imagination, and, that I may give my whole time to it, I now am eager to despatch an edition of Cato.—Marcus Aper interposed: Are you, indeed, so enamoured of your dramatic muse, as to renounce your oratorical character, and your forensic pursuits, in order to sacrifice all your time to—Medea, I think it was lately, and now to Thyestes? though, meanwhile, the causes of so many friends, and the interests of so many colonies and municipal cities, call you to the forum.[2] Surely these would give you more than sufficient

[1] Thyestes was a common and popular subject of ancient tragedy.

[2] It was the custom of the colonies and municipal towns to pay

employment, though you had not imposed upon yourself this new task, labouring to add Domitius[1] and Cato, that is to say, the incidents and characters of Roman story, to the fables of Greece.

4. The sharpness of that reproof, replied Maternus, would perhaps have disconcerted me, if, by frequent repetition, it had not lost its sting. To differ on this subject is grown familiar to us both. For you wage an incessant war against the poets; and I, who am charged with deserting my clients, have yet every day the cause of poetry to defend. I rejoice the more, therefore, that we have a person present, of ability to decide between us: a judge, who will either lay me under an injunction to write no more verses, or, as I rather hope, encourage me, by his authority, to renounce for ever the dry employment of forensic causes (in which I have had my share of drudgery), that I may, for the future, be at leisure to cultivate the more august and sacred eloquence of the tragic muse.

5. But I, said Secundus, before Aper refuses me as an umpire, will follow the example of all fair and upright judges, who, in particular cases, when they feel a partiality for one of the contending parties, desire to be excused from hearing the cause. The friendship and habitual intercourse which I have ever cultivated with Saleius Bassus,[2] that excellent man,

their court to some great orator at Rome, in order to obtain his patronage whenever they should have occasion to apply to the senate for a redress of grievances.

[1] Domitius was another subject of tragedy, taken from the Roman story. Who he was, does not clearly appear. Brotier thinks it was Domitius, the avowed enemy of Julius Cæsar, who moved in the senate for a law to recall that general from the command of the army in Gaul, and afterwards, on the breaking out of the civil war, fell bravely at the battle of Pharsalia. See Suetonius, Life of Nero, s. 2.

[2] Saleius Bassus is mentioned by Juvenal as an eminent poet in distress:—

> At Serrano tenuique Saleio
> Gloria quantalibet quid erit, si gloria tantum est?
> Sat. vii. 80.

> "But to poor Bassus what avails a name,
> To starve on compliments and empty fame!"—DRYDEN.

Quintilian says, he possessed a poetic genius, but so warm and vehement, that, even in an advanced age, his spirit was not under the control of sober judgment. This passage affords an insuperable argument against Lipsius, and the rest of the critics, who named Quintilian

and no less excellent poet, are well known: and let me add, if poetry is to be arraigned, I know no client that can offer such handsome bribes.

My business, replied Aper, is not with Saleius Bassus: let him, and all of his description, who, without talents for the bar, devote their time to the Muses, pursue their favourite amusement without interruption. But, since we are now before a competent judge, Maternus must not think to escape in the crowd. I single him out from the rest. I call upon him to answer, how it happens, that a man of his talents, formed by nature to reach the heights of manly eloquence, whereby he might at once both acquire friendships and support them, and have the glory to see whole provinces and nations rank themselves under his patronage, does yet thus renounce a pursuit of all others the most advantageous, whether considered with respect to interest or to honours; a pursuit that affords the most illustrious means of propagating a reputation, not only within our own walls, but throughout the whole compass of the Roman empire, and indeed to the most distant nations of the globe?

If utility ought to be the governing motive of every action and every design of our lives; can we possibly be employed to better purpose, than in the exercise of an art which enables a man, upon all occasions, to support the interest of his friend, to protect the rights of the stranger, to defend the cause of the injured, to strike with terror and dismay his open and secret adversaries, himself secure the while, and guarded, as it were, by an imperishable potency?

In the calm seasons of life, the true use of oratory is discerned in the protection of others. Have we reason to be alarmed for ourselves?—the sword and breastplate are not a better defence in the heat of battle. It is at once a buckler to cover yourself, and a weapon to brandish against your enemy. Armed with this, you may appear with courage before the tribunals of justice, in the senate, and even in the presence of the prince. What had Eprius Marcellus[1] to

as a candidate for the honour of this elegant composition. Can it be imagined that a writer of fair integrity would in his great work speak of Bassus as he deserved, and in the Dialogue overrate him beyond all proportion? Duplicity was not a part of Quintilian's character.

[1] Eprius Marcellus is often a conspicuous figure in the Annals and

oppose to the united resentment of the whole senate but his eloquence? Collected in himself, and looking terror to his enemies, he foiled Helvidius Priscus; a man, no doubt, of consummate wisdom, but unpractised and inexpert in contests of that kind. Such is the advantage of oratory: to enlarge upon it were superfluous. My friend Maternus will not dispute the point.

6. I proceed to the pleasure arising from the exercise of eloquence; a pleasure which does not consist in the mere sensation of the moment, but is repeated every day, and almost every hour. For let me ask, to a man of an ingenuous and liberal mind, who knows the relish of elegant enjoyments, what can yield such true delight, as to see his house always thronged by a concourse of the most distinguished persons; and to know that the honour is not paid to his money, or to his heirless condition,[1] or to his possession of a public office, but to his very self? The rich who have no issue, and the men in high rank and power, are his followers. Though he is still young, and probably destitute of fortune, all concur in paying their court to solicit his patronage for themselves, or to recommend their friends to his protection. In the most splendid fortune, in all the dignity and pride of power, is there anything that can equal the satisfaction of seeing the most illustrious citizens, men

History of Tacitus. To a bad heart he united the gift of eloquence. In the Annals (xvi. 28) he makes a vehement speech against Pætus Thrasea, and afterwards wrought the destruction of that excellent man. For that exploit he was attacked, in the beginning of Vespasian's reign, by Helvidius Priscus. In the History (iv. 7, 8) we see them both engaged in a violent contention. In the following year (823), Helvidius in the senate opened an accusation in form: but Marcellus, by using his eloquence as his buckler and his offensive weapon, was able to ward off the blow. He rose from his seat, and, "I leave you," he said, "I leave you to give the law to the senate: reign if you will, even in the presence of the prince." See Hist. iv. 43.

[1] To be rich and have no issue, gave to the person so circumstanced the highest consequence at Rome. All ranks of men paid their court to him. To discourage a life of celibacy, and promote population, Augustus passed a law, called *Papia Poppæa*, whereby bachelors were subjected to penalties. But marriage was not brought into fashion. In proportion to the rapid degeneracy of the manners under the emperors, celibacy grew into respect; insomuch that we find (Annals, xii. 52) a man too strong for his prosecutors, because he was rich, old, and childless: "Valuitque pecuniosa orbitate et senecta."

respected for their years, and flourishing in the opinion of the public, yet courting your assistance, and, in the midst of wealth and grandeur, fairly owning, that they still want something superior to all their possessions?

Then think, too, of the honourable crowd of clients conducting the orator from his house, and attending him in his return; what a glorious appearance he makes in public! what distinguishing respect is paid to him in the courts of judicature! with what exultation of heart he rises up before a full audience, hushed in solemn silence, and fixed attention, pressing round the admired speaker, and receiving every passion he deems proper to raise! Yet these are but the ordinary joys of eloquence, and visible to every common observer. There are others, and those far superior, of a more concealed and delicate kind, and of which the orator himself can alone be sensible. Does he stand forth prepared with a studied harangue? As the composition, so the pleasure, in this instance, is more solid and equal. Does he, on the other hand, rise not without a certain fluttering of spirit, in a new and unexpected debate? The very solicitude he has felt, enhances the pleasure of his success. Indeed the most exquisite satisfaction of this kind is, when he boldly hazards an unpremeditated speech. For it is in the productions of genius, as in the fruits of the earth; many things are sown and brought to maturity with toil and care, but those which spring up spontaneously are ever the most agreeable.

7. As to myself, if I may allude to my own feelings, the day on which I obtained the laticlave, and even the days when I, an obscure man, born in a city that did not favour my pretensions,[1] entered upon the offices of quæstor, tribune, and prætor, were not so joyful to me as those on which it befals me, with such little power of speech as I possess, to defend the accused; to argue successfully before the centumviri,[2] or, in the presence of the prince, to plead for his freed-

[1] Marcus Aper, Julius Secundus, and Curiatius Maternus, according to Brotier and others, were natives of Gaul. Aper (c. 10) mentions the Gauls as their common countrymen.

[2] All causes of a private nature were heard before the centumviri. Three were chosen out of every tribe, and the tribes amounted to five-and-thirty; so that, in fact, 105 were chosen: but, for the sake of a round number, they were called centumviri. The causes that were heard before that jurisdiction are enumerated by Cicero, De Orat. lib. i. 38.

men, and the procurators appointed by himself. Upon those occasions I seem to rise above the dignities of tribune, prætor, and consul; and feel within myself a grandeur that springs from no external cause, that is not conferred by patent, nor obtained by favour.

Where is the art or science, the renown of which can vie with the celebrity of a great orator? His fame does not depend on the opinion of thinking men, who attend to business and watch the administration of affairs; he is applauded by the youth of Rome, at least by such of them as are of a laudable disposition, and hope to rise by honourable means. Whose example do parents more recommend to their sons? Whom do the ignorant common people[1] oftener name, and point at as he passes by? The strangers, too, who arrive from all parts, are eager to behold the man of whom they have heard so much in their towns and colonies.

8. I will be bold to say that Eprius Marcellus, whom I have already mentioned, and Crispus Vibius,[2] (I cite living examples, in preference to the names of a former day,) are not less known in the remotest parts of the empire, than they are at Capua, or Vercellæ,[3] where, we are told, they were born; nor does either of them owe this extensive fame to his three hundred thousand sesterces, (though their eloquence may be

[1] The common people are called in the original, *tunicatus populus*, that is, as we should say, "the men in their shirt-sleeves," those who appeared in the streets in their under-garments (tunica) and without a toga.

[2] The character of Eprius Marcellus has been already stated, c. 5, note. Crispus Vibius is mentioned as a man of weight and influence, Annals, xiv. 28. Quintilian has mentioned him to his advantage: he calls him (v. 13) a man of agreeable and elegant talents, "vir ingenii jucundi et elegantis;" and again, Vibius Crispus was distinguished by the elegance of his composition, and the sweetness of his manner; a man born to please, but fitter for private suits than for the importance of public causes. (x. 1.)

[3] Which of these two men was born at Capua, and which at Vercellæ, is not clearly expressed in the original. Eprius Marcellus, who has been described of a prompt and daring spirit, ready to embark in every mischief, and by his eloquence able to give colour to the worst cause, must at this time have become a new man, since we find him mentioned in this Dialogue with unbounded praise. He, it seems, and Vibius Crispus were the favourites at Vespasian's court. Vercellæ, now Verceil, was situated in the eastern part of Piedmont. Capua, rendered famous by Hannibal, was a city in Campania, always deemed the seat of pleasure.

said to have built up their fortunes;) and, indeed, such is the divine power of eloquence, that in every age we have examples of men, who by their talents raised themselves to the summit of their ambition. But these, as I have already said, are recent instances; nor are we to glean an imperfect knowledge of them from tradition; they are every day before our eyes. The more abject the origin of these two men, and the more sordid the poverty in which they set out, the more brilliant illustration and proof do they afford of the advantages of oratory; since it is apparent, that, without birth or fortune, neither of them recommended by his moral character, and one of them deformed in his person, they have made themselves, for a series of years, the first men in the state. They were the first men in the forum as long as they chose to be so; now they are the first in Cæsar's friendship; they direct and govern all things, and the favour with which the prince regards them is little short of veneration. In fact, Vespasian, that venerable old prince, always open to the voice of truth, clearly sees that the rest of his favourites derive all their lustre from the favours which his munificence has bestowed: but with Marcellus and Crispus the case is different; they carry with them, as their recommendations, what no prince can give, and no subject can receive. Compared with the advantages which those men possess, what are family pictures, statues, busts, and titles of honour? Not that these things are without their value; it is with them as with wealth and honours, advantages against which you will easily find men who declaim, but none who in their hearts despise them. Hence it is, that in the houses of all who have distinguished themselves in the career of eloquence, we see titles, statues, and splendid ornaments, the reward of talents, and, at all times, the decorations of the great and powerful orator.

9. But to come to the point from which we started; poetry, to which my friend Maternus wishes to dedicate all his time, has none of these advantages. It confers no dignity, nor does it serve any useful purpose. It is attended with some pleasure, but it is the pleasure of a moment springing from vain applause, and bringing with it no solid advantage. What I have said, and am going to add, may probably, my good friend Maternus, be unwelcome to your ear; and yet I must

take the liberty to ask you, if Agamemnon[1] or Jason speaks in your piece with dignity of language, what useful consequence follows from it? What client has been defended? Who returns to his own house with a grateful heart? Our friend Saleius Bassus is, beyond all question, a poet of eminence, or, to use a warmer expression, he has the god within him: but who attends his levee? who seeks his patronage, or follows in his train? Should he himself, or his intimate friend, or his near relation, happen to be involved in a troublesome litigation, he would of course apply to his friend Secundus; or to you, Maternus; not because you are a poet, nor yet to obtain a copy of verses from you; of those he has a sufficient stock at home, elegant, it must be owned, and exquisite in their kind. But after all his labour and waste of genius, what is his reward?

When in the course of a year, after toiling day and night, he has brought a single poem to perfection, he is obliged to solicit his friends, and exert his interest, in order to bring together an audience[2] so obliging as to hear a recital of the

[1] Agamemnon and Jason were two favourite dramatic subjects with the Roman poets.

[2] Before the invention of printing, copies were not easily multiplied. Authors were eager to enjoy their fame, and the pen of the transcriber was slow and tedious. Public rehearsals were the road to fame. But an audience was to be drawn together by interest, by solicitation, and public advertisements. Pliny, in one of his letters, has given a lively description of the difficulties which the author had to surmount. "This year," he says, "has produced poets in great abundance. Scarce a day has passed in the month of April without the recital of a poem. But the greater part of the audience comes with reluctance; they loiter in the lobbies, and there enter into idle chat, occasionally desiring to know, whether the poet is in his pulpit? has he begun? is his preface over? has he almost finished? They condescended at last to enter the room; they looked round with an air of indifference, and soon retired, some by stealth, and others with open contempt. Hence the greater praise is due to those authors who do not suffer their genius to droop, but, on the contrary, amidst the most discouraging circumstances, still persist to cultivate the liberal arts." Pliny adds, that he himself attended all the public readings, and, for that purpose, stayed longer in the city than was usual with him. Being at length released, he intended, in his rural retreat, to finish a work of his own, but not to read it in public, lest he should be thought to claim a return of the civility which he had shown to others. He was a hearer, and not a creditor. The favour conferred, if re-demanded, ceases to be a favour. (Pliny, lib. i. epist. 13.) Such was the state of literature under the worst of the emperors. The Augustan age was over. In the reigns of Tiberius

piece. Nor can this be done without expense. A room must be hired, a stage or pulpit must be erected; benches must be arranged, and tickets distributed throughout the city. What if the reading succeeds to the height of his wishes? Pass but a day or two, and the whole harvest of praise and admiration fades away, like a flower that withers in its bloom, and never ripens into fruit. By the event, however flattering, he gains no friend, he obtains no patronage, nor does a single person go away impressed with the idea of an obligation conferred upon him. The poet has been heard with applause; he has been received with acclamations; and he has enjoyed a short-lived transport. We lately lauded it as an uncommon instance of generosity in Vespasian, that he made Saleius Bassus a present of fifty thousand sesterces. To deserve so distinguished a proof of the sovereign's esteem is, no doubt, highly honourable; but is it not still more honourable, if your circumstances require it, to serve yourself, to be your own benefactor, and to be the object of your own liberality? It must not be forgotten, that the poet who would produce anything excellent, must bid farewell to the conversation of his friends; he must renounce, not only the pleasures of Rome, but also the duties of social life; he must

and Caligula learning drooped, but in some degree revived under the dull and stupid Claudius. Pliny, in the letter above cited, says of that emperor, that, one day hearing a noise in his palace, he inquired what was the cause, and being informed that Nonianus was reciting in public, went immediately to the place, and became one of the audience. After that time letters met with no encouragement from the great. The poets who could not hope to procure an audience, haunted the baths and public walks, in order to fasten on their friends, and, at any rate, obtain a hearing for their works. Juvenal says, the plantations and marble columns of Julius Fronto resounded with the vociferation of reciting poets. (Sat. i. 12.) The same author observes, that the poet who aspired to literary fame might borrow a house for the purpose of a public reading; and the great man who accommodated the writer, might arrange his friends and freedmen on the back seats, with direction not to be sparing of their applause; but still a stage or pulpit, with convenient benches, was to be procured, and that expense the patrons of letters would not supply. (Sat. vii. 39.) Statius, in Juvenal's time, was a favourite poet. If he announced a reading, his auditors went in crowds. He delighted all degrees, all ranks of men; but, when the hour of applause was over, the author was obliged to sell a tragedy to Paris, the famous actor, in order to procure a dinner. (Sat. vii. 82.) This was the hard lot of poetry, and this the state of public reading, which Aper describes to his friend Maternus.

retire, as the poets say, "to groves and grottos," in other words, to solitude.

10. Fame even, which alone they worship, and which they confess to be the sole reward of all their toil, does not attend poets in the same degree as orators. The indifferent poet has no readers, the best but few. Let there be a reading of a poem by the ablest master of his art: will the fame of his performance reach all quarters, I will not say of the empire, but of Rome only? Among the strangers who arrive from Spain, from Asia, or from our Gaul, who inquires[1] after Saleius Bassus? Should it happen that there is one, who thinks of him, his curiosity is soon satisfied; he passes on, content with a transient view, as if he had seen a picture or a statue.

In what I have advanced, let me not be misunderstood: I do not mean to deter such as are not blessed with the gift of oratory, from the practice of their favourite art, if it serves to amuse their leisure, and gain them a degree of reputation. I am an admirer of all eloquence;[2] I hold it venerable, and even sacred, in all its departments; in solemn tragedy, of which you, Maternus, are so great a master; in the majesty of the epic, the gaiety of the lyric muse, the wanton elegy, the keen iambic, and the pointed epigram; all have their charms; and Eloquence, whatever may be the subject which

[1] Notwithstanding all that is said, in this Dialogue, of Saleius Bassus, does not appear, in the judgment of Quintilian, that he was a poet whose fame could extend itself to the distant provinces. Perfection in the kind is necessary. Livy the historian was at the head of his profession. In consequence of his vast reputation, we know from Pliny the consul, that a native of the city of Cadiz was so struck with the character of that great writer, that he made a journey to Rome, with no other intent than to see that celebrated genius; and having gratified his curiosity, without staying to view the wonders of that magnificent city, returned home perfectly satisfied. (Lib. ii. epist. 3.)

[2] In Homer and Virgil, as well as in the dramatic poets of the first order, we frequently have passages of real eloquence, with the difference which Quintilian mentions: "The poet," he says, "is a slave to the measure of his verse; and, not being able at all times to make use of the true and proper word, he is obliged to quit the natural and easy way of expression, and avail himself of new modes and turns of phraseology, such as tropes and metaphors, with the liberty of transposing words, and lengthening or shortening syllables, as he sees occasion." (Quintil. lib. x. 1.) The speaker in the Dialogue is aware of this distinction, and, subject to it, the various branches of poetry are with reason so many different modes of eloquence.

she chooses to adorn, is in my mind to be preferred to all other arts. But this, Maternus, is no apology for you, who, formed by nature to reach the summit of perfection,[1] yet choose to wander into devious paths, and rest contented with a humble station in the vale beneath.

Were you a native of Greece, where to exhibit in the public games[2] is an honourable employment; and if the gods had bestowed upon you the force and sinew of the athletic Nicostratus,[3] do you imagine that I could tamely look on, and see

[1] The original has, "the citadel of eloquence," which calls to mind an admired passage in Lucretius:—

> Sed nil dulcius est bene quam munita tenere
> Edita doctrinâ sapientum templa serena,
> Despicere unde queas alios, passimque videre
> Errare, atque viam palantes quærere vitæ.—Lib. ii. 7.

[2] It is a fact well known, that in Greece the most illustrious of both sexes thought it honourable to exercise themselves in the exhibitions of the theatre, and even to appear in the athletic games. Plutarch, it is true, will have it, that all scenic arts were prohibited at Sparta by the laws of Lycurgus; and yet Cornelius Nepos assures us, that no Lacedemonian matron, however high her quality, was ashamed to act for hire on the public stage. He adds, that throughout Greece, it was deemed the highest honour to obtain the prize in the Olympic games; and no man blushed to be a performer in plays and pantomimes, and give himself a spectacle to the people. (Cor. Nep. in Præfat.) It appears, however, from a story told by Ælian, that the Greek women were by law excluded from the Olympic games. Whoever was found to transgress, or even to cross the river Alpheus, during the celebration of that great spectacle, was liable to be thrown from a rock. The consequence was, that not one female was detected, except Callipatria, or, as others called her, Pherenice. This woman, disguised in the habit of a teacher of gymnastic exercises, introduced her son, Pisidorus, to contend for the victor's prize. Her son succeeded. Transported with joy at a sight so glorious, the mother overleaped the fence which enclosed the magistrates, and, in the violence of that exertion, let fall her garment. She was by consequence known to be a woman, but absolved from all criminality. For that mild and equitable sentence she was indebted to the merit of her father, her brothers, and her son, who all obtained the victor's crown. The incident, however, gave birth to a new law, whereby it was enacted that the masters of the gymnastic art should, for the future, come naked to the Olympic games. Ælian, lib. x. 1; and see Pausanias, lib. v. 6.

[3] Nicostratus is praised by Pausanias (lib. v. 20) as a great master of the athletic arts. Quintilian has also recorded his prowess: "Nicostratus, whom in our youth we saw advanced in years, would instruct his pupil in every branch of his art, and make him, what he was himself, an invincible champion. Invincible he was, since, on one and

that amazing vigour waste itself away in nothing better than the frivolous art of darting the javelin, or throwing the quoit? With the same feeling I summon you now from the theatre and public recitals to the business of the forum, to the tribunals of justice, to scenes of real contention, to a conflict worthy of your abilities; especially since you cannot fall back upon the excuse alleged by many, that poetry is safer than oratory, less liable to give offence; for the ardour of your fine genius has already blazed forth, and you have given offence, not for sake of a friend—that would be far less dangerous—but on behalf of Cato! Nor can you offer in excuse, either the duty of your profession, justice to your client, or the unguarded heat of debate. It is manifest that you fixed upon a great historical personage with deliberate design, and as a character that would give weight and authority to your sentiments. You will reply, I am aware, it was that very circumstance which gained you such universal applause, and rendered you the general topic of discourse. Talk no more then, I beseech you, of security and repose, whilst you thus industriously raise up to yourself so potent an adversary. For my own part, at least, I am contented with engaging in questions of a more modern and private nature; wherein, if in defence of a friend I am under a necessity of taking liberties, unacceptable, perhaps, to my superiors, the honest freedom of my zeal will, I trust, not only be excused, but applauded.

11. Aper having delivered this with his usual warmth and earnestness, Maternus replied in a milder tone, and with an air of pleasantry: Prepared as I was to prefer against the orators an indictment no less copious than my friend's panegyric in their behalf, (for I expected that he would proceed to decry the poets, and confound their art,) he has somewhat ingeniously softened my asperity by certain concessions he is pleased to make in their favour. He is willing to allow those whose genius does not point to oratory, to apply themselves to poetry; but I, who might do something, and obtain some distinction as a pleader, have chosen, nevertheless, to build my reputation on dramatic poetry. [The first attempt I made for this purpose, was by exposing the

the same day, he entered the lists as a wrestler and a boxer, and was proclaimed conqueror in both."—Quint. lib. ii. 8.

dangerous power of Vatinius:[1] a power which even Nero himself disapproved, and which that infamous favourite abused to the profanation of the sacred Muses.][2] And I am persuaded, if I enjoy any share of fame, it is to poetry rather than to oratory that I am indebted for the acquisition. It is my fixed purpose, therefore, entirely to withdraw myself from the fatigue of the bar. The homage of visitors, the train of attendants, and the multitude of clients, which Aper has represented in such pompous colours, have no charms for me; no more have those sculptured honours which he mentioned; though they too have made their way into my house, notwithstanding my inclinations to the contrary. Hitherto I find my condition and my peace of mind better secured by innocence than by eloquence; and I am under no apprehension I shall ever have occasion to open my lips in the senate, unless, perhaps, in defence of a friend.

12. But woods, and groves, and solitude itself, the objects of Aper's invective, to me afford such delight, that I reckon it among the chief blessings of poetry that it is cultivated far from the noise and bustle of the world, without a client to besiege my doors, or a criminal to distress me with his tears and squalor. Free from those distractions, the poet retires to scenes of solitude, where peace and innocence reside, and there he treads on consecrated ground. It was there that Eloquence first grew up, and there she reared her temple. In such retreats she first adorned herself with those graces which have made mankind enamoured of her charms; and there she inspired the hearts of the blameless and the good.

[1] Vatinius was a favourite at the court of Nero. Tacitus calls him the spawn of a cook's-shop and a tippling-house: "sutrinæ et tabernæ alumnus." He recommended himself to the favour of the prince by his scurrility and vulgar humour. Being, by those arts, raised above himself, he became the declared enemy of all good men, and acted a distinguished part among the vilest instruments of that pernicious court. See his character, Annals, xv. 34. When an illiberal and low buffoon basks in the sunshine of a court, and enjoys exorbitant power, the cause of literature can have nothing to expect. The liberal arts must, by consequence, be degraded by a corrupt taste, and learning will be left to run wild and grow to seed.

[2] This whole passage is hopelessly unintelligible in the original: such is the sentence upon it with which Orelli (ed. 1846) sums up an elaborate excursus, in which the most notable suggestions of preceding commentators are severally discussed.

Oracles first spoke in woods and sacred groves. As to the species of oratory which practises for lucre, or with views of ambition; that sanguinary eloquence[1] now so much in vogue; t is of modern growth, the offspring of corrupt manners, and legenerate times; and as you, Aper, expressed it, it is adopted as a deadly weapon.

The early and more happy period of the world, or, as we oets call it, the golden age, free alike from orators and from rimes, abounded with inspired poets, who exerted their noble alents, not in defending the guilty, but in celebrating the ood. Accordingly, no character was ever more eminently istinguished, or more augustly honoured: first by the gods hemselves, by whom the poets were supposed to be admitted o their feasts, and employed as messengers of their high ehests; and afterwards by that sacred offspring of the gods, he first venerable race of legislators. In that glorious list 're read the names, not of orators indeed, but of Orpheus,[2] nd Linus, or, if we are inclined to trace the illustrious roll till higher, even of Apollo himself.

[1] The phrase in the original is full and expressive, "lucrosæ hu- et sanguinantis eloquentiæ,"—that gainful and blood-thirsty elo- uence. The immoderate wealth acquired by Eprius Marcellus has een mentioned in this Dialogue, c. 8. Pliny gives us an idea of the ast acquisitions gained by Regulus, the notorious informer. From a tate of indigence, he rose, by a train of villanous actions, to such mmense riches, that he once consulted the omens, to know how soon e should be worth sixty millions of sesterces, and found them so vourable, that he had no doubt of being worth double that sum. ib. ii. epist. 20.) In another epistle, the same author relates that egulus, having lost his son, was visited upon that occasion by multi- des of people, who all in secret detested him, yet paid their court ith as much assiduity as if they esteemed and loved him. They taliated upon this man his own insidious arts: to gain the friendship Regulus, they played the game of Regulus himself. He in the eantime dwells in his villa on the other side of the Tiber, where he s covered a large tract of ground with magnificent porticos, and ed the banks of the river with elegant statues; profuse, with all his arice, and, in the depth of infamy, proud and vainglorious. (Lib. iv. . 2.) All this splendour in which Regulus lived was the fruit of a inful and blood-thirsty eloquence; if that may be called eloquence, ich, Pliny says, was nothing more than a crazed imagination: "nihil xter ingenium insanum." (Lib. iv. ep. 7.)

[2] Orpheus, in poetic story, was the son of Calliope; and Linus boasted Apollo for his father. Orpheus embarked in the Argonautic expe- ion. His history of it, together with his hymns, is still extant; but ether genuine, is much doubted.

But these things, perhaps, will be treated by Aper as fables, and inventions of fancy. He cannot, however, deny that Homer has received as signal honours from posterity as Demosthenes; or that the fame of Sophocles or Euripides is as extensive as that of Lysias[1] or Hyperides; that Cicero's merit is less universally confessed than Virgil's; or that not one of the orations of Asinius or Messala[2] is in so much request as the Medea of Ovid, or the Thyestes of Varius.

[1] Lysias, the celebrated orator, was a native of Syracuse, the chief town in Sicily. He lived about four hundred years before the Christian era. Cicero says, that he did not addict himself to the practice of the bar; but his compositions were so judicious, so pure and elegant, that you might venture to pronounce him a perfect orator. (Cicero, De Claris Orat. s. 35.) Quintilian gives the same opinion. "Lysias," he says, "preceded Demosthenes: he is acute and elegant, and if to teach the art of speaking were the only business of an orator, nothing more perfect can be found. He has no redundancy, nothing superfluous, nothing too refined or foreign to his purpose: his style is flowing, but more like a pure fountain than a noble river." (Quint. lib. x. 1.) A considerable number of his orations is still extant, all written with exquisite taste and inexpressible sweetness. (See a very pleasing translation by Dr. Gillies.) Hyperides flourished at Athens in the time of Demosthenes, Æschines, Lycurgus, and other famous orators. "That age," says Cicero, "poured forth a torrent of eloquence of the best and purest kind, without the false glitter of affected ornament, in a style of noble simplicity, which lasted to the end of that period." (De Claris Orat. s. 36.) Quintilian allows to Hyperides a keen discernment, and great sweetness of style; but he pronounces him an orator designed by nature to shine in causes of no great moment. (Lib. x. 1.) Whatever might be the case when this dialogue happened, it is certain at present that the fame of Sophocles and Euripides has eclipsed the two Greek orators.

[2] For an account of Asinius Pollio and Corvinus Messala, see Annals, xi. 6. The two great poets of the Augustan age have transmitted the name of Asinius Pollio to the latest posterity. Virgil has celebrated him as a poet, and a commander of armies, in the Illyrican and Dalmatic wars: and Horace, as an orator and statesman. But after all, the question put by Maternus is, Can any of their orations be compared to the Medea of Ovid, or the Thyestes of Varius? Those two tragedies are so often praised by the critics of antiquity, that the republic of letters has reason to lament the loss. Quintilian says that the Medea of Ovid was a specimen of genius that showed to what heights the poet could have risen, had he thought fit rather to curb than give the rein to his imagination. (Lib. x. 1.) The works of Varius, if we except a few fragments, are wholly lost. Horace, in his journey to Brundusium, met him and Virgil, and he mentions the incident with the rapture of a friend that loved them both. Horace also celebrates Varius as a poet of sublime genius. (Lib. i. ode 6.) A few fragments only of

13. By no means do I shrink from comparing the fortune and the happy communion of poets, with the restless and anxious life of the orator; even though the hazardous contentions of the latter may possibly raise him to the consular dignity. Far more desirable, in my estimation, was the calm retreat of Virgil:[1] where yet he lived not unhonoured by his prince, nor unregarded by the Roman people: witness the letters of Augustus; witness the conduct of the people itself, who, when some of Virgil's verses were repeated in the theatre, where he happened to be present, rose up to a man, and saluted him with the same respect that they would have paid to Augustus.[2]

his works have reached posterity. His tragedy of Thyestes is highly praised by Quintilian. That judicious critic does not hesitate to say that it may be opposed to the best productions of the Greek stage. Varius lived in high favour at the court of Augustus. After the death of Virgil, he was joined with Plotius and Tucca to revise the works of that admirable poet. The Varius of Virgil, so often celebrated in the Pastorals, was, notwithstanding what some of the commentators have said, a different person from Varius, the author of Thyestes.

[1] The rural delight of Virgil is described by himself:—

Rura mihi et rigui placeant in vallibus amnes;
Flumina amem, sylvasque inglorius. O ubi campi,
Sperchiusque, et virginibus bacchata Lacænis
Taygeta! O quis me gelidis sub montibus Hæmi
Sistat, et ingenti ramorum protegat umbra?
Georg. ii. 485.

"Me may the lowly vales and woodland please
And winding rivers and inglorious ease;
O that I wander'd by Sperchius' flood,
Or on Taygetus' sacred top I stood!
Who in cool Hæmus' vales my limbs will lay,
And in the darkest thicket hide from day?"
Wharton's Virgil.

Besides this poetical retreat, which his imagination could command at any time, Virgil had a real and delightful villa near Naples, where he composed his Georgics, and wrote great part of the Æneid.

[2] When Augustus, or any eminent citizen distinguished by his public merit, appeared in the theatre, the people testified their joy by acclamations and unbounded applause. It is recorded by Horace, that Mæcenas received that public honour:—

——— Datus in theatro
Cum tibi plausus,
Care Mæcenas eques, ut paterni
Fluminis ripæ, simul et jocosa
Redderet laudes tibi Vaticani
Montis imago.—Lib. i. ode 20.

[When

Even in our own times, will any man say that Secundus Pomponius,[1] in point of dignity or extent of fame, is inferior to Domitius Afer?[2] As for Crispus and Marcellus, who have been cited as bright examples, what is there in their elevation to be coveted? Is it that they are feared by numbers, and live in fear themselves? That they are daily courted for their favours, and the men who obtain their suit hate them? That they are bound to such a degree of adulation, as never to be thought by their masters sufficiently servile, nor by the people sufficiently free? And after all, what is the amount of this boasted power of theirs? The emperor's freedmen commonly enjoy as much. But as Virgil sings, "Me let the sweet Muses lead to their soft retreats, their living fountains, and melodious groves, where I may dwell remote from care, master of myself, and under no necessity of doing every day what my heart condemns. Let me no more be seen in the wrangling forum, a pale and anxious candidate for precarious fame; and let neither the tumult of visitors crowding to my levee, nor the eager haste of officious freedmen, disturb my morning rest. Let me live free from solicitude, a stranger to the art of promising legacies,[3] in order to buy the friend-

When Virgil appeared, the audience paid the same compliment to a man whose poetry adorned the Roman story. The letters from Augustus, which are mentioned in this passage, have perished in the ruins of ancient literature.

[1] Pomponius Secundus was of consular rank, and an eminent writer of tragedy. See Annals, ii. 13.

[2] Quintilian makes honourable mention of Domitius Afer. He says, when he was a boy, the speeches of that orator for Volusenus Catulus were held in high estimation. (Lib. x. 1.) He adds, in another part of the same chapter, that Domitius Afer and Julius Africanus were, of all the orators who flourished in his time, without comparison the best. But Afer stands distinguished by the splendour of his diction, and the rhetorical art which he has displayed in all his compositions. You would not scruple to rank him among the ancient orators. Afer died in the reign of Nero, A. U. C. 812, A. D. 59. In relating his death, Tacitus observes, that he raised himself by his eloquence to the first civil honours; but he does not dismiss him without condemning his morals. (Annals, xiv. 19.)

[3] We find in the Annals and the History of Tacitus a number of instances to justify the sentiments of Maternus. The rich found it necessary to bequeath part of their substance to the prince, in order to secure the remainder for their families. For the same reason, Agricola made Domitian joint-heir with his wife and daughter. (Life of Agricola, c. 43.)

ship of the great; and when nature shall give the signal to retire, may I possess no more than I may bequeath to whom I will. At my funeral let no token of sorrow be seen, no pompous mockery of woe. Crown me with chaplets; strew flowers on my grave, and let my friends erect no vain memorial, to tell where my remains are lodged."

14. Maternus finished with an air of enthusiasm, that seemed to lift him above himself. In that moment, Vipstanus Messala[1] entered the room. From the attention that appeared in every countenance, he concluded that some important business was the subject of debate. I am afraid, said he, that I break in upon you at an unseasonable time. You have some secret to discuss, or, perhaps, a consultation upon your hands.—Far from it, replied Secundus; I wish you had come sooner. You would have had the pleasure of hearing an eloquent discourse from our friend Aper, who has been endeavouring to persuade Maternus to dedicate the whole strength of his genius and his studies to the business of the forum; and an animated reply from Maternus, wherein, as became one who was defending his favourite art, he delivered himself with a boldness and elevation of style more akin to the poetical than the oratorical character.

It would have afforded me infinite pleasure, replied Messala, to have been present at a debate of this kind. And I cannot but express my satisfaction, in finding the most eminent orators of our times, not confining their genius to points relating to their profession, but canvassing in their conversation such other questions of taste and literature as give a very advantageous exercise to their faculties, at the same time that they furnish an entertainment of the most agreeable kind, not only to themselves, but to those who hear them.

[1] Vipstanus Messala commanded a legion, and at the head of it went over to Vespasian's party, in the contention with Vitellius. He was a man of illustrious birth, and equal merit; the only one, says Tacitus, who entered into that war from motives of virtue. (Hist. iii. 9.) He was brother to Regulus, the vile informer. Messala, we are told by Tacitus, before he had attained the senatorian age, acquired great fame by pleading the cause of his profligate brother with extraordinary eloquence and family affection. (Hist. iv. 42.) Since Messala has now joined the company, the Dialogue takes a new turn, and, by an easy and natural transition, slides into the question concerning the causes of the decline of eloquence.

And believe me, Secundus, the world received with much approbation your history of J. Asiaticus,[1] as an earnest that you intend to publish more pieces of the same nature. On the other side, it is observed with equal satisfaction, that Aper has not yet bid adieu to the questions of the schools,[2] but employs his leisure rather after the example of the modern rhetoricians, than of the ancient orators.[3]

15. I perceive, returned Aper, that you continue to treat the moderns with your usual derision and contempt; while the ancients alone are in full possession of your esteem. It is a maxim, indeed, I have frequently heard you advance, (and, allow me to say, with much injustice to yourself and to your brother,) that there is no such thing in the present age as an orator. This you are the less scrupulous to maintain, as you imagine it cannot be imputed to a spirit of envy; since you deny yourself a distinction which everybody concedes to you.

I have hitherto, replied Messala, found no reason to change my opinion: and I am persuaded, that neither Secundus, nor Maternus, nor yourself, Aper, (whatever you may sometimes affect to the contrary,) think differently from me. I should, indeed, be glad, if I could prevail on any of you to investigate and expound the causes of so remarkable a disparity, which I often seek to explore in my own thoughts. What to some appears a satisfactory solution of this phenomenon, to me, I confess, heightens the difficulty: for I find the very same difference prevails among the Grecian orators; and that the

[1] This is probably the same Asiaticus, who, in the revolt of the provinces of Gaul, fought on the side of Vindex. (See Hist. ii. 94.) Biography was, in that evil period, a tribute paid by the friends of departed merit, and the only kind of writing in which men could dare faintly to utter a sentiment in favour of virtue and public liberty.

[2] In the declamations of Seneca and Quintilian we have abundant examples of these scholastic exercises, which Juvenal has placed in a ridiculous light:—

> Et nos ergo manum ferulæ subduximus, et nos
> Consilium dedimus Syllæ, privatus ut altum
> Dormiret.—Sat. i. 15.
>
> "Provoked by these incorrigible fools,
> I left declaiming in pedantic schools;
> Where, with men-boys, I strove to get renown,
> Advising Sylla to a private gown."—Dryden's Juvenal.

[3] This is said ironically.

priest Nicetes,[1] together with others of the Ephesian and Mitylenean[2] schools, who content themselves with raising the acclamations of their tasteless auditors, deviate much further from Æschines or Demosthenes, than Afer and Africanus,[3] or you, my friends, from Tully or Asinius.

16. The question you have started, said Secundus, is a very important one, and well worthy of consideration. But who so capable of doing justice to it as yourself? who, besides the advantages of a fine genius and great literature, have given, it seems, particular attention to this inquiry.—I am very willing, answered Messala, to lay before you my thoughts upon the subject, provided you will assist me with yours as I go along.—I will engage for two of us, replied Maternus: Secundus and myself will speak to such points as you shall, I do not say omit, but think proper to leave to us. As for Aper, you just now informed us that it is usual with him to dissent from you in this matter: and, indeed, I see he is already preparing to oppose us, and will not tamely bear to see us thus leagued in support of the ancients.

Undoubtedly, returned Aper, I shall not suffer the moderns to be condemned, unheard and undefended, by this conspiracy of yours. But first let me ask, who it is you call ancients? What age of orators do you distinguish by that designation?[4] The word always suggests to me a Nestor, or a Ulysses, men who lived about twelve hundred years since; whereas you seem to apply it to Demosthenes and Hyperides, who, it is

[1] Nicetes was a native of Smyrna, and a rhetorician in great celebrity. Seneca says (Controversiarum, lib. iv. 25), that his scholars, content with hearing their master, had no ambition to be heard themselves. Pliny the younger, among the commendations which he bestows on a friend, mentions, as a praiseworthy part of his character, that he attended the lectures of Quintilian and Nicetes Sacerdos, of whom Pliny himself was at that time a constant follower. (Lib. vi. ep. 6.)

[2] Mitylene was the chief city of the isle of Lesbos, in the Ægean Sea, near the coast of Asia. The place at this day is called Metelin, subject to the Turkish dominion. Ephesus was a city of Ionia, in the Lesser Asia, now called Ajaloue by the Turks, who are masters of the place.

[3] Domitius Afer and Julius Africanus have been already mentioned, c. 13, note. Both are highly praised by Quintilian. For Asinius Pollio, see c. 12, note.

[4] Quintilian puts the same question; and, according to him, Demosthenes is the last of the ancients among the Greeks, as Cicero is among the Romans. See Quintilian, lib. viii. 5.

agreed, flourished so late as the times of Philip and Alexander, and, indeed, survived them. It appears from hence, that there is not much above four hundred years' distance between our age and that of Demosthenes: an interval which, considered with respect to human duration, appears, I acknowledge, extremely long; but if compared with that immense space of time which includes the several ages of the world, is exceedingly contracted, and seems almost but of yesterday. For if it be true, what Cicero observes in his treatise inscribed to Hortensius, that the great and genuine year is that period in which the heavenly bodies return to the same position, wherein they were placed when they first began their respective orbits; and this revolution contains 12,954 of our solar years; then Demosthenes, this ancient Demosthenes of yours, lived in the same year, or rather I might say, in the same month,[1] with ourselves.

17. But to mention the Roman orators: I presume, it is not Menenius Agrippa[2] (who may with some propriety, indeed, be called an ancient,) you prefer to the men of eloquence among the moderns; but Cicero, Cæsar,[3] Cælius,[4] Calvus,

[1] The argument is this: If the great year is the measure of time; then, as it consists, according to Cicero, of 12,954 solar years, the whole being divided by twelve, every month of the great year would be clearly 1080 years. According to that calculation, Demosthenes not only lived in the same year with the persons engaged in the Dialogue, but within a month of them. These are the months to which Virgil alludes in the fourth Eclogue:—

Incipient magni procedere menses.

[2] Menenius Agrippa was consul A. U. C. 251.

[3] Cæsar the dictator was, as the poet expresses it, graced with both Minervas. Quintilian is of opinion, that if he had devoted his whole time to the profession of eloquence, he would have been the great rival of Cicero. The energy of his language, his strength of conception, and his power over the passions, were so striking, that he may be said to have harangued with the same spirit that he fought. (Lib. x. 1.)

[4] Marcus Cælius Rufus, in the judgment of Quintilian, was an orator of considerable genius. In the conduct of a prosecution he was remarkable for a certain urbanity, that gave a secret charm to his whole speech. It is to be regretted that he was not a man of better conduct and longer life. (Quint. lib. x. 1.) His letters to Cicero make the eighth book of the "Epistolæ ad Familiares." Velleius Paterculus says of him, that his style of eloquence and his cast of mind bore a resemblance to Curio, but raised him above that factious orator. His genius for mischief and evil deeds was not inferior to Curio's, and his motives

Brutus,[1] Asinius, and Messala, to whom you give this honourable precedency: though why these should be deemed ancients rather than moderns, I am at a loss to know. To instance in Cicero: he was killed, as his freedman Tiro informs us, on the 26th of December, in the consulship of Hirtius and Pansa,[2] in which year Augustus and Pedius succeeded them in that dignity. Now, if we take fifty-six years for the reign of Augustus, and add twenty-three for that of Tiberius, about four for that of Caius, fourteen a-piece for Claudius and Nero,

were strong and urgent, since his fortune was worse than even his frame of mind. (Vell. Paterc. lib. ii. 68.) Licinius Macer Calvus, we are told by Seneca, maintained a long but unjust contention with Cicero himself for the palm of eloquence. He was a warm and vehement accuser, insomuch that Vatinius, though defended by Cicero, interrupted Calvus in the middle of his speech, and said to the judges, "Though this man is eloquent, does it follow that I must be condemned?" (Sen. Controv. iii. 19.)

[1] This was the famous Marcus Junius Brutus, who stood forth in the cause of liberty, and delivered his country from the usurpation of Julius Cæsar. Cicero describes him in that great tragic scene, brandishing his bloody dagger, and calling on Cicero by name, to tell him that his country was free. (Philipp. ii. 28.) Akenside has retouched this passage with all the colours of a sublime imagination:—

> "Look then abroad through nature, through the range
> Of planets, suns, and adamantine spheres,
> Wheeling unshaken through the void immense,
> And speak, O man! does this capacious scene
> With half that kindling majesty dilate
> Thy strong conception, as when Brutus rose
> Refulgent from the stroke of Cæsar's fate,
> Amid the crowd of patriots, and his arm
> Aloft extending, like eternal Jove
> When guilt brings down the thunder, call'd aloud
> On Tully's name, and shook his crimson steel,
> And bade the Father of his Country hail!
> For, lo! the tyrant prostrate in the dust,
> And Rome again is free."—Pleasures of Imag. b. i. 487.

According to Quintilian, Brutus was fitter for philosophical speculations, and books of moral theory, than for the career of public oratory. In the former he was equal to the weight and dignity of his subject: you clearly saw that he believed what he said. (Quintil. lib. x. 1.)

[2] Hirtius and Pansa were consuls A. U. C. 711; before the Christian era, 43. In this year, the famous triple league, called the Triumvirate, was formed between Augustus, Lepidus, and Antony. The proscription, or the list of those who were doomed to die for the crime of adhering to the cause of liberty, was also settled, and Cicero was one of the number.

one for Galba, Otho, and Vitellius, together with the six that our present excellent prince has enjoyed the empire, we shall have about one hundred and twenty years[1] from the death of Cicero to these times: a period to which it is not impossible that a man's life may extend. I remember, when I was in Britain, to have met with an old soldier, who assured me, he had taken part in the battle in which his countrymen opposed Cæsar's descent upon that island.[2] If we suppose this person, by being taken prisoner, or by any other means, to have been brought to Rome, he might have heard Cæsar and Cicero, and likewise any of our contemporaries. At the last public donative,[3] you yourselves saw several of the popu-

[1] Between the consulship of Augustus, which began immediately after the destruction of Hirtius and Pansa, A. U. C. 711, and the death of that emperor, which was A. U. C. 767, fifty-six years intervened, and to the sixth of Vespasian (A. U. C. 828), about 118 years. For the sake of a round number, it is called in the Dialogue a space of 120 years.

[2] Julius Cæsar landed in Britain in the years of Rome 699 and 700. It does not appear when Aper was in Britain; it could not be till the year of Rome 796, when Aulus Plautius, by order of the emperor Claudius, undertook the conquest of the island. At that time, the Briton who fought against Cæsar must have been far advanced in years.

[3] A largess was given to the people in the fourth year of Vespasian, when Domitian entered on his second consulship. This, Brotier says, appears on a medal, with this inscription: CONG. II. COS. II. "Congiarium alterum, Domitiano consule secundum." The custom of giving large distributions to the people was for many ages established at Rome. Brotier traces it from Ancus Martius, the fourth king of Rome, when the poverty of the people called for relief. The like bounty was distributed by the generals who returned in triumph. Lucullus and Julius Cæsar displayed on those occasions great pomp and magnificence. Corn, wine, and oil were plentifully distributed, and the popularity acquired by those means was, perhaps, the ruin of the commonwealth. Cæsar lavished money, Augustus followed the example, and Tiberius did the same, but prodigality was not his practice: his politic genius taught him all the arts of governing. The bounties thus distributed were called, when given to the people, *congiaria*, and, to the soldiers, *donativa*. Whoever desires to form an idea of the number of Roman citizens who, at different times, received largesses, and the prodigious expense attending them, may see an account drawn up with diligent attention by Brotier, in an elaborate note on this passage. He begins with Julius Cæsar, and pursues the inquiry through the several successive emperors, fixing the date and expense at every period, as low down as the consulship of Constantius and Galerius Maximianus; when, the empire being divided into the Eastern and Western, its former magnificence was by consequence much diminished.

lace who acknowledged they had received the same bounty more than once from the hands of Augustus. It is evident, therefore, that these people might have been present at the pleadings both of Corvinus[1] and Asinius: for Corvinus lived to the middle of the reign of Augustus, and Asinius nearly to its close. Surely, then, you will not split a century, and call one orator an ancient, and another a modern, when the very same person might be an auditor of both; and thus, as it were, render them contemporaries.

18. I have made these preliminary remarks to show that the glory, whatever it be, that accrued to the age in which those orators lived, is not confined to that particular period, but reaches down to the present time, and may more properly be said to belong to us, than to Servius Galba,[2] or to Carbo,[3] and others whom with good reason we call ancients. Of that whole race of orators I may freely say, that their manner cannot now be relished. The language of these last is coarse, and their composition rough, uncouth, and harsh; and I could wish that your Calvus,[4] your Cælius, and even Cicero himself, had not thought such models worthy of imitation. I mean to speak my mind with freedom; but I must premise that eloquence changes its form and style with the manners and

[1] The person here called Corvinus was Corvinus Messala, who flourished in the reign of Augustus, at the same time with Asinius Pollio.

[2] Servius Sulpicius Galba was consul A. U. C. 610, before the Christian era 144. Cicero says of him, that he was, in his day, an orator of eminence. When he spoke in public, the natural energy of his mind supported him, and the warmth of his imagination made him vehement and pathetic: his language was animated, bold, and rapid; but when he afterwards took his pen in hand to correct and polish, the fit of enthusiasm was over; his passions ebbed away, and the composition was cold and languid. (De Claris Orat. s. 93.) Suetonius says, that the person here intended was of consular dignity, and by his eloquence gave weight and lustre to his family. (Life of Galba, s. 3.)

[3] Caius Papirius Carbo was consul A. U. C. 634. Cicero wishes that he had proved himself as good a citizen as he was an orator. Being impeached for his turbulent and seditious conduct, he did not choose to stand the event of a trial, but escaped the judgment of the senate by a voluntary death. His life was spent in forensic causes. Men of sense who heard him have reported that he was a fluent, animated, and harmonious speaker; at times pathetic, always pleasing, and abounding with wit. (De Claris Orat. s. 105.)

[4] Calvus and Cælius have been mentioned already. See c. 17, note.

the taste of the age. Thus we find, that Gracchus,[1] compared with the elder Cato,[2] is full and copious; but, in his turn, yields to Crassus,[3] an orator more polished and ornate. Cicero rises superior to both; more pointed, more harmonious and sublime. Corvinus[4] is considerably more smooth and harmonious in his periods, as well as more correct in his language, than Cicero. I am not considering which of them is most eloquent: all I endeavour to prove at present is, that oratory does not manifest itself in one uniform figure, but is exhibited by those whom you call ancients under a variety of aspects. However, it is by no means a just way of reasoning, to infer, that one thing must necessarily be worse than another, merely because it is not the same. Yet such is the unaccountable perversity of human nature, that whatever has antiquity to boast, is sure to be admired, and everything novel is certainly disapproved.

Can we doubt that there have been critics who were better pleased with Appius Cæcus[5] than with Cato? Cicero had his censurers, who objected that his style was redundant, turgid,

[1] Caius Gracchus was tribune of the people A.U.C. 633. In that character he took the popular side against the patricians; and, pursuing the plan of the Agrarian law laid down by his brother, Tiberius Gracchus, he was able by his eloquence to keep the city of Rome in violent agitation. Amidst the tumult, the senate, by a decree, ordered the consul, Lucius Opimius, "to take care that the commonwealth received no injury;" and, says Cicero, not a single night intervened before that magistrate put Gracchus to death.

[2] This is the celebrated Marcus Portius Cato, commonly known by the name of Cato the Censor.

[3] Lucius Licinius Crassus is often mentioned, and always to his advantage, by Cicero, De Claris Oratoribus. He was born, as appears in that treatise (s. 161), during the consulship of Lælius and Cæpio, A.U.C. 614: he was contemporary with Antonius, the celebrated orator and father of Antony the triumvir. Crassus was about four-and-thirty years older than Cicero. When Philippus the consul showed himself disposed to encroach on the privileges of the senate, and in the presence of that body offered indignities to Licinius Crassus, the orator, as Cicero informs us, broke out in a blaze of eloquence against that violent outrage, concluding with that remarkable sentence—"He shall not be a *consul* to me, to whom I am not a *senator*."

[4] Messala Corvinus is often in this Dialogue called Corvinus only.

[5] Appius Claudius was censor in the year of Rome 442; dictator, 465; and having at a very advanced age lost his sight, he became better known by the name of Appius Cæcus.

never compressed, immoderately self-complacent, and destitute of Attic elegance. We all have read the letters of Calvus and Brutus to your famous orator. In the course of that correspondence, we plainly see what was Cicero's opinion of those eminent men. The former appeared to him cold and languid; the latter, disjointed, loose, and negligent. On the other hand, we know what they thought in return: Calvus did not hesitate to say, that Cicero was diffuse, luxuriant to a fault, and florid without vigour. Brutus, in express terms, says, he was lengthened out into weakness, and wanted sinew. If you ask my opinion, each of them had reason on his side. But I shall hereafter examine them separately. At present, I speak of them in general terms.

19. The admirers of antiquity are agreed, I think, in extending the area of the ancients as far as Cassius Severus,[1] whom they assert to have been the first that struck out from the plain and simple manner, which till then prevailed. Now I affirm that he did so, not from any deficiency in point of genius or learning, but from his superior judgment and good sense. He saw it was necessary to accommodate oratory, as I observed before, to the different times and tastes of the audience. In early times, the people, rude and unpolished, might well be contented with the tedious length of unskilful speeches; and, indeed, to be able to harangue for a whole day together, was itself looked upon, at that illiterate period, as a

[1] Cassius Severus lived in the latter end of the reign of Augustus, and through a considerable part of that of Tiberius. We read in Suetonius (Life of Octavius, s. 56), that Cassius had the hardiness to institute a prosecution for the crime of poisoning against Asprenas Nonius, who was, at the time, linked in the closest friendship with Augustus. Not content with accusations against the first men in Rome, he chose to vent his malevolence in lampoons and defamatory libels, against the most distinguished of both sexes. It was this that provoked Horace to declare war against Cassius, in an ode (lib. v. ode 6), which begins, "Quid immerentes hospites vexas, canis." See an account of his malevolent spirit, Annals, i. 72. He was at length condemned for his indiscriminate abuse, and banished by Augustus to the Isle of Crete. But his satirical rage was not to be controlled. He continued in exile to discharge his malignity, till at last, at the end of ten years, the senate took cognisance of his guilt, and Tiberius ordered him to be removed from Crete to the Rock of Seriphos, where he languished in old age and misery. (See Annals, iii. 21. The period of ancient oratory ended about the time when Cassius began his career. He was the first of the new school.

talent worthy of admiration. The prolix exordium, the circumstantial detail, the ostentatious division of the argument under different heads, the endless degrees of logical deduction, with whatever else you may find laid down among the precepts of those driest of all writers, Hermagoras and Apollodorus,[1] were then held in supreme honour. And, to complete all, if the orator had just dipped into philosophy, and could sprinkle his harangue with some of the trite maxims of that science, he was extolled to the skies. And no wonder; for these were new and uncommon topics to them; indeed very few of the orators themselves had any acquaintance with the writings either of the philosophers or the rhetoricians.

In the present age, the tenets of philosophy and the precepts of rhetoric are no longer a secret. The lowest of our popular assemblies are now, I will not say fully instructed, but certainly acquainte with the elements of literature. The orator, by consequence, finds himself obliged to seek new and more subtle avenues to the heart, that he may not offend fastidious ears, especially before a tribunal where the judge is no longer bound by precedent, but determines according to his will and pleasure; not, as formerly, observing the measure of time allowed to the advocate, but taking upon himself to prescribe the limits. Nor is this all: the judge, at present, will not condescend to wait till the orator, in his own way, opens his case; but, of his own authority, reminds him of the point in question, and, if he wanders, calls him back from his digression, not without a hint that the court wishes to despatch.

20. Who at this time would bear to hear an advocate introducing himself with a tedious preface about the infirmities of his constitution? Yet that is the usual exordium of Corvinus. We have five books against Verres.[2] Who now could

[1] These two rhetoricians flourished in the time of Augustus. Apollodorus, we are told by Quintilian (iii. 1), was the preceptor of Augustus. He taught in opposition to Theodorus Gadareus, who read lectures at Rhodes, and was attended by Tiberius during his retreat in that island. The two contending masters were the founders of opposite sects, called the Apollodorean and Theodorian.

[2] Doctor Middleton says, "Of the seven excellent orations which now remain on the subject of Verres, the first two only were spoken; the one called, 'The Divination;' the other, 'The first Action,' which is nothing more than a general preface to the whole cause. The other five were published afterwards, as they were prepared and intended to

endure that vast redundance? Who could listen to those endless arguments upon points of form, and cavilling exceptions,[1] which we find in the orations of the same celebrated advocate for Marcus Tullius[2] and Aulus Cæcina? Our modern judges are able to anticipate the argument. Their quickness goes before the speaker. If not allured and biassed by the vivacity of his manner, the elegance of his sentiments, and the glowing colours of his descriptions, they soon grow weary of the flat insipid discourse. Even the populace that come to hear have now a taste that requires the gay, the florid, and the brilliant. The dull uncouth style of antiquity would now succeed as ill at the bar, as the modern actor who should attempt to copy the deportment of Roscius,[3] or Ambivius Turpio. Even the young men who are preparing for the career of eloquence, and for that purpose attend the forum and the tribunals of justice, expect not merely to hear, but to carry home some bright illustration, some splendid passage, that deserves to be remembered. What has struck their fancy, they communi-

be spoken, if Verres had made a regular defence: for as this was the only cause in which Cicero had yet been engaged, or ever designed to be engaged, as an accuser, so he was willing to leave those orations as a specimen of his abilities in that way, and the pattern of a just and diligent impeachment of a great and corrupt magistrate."—Life of Cicero, vol. i. p. 86. 4to edit.

[1] The Digest enumerates a multitude of rules concerning "exceptions," to persons, things, the form of the action, the niceties of pleading, and, as the phrase is, motions in arrest of judgment. "Formula" was the set of words necessary to be used in the pleadings. See the Digest, lib. xliv. tit. 1, "De Exceptionibus, Præscriptionibus, et Prædiciis." See also Cujacius, Observat. xxiii.

[2] The oration for Marcus Tullius is highly praised by Macrobius, but is not to be found in Cicero's works. The oration for Aulus Cæcina is still extant. The cause was about the right of succession to a private estate, which depended on a subtle point of law, arising from the interpretation of the prætor's interdict. It shows Cicero's exact knowledge and skill in the civil law, and that his public character and employment gave no interruption to his usual diligence in pleading causes. (Middleton's Life of Cicero, vol. i. p. 116. 4to edit.)

[3] Roscius, in the last period of the republic, was the comedian, whom all Rome admired for his talents. The great esteemed and loved him for his morals. Æsop the tragedian was his contemporary. Ambivius Turpio acted in most of Terence's plays, and seems to have been a manager of the theatre. Cicero, in the treatise "De Senectute," says: "He, who sat near him in the first rows, received the greatest pleasure; but still, those who were at the further end of the theatre, were delighted with him."

cate to each other: and in their letters, the glittering thought, given with sententious brevity, the poetical allusion that enlivened the discourse, and the dazzling imagery, are sure to be transmitted to their respective colonies and provinces. The ornaments of poetic diction are now required, not indeed copied from the rude obsolete style of Accius and Pacuvius,[1] but embellished with the graces of Horace, Virgil, and Lucan. In compliance with the taste of the age, our orators grow every day more polished and adorned. Let it not be said that their speeches are less effective, because they fall pleasingly on the ears of the judges. Are the temples, raised by our modern architects, of a weaker structure, because they are not formed with shapeless stones, but with polished marble, and lustrous gilding?

21. Shall I fairly own to you the impression which I generally receive from the ancient orators? They make me laugh, or lull me to sleep. Nor is this the case only when I read the orations of Canutius,[2] Arrius, Furnius, Torianus, and others of the same school, or rather, the same infirmary;[3] a lean and bloodless sickly race of orators, without sinew, colour, or proportion. But what shall be said of your admired Calvus?[4]

[1] Accius and Pacuvius flourished at Rome about the middle of the sixth century from the foundation of the city.

[2] There is in this place a blunder of the copyists, which almost makes the sentence unintelligible. Canutius may be the person mentioned by Suetonius, De Claris Rhetoribus. Cicero says of Arrius, that he was a striking proof of what consequence it was at Rome to be useful to others, and always ready to be subservient to their honour, or to ward off danger. For, by that assiduity, Arrius raised himself from a low beginning to wealth and honours, and was even ranked in the number of orators, though void of learning, and without genius or abilities. (De Claris Orat. s. 243.) Furnius may be supposed, not without probability, to be the person with whom Cicero corresponded. (Epist. ad Familiares, lib. x. ep. 25, 26.) With regard to Torianus we are left in the dark. The commentators offer various conjectures; but conjecture is often a specious amusement; the ingenious folly of men, who take pains to bewilder themselves, and reason only to show their useless learning.

[3] The puny orators are said to be in an infirmary, like sickly men, who were nothing but skin and bone. These, says Cicero, were admirers of the Attic manner; but it were to be wished that they had the wholesome blood, not merely the bones, of their favourite declaimers. (Cicero, De Claris Oratoribus.)

[4] What is here said of Calvus is not confirmed by the judgment of Quintilian. His orations, which were extant at the time of this Dialogue, are now totally lost.

Ie, I think, has left no less than one-and-twenty volumes: in he whole collection, there is not more than one or two short rations with which I am satisfied. Upon this point there is o difference of opinion. Who now reads his declamations gainst Asitius or Drusus? His speeches against Vatinius are ı the hands of the curious, particularly the second: for the ınguage is elegant; the sentiments are striking, and the ear ı satisfied with the roundness of the periods. In this speci- ıen we see that he had an idea of just composition, but his ɔnius was not equal to his judgment. What of the orations ' Cælius? Though upon the whole defective, they are not ithout their beauties. Some passages are highly finished. ı those we acknowledge the nice touches of modern ele- ınce. In general, however, the coarse expression, the halting ɔriod, and the vulgarity of the sentiments, have too much of ıe leaven of antiquity; nor do I think there is any one so ıamoured of the ancients as to admire him in that part of s character.

With regard to Julius Cæsar,[1] engaged as he was in vast ɜigns and enterprises, we may forgive him the want of at perfection which might, otherwise, be expected from so blime a genius. Brutus, in like manner, may be excused : account of his philosophical speculations. Both he and esar, in their oratorical attempts, fell short of themselves. ıeir warmest admirers acknowledge the fact, nor is there an ɜtance to the contrary, unless there be here and there a ıder of Cæsar's speech for Decius the Samnite,[2] and that of ·utus for king Deiotarus,[3] and others of the same languid and kewarm character; or some one to admire their verses; for rses they both made, and published too, I will not say, with ɔre merit than Cicero, but certainly with better fortune, for ver know of their existence.

Asinius too, though he lived nearer to our own times, gives

Here again Quintilian, that candid and able judge, has given a 'erent opinion. Cicero tells us, that of all the eminent orators, sar was the person who spoke the Latin language in the greatest ·ity, and arrived at that consummate perfection by study, by dili- ıt application, and his thorough knowledge of all polite literature. › Claris Orat. s. 252.)

Cæsar's speech for Decius the Samnite, and all his other produc- ıs (except the Commentaries), are totally lost.

This speech of Brutus is also lost with his other works.

me the idea of one who had studied among the Menenii and Appii; he certainly imitated Pacuvius and Accius, not only in his tragedies, but also in his orations, so cold and dry he is. But the beauty of an oration, like that of the human body, is then perfect, when the veins do not project, nor can the bones be counted; but a wholesome blood fills the limbs, rises up through the flesh, and mantles over the thews and sinews with the comely hue of health. I am not willing to disturb the memory of Corvinus Messala. If he did not reach the graces of modern composition, the defect does not seem to have sprung from choice. The vigour of his genius was not equal to his judgment.

22. I now come to Cicero, who had the same contest with those of his own times, as mine, my friends, with you. They, it seems, were favourers of the ancients; whilst he preferred the eloquence of his contemporaries: and, in truth, he excels the orators of his own age in nothing more remarkably than in the solidity of his judgment. He was the first who set a polish upon oratory; the first who cultivated delicacy of expression, and the art of composition. He introduced into his discourses passages of lively colouring, and phrases of pregnant brevity; particularly in his later performances, when much practice and experience had taught him a more improved manner. But his earlier compositions are not without the blemishes of antiquity. He is tedious in his exordiums, too circumstantial in his narrations, and careless in retrenching luxuriances. He seems not easily affected, and is but rarely fired; his periods[1] are seldom either properly rounded, or

[1] The words *sententia* and *sensus* were technical terms with the critics of antiquity. Quintilian gives the distinct meaning of each, with his usual precision. According to the established usage, the word *sensus* signified our ideas or conceptions, as they rise in the mind: by *sententia* was intended a proposition, in the close of a period, so expressed, as to dart a sudden brilliancy, for that reason called *lumen orationis*. He says, these artificial ornaments, which the ancients used but sparingly, were the constant practice of the modern orators. "Consuetudo jam tenuit, ut mente concepta, *sensus* vocaremus; lumina autem, præcipueque in clausulis posita, *sententias*. Quæ minus crebra apud antiquos, nostris temporibus modo carent." (Lib. viii. 5.) These luminous sentences, Quintilian says, may be called the eyes of an oration; but eyes are not to be placed in every part, lest the other members should lose their function. (Ibid.) As Cowley says,—

"Jewels at nose and lips but ill appear;
Rather than all things wit, let none be there."

ıappily pointed: he has nothing, in fine, you would wish to nake your own. His speeches, like a rude edifice, have ıtrength, indeed, and permanency; but are destitute of that ɛlegance and splendour which are necessary to render them ɔerfectly agreeable.

Now I would have the orator be like the man of wealth ınd station, for whom it is not enough that his house will ɛeep out the wind and the rain; it must strike the eye, and ɔresent a pleasing object. Nor will it suffice that the furni-ıure may answer all domestic purposes; it should have gold ınd gems so curiously wrought, that they will bear examina-ıion, often viewed, and always admired. The common uten-ils, which are either mean or sordid, should be carefully ɐemoved out of sight. In like manner, the true orator should ıvoid the trite and vulgar. Let him reject the antiquated ɔhrase, and whatever is covered with the rust of time; let his ıentiments be expressed with spirit, not in clumsy, ill-con-ıtructed periods, like those of a dull writer of annals; let him ɔanish low, insipid raillery, and let him know how to vary ıhe structure of his periods, so as not to end every sentence ʍith the same unvaried cadence.[1]

23. I will not expose the meanness of Cicero's conceits, such ıs his "wheel of Fortune,"[2] and his punning on the word

[1] In order to form a good style, the sentence should always be ılosed with variety, strength, and harmony. The ancient rhetoricians ıeld this to be so essentially requisite, that Quintilian has given it a ⅂ull discussion. That, he says, which offends the ear, will not easily ɟain admission to the mind. Words should be fitted to their places, ıo that they may aptly coalesce with one another. In building, the nost ill-shapen stones may be conveniently fixed; and in like manner, ı good style must have proper words in proper places, all arranged in ɔrder, and closing the sentence with grace and harmony. (Quintil. ib. ix. 4.)

[2] The remark in this place alludes to a passage in the oration against Piso, where we find a frivolous stroke of false wit. Cicero reproaches Piso for his dissolute manners, and his scandalous debauchery. "Who," he says, "in all that time, saw you sober? Who beheld you doing any ɔne thing, worthy of a liberal mind? Did you once appear in public? The house of your colleague resounded with songs and minstrels: he himself danced naked in the midst of his wanton company; and while he *wheeled* about with alacrity in the *circular motion* of the dance, he never once thought of *the wheel of fortune*." "Quis te illis diebus ɜobrium, quis agentem aliquid, quod esset libero dignum? Quis deni-que in publico vidit? Cum collegæ tui domus cantu et cymbalis per-ɜonaret: cumque ipse nudus in convivio saltaret, in quo ne tum quidem,

"Verres,"[1] nor his affectation of concluding almost every other period with, "as it should seem,"[2] instead of pointing them with some luminous and sententious turn. I mention even these with reluctance, and pass over many others of the same injudicious cast. It is singly, however, in little affectations of this kind, that they who are pleased to style themselves ancient orators seem to admire and imitate him. I shall content myself with describing their characters, without mentioning their names: but you are aware there are certain pretenders to taste who prefer Lucilius[3] to Horace,

cum illum suum *saltatorium versaret orbem, fortunæ rotam* pertimescebat."—Oratio in Pisonem, prima pars, s. 22. Delph. edit. vol. iii.

[1] The passage here alluded to, presents us with a double pun. The word *Verres* is the name of a man, and also signifies a *boar pig*, as we read in Horace, "Verris obliquum meditantis ictum." (Lib. iii. ode 22.) The word *jus* is likewise of twofold meaning, importing *law* and *sauce*, or broth; "tepidumque liguerit jus." (Lib. i. sat. 3.) The objection to Cicero is, that playing on both the words, and taking advantage of their ambiguous meaning, he says it could not be matter of wonder that the *Verrian jus* was such bad *hog-soup*. The wit (if it deserves that name) is mean enough; but, in justice to Cicero, it should be remembered, that he himself calls it frigid, and says, that the men who in their anger could be so very facetious as to blame the priest who did not sacrifice such a hog (Verres), were idle and ridiculous. He adds, that he should not descend to repeat such sayings (for they were neither witty, nor worthy of notice in such a cause), had he not thought it material to show, that the iniquity of Verres was, in the mouth of the vulgar, a subject of ridicule, and a proverbial joke. "Hinc illi homines erant, qui etiam ridiculi inveniebantur ex dolore: quorum alii, ut audistis, negabant mirandum esse, *jus* tam nequam esse *Verrinum:* alii etiam frigidiores erant; sed quia stomachabantur, ridiculi videbantur esse, cum *sacerdotem* execrabantur, qui *Verrem* tam nequam reliquisset. Quæ ego non commemorarem (neque enim perfacete dicta, neque porro hac severitate digna sunt) nisi vos id vellem recordari, istius nequitiam et iniquitatem tum in ore vulgi, atque communibus proverbiis esse versatam."—In Verrem, lib. i. pars tertia, s. 121.

[2] Quintilian acknowledges that the words "esse videatur" occur frequently in Cicero's Orations. He adds, that he knew several who fancied that they had performed wonders when they placed that phrase in the close of a sentence. (Quintil. lib. x. 2.)

[3] The species of composition called satire was altogether of Roman growth. Lucilius had the honour of being the inventor; and he succeeded so well, that even in Quintilian's time, his admirers preferred him not only to the writers who followed in the same way, but to all poets of every denomination. (Lib. x. 1.) The great critic, however, pronounces judgment in favour of Horace, who, he says, is more terse and pure; a more acute observer of life, and qualified by nature to touch the ridicule of the manners with the nicest hand.

and Lucretius to Virgil; who hold the eloquence of your favourite Bassus or Nonianus[1] in the utmost contempt, when compared with that of Sisenna[2] or Varro;[3] in a word, who despise the productions of our modern rhetoricians, yet are in raptures with those of Calvus. We see these men prosing in the courts of judicature after the manner of the ancients (as they call it), till they are deserted by the whole audience, and are scarce supportable even to their very clients; so dreary and squalid they are; so much is their boasted healthy sobriety an evidence of a sickly habit and valetudinary abstinence. No physician would call that a sound constitution, which requires constant care and anxiety of mind. To be only not indisposed, is but a small acquisition; it is spirits, vivacity, and vigour, that I require: he who can just say that he is well, and no more, is not far from being unwell.

Be it then (as with great ease it may, and in fact is) the

[1] Aufidius Bassus and Servilius Nonianus were writers of history.

[2] Sisenna, we are told by Cicero, was a man of learning, well skilled in the Roman language, acquainted with the laws and constitution of his country, and possessed of no small share of wit; but eloquence was not his element, and his practice in the forum was inconsiderable. (See De Claris Oratoribus, s. 228.) In a subsequent part of the same work, Cicero says, that Sisenna was of opinion, that to use uncommon words was the perfection of style. To prove this he relates a pleasant anecdote. One Caius Rufus carried on a prosecution. Sisenna appeared for the defendant; and, to express his contempt of his adversary, said that many parts of the charge deserved to be spit upon. For this purpose he coined so strange a word, that the prosecutor implored the protection of the judges. "I do not," said he, "understand Sisenna; I am circumvented; I fear that some snare is laid for me. What does he mean by *sputatilica*? I know that *sputa* is spittle: but what is *tilica*?" The court laughed at the oddity of a word so strangely compounded. "Rufio accusante Chritilium, Sisenna defendens dixit, quædam ejus *sputatilica* esse crimina. Tum Caius Rufius, 'Circumvenior,' inquit, 'judices, nisi subvenitis. Sisenna quid dicat nescio: metuo insidias. *Sputatilica!* quid est hoc? Sputa quid sit, scio; tilica nescio.' Maximi risus." (De Claris Oratoribus, s. 260.) Whether this was the same Sisenna who is said in the former quotation to have been a correct speaker, does not appear with any degree of certainty.

[3] Varro was universally allowed to be the most learned of the Romans. He wrote on several subjects with profound erudition. Quintilian says, he was completely master of the Latin language, and thoroughly conversant in the antiquities of Greece and Rome. His works will enlarge our sphere of knowledge, but can add nothing to eloquence. (Lib. x. 1.)

glorious distinction of you, my illustrious friends, to ennoble our age with the most refined eloquence. It is with infinite satisfaction, Messala, I observe, that you single out the liveliest models among the ancients for your imitation. You too, Maternus, and you, Secundus, so happily unite strength of sentiment with beauty of expression; such a pregnancy of imagination, such a symmetry of ordonnance distinguish your speeches; so copious or so concise is your elocution, as different occasions require; such gracefulness of style and such lucid terseness adorn and dignify your compositions: in a word, so absolutely you command the passions of your audience, and so happily temper your own, that, however the envy and malignity of the present age may withhold that applause which is so justly your due, posterity will surely speak of you as you well deserve.

24. As soon as Aper had concluded, You see, said Maternus, the zeal and ardour of our friend: in the cause of the moderns, what a torrent of eloquence! against the ancients, what a fund of varied invective! With what genius not alone and spirit, but with what erudition and art too he smites them with weapons borrowed from their own armoury! And yet all this vehemence must not deter you, Messala, from the performance of your promise. We do not want a formal defence of the ancients; complimented as we have been by Aper, not one of us thinks of comparing with those whom he has run down. He himself is of the same way of thinking, though, in imitation of the ancient manner much practised by your philosophers,[1] he has thought proper to take the wrong side of the question. In answer to his argument, we do not desire you to expatiate in praise of the ancients: their fame wants no addition. What we request is, an investigation of the causes which have produced so rapid a decline from the flourishing state of genuine eloquence. I call it rapid, since, according to Aper's own chronology, the period from the death of Cicero does not exceed one hundred and twenty years.

25. I will pursue the plan you have laid down to me, returned Messala. I shall not enter into the question with

[1] In the Dialogues of Plato and others of the Academic school, the ablest philosophers occasionally supported a wrong hypothesis, in order to provoke a thorough discussion of some important question.

Aper, (who, I think, is the first that ever made it one,) whether those who flourished above a century before us, can properly be styled ancients. I am not disposed to contend about words: let them be called ancients, or ancestors, or whatever other name he pleases, so it be allowed their oratory was superior to ours. I admit too, what he just now advanced, that there are various kinds of eloquence discernible in the same period; much more in different ages. But as among the Attic orators Demosthenes is placed in the first rank, then Æschines, Hyperides next, and after him Lysias and Lycurgus; and their æra is on all hands agreed to have been the prime season of oratory: so amongst us, Cicero is by universal consent preferred to all his contemporaries; whilst after him, Calvus, Asinius, Cæsar, Cælius, and Brutus, are justly acknowledged to have excelled all our preceding or subsequent orators. Nor is it material that they differ in manner, since they agree in kind. Calvus is terse; Asinius more flowing and harmonious; brilliancy of diction is Cæsar's characteristic; caustic bitterness is that of Cælius; Brutus is earnest; copiousness, strength, and vehemence are the predominant qualities in Cicero. Each of them, however, displays an equal soundness of eloquence; so that if you examine their works collectively, you may perceive a certain family likeness in their general tone of thought and method, though variously modified according to their respective peculiarities of genius. That they detracted from each other's merit, and that there are some remaining traces of mutual malignity in their letters, is not to be imputed to them as orators, but as men. Calvus, Asinius, and even Cicero himself, were liable, no doubt, to be infected with envy, hatred, and other human frailties and passions. Brutus alone I except from all imputations of malignity: he, I am persuaded, spoke what he thought in all frankness and singleness of heart; for can it be supposed that he should envy Cicero, who does not seem to have envied even Cæsar himself? As to Galba,[1] Lælius, and some others of the ancients, whom Aper has thought proper to condemn, I need not defend them,

[1] Servius Galba has been already mentioned, c. 18, note. Caius Lælius was consul A. U. C. 614, before the Christian era 140. He was the intimate friend of Scipio, and the patron of Lucilius, the first Roman satirist.

but am willing to admit that they have some defects, which must be ascribed to a growing and yet immature eloquence.

26. After all, if we must relinquish the nobler kind of oratory, and adopt some lower species, I should certainly prefer the impetuosity of Gracchus, or the ripe energy of Crassus, to the effeminate foppery of Mæcenas,[1] or the childish jingle of Gallio;[2] so much rather would I see eloquence clothed in the most rude and negligent garb, than decked out with false colours and meretricious ornament. There is something in our present manner of elocution which is so far from being oratorical, that it is not even manly; and one would imagine our modern pleaders, by their dainty sweet phrases, the inanity of their tuneful periods, and the wanton levity of their whole style, had a view to the stage in all their compositions. Accordingly, some of them are not

[1] The false taste of Mæcenas has been noted by the poets and critics who flourished after his death. His affected prettinesses are compared to the prim curls in which women and effeminate men tricked out their hair. Seneca, who was himself tainted with affectation, has left a beautiful epistle on the very question that makes the main subject of the present Dialogue. He points out the causes of the corrupt taste that debauched the eloquence of those times, and imputes the mischief to the degeneracy of the manners. Whatever the man was, such was the orator. "Talis oratio qualis vita." When ancient discipline relaxed, luxury succeeded, and language became delicate, brilliant, spangled with conceits. Simplicity was laid aside, and quaint expressions grew into fashion. Does the mind sink into languor, the body moves reluctantly. Is the man softened into effeminacy, you see it in his gait. Is he quick and eager, he walks with alacrity. The powers of the understanding are affected in the same manner. Having laid this down as his principle, Seneca proceeds to describe the soft delicacy of Mæcenas, and he finds the same vice in his phraseology. He cites a number of the lady-like terms, which the great patron of letters considered as exquisite beauties. In all this, says he, we see the man who walked the streets of Rome in his open and flowing robe. (Epist. cxiv.) What he has said of Mæcenas is perfectly just. The fopperies of that celebrated minister are in this Dialogue called *calamistri;* an allusion borrowed from Cicero, who praises the beautiful simplicity of Cæsar's Commentaries, and says there were men of a vicious taste, who wanted to apply the curling-iron, that is, to introduce the glitter of conceit and antithesis in the place of truth and nature. (De Claris Orat. s. 262.)

[2] Who Gallio was, is not clearly settled by the commentators. Quintilian (lib. iii. 1) makes mention of Gallio, who wrote a treatise of eloquence; and in the Annals (xv. 73) we find Junius Gallio, the brother of Seneca; but whether either of them is the person here intended, remains uncertain.

ashamed to boast (which one can scarce even mention without a blush) that their speeches are musical enough for the dancer's heel or the warbler's throat.[1] It is this depravity of taste which has given rise to the very indecent and preposterous, though very frequent expression, that such an orator speaks delicately, and such a dancer moves eloquently. I am willing to admit, therefore, that Cassius Severus,[2] (the single modern whom Aper has thought proper to name,) when compared to these his degenerate successors, may justly be deemed an orator; though, it is certain, in the greater part of his compositions there appears far more strength than spirit. He was the first who neglected chastity of style and propriety of method. Inexpert in the use of those very weapons with which he engaged, in his eagerness to attack he generally left himself unguarded; and, to speak plainly, he wrangled, but did not argue. Nevertheless, he is greatly superior, as I observed before, in the variety of his learning, the urbanity

[1] Pliny declares, without ceremony, that he was ashamed of the corrupt effeminate style that disgraced the courts of justice, and made him think of withdrawing from the forum. He calls it sing-song, and says that nothing but musical instruments could be added. (Lib. ii. epist. 14.) The chief aim of Persius in his first satire is levelled against the bad poets of his time, and also the spurious orators, who enervated their eloquence by antithesis, far-fetched metaphors, and points of wit, delivered with the softest tone of voice, and ridiculous airs of affectation.

> Fur es, ait Pedio: Pedius quid? Crimina rasis
> Librat in antithetis; doctus posuisse figuras
> Laudatur. Bellum hoc! hoc bellum! an Romule ceves?
> Men' moveat quippe, et, cantet si naufragus, assem
> Protulerim? Cantas, cum fracta te in trabe pictum
> Ex humero portes?—Persius, Sat. i. 85.

> "Theft, says the accuser, to thy charge I lay,
> O Pedius. What does gentle Pedius say?
> Studious to please the genius of the times,
> With periods, points, and tropes, he slurs his crimes.
> He lards with flourishes his long harangue:
> 'Tis fine, say'st thou. What! to be praised and hang?
> Effeminate Roman! shall such stuff prevail
> To tickle thee, and make thee wag thy tail?
> Say, should a shipwreck'd sailor sing his woe,
> Wouldst thou be moved to pity, and bestow
> An alms? What's more prepost'rous than to see
> A merry beggar? wit in misery!"—Dryden's Persius.

[2] For Cassius Severus, see c. 19, note.

of his wit, and the lustiness of his vigour, to those who succeeded him: not one of whom, however, has Aper ventured to bring into the field. I did imagine, that after having decried Asinius, and Cælius, and Calvus, he would have produced another phalanx of orators; that he would have named several champions, or at least an equal number, to match, man by man, against Cicero, Cæsar, and the rest in succession: on the contrary, he has distinctly and severally censured all the ancients, while he has ventured to commend the moderns in general only. He thought, perhaps, if he singled out some, he should draw upon himself the resentment of all the rest; for among the rhetoricians of the present day, is there one to be found who does not, in his own opinion, tower above Cicero, though he has the modesty to yield to Gabinianus?[1]

27. What Aper has omitted, I intend to perform. I shall produce his moderns by name, to the end that, by placing the example before our eyes, we may be able, more distinctly, to trace the steps by which the vigour of ancient eloquence has fallen to decay.—Maternus interrupted him. To it then, he said, and fulfil your promise. The superiority of the ancients is not in question. We want no proof of it. Upon that point my opinion is decided. But the causes of our rapid decline from ancient excellence remain to be unfolded. You have often turned your thoughts to this subject, as you told us a little while ago, when you certainly spoke in gentler terms, and with less ire against the eloquence of our day, before Aper offended you by mauling your oratorical fathers. —I am not at all offended, returned Messala, with the sentiments which Aper has advanced; neither must you, my friends, take umbrage if anything I may say sounds harshly in your ears, remembering always that it is an established law in debates of this kind, that every man may, with entire security, disclose his unreserved opinion.—Proceed then, replied Maternus, and when you speak of the ancients, do so with the ancient freedom: from which I suspect we have more widely degenerated than even from the ancient eloquence.

[1] Gabinianus was a teacher of rhetoric in the reign of Vespasian. Eusebius, in his Chronicon, eighth of Vespasian, says that Gabinianus, a celebrated rhetorician, was a teacher of eloquence in Gaul. His admirers deemed him another Cicero, and, after him, all such orators were called "Cicerones Gabiniani."

28. Messala resumed his discourse. The causes of the decay of eloquence are by no means difficult to be traced. They are, I believe, well known to you, Maternus, to Secundus, and even to Aper, though I am now, at your request, to expound what we all feel. For is it not obvious that eloquence, with the rest of the polite arts, has lost its former lustre, not for want of men, but through the dissipation of our young men, the inattention of parents, the ignorance of those who pretend to give instruction, and the total neglect of ancient discipline? The mischief began at Rome, it has overrun all Italy, and is now spreading through the provinces. You, however, know more than I of the state of your provinces in this respect, and therefore I shall confine myself to those peculiar and indigenous vices of the capital which beset our youth from their birth, and gather more and more upon them through every season of life. But before I enter on the subject, let me premise a few words on the strict discipline of our ancestors, in educating and training up their children. In the first place the son of every family was the legitimate offspring of a virtuous mother. The infant, as soon as born, was not consigned to the mean dwelling of a hireling nurse, but was reared and cherished in the bosom of its mother, whose highest praise it was to take care of her household affairs, and attend to her children. It was customary likewise for each family to choose some elderly female relation of approved conduct, to whose charge the children were committed. In her presence not one indecent word was uttered; nothing was done against propriety and good manners. The hours of study and serious employment were settled by her direction; and not only so, but even the diversions of the children were conducted with modest reserve and sanctity of manners. Thus it was that Cornelia,[1] the mother of the Gracchi, superintended the education of her illustrious issue.

[1] Cornelia, the mother of the two Gracchi, was daughter to the first Scipio Africanus. "The sons," Quintilian says, "owed much of their eloquence to the care and institutions of their mother, whose taste and learning were fully displayed in her letters, which were then in the hands of the public." (Quint. lib. i. 1.) To the same effect Cicero, De Claris Orat. s. 104. Again, Cicero says, "We have read the letters of Cornelia, the mother of the Gracchi, from which it appears, that the sons were educated, not so much in the lap of their mother, as her conversation." (Ibid. s. 211.) Pliny the elder

It was thus that Aurelia trained up Julius Cæsar; and thus Atia formed the mind of Augustus. The consequence of this regular discipline was, that the young mind, whole and sound, and unwarped by irregular passions, received the elements of the liberal arts with hearty avidity. Whatever was the peculiar bias, whether to the military art, the study of the laws, or the profession of eloquence, that engrossed the whole attention, that was imbibed thoroughly and totally.

29. In the present age what is our practice? The infant is committed to a Greek chambermaid, and a slave or two, chosen for the purpose, generally the worst of the whole household train, and unfit for any office of trust.[1] From the idle tales and gross absurdities of these people, the tender and uninstructed mind is suffered to receive its earliest impressions. Throughout the house not one servant cares what he says or does in the presence of his young master;[2] and indeed, how should it be otherwise? since the parents themselves are so far from training their young families to virtue and modesty, that they set them the first examples of luxury and licentiousness. Thus our youth gradually acquire a con-

informs us that a statue was erected to her memory, though Cato the Censor declaimed against showing so much honour to women, even in the provinces. But with all his vehemence he could not prevent it in the city of Rome. (Pliny, lib. xxxiv. 14.)

[1] Quintilian thinks the first elements of education so highly material, that he has two long chapters on the subject. He requires, in the first place, that the language of the nurses should be pure and correct. Their manners are of great importance, but, he adds, let them speak with propriety. It is to them that the infant first attends; he listens, and endeavours to imitate them. The first colour imbibed by yarn or thread is sure to last. What is bad generally adheres tenaciously. Let the child, therefore, not learn in his infancy what he must afterwards take pains to unlearn.

[2] Juvenal has one entire satire on the subject of education:—

> Nil dictu fœdum visuque hæc limina tangat,
> Intra quæ puer est. Procul hinc, procul inde puellæ
> Lenonum, et cantus pernoctantis parasiti.
> Maxima debetur puero reverentia.—Sat. xiv. 44.

> "Suffer no lewdness, no indecent speech,
> The apartment of the tender youth to reach.
> Far be from thence the glutton parasite,
> Who sings his drunken catches all the night.
> Boys from their parents may this reverence claim."
>
> Dryden's Juvenal.

ırmed habit of impudence, and a total disregard of that everence they owe both to themselves and to others. To say ruth, it seems as if a fondness for horses, actors, and gladitors,[1] the peculiar and distinguishing folly of this our city, 'as impressed upon them even in the womb: and when once passion of this contemptible sort has seized and engaged ıe mind, what opening is there left for the noble arts? Who ılks of anything else in our houses? If we enter the schools, hat other subjects of conversation do we hear among the boys? he preceptors themselves choose no other topic more freuently to entertain their hearers; for it is not by establishing strict discipline, or by giving proofs of their genius, that ıis order of men gain pupils, but by fawning and flattery. ot to mention how ill-instructed our youth are in the very

[1] The rage of the Romans for the diversions of the theatre, and ıblic spectacles of every kind, is often mentioned by Horace, Juvenal, d other writers under the emperors. Seneca says, that, at one time, ree ways were wanted to as many different theatres: "tribus eodem mpore theatris viæ postulantur." And again, The most illustrious of e Roman youth are no better than slaves to the pantomimic per-mers: "Ostendam nobilissimos juvenes mancipia pantomimorum." pist. 47.) It was for this reason that Petronius lays it down as a rule be observed by the young student, never to list himself in the rties and factions of the theatre:—

Neve plausor in scena
Sedeat redemptus, histrioniæ addictus.

is well known, that theatrical parties distracted the Roman citizens, l rose almost to frenzy. They were distinguished by the *green* l *blue*. Caligula, as we read in Suetonius, attached himself to the mer, and was so fond of the charioteers, who wore green liveries, ıt he lived for a considerable time in the stables, where their horses re kept. "Prasinæ factioni ita addictus et deditus, ut cœnaret in bulo assidue et maneret." (Life of Caligula, s. 55.) Montesquieu kons such party divisions among the causes that wrought the vnfall of the empire. "Constantinople," he says, "was split into) factions, the green and the blue, which owed their origin to the lination of the people to favour one set of charioteers in the circus ıer than another. These two parties raged in every city throughout empire, and their fury rose in proportion to the number of inhaınts. Justinian favoured the blues, who became so elate with le, that they trampled on the laws. All ties of friendship, all ural affection, and all relative duties were extinguished. Whole ilies were destroyed; and the empire was a scene of anarchy and l contention. He who felt himself capable of the most atrocious ds, declared himself a blue, and the greens were massacred with ıunity."—Montesquieu, Grandeur et Décadence des Romains, ch. xx.

elements of literature, sufficient pains are by no means taken in bringing them acquainted with the best authors, or in giving them a proper notion of history, together with a knowledge of men and things. The whole that seems to be considered in their education is, to find out a person for them called a rhetorician. I will presently give you some account of the introduction of this profession at Rome, and show you with what contempt it was received by our ancestors.

30. At present I must advert to that scheme of discipline which the ancient orators practised. Their unwearied diligence, their habits of meditation, and their constant exercises in every branch of study, are amply displayed in their own writings. The treatise of Cicero, called "Brutus,"[1] is in all our hands. In the latter part of that work, (the former part is employed in commemorating the ancient orators,) he gives a sketch of the several progressive steps by which he formed his eloquence. He there acquaints us, that he studied the civil law under Q. Mucius;[2] that he was instructed in the several branches of philosophy by Philo[3] the Academic, and Diodorus the Stoic; that, not satisfied with attending the lectures of those eminent masters, of whom there were at that

[1] This is the treatise or history of the most eminent orators (De Claris Oratoribus), which has been so often cited in the course of these notes. It is also entitled "Brutus," a work replete with the soundest critjcism, and by its variety and elegance always charming.

[2] Quintus Mucius Scævola was the great lawyer of his time. Cicero draws a comparison between him and Crassus. They were both engaged, on opposite sides, in a cause before the *centumviri*. Crassus proved himself the best lawyer among the orators of that day, and Scævola the most eloquent of the lawyers. (De Claris Orat. s. 145.) During the consulship of Sylla, A. U. C. 666, Cicero being then in the nineteenth year of his age, and wishing to acquire a competent knowledge of the principles of jurisprudence, attached himself to Mucius Scævola, who did not undertake the task of instructing pupils, but, by conversing freely with all who consulted him, gave a fair opportunity to those who thirsted after knowledge. (Ibid. s. 306.)

[3] Philo was a leading philosopher of the Academic school. To avoid the fury of Mithridates, who waged a long war with the Romans, he fled from Athens, and, with some of the most eminent of his fellow-citizens, repaired to Rome. Cicero was struck with his philosophy, and became his pupil. (De Claris Orat. s. 306.) Cicero adds, that he gave board and lodging, at his own house, to Diodotus the Stoic, and, under that master, employed himself in various branches of literature, but particularly in the study of logic, which may be considered as a mode of eloquence, contracted, close, and nervous. (Ibid. s. 309.)

ime great numbers in Rome, he made a voyage into Greece nd Asia,[1] in order to enlarge his knowledge, and embrace ıe whole circle of the sciences. Accordingly he appears by is writings to have been familiar with geometry, music, rammar, and every liberal art. He was versed in the subeties of ethics, and the practical lore of moral philosophy. [e had studied the operations of nature, and explored the ıuses of her phenomena. And thus it was, my worthy iends, that from deep learning and the confluence of many 'ts and universal science, that overflowing and exuberant oquence derived its strength and fulness. For it is not ith the oratorical power and faculty as with others, which 'e exercised within certain precise and determinate limits: ı the contrary, he alone can justly be deemed an orator, ho can speak on every subject gracefully, ornately, and perasively, in a manner suitable to the dignity of his subject, ıd with pleasure to his hearers.

31. So thought those renowned orators of old. In order, wever, to attain these eminent qualifications, they did not

[1] Cicero gives an account of his travels, which he undertook er having employed two years in the business of the forum, where gained an early reputation. At Athens he passed six months with ıtiochus, the principal philosopher of the Old Academy, and, under ; direction of that able master, resumed those abstract speculations ich he had cultivated from his earliest youth. Nor did he neglect ; rhetorical exercises. In that pursuit he was assisted by Demetrius ; Syrian, who was allowed to be a skilful preceptor. He passed m Greece into Asia; and, in the course of his travels through that ıntry, he lived in constant intercourse with Menippus of Stratonica, a n eminent for his learning; who, if to be neither frivolous nor uninligible is the character of Attic eloquence, might fairly be called a disle of that school. He met with many other professors of rhetoric, h as Dionysius of Magnesia, Æschylus of Cnidos, and Xenocles of ramyttium; but, not content with their assistance, he went to Rhodes, l renewed his friendship with Molo, whom he had heard at Rome, l knew to be an able pleader in real causes; a fine writer, and ıdicious critic, who could, with a just discernment of the beauties as ll as the faults of a composition, point out the road to excellence, l improve the taste of his scholars. In his attention to the Roman tor, the point he aimed at (Cicero will not say that he succeeded) ;, to lop away superfluous branches, and confine within its proper nnel a stream of eloquence, too apt to swell above all bounds, and rflow its banks. After two years thus spent in the pursuit of knowge and improvement in his oratorical profession, Cicero returned to ne almost a new man. (De Claris Orat. s. 315, 316.)

think it necessary to declaim in the schools,[1] and to exercise their tongues and their voices alone upon fictitious controversies, remote from all reality; but rather to fill their minds with such studies as concern life and manners, as treat of moral good and evil, of justice and injustice, of the decent and the unbecoming in actions, because these constitute the subject matter of the orator; for in the courts of law we generally descant on equity; in deliberations, on moral rectitude; whilst yet these two branches are not so absolutely distinct, but that they are frequently blended with each other. Now it is impossible to speak on such topics with fulness, variety, and elegance, unless the orator is perfectly well acquainted with human nature; unless he understands the power and extent of moral duties, the perversity of vice, and other things besides, which do not partake either of vice or virtue.

From the same source, likewise, he must derive his influence over the passions. He who knows the nature of indignation, will be able to kindle or allay that passion in the breast of the judge; and the advocate who has considered the effect of compassion, and from what secret springs it

[1] Quintilian, as well as Seneca, has left a collection of school-declamations, but he has given his opinion of all such performances. They are mere imitation, and, by consequence, have not the force and spirit which a real cause inspires. In public harangues the subject is founded in reality; in declamations all is fiction. (Lib. x. 2.) Petronius has given a lively description of the rhetoricians of his time. The consequence, he says, of their turgid style, and the pompous swell of sounding periods, has ever been the same: when their scholars enter the forum, they look as if they were transported into a new world. The teachers of rhetoric have been the bane of all true eloquence. (Petron. in Satyrico, c. 1, 2.) That gay writer, who passed his days in luxury and voluptuous pleasures (see his character, Annals, xvi. 18), was, amidst all his dissipation, a man of learning, and, at intervals, of deep reflection. He knew the value of true philosophy, and, therefore, directs the young orator to the Socratic school, and to that plan of education which we have before us in the present Dialogue. He bids his scholar begin with Homer, and there drink deep of the Pierian spring: after that, he recommends the moral system; and, when his mind is thus enlarged, he allows him to wield the arms of Demosthenes.

Det primos versibus annos,
Mæoniumque bibat felici pectore fontem:
Mox et Socratico plenus grege mutet habenas
Liber, et ingentis quatiat Demosthenis arma.

flows, will best know how to soften the mind, and melt it into tenderness. It is by these secrets of his art that the orator gains his influence. Whether he has to do with the prejudiced, the angry, the envious, the melancholy, or the timid, he can bridle their various passions, and hold the reins in his own hand. According to the disposition of each, he will apply his skill, and modify his speech, having the needful appliances in readiness for every occasion. Some there are who like best that close mode of oratory, which in a laconic manner states the facts, and forms an immediate conclusion: in that case, it is obvious how necessary it is to be a complete master of the rules of logic. Others admire a more diffuse and level style, illustrated by images drawn from common observation: towards moving such hearers the Peripatetic[1] writers will give him some assistance; and indeed they will, in general, supply him with many useful hints in all the different methods of popular address. The Academics[2]

[1] Cicero has left a book, entitled "Topica," in which he treats at large of the method of finding proper arguments. This, he observes, was executed by Aristotle, whom he pronounces the great master both of invention and judgment. (Ciceronis Topica, s. 6.) The sources from which arguments may be drawn are called *loci communes*, common places. To supply the orator with ample materials, and to render him copious on every subject, was the design of the Greek preceptor, and for that purpose he gave his *topica*. (Cicero, De Oratore.) Aristotle was the most eminent of Plato's scholars: he retired to a gymnasium, or place of exercise, in the neighbourhood of Athens, called the Lyceum, where, from a custom which he and his followers observed, of discussing points of philosophy as they walked in the porticos of the place, they obtained the name of Peripatetics, or the walking philosophers. (See Middleton's Life of Cicero, vol. ii. p. 537, 4to edit.)

[2] The Academic sect derived its origin from Socrates, and its name from a celebrated gymnasium, or place of exercise, in the suburbs of Athens, called the Academy, after Academus, who possessed it in the time of the Tyndaridæ. It was afterwards purchased, and dedicated to the public, for the convenience of walks and exercises for the citizens of Athens. It was gradually improved with plantations, groves, and porticos for the particular use of the professors or masters of the academic school, where several of them are said to have spent their lives, and to have resided so strictly, as scarce ever to have come within the city. (See Middleton's Life of Cicero, 4to edit. vol. ii. p. 536.) Plato and his followers continued to reside in the porticos of the academy. They chose

> "The green retreats
> Of Academus, and the thymy vale,
> Where, oft enchanted with Socratic sounds,
> Ilissus pure devolved his tuneful stream
> In gentle murmurs."—Akenside, Pleas. of Imag.

(For

will inspire him with a becoming warmth: Plato will give him loftiness, Xenophon suavity. Even the exclamatory manner of Epicurus,[1] or Metrodorus, may be found, in some circumstances, not altogether unserviceable. For take note that I am not laying down rules for building up an imaginary wise man, or a city of the Stoics, but for accomplishing one who ought not to confine his attention to any one sect, but gather freely from all. Accordingly, the ancient orators not only studied the civil laws, but also grammar, poetry, music, and geometry. Indeed, there are few causes (perhaps I might justly say there are none) wherein a skill in the first is not absolutely necessary; and there are many in which an acquaintance with the last-mentioned sciences is highly requisite.

32. Let no one object to me that "eloquence is the single

For dexterity in argument, the orator is referred to this school, for the reason given by Quintilian, who says that the custom of supporting an argument on either side of the question, approaches nearest to the orator's practice in forensic causes. (Lib. xii. 2.) Quintilian assures us that we are indebted to the Academic philosophy for the ablest orators, and it is to that school that Horace sends his poet for instruction:—

> Rem tibi Socraticæ poterunt ostendere chartæ,
> Verbaque provisam rem non invita sequentur.—Ars Poet. 310.

> "Good sense, that fountain of the muse's art,
> Let the rich page of Socrates impart;
> And if the mind with clear conception glow,
> The willing words in just expressions flow."—Francis's Horace.

[1] Epicurus made frequent use of the rhetorical figure called exclamation; and in his life, by Diogenes Laertius, we find a variety of instances. It is for that manner of giving animation to a discourse that Epicurus is mentioned in the Dialogue. For the rest, Quintilian tells us what to think of him. Epicurus, he says, dismisses the orator from his school, since he advises his pupil to pay no regard to science or to method. (Lib. xii. 2.) Metrodorus was the favourite disciple of Epicurus. Brotier says that a statue of the master and the scholar, with their heads joined together, was found at Rome in the year 1743.

It is worthy of notice, that except the Stoics, who, without aiming at elegance of language, argued closely and with vigour, Quintilian proscribes the remaining sects of philosophers. Aristippus, he says, placed his *summum bonum* in bodily pleasure, and therefore could be no friend to the strict regimen of the accomplished orator. Much less could Pyrrho be of use, since he doubted whether there was any such thing in existence as the judges before whom the cause must be pleaded. To him the party accused, and the senate, were alike nonentities. (Quintil. lib. xii. 2.)

ience requisite for the orator; an occasional recourse to the thers will be sufficient for all his purposes;" I answer, in ie first place, there will always be a remarkable difference in ie manner of applying what we take up, as it were, upon an, and what we properly possess; so that it will ever be anifest, whether the orator is indebted to others for what he oduces, or derives it from his own unborrowed fund. And, the next, the sciences throw an inexpressible grace over our mpositions, even where they are not immediately concerned; their effects are discernible where we least expect to find em. This powerful charm is not only distinguished by the arned and the judicious, but strikes even the most common id popular class of auditors; insomuch that one may fre-ently hear them applauding a speaker of this improved nd, as a man of genuine erudition; as enriched with the hole treasures of eloquence; and, in one word, a complete ator. But no man, I affirm, ever did, or ever can, main-in that exalted character, unless, like the soldier marching battle, armed at all points, he enters the forum equipped ith the whole panoply of knowledge. So much, however, is is principle neglected by our modern professors of oratory, at their pleadings are debased by the vilest colloquial bar-arisms; they are ignorant of the laws, unacquainted with ie acts of the senate; the common law of Rome they pro-ssedly ridicule, and philosophy they seem to regard as some-ing that ought to be shunned and dreaded. Thus eloquence, e a dethroned potentate, is banished her rightful dominions, id confined to barren points and low conceits: and she who as once mistress of the whole circle of sciences, and charmed ery beholder with the goodly appearance of her glorious ain, is now shorn and curtailed, stripped of all her honours, l her attendants, (I had almost said of all her genius,) and taken up as one of the meanest of the mechanic arts. his, therefore, I consider as the first, and the principal ason of our having so greatly declined from the spirit of ie ancients.

If I were called upon to support my opinion by autho-ties, might I not justly name, among the Grecians, Demos-enes? who, we are informed, constantly attended the ctures of Plato: so also, among our own countrymen, Cicero mself assures us, (and in these very words, if I rightly

remember,) that he owed whatever advances he had made in eloquence, not to the rhetoricians, but to the Academic philosophers.

Other and very considerable reasons might be produced for the decay of eloquence. But I leave them, my friends, as it is proper I should, to be mentioned by you; having performed my share in the examination of this question, and with a freedom which will give, I imagine, as usual, much offence. I am sure, at least, if certain of our contemporaries were to be informed of what I have here maintained, I should be told, that in laying it down as a maxim, that a knowledge both of law and philosophy are essential qualifications in an orator, I have been fondly pursuing a phantom of my own imagination.

33. I am so far from thinking, replied Maternus, that you have completed the part you undertook, that I should rather imagine you had only given us the first general sketch of your design. You have marked out to us, indeed, those sciences wherein the ancient orators were instructed, and have placed in strong contrast their successful industry with our sloth and ignorance. But something further still remains; and as you have shown us what was the vastness of their knowledge, and the littleness of our own, I would have you acquaint us also with the particular exercises by which the youth of those earlier days were wont to strengthen and improve their genius. For I think you will not deny that oratory is acquired by practice far better than by precept: and our friends here seem, by their countenances, to imply as much.

Aper and Secundus having signified their assent, Messala, resumed his discourse as follows:

Having then, as it should seem, disclosed to your satisfaction the seeds and first principles of ancient eloquence, by specifying the several studies in which the ancient orators were trained; I shall now lay before you the practical exercises they pursued, in order to gain a facility in the exertion of eloquence. Note, however, that the very act of studying implies practice; for it is impossible to acquire knowledge so various and recondite, without knowledge leading to reflection, reflection to grasp and command of thought, and this to ready power of utterance. Thus it appears that to learn what you

ıall deliver, and to be able to deliver what you have learned, ·e in principle one and the same. But if in this I appear) any one to speculate too abstrusely; if any one insist on parating knowledge from practice, at least he will not deny ıat a mind filled with manifold instruction will enter with so uch the more advantage upon those exercises peculiar to the atorical circus.

34. The practice of our ancestors was agreeable to this eory. The youth who was intended for public declamation, ıs introduced by his father, or some near relation, with all e advantages of home discipline and a mind furnished with eful knowledge, to the most eminent orator of the time, whom enceforth he attended upon all occasions; he listened with tention to his patron's pleadings in the tribunals of justice, d his public harangues before the people; he heard him in e warmth of argument; he noted his sudden replies; and us, in the field of battle, if I may so express myself, he ırned the first rudiments of rhetorical warfare. The advan-ges of this method are obvious: the young candidate gained urage, and improved his judgment; he studied in open day, ıidst the heat of the conflict, where nothing weak or idle uld be said with impunity; where everything absurd was ıtantly rebuked by the judge, exposed to ridicule by the versary, and condemned by the whole body of advocates.

this way they imbibed at once the pure and uncorrupted ·eams of genuine eloquence. But though they chiefly at-ıhed themselves to one particular orator, they heard like-ıe all the rest of their contemporary pleaders, in many of ıir respective debates; and they had an opportunity of ıuainting themselves with the various sentiments of the ıple, and of observing what pleased or disgusted them most the several orators of the forum. Thus they were supplied ;h an instructor of the best and most improving kind, ex-ıiting, not the feigned semblance of Eloquence, but her real l lively manifestation: not a pretended, but a genuine adver-y, armed in earnest for the combat; an audience, ever full l ever new, composed of foes as well as friends, and where ; a single expression could fall uncensured, or unapplauded. r you are aware that a solid and lasting reputation of elo-ıence must be acquired by the censure of our enemies, as ll as by the applause of our friends; or rather, indeed, it is

from the former that it derives its surest and most unquestioned strength and firmness. Under such a schooling, the youth of whom we are speaking, a disciple of all the orators; an attentive hearer of all judicial proceedings; instructed by the experience of others; daily conversant with the laws of his country; familiar with the faces of the judges, and the aspect of a full audience; and well acquainted with the popular taste, —might be called on to conduct a prosecution or a defence, and was equal to cope, single handed, with the difficulties of his task. Crassus, at the age of nineteen,[1] Cæsar at twenty-one, Pollio at twenty-two, and Calvus when he was but a few years older, pronounced those several speeches against Carbo, Dolabella, Cato, and Vatinius, which we read to this hour with admiration.

35. On the other hand, our modern youth[2] are sent to the mountebank schools of certain declaimers called rhetoricians: a set of men who made their first appearance in Rome a little before the time of Cicero. And that they were by no means approved by our ancestors, plainly appears from their being enjoined, under the censorship of Crassus and Domitius,[3] to shut up their *schools of impudence*, as Cicero expresses it. But I was going to say, our youths are sent to certain aca-

[1] There is in this place a trifling mistake, either in Messala, the speaker, or in the copyists. Crassus was born A. U. C. 614. (See c. 18, note.) Papirius Carbo, the person accused, was consul, A. U. C. 634, and the prosecution was in the following year, when Crassus expressly says, that he was then only one-and-twenty. (De Orat. lib. iii. 74.) Pliny the consul was another instance of early pleading. He says himself, that he began his career in the forum at the age of nineteen, and, after long practice, he could only see the functions of an orator as it were in a mist. (Lib. v. epist. 8.) Quintilian relates of Cæsar, Calvus, and Pollio, that they all three appeared at the bar, long before they arrived at their quæstorian age, which was seven-and-twenty.

[2] Lipsius, in his note on this passage, says, that he once thought the word *scena* in the text ought to be changed to *schola;* but he afterwards saw his mistake. The place of fictitious declamation and spurious eloquence, where the teachers played a ridiculous part, was properly called a theatrical scene.

[3] Lucius Licinius Crassus and Domitius Ænobarbus were censors A. U. C. 662. Aulus Gellius mentions a former expulsion of the rhetoricians, by a decree of the senate, in the consulship of Fannius Strabo and Valerius Messala, A. U. C. 593. He gives the words of the decree, and also of the edict, by which the teachers were banished by Crassus, several years after. See A. Gellius, Noctes Atticæ, lib. xv. 2. See also Suetonius, De Claris Rhet. s. 1.

emies, where it is hard to determine whether the place, the ompany, or the method of instruction is most likely to infect ie minds of young people, and produce a wrong turn of ought. There can be nothing to inspire respect in a place here all who enter it are of the same low degree of under-anding; nor any advantage to be received from their fellow-udents, where a parcel of boys and raw youths of unripe dgments harangue before each other, without the least fear danger of criticism. And as for their exercises, they are diculous in their very nature. They consist of two kinds, id are either persuasive or controversial. The first, as being sier and requiring less skill, is assigned to the younger lads; ie other is the task of more mature years. But, good gods! ith what incredible absurdity are they composed! And this a matter of course, for the style of the declamations must eds accord with the preposterous nature of the subjects. hus being taught to harangue[1] in a most pompous diction, the rewards due to tyrannicides, on the election to be

[1] Seneca has left a collection of declamations in the two kinds, viz. e persuasive and controversial. (See his Suasoriæ and Controversiæ.) the first class, the questions are, Whether Alexander should attempt e Indian Ocean? Whether he should enter Babylon when the augurs nounced impending danger? Whether Cicero, to appease the wrath Mark Antony, should burn all his works? The subjects in the ond class are more complex. A priestess was taken prisoner by a nd of pirates and sold to slavery. The purchaser abandoned her to ostitution. Her person being rendered venal, a soldier made his ers of gallantry. She desired the price of her prostituted charms; t the military man resolved to use force and insolence, and she bbed him in the attempt. For this she was prosecuted, and quitted. She then desired to be restored to her rank of priestess: at point was decided against her. These instances may serve as specimen of the trifling declamations, into which such a man as neca was betrayed by his own imagination. Petronius has described e literary farce of the schools. "Young men," he says, "were there ined up in folly, neither seeing nor hearing anything that could be use in the business of life. They were taught to think of nothing t pirates loaded with fetters on the sea-shore; tyrants by their icts commanding sons to murder their fathers; the responses of acles demanding a sacrifice of three or more virgins, in order to ate an epidemic pestilence. All these discourses, void of common se, are tricked out in the gaudy colours of exquisite eloquence, t, sweet, and seasoned to the palate. In this ridiculous boy's play e scholars trifle away their time; they are laughed at in the forum, d still worse, what they learn in their youth they do not forget at advanced age. (Petron. in Satyrico, c. 3, 4.)

made by deflowered virgins,[1] on the licentiousness of married women, on the ceremonies to be observed in times of pestilence, with other topics, which are daily debated in the schools, and scarce ever in the forum; when they come before the real judges * * *.[2]

36. * * * The spirit of genuine eloquence is kept alive, like a flame, by fresh materials, is excited by agitation, and grows brighter as it burns. The same causes produced the same effect at Rome, and sped the fire of oratory among our ancestors; for though our modern orators have achieved as much as was possible in a settled, peaceable, and happy state; yet their predecessors had manifestly a wider scope in times of turbulence and licence, when all was promiscuous confusion, uncontrolled by a single moderator, and when he was deemed the ablest orator, who had most influence over a restless and ungoverned multitude. Thence came incessant multiplication of laws, promoted by popular cries; thence those harangues of the magistrates prolonged almost to midnight, those impeachments of the great, those factions of the nobles, those hereditary enmities in particular families; and, in fine, those incessant struggles between the senate and the commons; all which, though they convulsed the state, yet certainly contributed to produce and encourage that rich vein of eloquence which discovered itself in those tempestuous days. The more a man signalized himself by his abilities in this art, so much the more easily he opened his road to preferment, and maintained an ascendant over his colleagues, at the same time that it heightened his interest with the nobles, his authority with the senate, and his reputation with the people in general. The patronage of these admired orators was courted even by

[1] It was one of the questions usually debated in these rhetoric schools, whether the woman who had been ravished should choose to marry the violator of her chastity, or rather have him put to death.

[2] Here unfortunately begins a chasm in the original. The words are, "Cum ad veros judices ventum est, ... rem cogitare . . . . nihil humile, nihil abjectum eloqui poterat." This is unintelligible. What follows from the words, "magna eloquentia sicut flamma," palpably belongs to Maternus, who is the last speaker in the Dialogue. The chasm, however, is probably not so great as many commentators have supposed; for according to Ritter and Doederlein, the common notion that Secundus took an active part in this discussion, is untenable, as appears from the conclusion of the Dialogue; which see.

reign nations;[1] magistrates, setting out for the provinces, ok care to show them the highest marks of honour, and as idiously cultivated their friendship at their return. Prætor- ips and consulships were bestowed on them without any licitation on their own part. Nor were they even in a private ıtion without great power, as their advice and influence ayed both the senate and the people. The truth is, it was established maxim in those days, that, without eloquence, man could either acquire or maintain any high position in ə state. And no wonder, indeed, that such notions should iversally prevail; since men so distinguished were forced, ıether they would or not, to appear before the people;[2] since was not sufficient merely to vote in the senate, without sup- rting that vote with good sense and eloquence; since in all blic impeachments or civil causes, the accused was obliged answer to the charge in his own person; since written ɔositions were not admitted in state trials, but the witnesses re called upon to deliver their evidence in open court. us our ancestors were eloquent, as much by urgent necessity by splendid encouragements. To be possessed of the power speech, was esteemed the highest glory; whilst the man o had no tongue was held in contempt. Thus they were ited to the pursuit of oratory, no less by the dread of me than by views of interest, lest they should be classed her as clients than as patrons; lest they should lose de- ıdents whom their ancestors had transmitted to them, and them mix in the train of others; in fine, lest being looked ɔn as men of mean abilities, they should either fail to ain high offices, or hold them by a precarious tenure.

The colonies, the provinces, and the nations that submitted to the nan arms, had their patrons in the capital, whom they courted with duity. It was this mark of distinction that raised the ambitious ɜen to the first honours in the state. To have a number of clients, ɾell at home as in the most important colonies, was the unremit- ; desire, the study and constant labour of all who aimed at pre- nence; insomuch that, in the time of the old republic, the men) wished to be distinguished patrons, impoverished, and often ıed their families, by their profusion and magnificence. They paid rt to the common people, to the provinces, and states in alliance ı Rome; and, in their turn, they received the homage of their ıts. See Annals, iii. 55.

Forced, that is, by the tribunes of the people. Thus Cicero was luced by Apuleius, in the consulship of Antony, and delivered his h Philippic against him.

37. I know not whether those ancient historical pieces, which were lately collected and published by Mucianus,[1] from the old libraries where they have hitherto been preserved, have yet fallen into your hands. This collection consists of eleven volumes of the public journals, and three of epistles; by which it appears that Pompey and Crassus[2] gained as much advantage from their eloquence as their arms; that Lucullus, Metellus, Lentulus, Curio,[3] and the rest of those distinguished

[1] Suetonius relates that Vespasian, having undertaken to restore three thousand brazen plates, which had perished in the conflagration of the capitol (see Hist. iii. 71), ordered a diligent search to be made for copies, and thereby furnished the government with a collection of curious and ancient records, containing the decrees of the senate, acts of the commons, and treaties of alliance, almost from the building of the city. (Suetonius, Life of Vespasian, s. 8.) This, with the addition of speeches and letters composed by men of eminence, was, most probably, the collection published by Mucianus. We may be sure that it contained a fund of information, and curious materials for history, but the whole is unfortunately lost.

[2] The person intended in this place must not be confounded with Lucius Crassus, the orator celebrated by Cicero in the dialogue De Oratore. What is here said relates to Marcus Crassus, who was joined in the triumvirate with Pompey and Cæsar; a man famous for his riches, his avarice, and his misfortunes. While Cæsar was engaged in Gaul, and Pompey in Spain, Crassus invaded Asia, where, in a battle with the Parthians, his whole army was cut to pieces. He himself was in danger of being taken prisoner, but he fell by the sword of the enemy. His head was cut off, and carried to Orodes, the Parthian king, who ordered liquid gold to be infused into his mouth, that he who thirsted for gold might be glutted with it after his death. (Cicero, De Claris Oratoribus, s. 233.)

[3] Lentulus succeeded more by his action than by real ability. With a quick and animated countenance, he was not a man of penetration; though fluent in speech, he had no command of words. His voice was sweet and melodious; his action graceful; and with those advantages he was able to conceal all other defects. (Cicero, De Claris Oratoribus, s. 234.) Metellus, Lucullus, and Curio are mentioned by Cicero in the same work. Curio was a senator of great spirit and popularity. He exerted himself with zeal and ardour for the legal constitution and the liberties of his country against the ambition of Julius Cæsar, but afterwards sold himself to that artful politician, and favoured his designs. The calamities that followed are by the best historians laid to his charge. Lucan says of him,—

'Audax venali comitatur Curio lingua;
Vox quondam populi, libertatemque tueri
Ausus, et armatos plebi miscere potentes.—Phars. lib. i. 269.

And again,—

Momentumque fuit mutatus Curio rerum,
Gallorum captus spoliis, et Cæsaris auro.—Lib. iv. 849.

iefs, devoted themselves with great application to this insi-
iating art; in a word, that not a single person in those times
se to any considerable degree of power, without the assist-
ce of the rhetorical talents. To these considerations may
further added, that the dignity and importance of the
bates in which the ancients were engaged, contributed
eatly to advance their eloquence. A vast difference, indeed,
makes, whether the orator is to harangue only upon some
fling robbery, or a little paltry form of pleading; or upon
ch topics as bribery at elections, the oppression of our allies,
the massacre of our fellow-citizens. Evils these, which,
yond all peradventure, it were better should never happen;
d we have reason to rejoice that we live under a government
iere we are strangers to such terrible calamities: still it
ist be acknowledged, that wherever they did happen, they
re wonderful incentives to eloquence. For the orator's
nius rises and expands in proportion to the dignity of the
asion upon which it is exerted; and no magnificent speech
s ever yet delivered except upon a subject of adequate im-
rtance. Thus the speeches of Demosthenes against his
ardians[1] scarcely, I imagine, established his character; nor
s it the defence of Archias, or of Quinctius, that acquired
Cicero the reputation of a consummate orator. It was
tiline, and Milo, and Verres, and Mark Antony, that in-
ted him with his unequalled fame. Far am I from insi-
ating, that such infamous characters deserve to be tolerated
a state, in order to supply convenient matter of oratory:
I contend for is, that this art flourishes to most advantage
turbulent times. Peace, no doubt, is infinitely preferable
war; but it is the latter only that forms the soldier. It is
t the same with Eloquence: the oftener she enters, if I
y so say, the field of battle, the more wounds she gives

[1] Demosthenes, when not more than seven years old, lost his father, was left under the care of three guardians, who thought an orphan ful prey, and did not scruple to embezzle his effects. 'In the meane, Demosthenes pursued a plan of education without the aid or ice of his tutors. He became the scholar of Isocrates, and he was hearer of Plato. Under those masters his progress was such, that the age of seventeen he was able to conduct a suit against his rdians. The young orator succeeded so well in that prelude to his ire fame, that the plunderers of the orphan's portion were conmed to refund a large sum. It is said that Demosthenes, afterds, released the whole or the greatest part.

and receives, the more powerful the adversary with whom she contends, so much the more ennobled she appears in the eyes of mankind, whose nature it is to look distastefully on what is tame and placid.

38. I proceed to another advantage of the ancient forum; I mean the form of proceeding and the rules of practice observed in those days. Our modern custom is, I grant, more conducive to truth and justice; but that of former times gave to eloquence a free career, and by consequence, greater weight and splendour. The advocate was not, as now, confined to a few hours;[1] he might adjourn as often as it suited his convenience; he might expatiate, as his genius prompted him: and the number of days, like that of the several patrons, was unlimited. Pompey, in his third consulship,[2] was the first who gave a check to eloquence, and, as it were, bridled its spirit, but still he left all causes to be tried according to law in the forum, and before the prætors. The importance of the business, which was decided in that court of justice, will be evident, if we compare it with the transactions before the centumvirs,[3] who at present have cognisance of all matters

[1] The rule for allowing a limited space of time for the hearing of causes, the extent of which could not be known, began, as Pliny the younger informs us, under the emperors, and was fully established for the reasons which he gives. The custom, he says, of allowing two water-glasses (*i. e.* two hour-glasses), or only one, and sometimes half a one, prevailed, because the advocates grew tired before the business was explained, and the judges were ready to decide before they understood the question. Pliny, with some indignation, asks, "Are we wiser than our ancestors? are the laws more just at present? Our ancestors allowed many hours, many days, and many adjournments, in every cause; and for my part, as often as I sit in judgment, I allow as much time as the advocate requires; for would it not be rashness to guess what space of time is necessary in a cause which has not been opened? But some unnecessary things may be said; and is it not better that what is unnecessary should be spoken, than that what is necessary should be omitted? And who can tell what is necessary till he has heard? Patience in a judge ought to be considered as one of the chief branches of his duty, as it certainly is of justice." See Plin. lib. vi. ep. 2.

[2] Pompey's third consulship was A. U. C. 702, B. C. 52. He was at first sole consul, and in six or seven months Metellus Scipio became his colleague.

[3] The centumviri, as mentioned c. 7, note, were a body of men composed of three out of every tribe, for the decision of such matters as the prætors referred to their judgment. The nature of the several causes that came before that judicature may be seen in the first book De Oratore.

hatever. We have not so much as one oration of Cicero or æsar, of Brutus, Cælius, or Calvus, or any other person mous for his eloquence, which was delivered before the last-ıentioned jurisdiction, excepting only the speeches of Asinius ollio[1] for the heirs of Urbinia. But those speeches were ɛlivered about the middle of the reign of Augustus, when, ter a long peace with foreign nations, and a profound tran-ıillity at home, that wise and politic prince had conquered l opposition, and not only triumphed over party and faction, ıt subdued eloquence itself.

39. What I am going to say will appear, perhaps, too inute; it may border on the ridiculous, and excite your irth: with all my heart; I will hazard it for that very ason. The dress now in use at the bar has an air of mean-ıss: the speaker is confined in a close robe,[2] and loses all e grace of action. The very courts of judicature are another jection; all causes are heard, at present, in little narrow oms, where spirit and strenuous exertion are unnecessary. ıe orator, like a generous steed, requires liberty and ample ace: before a scanty tribunal his spirit droops, and the ılness of the scene damps the powers of genius. Add to is, we pay no attention to style; and indeed how should we? o time is allowed for the beauties of composition: the judge lls upon you to begin, and you must obey, liable at the me time to frequent interruptions, while documents are ad, and witnesses examined. Two or three stragglers are esent, and to them the whole business seems to be trans-

[1] The question in this cause before the centumviri was, whether ısinius Figulus, the son of Urbinia, fled from his post in battle, and, ng taken prisoner, remained in captivity during a length of time, . he made his escape into Italy; or, as was contended by Asinius llio, whether the defendant did not serve under two masters, who ıctised physic, and, being discharged by them, voluntarily sell him-f as a slave? See Quintilian, lib. vii. 2.

[2] The advocates at that time wore a tight cloak, or mantle, like that ich the Romans used on a journey. Cicero, in his oration for Milo, ;ues that he who wore that inconvenient dress was not likely to have med a design against the life of any man. A travelling-cloak could e neither grace nor dignity to an orator at the bar. The business s transacted in a kind of chat with the judges; what room for quence, and that commanding action which springs from the otions of the soul, and inflames every breast with kindred passions? e cold inanimate orator is described by Quintilian, speaking with hand under his robe; "manum intra pallium continens."

acted in solitude. But the orator requires a different scene. He delights in clamour, tumult, and bursts of applause. Eloquence must have her theatre, as was the case in ancient times, when the forum was crowded with the first men in Rome; when a numerous train of clients, the people in their several tribes, and ambassadors from the colonies and a great part of Italy, attended to hear the debate; in short, when all Rome was interested in the event. We know that in the cases of Cornelius, Scaurus, Milo, Bestia, and Vatinius, the concourse was so great, that those several causes were tried before the whole body of the people. A scene so vast and magnificent was enough to inflame the most languid orator. The speeches delivered upon those occasions are in everybody's hands, and, by these above all others, we of this day estimate the genius of the respective authors.

40. If we now consider the frequent assemblies of the people, and the right of prosecuting the most eminent men in the state; if we reflect on the glory that sprung from the declared hostility of the most illustrious characters; if we recollect, that even Scipio, Sylla, and Pompey were not sheltered from the storms of eloquence; that the malignity of the human heart, always adverse to superior characters, encouraged the orator to persist; that the very players, by sarcastic allusions to men in power, gratified the public ear,—what a number of causes shall we see conspiring to rouse the spirit of the ancient forum! I am not speaking now of that temperate[1] faculty which delights in quiet times, supported by its own integrity, and the virtues of moderation. I speak of that great and notable eloquence, the offspring of that licentiousness, to which fools have given the name of liberty: I speak

[1] Maternus is now drawing to a conclusion, and therefore calls to mind the proposition with which he set out, viz. that the flame of oratory is kept alive by fresh materials, and always blazes forth in times of danger and public commotion. The unimpassioned style which suited the Areopagus of Athens, or the courts of Rome, where the advocate spoke by an hour-glass, does not deserve the name of genuine eloquence. The orations of Cicero for Marcellus, Ligarius, and king Deiotarus, were spoken before Cæsar, when he was master of the Roman world. In those speeches, what have we to admire, except delicacy of sentiment, and elegance of diction? How different from the torrent, tempest, and whirlwind of passion that roused, inflamed and commanded the senate and the people against Catiline and Mark Antony!

bold and turbulent oratory, that inflamer of the people, d constant companion of sedition; that fierce incendiary, at knows no compliance, and scorns to temporize; busy, sh, and arrogant, but, in quiet and well-regulated govern-nts, utterly unknown. Who ever heard of an orator at ete or Lacedæmon? In those states a system of rigorous cipline and rigorous laws were established. Macedonian d Persian eloquence are equally unknown. The same may said of every country, where the plan of government was ed and uniform. Rhodes and Athens (places of popular le, where all things lay open to all men) swarmed with tors innumerable. In the same manner, Rome, while she s under no settled policy; while she was torn with parties, sensions, and factions; while there was no peace in the um, no harmony in the senate, no moderation in the judges; ile there was neither reverence paid to superiors, nor bounds scribed to magistrates,—Rome, under these circumstances, duced, beyond all dispute, a stronger and brighter vein of quence; as in the wild uncultivated field certain plants l flourish with uncommon vigour. But the tongue of the cchi did nowise compensate the republic for their seditious s; nor the superior eloquence of Cicero make him any nds for his sad catastrophe.

1. So too our modern forum (that single relic which survives of ancient oratory) gives proof that all things the state are not even yet conducted in that perfectly l-ordered manner one could wish. For, tell me, is it not guilty or the miserable alone, who fly to us for assistance? en any community implores our protection, is it not be-e it either is insulted by some neighbouring state, or by domestic feuds? And what province ever seeks our onage, till she has been plundered or oppressed? But better it surely is, never to have been injured, than last to be redressed. If there was a government in world free from commotions and disturbances, the pro-on of oratory would there be as useless, as that of medicine ne sound: and as the physician would have little practice rofit among the healthy and the strong, so neither would orator have much business or honour where obedience good manners universally prevail. To what purpose studied speeches in a senate, where the better and the

major part of the assembly are already of one mind? What the expediency of haranguing the populace, where public affairs are not determined by the voice of an ignorant and giddy multitude, but by the steady wisdom of a single person? To what end voluntary informations, where crimes are unfrequent and inconsiderable? or of laboured and invidious defences, where the clemency of the judge is ever on the side of the accused? Believe me then, my worthy (and, as far as the circumstances of the age require, my eloquent) friends, had the gods reversed the date of your existence, and placed you in the times of those ancients we so much admire, and them in yours; you would not have fallen short of that glorious spirit which distinguished their oratory, nor would they have been destitute of a proper temperance and moderation. But since a high reputation for eloquence is not consistent with great repose in the public, let every age enjoy its own peculiar advantages, without derogating from those of a former.

42. Maternus having ended, Messala observed, that some of the points which his friend had laid down, were not perfectly agreeable to his sentiments; and there were others, which he wished to hear explained more at large: but the time is now, said he, too far advanced.—If I have maintained anything, replied Maternus, which seems to require explanation, I shall be ready to clear it up in some future conference: at the same time, rising from his seat and embracing Aper; Messala and I (continued he smiling) shall arraign you, be well assured, before the poets and admirers of the ancients.—And I both of you (returned Aper) before the rhetoricians.[1] Thus we parted in mutual good-humour.

[1] Only three speakers are here mentioned, Maternus, Messala, and Aper, whence Ritter infers with great probability that Secundus took no direct part in the controversy.

INDEX.

INDEX.

Abdagases, the Parthian, his great power, i. 237; supports Tiridates, 237; is vested with the whole power of the party, 240; his unfortunate counsel to Tiridates, 241.

Abdus, the eunuch, his authority in Parthia, i. 232; is poisoned by Artabanus, 233.

Accusations, their progress under Tiberius, i. 131; how incessant and prevailing, 178; the most pestilent calamity of the time, 216; frequency of accusation, and baseness of many of the accused in the time of Nero, 431, 432.

Accusers, what bloody and destructive instruments, i. 172; what powerful protection they find, 178; their fury continued, 198; law against them under Otho, ii. 75. See Informers.

Accusers, public, their safety in proportion to the public hate, i. 178.

Aceronia, one of Agrippina's women, mistaken for her lady, and murdered, i. 356.

Aceronius, Cneius, consul, i. 242.

Achaia, the government of that province changed, i. 53.

Achaia and Asia alarmed with a counterfeit Nero, ii. 74.

Acilius, Marcus, consul, i. 309.

Acratus, freedman to Nero, a pestilent instrument and spoiler, i. 424.

Acte, Nero's mistress, i. 319; is introduced by Seneca, as an antidote against the enticements of Agrippina, 354.

Acutia condemned for treason, i. 243.

Adgandestrius, prince of the Chattians, offers to the senate to poison Arminius, i. 107; the reply, 107.

Adiabenians, their sufferings and complaints, i. 396.

Adoption of children for political purposes, i. 87.

Adoptions, fraudulent, their prevalence and iniquity, i. 407; a decree of senate against the abuse, 407.

Adorsians. See Eunones.

Adultery, punishment of, under Lex Julia, i. 86.

Æduans, insurrection of the, i. 133; admitted into the senate, 264.

Ægium, a Greek city, relieved of tribute, and why, i. 163.

Æsculapius, his temple at Pergamus found to be a genuine sanctuary, i. 163. See Coos.

Æstii, their customs, manners, and situation, ii. 338.

Afer, Domitius, a zealous accuser, i. 190; arraigns Claudia Pulchra, 190; he is more eloquent than upright, 190; pursues the profession of accuser, and attacks Quintilius Varus, 190; his vile motions, 199; his death and character, 199.

Afranius. See Burrus.

Africa, wars there, i. 87, 119; the forces there, 157.

Africanus, Julius, accused, i. 216.

Africanus, Sextius, i. 325; appointed to assess the Gauls, 382.

Agerinus, Agrippina's freedman, falsely charged with treason against Nero, and put in chains, i. 358.

Agrestis, Julius, a centurion, remarkable instance of his faith, fortitude, and firmness of spirit, ii. 170.

Agricola, Cneius Julius, introduction to the history of his life, ii. 344; his birth and descent, 347; manner and place of his education, 348; his prudent behaviour and conduct while young, 349; marries Domitia Deci-

diana, 350; his preferments, 350; his probity in all offices, 350; his address and behaviour to Cerealis, 352; is advanced by Vespasian to the rank of patrician, 352; and to the government of Aquitania, 352; his glorious character as a magistrate, 353; is called to the consulship, 353; his behaviour in Britain on his arrival there, 363; attacks the Ordovices, and defeats them, 363; conquers Mona, 364; his gallant behaviour thereupon, 364; he erects forts and garrisons throughout all the known parts of Britain, 366, 367; by his mild and gentle treatment of the Britons they are brought to love the Roman customs, 366; his skill in erecting forts, 367; passes the Frith, and subdues several nations, till then unknown, 368; places forces in that part of Britain fronting Ireland, 368; the disposal of his army to fight the Caledonians, 369; he fights and routs them, 370; leads his army to the Grampian Hills, 371; his animating speech to his army there, 374; he engages the Britons under command of Calgacus, 376; his behaviour and conduct, 376; entirely vanquishes them, 379; after the fight he receives hostages of the Britons, and orders his fleet and army into winter quarters, 379; he writes to the emperor a modest relation of these actions, 380; leaves the government of Britain, and arrives at Rome, 381; his reception by the emperor, 381; his behaviour and character, 381; by his signal merit he incurs the envy and hate of the emperor and his vicious courtiers, 382; is persuaded to petition the emperor to excuse his going as proconsul to Asia, 382; he dies, not without suspicion of being poisoned by Domitian's order, 384; glorious character of him, 385.

Agrippa, king of Judæa, his death, i. 285.

Agrippa, Asinius, consul, i. 176; his death and character, 196.

Agrippa, Fonteius, offers to implead Libo Drusus, i. 72; why his daughter not made chief vestal, 106.

Agrippa, Haterius, stands for the prætorship, i. 87; his severe sentence against Priscus, 137; his scandalous flattery, 142; his malice and debauched life, 214.

Agrippa, Julius, banished, i. 441.

Agrippa, Marcus, his character, dignities, and death, i. 3; all his children but one die a violent death, 119.

Agrippa, Posthumus, banished by his grandfather Augustus, at the instigation of Livia, i. 4; his character, 4; the first sacrifice upon the accession of Tiberius, 6.

Agrippa, Vibulenus, a Roman knight, poisons himself in the senate-house, i. 238.

Agrippina, wife to Germanicus, her fruitfulness, chastity, love for her husband, and vehement but virtuous spirit, i. 25; is hated by Livia, 25; her mournful departure from her husband during a sedition of the army, 30; is pitied by the soldiery, who thence relent, 30; her magnanimity and kind-treatment to the soldiers, 48; thence rouses the jealousy of Tiberius, 48; she embarks for Rome with her husband's ashes, and her children, 100; her virtue and forlorn lot, 100; her fleet meet that of Piso, 102; they each fear to engage, 102; she lands in Italy, 108; is received by a great conflux of people, 108; her indiscretion, 162; she is deceived by the arts of Sejanus, 162; her incorruptible chastity, 162; the universal sympathy and mourning of the people, 162; her vehemence, 190; her just reproaches upon Tiberius, 191; she desires a second husband, to protect her from her enemies, 191; is further inflamed by the secret agents of Sejanus, 191; her haughty behaviour, 191; guards and spies placed about her, 200; is arraigned in the senate by bitter letters from Tiberius, 207; an insurrection of the people in her behalf, 208; her tragical death, 227; the shameful rage of Tiberius against her after she was dead, 227; her character, 227.

Agrippina the younger, mother of Nero, writes Memoirs, i. 191; she is married to Cneius Domitius, 205; persecuted by Messalina, 255; recommended to Claudius for a wife, by Pallas, 273; caresses her uncle Claudius, and secures her marriage with him, 274; meditates a match between her son and his daughter, 274; her absolute sway, 277; her behaviour and character, 277; recalls Seneca from exile, and her reasons for this, 277; establishes a colony, and calls it by her own name, 287; what mighty power she assumes, 293; her management of the emperor, 295; removes Crispinus and Geta, captains of the guards, and in their room puts Burrus Afranius, 296; inveighs against Narcissus, 306; covets the gardens of Statilius Taurus, and procures his doom, 307; resolves on the death of Claudius, 310; procures the condemnation and death of Domitia Lepida, 310; employs Locusta to prepare poison for Claudius, 311; calls in the help of Xenophon, 312; affects great sorrow, caresses Britannicus and Octavia, 312; procures Nero to be declared emperor,

312; directs Julius Silanus to be murdered, 313; had directed the murder of his brother Lucius, 313; intends a torrent of slaughters, 314; her violent lust of dominion, and tempestuous spirit, 314; is created priestess to Claudius, 314; opposes the proceedings of the senate, 316; attempts to ascend the imperial tribunal, and to give audience to the ambassadors, 316; her fury against Acte, and against Nero, 320; she changes her arts, and even tempts him to incest, 320; waxes violent again, and reproaches him, 320; treats him with menaces, declares for Britannicus, regrets the murder of Claudius, and reviles Seneca and Burrus, 321; her consternation upon the murder of Britannicus, 323; caresses Octavia, amasses money, courts the officers and nobility, 324; is deprived of her guards, and forsaken by her wonted followers, 325; is charged with a conspiracy against her son, 325; heard in her defence, 326; the boldness of it, 326; insists on vengeance upon her accusers, and rewards to her friends, and obtains both, 327; tempts her son to incest, 354; her behaviour from her infancy, 354; is designedly shipwrecked, but escapes, 356; dissembles her apprehensions, 357; sympathy and concern of the populace upon discovering her danger, 358; her house beset with armed men, her domestics fly, the assassins enter, 358; her speech to them, her murder, 359; her humble funeral, 359; her end foretold, 359; shrieks said to be heard from her grave, 360; decrees of the senate against her memory, 361.

Agrippinian colony, its foundation, i. 287; its people slaughter the Germans dwelling among them, ii. 257; their treacherous destruction of the Chaucian and Frisian cohorts, 257.

Agrippinus, Paconius, accused, i. 460; his innocence, 461; and banishment, 463.

Albanians, whence sprung, i. 234.

Albinus, Luceius, governor of Mauritania, murdered, as also his wife, ii. 106.

Albucilla charged with devising charms against the life of Tiberius, i. 244; kills herself, 245.

Aletus, Marcus, chosen by the senate to survey and relieve the Asiatic cities ruined by an earthquake, i. 85.

Alexander, Tiberius, an illustrious Roman knight, an assistant to Corbulo in the East, i. 412.

Alexander, Tiberius, governor of Egypt, ii. 9.

Alliaria, wife of Sempronius Gracchus, i. 88.

Allies, those of Rome, what forces they furnished, i. 157.

Alphabetic characters, invention of, i. 256; the Roman alphabet added to by Claudius, 256.

Alphenus, Varus, abandons the army, i. 176.

Alpinus, Montanus, captain of a cohort, confirms to the troops of Vitellius the fate of the battle at Cremona, ii. 218; conveys orders to Civilis to forbear war, 219.

Alps, Maritime, their inhabitants presented with the privileges of Latium, i. 414.

Altinus, Julius, banished, i. 455.

Amber, where found, and by whom, ii. 339; conjecture about its production, 339; its nature and quality, 340.

Amisia, the river and town of that name, i. 60.

Ampius, Titus Flavianus, governor of Pannonia, ii. 124; is persuaded by Fuscus to join him, 137; in danger of being murdered by the soldiers, 141; is saved by Antonius, and departs from the army, 142.

Ampsivarians, expelled by the Chaucians, seek a new settlement, i. 350; are forced to wander from place to place, and at last perish, 351.

Ancharius, Priscus, accuses Cæsius Cordus, proconsul of Crete, i. 131.

Andecavii, revolt of the, i. 133; suppressed, 133.

Angli. See Longobards.

Angrivarii, account of their country and customs, ii. 323; revolt, and are chastised, i. 60; they submit, and are pardoned, 68.

Anicetus, Nero's freedman, commander of the fleet at Misenum, his contrivance for murdering Agrippina, i. 354; it miscarries, 356; undertakes to accomplish the murder, 358; after the murder of Agrippina, he is detested by her son, 393; yet prompted by him to feign an adulterous commerce with Octavia, 393; suffers a sort of banishment, and dies naturally, 394.

Anicetus, freedman to king Polemon, his adventures, i. 166.

Anicius, Cerialis, consul elect, moves for a temple to Nero, with the title of deification, i. 443; this motion why judged ominous, 443; his accusation and doom, 453.

Annius, Vivianus, son-in-law to Corbulo, commands the fifth legion, i. 413.

Anteius directs the building of a fleet, i. 59.

Anteius, Publius, made governor of Syria, but detained from possessing it, i. 327; he is accused, and swallows poison, 451.

Antioch, city of, cenotaph to Germanicus at, i. 105.
Antiochus, king of Cilicia, i. 305.
Antiochus, king of Commagena, his death, i. 81.
Antistius, Sosianus, tribune of the people, his conduct censured by the senate, i. 331; when prætor, writes a bitter satire against Nero, 383; hence arraigned for treason, 383; condemned to exile, and his estate confiscated, 384; afterwards becomes an informer, 451; again banished, ii. 229.
Antistius, Caius, consul, i. 154; his second consulship, 285.
Antonia, the daughter of Claudius, espoused to Cornelius Sylla, i. 327.
Antonia, the mother of Germanicus, i. 109.
Antonius, Flamma, convicted of extortion, and banished, i. 230.
Antonius, Haterius, poor through prodigality, but supported by Nero, i. 334.
Antonius, Lucius, an exile, his death and funeral honours, i. 185.
Antonius, Primus. See Primus.
Antony, his power swallowed up in that of Augustus, i. 2.
Apamea, the city of, overthrown by an earthquake, eased of tribute for five years, i. 306.
Aper, Marcus, an orator, ii. 391.
Aphrodisians, their claim to a sanctuary, whence, i. 145; what deity they worshipped, 145.
Apicata, the wife of Sejanus, divorced, i. 156; discovered the murder of Drusus, 161.
Apinius, Tiro, commands the revolters at Misenum, ii. 172.
Apion, king, bequeaths his kingdom to the Roman people, i. 365.
Apollinaris, Claudius, commander of the fleet at Misenum, when it revolted to Vespasian, ii. 172; escapes from Terracina, 188.
Apollo, Clarian, his oracles, how delivered at Colophon, i. 89.
Aponianus, Duillius, joins Antonius Primus, and brings with him the third legion, ii. 141.
Aponius, Saturninus, a general officer, ii. 140; narrowly escapes being murdured by the soldiers, 142; retires to Padua, 142.
Appius, Appianus, for his vices degraded from the senate, i. 85.
Apronius, Cæsianus, drives Tacfarinas and his forces back to the desert, i. 120.
Apronius, Lucius, a Roman knight, employed to carry the demands of the soldiery to Tiberius, i. 22; he is left behind by Germanicus to secure his retreat, 39; is distinguished with the ornaments of a triumph, 50; his flattery, 74.
Apronius, Lucius, governor and proconsul of Africa, his exemplary severity to the soldiers, i. 120; its influence, 120; protects young Gracchus, 163.
Apronius, Lucius, governor of Lower Germany, attacks the Frisians with various success, i. 203, 204.
Apuleius, Sextus, consul, i. 7.
Aquila, Julius, a Roman knight, commands some forces in Bosporus, i. 281; rewarded with the ornaments of the prætorship, 284.
Aquilia, punished for adultery, i. 183.
Aquillius, a principal centurion, ii. 206.
Ararius, Vulcatus, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Arbela, the great battle there, i. 279.
Archelaus, king of Cappadocia, under the displeasure of Tiberius, and why, i. 81; he is inveigled to Rome, accused, and dies, 81.
Argius buries his former master, Galba, ii. 35.
Argolicus, a noble Greek, falls by the cruelty of Tiberius, i. 223.
Arians. See Lygians.
Ariobarzanes, king of Armenia, killed by accident, i. 58.
Aristobulus created king of the Lesser Armenia by Nero, i. 317.
Armenia, contest about, between Rome and Parthia, i. 57; is evacuated both by the Romans and Parthians, 400.
Armenians, how estranged from the Romans, i. 57; their fickleness and situation, 90; they are faithless and double-minded, 334.
Armies, the mutinous, in Germany meditate an union, i. 27; a device to pacify them, but without effect, 28.
Arminius, a German chief, his character, i. 38; how violently enraged with the captivity of his wife, and that of his child yet unborn, 40; inflames the Cheruscans to arms, and inveighs against the Romans and Segestes, 41; retires to the deserts, 44; engages and distresses the Romans in a morass, 44; the latter are supported by Germanicus, 44; his conference with his brother Flavius, 61; suborns the Roman soldiers to desert, 63; harangues his men, 64; his bravery and escape, 56; his activity fails him, 67; is a champion for liberty, 84; animates his men by a speech, 84; defeats Maroboduus, 84; aims to enslave his country, 107; falls by the fraud of his kindred, 107; he was the deliverer of Germany, and a great captain, 107; and never conquered, 107.
Army, in Germany, mutiny in the, i. 17, 23; in Judæa and Syria, sworn to Otho, ii. 55; as is that in Africa, 55; the disposition of Otho's army, 64; it is commanded by Silvanus Titianus,

85; that led by Valens, its mutiny and insurrection, and how quelled, 87; both armies engage near Bedriacum, that of Vitellius obtains a victory, 95; Otho's army despatch deputies to treat of a peace, which is gladly embraced by that of Vitellius, 98; the meeting of the armies, 98; that of Vitellius let loose to spoil and ravage, 105; that of Otho, after his death, embarrasses Vitellius, 111; a great part of it discharged by him, 111; that in the East swears to Vespasian, 121; as does that under the command of Cæcina, 144; they expostulate, revolt, and put Cæcina in fetters, 144; they choose for leaders Fabius Fabûllus and Cassius Longus, 144; are beaten by Antonius, 147; are strengthened by fresh legions, renew the battle, and are again overcome, 154; are totally routed at Cremona, 155; revolt to Vespasian, 163; a terrible account of the conquering army, 168; Vitellius's army desert and revolt, 175; that of Vespasian, under the command of Antonius, arrives at Rome, which, after much resistance, they enter, 191; their cruelty and licentiousness, 192; the armies of Vocula and Civilis engage, 221; that of Civilis beaten, but not pursued, 221; the army of Julius Sabinus beaten by the Sequanians, 246; that of Tutor routed by Sextilius, 250; that of Valentinus, at Rigodulum, vanquished, and he taken by Cerealis, 251; the meeting of the legions from the Mediomatricians with those of Cerealis, 252; the army under Cerealis entrench themselves, 254; in that of the Germans, different opinions prevail, 255; upon debate, the advice of Tutor to attack is approved, 255; the order of the German army described, 255; that under Agricola routs the Caledonians, 369; that of the Britons, under Galgacus, their number and order, 371; that under Agricola, its disposition and order at the Grampian hills, 376; that under Galgacus and that of Agricola engage, 377; the former routed, 378; that of Agricola retires into winter quarters, 379.

Arpus, prince of the Cattians, his wife and daughter taken, i. 60.

Arretinus, Clemens, appointed commander of the prætorian guards in the room of Varus, ii. 247; his character, 247.

Arria, wife of Thrasea Pætus, desirous to die with him, i. 463.

Arrius, Varus. See Varus.

Arruntius, Lucius, incurs the displeasure of Tiberius, i. 12; is obnoxious for his great qualifications and fortune, 13; was accounted equal to the sovereignty by Augustus, 13; and thence doomed to destruction by Tiberius, 13: he is employed with Capito to restrain the Tiber within its banks, 54; is accused, 244; his fine and affecting reasoning, 244; kills himself, 245.

Arsamosata, a castle garrisoned by Cæsennius Pætus, i. 401.

Artabanus gains the kingdom of Parthia. i. 57; his embassy to Germanicus, 91; his arrogance and tyranny, 232; he despises Tiberius, and seizes Armenia for his son, 232; his politics, 232; his affairs ruined, and he flees from his kingdom, 235, 236; is accounted barbarously cruel, 239; is recalled by his subjects, 240; his activity and good conduct, 241; his success, 241.

Artavasdes, king of Armenia, deceived by Mark Antony, put in bonds, and slain, i. 57, 58.

Artaxata, the city of, burned and demolished, i. 340; a wonderful phenomenon there, 340.

Artaxias, king of Armenia, slain by a conspiracy of his kindred, i. 58.

Artaxias, or Zeno, made king of Armenia by Germanicus, i. 90.

Arulenus, Rusticus, tribune of the people, a daring proposal of his in behalf of Thrasea Pætus, i. 459; suffers death, ii. 346.

Aruseius, Lucius, put to death, i. 238.

Asclepiodotus, Cassius, his faithful and signal friendship to Soranus to the last, i. 463; hence despoiled of his whole fortune, and banished, 463.

Asia, twelve noble cities there overthrown by an earthquake, i. 85; they are relieved by Tiberius, 85; they decree a temple to Tiberius, the senate, and Livia, 164; contend for the honour of erecting the temple decreed to Tiberius and the senate, 191; their several pleas, 192; Smyrna preferred, 193.

Asiaticus, an infamous freedman, knighted by Vitellius, ii. 105; his execution demanded by the soldiers, 130; his death, 203.

Asiaticus, Valerius, accused and seized, i. 247; his voluntary death, 247.

Asiaticus, Valerius, consul elect, ii. 198.

Asinius, Caius, consul, i. 54.

Asinius, Lucius, consul, i. 383.

Asinius, Marcus, consul, i. 309.

Asper, Sulpicius, the centurion, zealous in the conspiracy against Nero, i. 425; his reproach to Nero, fortitude, and death, 438.

Asprenas, Calpurnius, governor of Galatia and Pamphylia, contrives the destruction of the counterfeit Nero, ii. 74.

Asprenas, Lucius, proconsul of Africa, i. 38.

Astrologers, a decree for driving them from Rome, i. 74; impudent deceivers, excluded by law, but entertained against it, ii. 18; banished out of Italy by Vitellius, 108; Thrasullus the astrologer, i. 224.
Astrology, judicial, its falsehood, i. 194.
Atilia, mother to Lucan, accused amongst the conspirators against Nero, i. 431; escapes trial, 441.
Atilius erects a large amphitheatre at Fidena, i. 197; is banished for its falling, 197.
Atimetus, a freedman, in a plot against Agrippina, i. 325; executed, 327.
Atticus, Curtius, by Tiberius doomed to die, i. 219.
Aufidienus, Rufus, insulted and abused by the mutinous soldiers, i. 17; his character, 17.
Augury of divine protection revived, i. 285.
Augusta, Nero's daughter by Poppæa, her birth, death, and deification, i. 409.
Augustani, a body of young Roman knights, i. 363.
Augustodunum, the capital of the Æduans, i. 133; all the noble youth of Gaul are instructed there in the liberal arts, 133.
Augustus, how he acquired the sovereign power, i. 2; under what title he assumed it, 2; the spirit of flattery prevailing in his reign, a check upon truth and writers, 2; by what arts and gradations he engrossed all power, and with what ease, 2; his politics to strengthen his usurpation, 3; the latter end of his reign why so peaceable, 4; he secretly visits his grandson Agrippa in exile, and is thence thought to be poisoned by Livia, 5; his last will produced, its tenor, and who his heirs, 8; his several funeral honours, and by whom proposed, 8; reflections upon his funeral, 9; various reasoning upon his life and empire, 9; he adopted Tiberius without liking him, and why, 11; a temple and worship decreed to him, 11; the register and summary kept by him of the state and forces of the empire, 11; his counsel against enlarging the empire, and why, 12; plays in honour of him, by whom annually celebrated, 14; college of priests and public games instituted in his honour, 38; his politics in relation to Egypt, 92; is unhappy in his family, 121; turns adultery into treason, and violates his own laws, 122; institutes laws proper for a single rule, 124; is wont to travel accompanied with Livia, 129; his easy elocution, 315.
Aulus, Plautius. See Plautius.
Aurelius, Cotta, supported by Nero though a prodigal, i. 334.
Aurelius, Marcus, consul, i. 109.
Aurelius, Pius, the senator, obtains a recompense for the loss of his house, i. 52.
Aviola, Acilius, commander of a legion, reduces some rebellious Gauls, i. 133.
Aviones. See Longobards.
Avitus, Dubius, commander in Germany, i. 349; his answer to Boiocalus, and measures against the Ampsivirians, 351.

Baduhenna, slaughter of the Romans at, i. 204.
Balbillus, Caius, made governor of Egypt, i. 327.
Balbus, Domitius, a will forged in his name, i. 379.
Balbus, Lelius, charges Acutia with treason, i. 243; his pestilent character and banishment, 245.
Bardanes, a competitor for the crown of Parthia, i. 252; his great vigour, 252; he gains the monarchy, 252; victories, tyranny, and assassination, 253.
Basilides, priest at Mount Carmel, ii. 119.
Bassus, Annius, commander of a legion, his character, ii. 167.
Bassus, Cesellius, a Carthaginian, upon the credit of a dream, promises immense treasure to Nero, i. 443; digs for it in vain, and after much labour spent kills himself in despair, 445.
Bassus, Lucilius, commander of Vitellius's fleet, his conference with Cæcina, ii. 134; he revolts to Vespasian, 143; his management, 143.
Bassus, Saleius, a poet, ii. 393.
Batavi, account and character of the, ii. 203.
Bathyllus, the pantomime performer, i. 38.
Battle in Germany, i. 39; others, 44, 65; in Narbon Gaul, between the forces of Otho and Vitellius, ii. 78; near Cremona, 84; of Castorum, 85; near Bedriacum, 97; another at the same place, 149; on the bank of the Rhine, 206; at Bonna, 210; at the camp near Gelduba, 219; between Julius Sabinus and the Sequanians, 246; between Cerealis and the Germans, 257; between Civilis and Cerealis, 277; between the Caledonians and Agricola, 369; between Galgacus and Agricola, 376.
Bedriacum, a village famous for several battles, ii. 84, 97; Vitellius views the heaps of the slain there without the least emotion, 114; battle there between the forces of Vespasian and Vitellius, 149.
Benefits, too large to be returned, their reward, ingratitude, i. 166.

Berenice, Queen, supports Vespasian's interest, ii. 121.
Bibulus, Caius, his reasonings in the senate against luxury, i. 138.
Blæsus, in dread of Tiberius, dies by his own hands, i. 239.
Blæsus, Junius, uncle to Sejanus, commands the legions in Pannonia, i. 15; his speeches and behaviour during their insurrection, 16, 17; his son deputed to the emperor by the soldiery, 17; he punishes some of the ring-leaders and puts them in bonds, 17; they are violently released by the rest, 18; he is in danger of being murdered by the soldiery, 18; named by Tiberius to the government of Africa, 130; his feats against Tacfarinas, 152; he is saluted Imperator by the legions, 153.
Blæsus, Junius, governor of Lyonese Gaul, furnishes Vitellius with a most magnificent train at his own charge, ii. 107; thus offends Vitellius, 107; his death sought by Vitellius, 160; is murdered by him, 160; his amiable character, 161.
Blæsus, Pedius, expelled the senate for corruption, i. 365.
Boadicea, a British queen, ignominiously used by the Romans, and her two daughters deflowered, i 372; leads her people to war, ii. 361; commands the British army, i. 376; her speech to them, 376; is defeated, and ends her life by poison, 377.
Boiocalus leads the Ampsivarians in quest of a new settlement, i. 350; his speech to Dubius Avitus, 350; his refusal of an advantageous offer to himself, and his resolute declaration, 351.
Bolanus, Vettius, commander of a legion sent to succour Tigranes, i. 207; ruler in Britain, ii. 352; his conduct and character there, 362.
Bononia, the residence of Valens, who entertains Vitellius there, ii. 114.
Brigantes, a people of Britain, reduced by Publius Ostorius, i. 290.
Briganticus, Julius, commander of a squadron of horse, revolts to Vitellius, ii. 83; he joins Sextilius Felix, 249; he is slain at Vada, 281.
Brinno, his character, ii. 206; is chosen leader of the Canninefates, 206.
Britain, its situation and description, ii. 354; first discovered to be an island, 354; its people and inhabitants, 355; their religion and character, 356; its clime and fertility, 357; its produce, 357; invasion of, by Cæsar, 359; its princes restore the shipwrecked Romans, i. 69; commotions there, 288; revolts, ii. 163; a great slaughter of the Romans, 372; short history of affairs there, 163; it is subdued and settled by Claudius, 359; account of several governors, 359; Agricola's conquests at his first coming, 363.
Britannicus, son of Claudius by Messalina, i. 254; not so popular as Lucius Domitius, afterwards Nero, 254; his forlorn condition, 286; is bereft of all his faithful servants and adherents, 296; he sings at a festival, and raises pity, 322; is poisoned, 322; his funeral, 323.
Britons, their religious rites, ii. 356; their manner of making war, 356; their government, 356; oppressed by the Romans, fly to arms, i. 372; they attack the colony of Camalodunum, and raze it, 373; observe no law of war, 375; their extreme cruelty, 375; their immense host and fierceness, 375; they are routed with prodigious slaughter, 377; their improvidence, famine, and stubbornness, 378; they wonder that the victorious Romans should be subservient to slaves, 378; they would not endure ill treatment from their governors, 359; consult how to shake off their bondage, 360; take arms and assail the Romans, 361; but are again reduced by Suetonius Paullinus, 361; by the mild government of Agricola are brought to cultivate arts and sciences, 367; and adopt the Roman customs, 367; are in great dismay at the sight of the Roman fleet, 369; the Caledonians engage Agricola, and are routed, 369; are undaunted at that loss, and by embassies and confederacies draw together in great numbers on the Grampian hills, 371; Calgacus's speech to them there, 372; attack Agricola, and are utterly vanquished, 377; their desperate and furious behaviour after their defeat, 379.
Bructeri, a German nation, described, ii. 323; fire their dwellings, are routed by the Romans, and their whole country laid waste, i. 42.
Brutus, the founder of liberty and the consulship, i. 1.
Burians. See Marsigni.
Burrus, Afranius, an officer of great renown, made captain of the guards to Claudius, i. 296; he governs, with Seneca, the youth of Nero, 314; diverts Nero from slaying his mother, and pleads for hearing her defence, 326; is falsely accused, and acquitted, 328; what share he had in the death of Agrippina, 326, 357; praises Nero's acting, but grieves for him, 363; his death, and loss to the public, 385; is thought to be poisoned by Nero, and sorely lamented, 385; his death weakens the interest of Seneca, 385.
Byzantium, the city of, its happy situation directed by Apollo, i. 309; its pre-

tensions to favour, 309, is exempted from tribute for five years, 309.

Cadicia, the wife of Scevinus, doomed to banishment unheard, i. 441.
Cadmus, from Phœnicia, instructs the Greeks in letters, i. 256.
Cæcilianus, the senator, punished for accusing Cotta, i. 216.
Cæcilianus, Domitius, an intimate friend of Thrasea Pætus, acquaints him with his doom, i. 463.
Cæcilianus, Magius, treason forged against him, i. 131.
Cæcina, Alienus, an abandoned commander of a legion, ii. 38; joins Vitelliius, 39; riots in spoil and blood, 48; seizes on the money of the Helvetians unjustly, and destroys many of them, 48; passes the Alps, 76; his behaviour changed for the better, 81; passes the Po, attempts to corrupt Otho's forces, and besieges Placentia, 82; is repulsed with loss, 83; repasses the Po, where more forces revolt to him, 83; vexed at his repulse, he meditates an ambuscade at Castores, 85; the design betrayed to Otho's generals, 85; the greatest part of his army routed, 86; is joined by Valens, 88; favoured by the soldiers, and why, 89; derides Valens, yet joins with him for the service of the cause; 89; feigns a design to pass the Po, 91; his character, 105; entertains Vitellius at Cremona, 113; reasons for suspecting his fidelity to Vitellius, 130; his behaviour while at the head of Vitellius's army, 133; his conference with Lucilius Bassus, 134; encamps between Hostilia and the river Tartarus, 140; has it in his power to destroy the forces of Vespasian, 140; sends letters to them, and agrees to revolt to them, 140; on the news of the revolt of the fleet he assembles the principal officers, who all swear to Vespasian, 143; they again revolt, and he is put in irons by them, 144; is sued to at Cremona, to intercede for them with Antonius, 155; is despised by the conquerors, 155; Antonius sends him to Vespasian, 155; judgment passed against him in the senate, 158.
Cæcina, Aulus, commands under Germanicus in the Lower Germany, i. 24; is forced to yield to the outrage of the soldiers, 24; is sent by Germanicus through the territories of the Bructeri to the river Amisia, 42; visits the scene of the defeat of Varus, 43; is beset by Arminius and the Germans at the causeway called the Long Bridges, 44; his brave counsel to his army in distress, 47; gains a victory over the Germans, 47; and is distinguished with the ornaments of a triumph, 50; directs the building of a fleet, 59; the ships described, 59.
Cæcina, Severus, his speech against any provincial magistrate carrying his wife along with him into his province, i. 128; his motion eluded, 130.
Cælius, Caius, consul, i. 80.
Cælius, Cursor, a Roman knight, convicted of forging a charge of treason, i. 131.
Cælius, Mount, consumed by fire, i. 197; its former name, and antiquity, 198.
Cæsar, the dictator, a great orator, i. 315.
Caia, a nickname, why given to Caligula, i. 215.
Caius, Cæsar, grandson to Augustus, his untimely death imputed to the fraud of Livia, i. 3.
Caius, Cæsar, settles Armenia, i. 58.
Caius, Volusius, a soldier of the third legion, the first who entered Cremona, ii. 154.
Caledonians, the, have recourse to arms, and advance against the Romans, ii. 368; they attack the Roman army, with success at first, but are vanquished, 369.
Calgacus. See Galgacus.
Caligula, son of Germanicus, why so called, i. 30; his nickname of Caia, 215; his history why falsified, 2; his encomium upon his grandmother Livia at her funeral, 206; his marriage with Claudia, 224; his savage humour and dissimulation, 224; his wild spirit, ambition, and dissimulation, 242; he is hated by Tiberius, yet left his successor, 242; history of his reign lost, 247; was a ready speaker, 315.
Callipedes, a nickname given to Tiberius, i. 34.
Callistus, a freedman, an old and wary courtier, i. 267.
Calpurnia, an illustrious lady, praised by Claudius, and thence persecuted and banished by Agrippina, i. 284; recalled from banishment by Nero, 361.
Calpurnia, a courtesan, denounces Messalina, i. 267.
Calpurnius, an eagle-bearer, protects Minucius Plancus from the fury of the soldiers, i. 29.
Calpurnius, Galerianus, his character, ii. 202; is murdered by Mucianus, 203.
Calpurnius, Lucius, consul, i. 196.
Calusidius, a common soldier, his brutal behaviour and boldness to Germanicus, i. 27.
Calvina banished from Italy, i. 276.
Calvisius instigated to accuse Agrippina, i. 325; is banished, 327; recalled from exile by Nero, 361.
Calvisius, Caius, consul, i. 186.
Camalodunum, a colony in Britain,

planted by Publius Ostorius, i. 290; insolence and tyranny of the veterans there, 372; insatiable avarice of the priests, 372; terrible apparitions and presages, 373.
Camerinus, Scribonianus, one who counterfeits that illustrious name proves to be a slave named Geta, and is put to death, ii. 115.
Camillus, Furius, proconsul of Africa, routs the Numidians and Moors under Tacfarinas, i. 87; and retrieves the ancient renown of his family, 88.
Camillus, Scribonianus, consul, i. 212.
Camp, Roman, gates of the, i. 46; the Old Camp, siege of, ii. 211; its capture, 242; the Prætorian camp, i. 155.
Campania desolated by a tempest, i. 450.
Campanus and Juvenalis, Tungrian chiefs, ii. 246.
Camurius, a soldier of the fifteenth legion, supposed to be the murderer of Galba, ii. 30.
Cangians, a people in Britain, ravaged by Publius Ostorius, i. 289.
Canninefates destroy or seize several of the Roman ships, ii. 258; also rout the Nervians, 258.
Canopus, by whom built, and whence named, i. 92.
Cantius, Publius, collects the tribute in Gaul, i. 59.
Capito, Ateius, employed with Lucius Arruntius to restrain the Tiber within its banks, i. 53; his great accomplishments, and infamous flattery, 150; his death and great acquirements, 153; his preferments and obsequiousness to power, 153.
Capito, Cossutianus, accused by the Cilicians and condemned, i. 334; he accuses Antistius the prætor, 383.
Capito, Fonteius, once proconsul of Asia, accused and acquitted, i. 178.
Capito, Insteius, præfect of the camp to Corbulo, his exploits, i. 338.
Capito, Lucilius, the emperor's procurator in Asia, accused by that province before the senate, i. 164; disavowed by Tiberius, and condemned, 164.
Capito, Valerius, recalled from exile by Nero, i. 361.
Capitol, by whom added to Rome, i. 285; burnt, ii. 103; ordered by Vespasian to be rebuilt, 235; direction of the work given to Lucius Vestinus, 235; the consecration, 235.
Cappadocia reduced to a province, i. 81; its taxes lessened, 90.
Capreæ, retreat of Tiberius to, i. 197; its natural strength, and fine climate, 199; its antiquity, 199.
Capua, that colony strengthened, i. 332.
Caractacus, a British king of great renown, at war with the Romans, i. 290; posts himself advantageously, and harangues his men, 290; is routed by Publius Ostorius, 292; flees to Queen Cartismandua, is by her delivered to the conquerors, and sent to Rome, 292; his great character, and solemn reception there, 292; his undaunted behaviour and speech to Claudius, 292; is pardoned with his wife and brother, and pompous decrees passed upon taking him, 293.
Carinas, Secundus, a creature of Nero's, learned, but void of virtue, i. 424.
Cariovalda, captain of the Batavians, after a brave resistance, is slain by the Germans, i. 62.
Carmel, Mount, account of the oracle there, ii. 119.
Carrhenes espouses the cause of Meherdates, i. 279; his bravery and defeat, 280.
Carsidius Sacerdos banished, i. 245.
Cartismandua, queen of the Brigantes, delivers up King Caractacus to the Romans, i. 292; is at war with Venusius, once her husband, and supported by the Romans, 295.
Casperius, a centurion, his honest spirit and behaviour, i. 299; is sent by Corbulo to Vologeses, and delivers his message sternly, 398.
Cassius, Caius, governor of Syria, conducts Meherdates to the Euphrates, i. 278; his civil accomplishments and strict military discipline, 278; his good advice to Meherdates, 279; his just observation in the senate, 340; his speech for the execution of all the slaves in a family, where one of them had murdered his lord, 380; without any guilt he is accused by Nero, 447; ridiculous and false charge against him, 447; is banished into Sardinia, 448.
Cassius, Chærea, a centurion, escapes in a mutiny by his courage, i. 24, afterwards kills Caligula, 24.
Cassius, Longus. See Longus.
Cassius, Lucius, marries Drusilla, granddaughter to Tiberius, i. 221; his family and character, 221.
Cassius, Severus, his petulant writings, their effect, i. 50; his virulent spirit, 169; dies in exile, 169.
Castorum, battle at, ii. 85.
Cato, Porcius, a tool of Sejanus, i. 200.
Catonius, Justus, a principal centurion, employed to carry the demands of the soldiery to Tiberius, i. 22.
Catti, their territories, customs, manner of making war, &c. described, ii. 320; their territories invaded by Germanicus, they are surprised and slaughtered by him, i. 38; their ravages in Germany, 287; they are routed, 287; are at war with the Hermundurians, their bloody vow and defeat, 351.

Catualda, a Gothic chief, drives out Maroboduus, i. 94; is himself expelled, and received under Roman protection, 94.
Catulinus, Blitius, banished, i. 441.
Catus, Firmius, a senator, base conduct of, i. 71; is expelled the senate, 175.
Catus, procurator in Britain, his rapaciousness, and escape into Gaul, i. 374.
Celer, Domitius, prompts the ambition of Cneius Piso, i. 101; attempts to corrupt the legions, 102.
Celer, Propertius, liberality of Tiberius to, i. 53.
Celer, Publius, a Roman knight, poisons Junius Silanus, i. 313; he is accused by the province of Asia, 334; though guilty, protected by Nero, 334.
Celer, Publius, an accuser, his character, ii. 202; convicted and sentenced, 225.
Celsus, Julius, a Roman knight, doomed to death, i. 221; he breaks his neck, 221.
Celsus, Marius, commands a legion under Corbulo in the East, i. 411; narrowly escapes being murdered, ii. 32; is appointed by Otho commander of foot and horse, 64; signal exploits by him, 85; is preferred to the consulship under Vitellius, 107.
Centurions, murder of, by the Roman soldiery, i. 19, 24; bravery in meeting death of those who conspired against Nero, 438.
Cepio Crispinus, an informer, i. 51; his charge against Granius Marcellus, 52.
Cerealis, Turullus, revolts to Vitellius, ii. 83.
Cerealis, Petilius, routed by the Britons, but escapes, i. 374; in danger from Vitellius, ii. 174; he fails in an attack against Vitellius's forces, 189; is appointed commander of the army against the revolted Germans by Mucianus, 247; arrives at Magontiacum, 249; is impatient of delay, and in three marches reaches Rigodulum, 251; there takes Valentinus and many other illustrious Belgians, 251; rebukes the soldiers who were for razing that city, 251; his speech to the legions that had revolted, and were returned to their duty, 252; to the Treverians and Lingones, 252; receives letters from Civilis and Classicus, assuring him of the death of Vespasian, and offering him the empire of the Gauls, 254; despatches the bearer of those letters to Domitian, 254; is surprised by the enemy, 256; and in danger of being vanquished, when by his own personal bravery he gains the victory, 256; his speech to the deserters, 256; by a hasty march he arrives at the Agrippinian colony to protect it, 257; attacks Civilis at the old entrenchment, 277; his encouraging speech to the army, 278; his troops defeat the enemy, 280; his conduct greatly censured for the loss of his ships, 282; fits out a fleet, and attacks Civilis, 283; ravages the islands of the Batavians, 283; his army in great distress, 283; his address in gaining the Germans to submit to the Romans, 283; is appointed governor of Britain, 352; his exploits there, 362.
Cestius, Caius, becomes an informer under Tiberius, i. 216.
Cethegus, Cornelius, consul, i. 165.
Chærea, Cassius. See Cassius, Chærea.
Chalcedon, the folly of its founders, i. 309.
Chaldæans. See Astrologers.
Chamavi, a German nation, their country and customs, ii. 323.
Charicles, the physician, his art to discover the illness of Tiberius, i. 245; informs Macro of the approaching end of Tiberius, 246.
Chaucians, the noblest German tribe, ii. 325; taken into the service of the Romans, i. 42; they commit hostilities against them, 258; their country and manners, ii. 325.
Cherusci, the, their country and manners, ii. 326; roused by Arminius to arms, i. 39; endeavour to cut off Cæcina and his legions, 44; their device in a battle, 64; they are attacked and routed, 65; are at war with the Suevians, 83; seek a king from Rome, 257.
Christ, our blessed Saviour, put to death under Pontius Pilate, procurator of Judæa, i. 423.
Christians, Tacitus's account of them, i. 423; are barbarously murdered by Nero, 423.
Cibyra, an Asiatic city, being overthrown by an earthquake, released from tribute for three years, i. 163.
Cilicians, their insurrections and depredations, i. 304; they defeat Curtius Severus, with a body of horse, and are reduced to peace by king Antiochus, 305.
Cilo, Julius, the Roman procurator in Pontus, carries Mithridates to Rome, i. 283; rewarded with the consular ornaments, 284.
Cimbri, account and character of them, ii. 327.
Cincian Law, revival of the, i. 250.
Cinithians, a people of Africa, i. 87.
Cinna, his domination but short, i. 2.
Circus, particular places allotted in it to the Roman knights, i. 414.
Citizens, Roman, their number under Claudius, i. 265.
Civilis, Claudius, his royal descent and

character, ii. 204; his speech to his army, 205; destroys the Roman forces on the Rhine, and takes twenty-four ships, 207; courts the alliance of the Gauls, 207; his speech to them, 207; engages Lupercus, and vanquishes him, 208; becomes master of a regular army, 211; besieges the Old Camp, 211; letter from Antonius to him by Montanus, 218; his speech and direction to Montanus, 219; despatches the bravest of his force to surprise Vocula, 219; his artifice to deceive the enemy, 220; cruelty to a Roman soldier, 220; throws off all disguise, and avows hostilities against the Roman people, 236; cuts his hair, which he had worn in pursuance of a vow, 242; his great cruelty, 242; his ambitious views, 242; his reason for forbearing the plunder of the Agrippinian colony, 244; determines to gain the neighbouring cities, 245; his speech to the Tungrians, 246; he traverses the Belgic deserts in search of Claudius Labeo, 249; he and Classicus send letters to Cerealis, asserting the death of Vespasian, and offer him the empire of the Gauls, 254; advises to delay engaging Cerealis, 255; his counsel overruled by Tutor and Classicus, 255; his army is defeated, 257; he supplies his army in Germany, and pitches his camp in the old entrenchments, 277; he diverts the course of the Rhine, 277; is attacked by Cerealis, 278; his order of battle, 278; his speech to the army, 279; is again defeated by Cerealis, 280; rallies again, and skirmishes in several places, 280; his fleet attacks that of Cerealis, and captures many vessels, 282; by the artifices of Cerealis he is obliged to yield himself to the Romans, 285; his speech to Cerealis, 285.

Classicianus, Julius, procurator in Britain, envies and traduces Suetonius, i. 378.

Classicus, commander of Treverian horse, his character, ii. 237; tenders the oath of sovereignty to the Gauls, 241; the direction of the war shared between him and Julius Tutor, 241; his arts to reduce the Old Camp, 241; elated with success, 243; is immersed in sloth and ease, 249; joins with Civilis in sending letters to Cerealis, 254; advises to attack Cerealis, 255; is defeated by him, 257; defeats some horse sent by Cerealis to Novesium, 258.

Claudia, daughter of Marcus Silanus, marries Caligula, i. 224; her death, 242.

Claudia Pulchra, a cousin of Agrippina, condemned, i. 190; Agrippina endeavours to save her, 190.

Claudius, the emperor, brother of Germanicus, i. 118, 243; his history why falsified, 2; means well, but his understanding defective, 243; succeeds to the empire, 247; settles the fees of pleaders, 250; restores Mithridates to the kingdom of Armenia, 251; is blind to the lewdness of his wife, 255; performs the office of censor, adds new letters to the Roman alphabet, brings water to Rome, 256; his representation concerning the college of soothsayers, 257; his speech in behalf of the Gauls, 263; his reformation in the senate, as censor, 264; he checks the flattery of Vipsanius the consul, 265; hears at last the infamy of his wife, 265; his family alarmed upon the empress's marrying Silius, 266; his terrible affright upon that marriage, 267; he inclines to relent towards her, but is hardened by Narcissus, 269; his affection returns, 270; always abandoned to the dominion of his wives, 272; has no discernment nor passions of his own, 274; marries his niece Agrippina, 274; his advice to Meherdates, 278; and to the Parthians, 278; adopts Nero, 286; the adoption confirmed by the senate, 286; his fifth consulship, 295; boasts his mercy without showing any, 302; degrades certain senators, 303; his absurd praises of Pallas, 303; is pushed by Agrippina upon all the measures of cruelty, 306; he raises the power of his freedmen as high as his own, or that of the laws, 307; his compliment and favour to his physician Xenophon, 308; his death portended by several presages, 309; a saying of his, in his drink, fatal to him, 310; is poisoned by the direction of Agrippina, 312; his death some time concealed, 312; his deification, and funeral praises, 313, 314; was capable of elegance of expression, when he studied the same, 315.

Claudius Labeo, commander of a Batavian squadron, and competitor with Civilis, sent prisoner to Frisia, ii. 209; escapes, and joins Vocula with a few forces, 238; skirmishes with, and defeats the Canninefates and Marsacians, 238; at the head of some new-raised forces, opposes Civilis, 246; is defeated, and escapes, 246.

Clemens, a slave of Agrippa Posthumus, his bold design and artifices, i. 79; he is seized by a device of Sallustius Crispus, and dispatched privately, 80.

Clemens, Julius, a centurion, i. 19; induces the mutineers in Pannonia to submit, 22.

Clemens, Salienus, accuses Junius Gallio, but is restrained by the senate, i. 442.

Cleonicus, a freedman of Seneca, i. 424.
Cleopatra, a courtesan, i. 267.
Clitæans, revolts of the, i. 239, 304.
Cluvius Rufus, Marcus, governor of Spain, his character, ii. 6, 110, 229; congratulates Vitellius, 110; is accused by Hilarius, and acquitted, 110.
Cœletæans, a people of Thrace, i. 131.
Cœranus, the philosopher, exhorts Plautus to die, i. 391.
Cogidunus, a king of the Britons, and friend to the Romans, ii. 359.
Colonies, those of Italy, with what respect they attended the ashes of Germanicus, i. 109; their sorrow and zeal, 109; the manner of planting them of old, 371; how much corrupted, 371.
Comet seen, observations of the vulgar upon it, i. 367.
Cominius, Caius, convicted of a libel against Tiberius, i. 174; is pardoned at the suit of his brother, 174.
Comitia, policy of Tiberius regarding the, i. 56.
Confarreation, that ceremony when used, i. 165.
Considius Æquus, a Roman knight, convicted of forging a charge of treason, i. 131.
Conspiracy against Nero, its suddenness and strength, i. 425; the reality of it questioned by the people, but asserted by Tacitus, 442; it is followed by many flattering decrees of senate, 443.
Conspirators, those against Nero governed by secret interest, i. 426; their slowness and procrastination, 427; are animated by a woman, 427; propose to dispatch Nero at Baiæ, but are opposed by Piso, 428; Piso's pretended and real motives, 428; agree to slay Nero in the circus, 429; are vastly numerous and faithful, 429; at length betray all their dearest friends and relations, 431; many executed, 434.
Consuls, their election under Tiberius, how uncertain, i. 56; his strange artifices in recommending or opposing candidates, 56; his plausible declarations upon that occasion, 56.
Consulship, by whom founded, i. 1.
Coos, Isle of, the people of, claim a right of sanctuary to the temple of Æsculapius, i. 163; their pretensions to the friendship of Rome, 163; they are exempted from all impositions by Claudius, 308; the island sacred to Æsculapius, and friendly to the Roman people, 308.
Corbulo, Domitius, his complaint against Lucius Sylla, i. 126; he has satisfaction made him, 126; and is appointed to inspect the repair of the public roads, 127; his severity in that office, 127; he is appointed governor of Lower Germany, 258; lays there the foundation of his future fame, and d
Gannascus the pirate, 259; the
severity of his discipline, 259; h
rifies the barbarians, and settle
rebellious Frisians, 259; he is e
and recalled, 260; yet allowed t
corations of triumph, 260; he c
canal between the Rhine and
Meuse, 260; is appointed by N
command in the East, 317; his
estimation and experience, 318
variance with Numidius Quadr
318; his difficulties in restorin
cipline to the army, 335; his pr
to Tiridates, 337; prosecutes th
with success, 338; his wary m
338; takes and razes the city A
ata, 339; his exploits and able
duct, 360; escapes great danger
rescues Armenia from the Part
and establishes therein Tigrane
Cappadocian, 370; withdraws t
government of Syria, 371; his
sels and measures against Volo
397; his embassy and remonst
to Vologeses, 398; reasonings
people upon his behaviour, 399
a bridge over the Euphrates,
baffles all the designs of the
thians upon Syria, 400; advan
succour Pætus, 402; laments th
asters and misconduct of Pætus
his demands on Vologeses, 406;
vested with unlimited power i
East against the Parthians, 41
sembles his army at Melitene,
appoints certain centurions to
with the Parthian ambassadors
his ravages in Armenia, and repu
in the East, 412; meets Tiridate
persuades him to resign the
diadem, 414; feasts him sumptu
and fills him with admiration
Romans, 413.
Corcyra, Isle of, i. 108.
Cordus, Cæsius, accused of publ
pine by the Cyrenæans, and
demned, i. 131, 150.
Cordus, Cremutius, the historia
raigned for praising Brutus an
sius, i. 176; his noble defence, 1
ends his life by abstinence, 17
books are condemned to be burn
yet continue dispersed and read,
Cornelia chosen chief vestal, i. 165
Cornelia, of the Cossian family,
a vestal, i. 409.
Cornelius accuses Mamercus Scau
231; he is convicted of bribery
banished, 231.
Cornelius Laco, minister to Galb
character, ii. 5; shares the sover
with Titus Vinius, 5, 10; his ign
and obstinacy, 23; meditates the
of Vinius, 29; is murdered by
mand of Otho, 33.

Cornutus, Cæcilius, accused, but innocent, i. 172; yet dies by his own hands, 172.
Corsica, Isle of, kept under obedience to Otho, ii. 79; nigh brought to destruction by Decimus Pecarius, the governor, 79; the people kill him in his bath, 80.
Corvinus, Messala, once governor of Rome, i. 177.
Cossus, Cornelius, consul, i. 176.
Cossutianus, the pleader, defends pleading for hire, i. 250.
ssutianus, Capito, his villanous spirit, and hatred to Thrasea, i. 455; his inflammatory speech against him to Nero, 456; begins the charge against Thrasea in the senate, 459; his reward, 463.
Cotta, Aurelius, the consul, his motion against Cneius Piso, i. 117, 118.
Cotys, king of Bosporus, his alliance with Eunones, prince of the Adorsians, against Mithridates, i. 281.
Cotys, one of the kings of Thrace, his peaceable character, i. 95; is deceived and murdered by Rhescuporis, 96.
Counsel, that of a woman the worst, i. 430.
Crassus, his power swallowed up in that of Cæsar, i. 2.
Crassus, Scribonianus, his character, ii. 224.
Cremona, battle at, ii. 84; Cæcina entertains Vitellius there, 113; is besieged by Antonius, 153; ravaged, burnt, and utterly destroyed, 156.
Crescens, Tarquitius, a centurion, bravery of, i. 402.
Crete, pretends to a right of sanctuary, i. 146.
Cretonius, Caius, his judgment of the mutinous legions, i. 32.
Crispinilla, Calvia, who had instigated Clodius Macer to revolt, and laboured to famish the people of Rome, her death demanded, ii. 53; she eludes the prosecution, and escapes her doom, 53.
Crispinus, captain of the guards to Claudius, distinguished with the prætorship, and a great reward in money, i. 249; his accusation and death, 453.
Crispinus, Rufus, why banished, i. 441.
Crispinus, Varius, a tribune of the prætorian guards, inadvertently the occasion of a dreadful tumult, ii. 58; is murdered in it, 58.
Crupellarii, what sort of forces, i. 134; are defeated, 136.
Cuma, shipwreck of the galleys there, i. 424.
Cumanus, Ventidius, governor of Galilee, his crimes and punishment, i. 304.
Curtisius, Titus, heads an insurrection of slaves in Italy, i. 171; is taken prisoner, 172.
Curtius, Atticus, a Roman knight, accompanies Tiberius in his retirement, i. 194.
Curtius, Rufus, his rise, character, and adventures, i. 260.
Cyprus, people of, claim a right of sanctuary to three of their temples, i. 145.
Cyrenians, their charge against Acilius Strabo, i. 365; its issue, 365.
Cyzicus, city of, loses its liberties, i. 178.

Dacians in arms, are quelled by Mucianus, ii. 164.
Dalmatia, two legions stationed there, i. 157.
Damaratus, the Corinthian, instructs the Etruscans in the use of letters, i. 256.
Dandarides. See Mithridates.
Danube, what legions guarded it, i. 157.
Deaths, voluntary, why so common in Rome, i. 230.
Decemvirate, how long it lasted, i. 2; why created, 123.
Decimation, instances of the punishment of, i. 120.
Decrius, a Roman officer, his signal bravery and death, i. 119.
Deities, Tacitus's reproach upon them, i. 463.
Demetrius, the philosopher, his discourse with Thrasea Pætus, i. 463; attends him at his death, 464.
Demetrius, an advocate for Publius Celer, one of the sect of the Cynics, ii. 225.
Demianus, Claudius, a convict employed to accuse Lucius Vetus, and released by Nero, i. 448.
Densus, Sempronius, centurion of a prætorian cohort, bravery of, ii. 31.
Deputies from the senate to Germanicus, obnoxious to the soldiers, i. 28.
Diana, her temple at Ephesus, its immunities, and whence derived, i. 145.
Dictators, when chosen, i. 1.
Didius, the Roman commander in Bosporus, i. 280.
Didius, Aulus, governor of Britain, his difficulties and proceedings there, i. 294.
Didius, Gallus, governor of Britain, ii. 360.
Dolabella, Cornelius, his ridiculous flattery, i. 136, 149.
Dolabella, Cornelius, a relative of Galba, his confinement, its cause, ii. 64; slain by order of Vitellius, 109.
Dolabella, Publius, proconsul in Africa, his successful exploits against Tacfarinas, i. 171; is ungratefully treated, but his glory increased, 171; is drawn in to accuse his kinsman Quintilius Varus, 199; his motion concerning the quæstors, 261.

Domitia, Decidiana, wife of Agricola, her birth and character, ii. 350.
Domitia, Lepida, aunt to Nero, her greatness, and evil character, i. 310; is accused and condemned to die, 310.
Domitian, son of Titus, kept in custody by Vitellius, ii. 174; escapes at the burning of the capitol, 186; is saluted Cæsar by the soldiery, and carried to his father's house, 194; his debauchery, 195; his carriage before the senate modest and graceful, 225; proposes all honours to be restored to Galba, 225; advises an amnesty, 229; proceeds against the Gauls, 247; persuaded by Mucianus to retire to Lyons, 263; he relinquishes all functions of government, and, to hide his designs, feigns a love for learning and poetry, 263; grows remarkable only for his debaucheries, 351.
Domitian, become Emperor, stung with envy at the news of Agricola's conquests in Britain, ii. 380; yet causes triumphal honours to be decreed to him, 380; his conduct in regard to Agricola, 383; is suspected of poisoning him, 384; his horrid cruelty, 386.
Domitius Afer, an orator, i. 190.
Domitius, Celer. See Celer, Domitius.
Domitius, Cneius, marries the younger Agrippina, i. 205; his family and descent, 205; he is consul, 212; is accused, but escapes, 244.
Domitius, Lucius, his quality, exploits, and death, i. 185.
Domitius, the only remaining male descendant of Germanicus, i. 254; betrothed to Octavia, the daughter of Claudius, 277; adopted by him, 286; styled prince of the Roman youth, 295; his marriage, 306. See Nero, the emperor.
Doryphorus, the imperial freedman, his death, i. 395; supposed to have been poisoned, 395.
Druids prophesy the destruction of the Roman power, ii. 236; their direful curses upon the Roman army, i. 372; their barbarous superstition and human sacrifices, 373; their groves cut down, 373.
Drusilla, the daughter of Germanicus, i. 109; married to Lucius Cassius, 221.
Drusus, father of Germanicus, his high preferment and death, i. 3; his great popularity, and its cause, 25; the canal cut by him in Germany, and the altar raised there, 60; his manes invoked by his son, 60; how pompously buried, 110.
Drusus, son of Germanicus, i. 109, 156; imprisoned, 211; starved to death, 226; a counterfeit Drusus appears in Greece, 211.
Drusus, son of Tiberius, i. 5; sent by his father to pacify the mutinous legions in Pannonia, 19; their stern behaviour, 19; reads his father's letters to them, 20; his offers do but enrage them, 20; takes advantage of the superstition of the soldiery, 21; the reasonings of those employed by him to reclaim them, 22; with what spirit he spoke to them, 22; debates arise in his council, whether to exercise rigour or mercy, 22; he inclines to the former, 22; is consul, 38; presides over an entertainment of gladiators, and manifests a delight in blood, 53; lives in friendship with Germanicus, notwithstanding the division amongst their friends, 83; is sent into Illyricum, and why, 83; sows feuds amongst the Germans, 93; ovation decreed to him, 95; meets the ashes of Germanicus, 109; goes to the army in Illyricum, 111; his answer to Cneius Piso, 112; by whom dictated, 112; returns to Rome, 113; triumphs, 119; his second consulship, 126; he mediates a difference between two senators, 127; professes his delight in travelling accompanied with his wife, 129; his justice and popular behaviour, 131; is excused for his love of pleasure, 131; his letters to the senate deemed arrogant and haughty, 144; is kind to the children of Germanicus, 156; his complaint of the power of Sejanus, 159; all his secrets betrayed by his wife, 159; is poisoned by the direction of Sejanus, 159; his splendid funeral, 160; his death falsely related, 161; reflections upon it, 161; not chargeable upon Tiberius, 161.
Drusus, a counterfeit one, in Greece and Asia, i. 211.
Drusus, Claudius, the stepson of Augustus, i. 3.
Drusus, Libo, accused of attempts against the state, i. 71; kills himself, 73.
Duillius, Caius, the first who signalized the Roman power at sea, i. 86
Duillius, Aponianus, leader of the third legion, joins Antonius Primus, ii. 141.

Eagles of Varus's legions, recovery of, i. 42, 69; temple raised on the occasion, 80.
Earthquake in Asia, i. 85; relief extended to the sufferers, 85.
Earthquakes and famine, in the time of Claudius, i. 297.
East, what legions there, i. 157.
Eclipse of the moon, daunts the mutinous soldiery. i. 21.
Egnatius, Publius, the Stoic, appears as an evidence against Barea Soranus, his patron and friend, i. 462; his sane-

timonious outside, and false heart, 462.
Egypt, its remarkable antiquities, i. 92; visit of Germanicus to, 92; the forces there, 157.
Egyptians, their religious solemnities abolished at Rome, i. 106; numbers of them are banished to Sardinia, 106; the rest ordered to depart out of Italy, or renounce their profane rites, 106; the inventors of letters, 256.
Eleazar, commander of the temple at Jerusalem, ii. 276; is murdered by John, surnamed Bargioras, one of the governors of that city, 276.
Elianus, Plautius, the pontiff, consecrates the floor of the capitol, ii. 235.
Elianus, Pompeius, convicted of a fraud, i. 379.
Eloquence, the prize of it adjudged to Nero, ii. 367.
Elysii. See Lygians.
Emilia, Lepida, charged with imposing upon her husband a supposititious birth, and with adultery and treason, i. 120; her great quality, 120; she is pitied by the people, convicted, and banished, 121.
Emilia, Musa, her estate claimed by the exchequer, but by Tiberius surrendered to Emilius Lepidus, i. 85.
Emilian family, its character, i. 229.
Emilius, with Stertinius, relieves the Batavians, i. 62.
Emilius Longinus, a deserter from the first legion, murders Vocula, by command of Classicus, ii. 241; is himself butchered by a squadron of horse called Picentina, 243.
Emperors, Roman, dispense with the laws, i. 249; the pernicious consequence of this, 249.
Ennia, wife of Macro, prostituted by her husband to Caligula, i. 242.
Ennius, Lucius, a Roman knight, the strange treason charged upon him, i. 150; protected by Tiberius, 150.
Epaphroditus, a freedman of Nero, i. 430.
Ephesians, their plea for a sanctuary, i. 144.
Epicharis, her part, zeal, and arguments in animating the conspiracy against Nero, i. 427; is accused to Nero by Volusius Proculus, 427; baffles her accuser, yet is kept in bonds, 428; vehemently tortured, yet resolutely denies all, and hangs herself in her girdle, 432; praise of her magnanimity, 432.
Epiphanes, king, wounded at Castorum, ii. 86.
Epponnia, wife of Julius Sabinus, ii. 246.
Erato, queen of Armenia, quickly driven out, i. 88.
Eryx, Mount, the temple of Venus there, its antiquity and decay, i. 184; it is repaired by Tiberius, 184.
Eudemus, physician to Livia, a wicked instrument of Sejanus, i. 156.
Eudoses. See Langobards.
Eunones, prince of the Adorsians, supports Cotys, king of Bosporus, against Mithridates the late king, i. 281; but intercedes with Claudius for Mithridates, 283; Claudius's answer to Eunones, 283.
Eunuchs in great esteem amongst barbarians, i. 232.
Euodus, a freedman, commissioned to see Messalina put to death, i. 271; his insolent reproaches to her, 272.
Euphrates, the river, i. 157, 236, 370; ii. 273.
Evander, the Arcadian, instructs the native Latins in the use of letters, i. 256.
Exchequer, the Roman, its various regulations, i. 81.

Fabatus, Rubrius, arraigned for despairing of the Roman state, i. 221; escapes through oblivion, 221.
Fabianus, Valerius, convicted of a fraud, i. 379.
Fabius Fabullus, commander of the fifth legion, chosen one of the leaders of Vitellius's army, ii. 144.
Fabius Maximus believed to die by his own hands, through dread of Augustus, i. 5.
Fabius Paulus, consul, i. 229.
Fabius Rusticus, the historian, praises Seneca, i. 326.
Falanius, a Roman knight, strange sort of treason charged against him, i. 51.
Faustus, Annius, tried and put to death under Otho, for being an accuser in the time of Nero. ii. 75.
Faventinus, Claudius, occasions the revolt of the fleet at Misenum, ii. 172; his character, 172.
Favourites of princes, why apt to decline in favour, i. 126.
Felix, freedman to Claudius, governor of Judæa, his great power, fortune, and villany, i. 304; his crimes and impunity, 304.
Felix, Sextilius, commands a squadron of horse, ii. 138; forces an entrance through Rhætia, 249.
Fenius Rufus. See Rufus, Fenius.
Fenni. See Peucini.
Festus Martius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Festus, Valerius, commander of the legion in Africa, his character, ii. 232; murders Lucius Piso, proconsul there, 233.

Fidenæ, calamity there from the fall of the amphitheatre, i. 197.
Firmius Catus, expelled from the senate for forging treasonable crimes against his sister, i. 174; Tiberius saves him from banishment, 175.
Firmus Plotius. See Plotius.
Flaccilla, Antonia, accompanies her husband in exile, i. 441.
Flaccus, Cornelius, lieutenant to Corbulo, his exploits, i. 338.
Flaccus, Hordeonius, commander of the army in Upper Germany, his character, ii. 7; Vitellius commits the defence of the Rhine to him, 106; is well disposed to Vespasian, 204; orders Lupercus to advance against Civilis, 208; escapes being murdered, 213; for his own defence introduces an ill custom, 214; tenders the oath to the soldiers in behalf of Vespasian, 218; they hardly repeat it, 218; he is murdered by the soldiers, 222.
Flaccus, Pomponius, i. 96; captures Rhescuporis by treachery, i. 97.
Flattery, an ancient evil in the Roman state, i. 74.
Flavianus. See Ampius.
Flavius, brother to Arminius, fights for the Romans, i. 61; his conference with his brother, 61; his son Italicus made king of the Cherusci, 257.
Flavius Subrius, the tribune, zealous in the conspiracy against Nero, i. 425; his purpose to kill Nero in the theatre or in the streets, 427; how defeated, 427; offers to slay Nero during the examination of the conspirators, but is checked by Fenius Rufus, 433, judges Caius Piso unworthy of the empire, 437; is charged with the conspiracy, and at first defends himself, at last glories in it, and reviles Nero to his face, 438; his contempt of death, 438.
Fleets, the Roman, where kept, i. 157.
Florus, Julius, animates the Gauls to rebel, i. 132; his speech to them, 132, is routed, and dies by his own hands, 133.
Fonteius, Caius, consul, i. 353; his murder. ii. 6.
Foreigners, tyrants chiefly confide in them, i. 432.
Fortunatus, freedman to Lucius Vetus, robs his lord, then accuses him, i. 448; his recompense, 450.
Fortune, Equestrian, her temple, where, i. 150.
Fosians, a German nation. See Cherusci.
Freedmen, their insolence to their lords, i. 329; reasons alleged for recalling the freedom of such as abused it, 329; their rights, as a body, secured, 330.
Fregellanus, Pontius, expelled from the senate, i. 245
Friends, falling at variance, become the greatest enemies, i. 39.
Frisii, their country described, ii. 324; their sore oppression and revolt, i. 203; their exploits and renown, 204; they are settled, and their state modelled by Corbulo, 259; change their dwellings, 349; are threatened by Dubius Avitus, commander in Germany, and send their two chiefs to supplicate Nero, 349; their petition rejected, 350.
Frontinus, Julius, a governor in Britain, his signal fame and actions there, ii. 362.
Fronto, Octavius, arraigns in the senate the prevalence of luxury, i. 74.
Fronto, Vibius, general of horse, puts Vonones in bonds, i. 97.
Fucinus, the lake of, a naval combat exhibited there by Claudius, its pomp described, i. 305; as also a combat of gladiators, 305.
Furnius condemned for adultery, i. 190.
Furnius, an ancient orator, ii. 420.
Fuscus, Cornelius, joins Vespasian, ii. 124; his character, 124; is second in command of the forces under Antonius Primus, 137; is appointed prætor, 198.
Fusius, Geminus, consul, i. 206; a favourite of Livia the elder, 207; his character, and why hated by Tiberius, 207; his fate, 218.

Gabolus, Licinius, recalled from exile by Nero, i. 361.
Galba, Caius, once consul, terrified by a letter from Tiberius, falls by his own hands, i. 239.
Galba, Servius, consul, i. 224. See Galba, the emperor.
Galba, the emperor, his accession predicted by Tiberius, i. 224; his history, ii. 35; succeeds Nero, 4; his severity, 4, 64; his court compared to Nero's, 6; deliberates concerning the adoption of a successor, 10; adopts Piso, 12; his speech to him on that occasion, 12; declares it to the soldiers and to the senate, 14; orders nine-tenths of Nero's donations to be restored, 16; discharges several commanders, which alarms the soldiery, 16; is warned of a treasonable plot against him in the presence of Otho, 20; receives the news of Otho being presented to the soldiery while sacrificing, 21; calls a council, 21; sends Piso to the camp, 25; receives false information from the crowd, 25; and from Julius Atticus, 26; his remarkable answer to him, 26; is in great distress and suspense, 29; his death, 30; his head carried on a pole, 31; his body, after many cruel indignities, is by Argius his former slave interred in his own garden, 35.

Galeria, wife to Vitellius the emperor, her character, ii. 110.
Galgacus, a leader of the Britons, famous for his valour and descent, ii. 372; his speech to his army on the Grampian Hills, 372.
Galla, Arria, wife to Caius Piso, her character, i. 434.
Galla, Sosia, wife to Caius Silius, arraigned with her husband, i. 167; is condemned to exile, 167.
Gallio, Junius, brother to Seneca, his motion in favour of the prætorian soldiers resented by Tiberius, i. 213; is expelled the senate, and banished, 213; brought back to Rome, and imprisoned there, 214; his fears and perils, 442; is accused by Salienus Clemens, but protected by the senate, 442.
Gallus, Annius, appointed commander by Otho, ii. 64; Vestricius Spurinna joined with him, 76; is informed by Spurinna of Cæcina's repulse from Placentia, and marches to Bedriacum, 84; is appointed commander of an army against Civilis, who then headed the revolted nations in Germany, 247.
Gallus, Asinius, incurs the displeasure of Tiberius, how, i. 12; he had married Vipsania, formerly wife to Tiberius, 12; his ambition, 12; opposed to the licence of the players, 54; his flattery, 74; defends the prevailing luxury, 74; his dispute in the senate with Cneius Piso, 76; his dispute with Tiberius there, 76; is starved to death, 226; hypocrisy of Tiberius, 226.
Gallus, Caninius, one of the college of fifteen, i. 220; reproved by Tiberius, 220.
Gallus, Clitius, banished for his friendship to Seneca, i. 441.
Gallus, Herennius, commander of the first legion, and governor of Bonna, ii. 210; he attacks the Batavians, 210; is taken into a share of the command with Vocula, and narrowly escapes being murdered by the soldiers, 215.
Gallus, Publius, a Roman knight, banished for his intimacy with Fenius Rufus and Lucius Vetus, i. 449.
Gallus, Rubrius, obtains pardon for the cohorts at Brixellum, ii. 103.
Gallus, Togonius, his extravagant flattery derided by Tiberius, i. 213.
Gallus, Vipsanius, prætor, his death, i. 87.
Games, quinquennial, instituted by Nero, i. 365; celebrated at Rome, 444; the foolish and pompous panegyrics made there upon Nero's reign, 444.
Games, secular, celebrated under Claudius, i. 253; as also under Augustus, 254; and under Domitian, 254.
Gannascus, a deserter and pirate, heads the rebellious Chaucians, i. 258; is seized and slain, 259.
Garamantes, king of the, succours Tacfarinas, i. 170; his ambassadors, a singular sight at Rome, 171.
Gaul, insurrection in, i. 132; suppressed, 135.
Gauls, a nation rich and unwarlike, i. 262; their nobility claim a share in the public honours of Rome, 262; reasonings against their pretensions, 262; they are admitted into the senate, 264; a general tax imposed upon them, 382.
Geminius, a Roman knight, doomed to the pains of treason, i. 221.
Geminus, Ducennius, i. 184.
Geminus, Verdius, sent by Vespasian, destroys Anicetus and his followers, ii. 166.
Germanicus, the son of Drusus, adopted by Tiberius at the desire of Augustus, and the aim of Augustus in this, i. 4; commands eight legions upon the Rhine, 4; acquires the proconsular power, 14; the armies in Germany desire him for their emperor, 23; he is unjustly hated by his grandmother Livia, and his uncle Tiberius, 25; and beloved by the Romans, 25; he promotes the establishment of Tiberius, 25; his behaviour and reasonings to the mutinous legions, 25; he hears their complaints, 26; has the empire offered to him, and shows his indignation, 26; his life threatened, 26; his perplexity, 27; he is forced to satisfy the unruly soldiers from his own coffers, 27; brings those in Upper Germany to swear allegiance to Tiberius, 28; expostulates with the mutinous soldiers, 29; is censured for not withdrawing from them, 29; dismisses Agrippina, 29; his speech to his army, 30; its efficacy, 32; examines the conduct of the centurions, 32; prepares to fight the soldiers who persist in their sedition, 33; his stratagem to reclaim the mutinous soldiery, 34; it succeeds, and they butcher one another, 34; what terrible havoc they made, 35; he laments this, 35; and leads them against the Germans, 35; a triumph decreed to him, 38; his conduct against the Germans, 39; he is saluted Imperator by the army, by the direction of Tiberius, 41; how universally he is esteemed, 49; his tenderness and generosity to the soldiers, 49; a show of gladiators exhibited in his name, 53; deliberates how to prosecute the war in Germany, 58; his proceedings, 59, 60; passes the Visurgis, 61; learns the designs of Arminius, 62; traverses the camp in

disguise to know the sentiments of the soldiers, 62; hears his own praises, 62; his propitious dream, 63; his speech to the army, conduct and exhortations, 63; his good intelligence, 66; his wife's conduct and bravery, 66; he raises a monument of arms with a proud inscription, 67; embarks with some legions, and suffers a terrible shipwreck, 82; hence his great grief and melancholy, 82; he invades the Marsians, and recovers one of the eagles lost with Varus, 69; ravages the country, and routs the foe, 69; his great bounty to the soldiers, 70; his triumph, 80; the love and fears of the people for him, 80; the provinces beyond the sea decreed to him, 82; lives in friendship with Drusus, notwithstanding the division of their friends, 83; is consul for the second time, 88; visits Greece, 88; as also the coasts of the Propontis, 89; consults the oracle of Apollo at Colophon, 89; his generosity to Piso, his enemy, 89; proceeds to Armenia, 90; creates Zeno king of that country, 90; his humane and forgiving spirit, 91; his difference with Piso heightened by officious friends, 91; his noble and modest behaviour to the ambassadors of the Parthians, 91; the court paid to him by their king, 91; he travels to Egypt, 92; his popular behaviour there, and thence blamed by Tiberius, 92; he visits the Egyptian antiquities, 92; is thwarted and affronted by Piso, 98; he falls ill, and is believed to be bewitched, 98; his apprehensions and complaints, 98; he renounces all friendship with Piso, 98; his affecting speech to his friends, 98; his advice to Agrippina, 99; he expires, 99; his amiable character, 100; he is universally lamented, 100; compared to Alexander the Great, and surpassing him in virtue, 100; his body exposed to public view, 100; whether poisoned, a question, 100; honours decreed to his memory, 105; his ashes attended by the magistrates of Italy, and carried by tribunes and centurions, 109; his remains deposited in the tomb of Augustus, 110; his funeral thought not sufficiently magnificent, 110; his death revenged, 118.

Germans, their original, ii. 287; their registers and histories, what, 288; their make, form and complexion described, 291; their character, 291; their riches consist in numbers of cattle, 291; they have not the use of silver or gold, 292; but exchange one commodity for another, 292; those bordering upon the frontiers of the Romans excepted, 292; they are supposed not to abound in iron, 293; their armour, and manner of making war, 293; their greatest disgrace, what, 295; their manner of choosing kings and generals, 295; none but their priests allowed to inflict punishment, 295; their usual custom of forming their armies, an incitement to valour, 296; their women useful and assisting even in battle, 296; their worship, and deities, 297; method of divining by lots, 299; they have divine presages and admonitions from horses, 300; their method of divining in affairs of the highest consequence, 300; their manner of reckoning time, 300; their manner of assembling their diets, 300; their manner of proposing and debating affairs there, 301; crimes and punishments, 301; their method of choosing rulers and officers of justice, 303; their notions of honour, and manner of bestowing it, 303; they cannot brook repose, yet have an aversion to labour, 305; they have no cities, 306; their manner of building, 306; the dress of their men and women, 307; their laws of matrimony, their punishment of adultery, 309; their custom of educating their youth, 310; their manner of making up quarrels of one family with another, 312; they are famous for their liberality and hospitality, 312; their usual way of spending their time, 312; and manner of consulting, 313; their excess in drinking, 313; their food and manner of living, 314; their public diversions, 314; they are exceedingly addicted to gaming, 314; their manner of treating slaves, 315; are strangers to usury, 315; their tillage and husbandry, 316; their funeral solemnities, 316; the original of several nations of them, 317; their great power and bravery, in comparison of other nations, 327.

Germans, whilst under the effect of a debauch, attacked by Germanicus with infinite slaughter, i. 36; their counsels how to deal with the Romans in distress, 47; they attack the Romans with assurance of victory, 47; are defeated and slaughtered, 48; their principal advantages against an invader, 59; their mighty defeat and slaughter, 65; they are enraged by a Roman trophy, 66; though defeated they try another battle, 66; their advantageous post, 66; they are routed and slaughtered, 67; their courage, 67; they are unfit for a close engagement, 67; they reckon the Romans invincible, 69.

Germany, its bounds and situation, ii. 206; its lands productive of grain, but not kindly to fruit-trees, 291; all the cattle there small, 291; near lost to

the Romans, 164; but upon the approach of the Roman armies that country submits, 249.
Germany (so called), a part of Gaul, i. 23; disturbances in, 24; how suppressed, 34; war with Arminius, 41.
Geta, a slave and an impostor, doomed to die for being at the head of a conspiracy against Vitellius, ii. 115.
Geta, Lucius, captain of the prætorian guards to Claudius, a man of uncertain faith, i. 269.
Gotarzes, king of Parthia, his barbarities, i. 251; is forced to abandon his kingdom, 252; recalled, he plays the tyrant again, and is again forsaken by his people, 253; his detestable tyranny, 277; routs Meherdates, and cuts off his ears, 280; his death, 280.
Gothi, their situation and government, ii. 335.
Gothinians. See Marsignians.
Government, how many kinds of, i. 175; one equal and mixed, how rare, 175.
Governor of Rome, his office, what, and when appointed, i. 219.
Gracchi, Sempronius and Caius, styled exciters of the people, i. 123; character of Gracchus as an orator, ii. 416.
Gracchus, Sempronius, his character and doom, i. 37; the adulterer of Julia, 37; thence banished to Cercina, and assassinated by order of Tiberius, 37; he dies bravely, 38; his son Caius, 163.
Græcilis, Ælius, his envious conduct, i. 348.
Græcina, Pomponia, accused for embracing a foreign superstition, and acquitted, i. 333; her great age and affliction, 333.
Graius, Munatius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Granius, Quintus, accuses Calpurnius Piso, i. 168.
Graptus, Nero's freedman, a subtle and wicked courtier, i. 345; forges a plot against Cornelius Sylla, 345.
Gratianus, Tatius, charged with treason, and executed, i. 238.
Greeks admire chiefly their own exploits, i. 107; their freedom of speech, 177.
Griphus, Plotius, a senator, ii. 169; appointed prætor in the room of Tertius Julianus, 224.

Hæmus, Mount, winter begins suddenly there, i. 189.
Halicarnassus, no earthquake felt there in 1200 years, i. 192.
Halotus, the eunuch, administers poison to his master Claudius, i. 311.
Haterius, Decimus, consul, i. 138.
Haterius, Quintus, offends Tiberius, i. 13; obtains protection from Livia, 13; vindicates the players, 54; arraigns the luxury of the Romans, 74; his death and quality, 196; character of his eloquence, 196.
Helius, the imperial freedman, a poisoner, i. 313.
Hellusii, a fabulous account of them, ii. 342.
Helvicones, ii. 335.
Helvidius Priscus. See Priscus.
Helvius Rufus, saves a Roman citizen, i. 120; his reward, 120.
Heralds and priests, question of the rank of, i. 146.
Hercules, his pillars reported to be standing, ii. 324; he of Egypt the oldest of all, i. 93; he of Mount Sambulos, his hunting horses, their miraculous feats, 279; appears to his priests in their sleep, 279.
Herennius, commander of a legion, is slain by order of Valentinus and Tutor, ii. 250; their reasons for his murder, 250.
Herennius Senecio, put to death, and why, ii. 346.
Hermunduri, their character and situation, ii. 333; their contest with the Catti about the property of a river, i. 351; they prove conquerors, 352.
Hierocæsarea, its inhabitants claim a right of sanctuary, whence, i. 145.
Hilarius, freedman to Vitellius, his charge against Cluvius Rufus, governor of Spain, ii. 110; is himself doomed to punishment, 110.
Hispo, Romanus, an accuser, i. 51; himself condemned, 395.
Historian, duty of the, i. 147.
History, that of the free state, how abounding in agreeable matter, i. 175; the use of it, 175; the folly and infamy of suppressing it, 178.
Hormus, freedman to Vespasian, advanced to the equestrian dignity, ii. 224.
Hortalus, Marcus, grandson to the orator Hortensius, his great quality and poverty, i. 77; his speech to the senate for support, with his address to Tiberius, 77; the senate favourable to him, 78; how treated by Tiberius, 78.
Hyrcanians, at war with Parthia, i. 370; send ambassadors to Rome, 370.

Iberians, whence sprung, i. 234.
Icelus, freedman to Galba, vested with the equestrian dignity, and new-named Martianus, ii. 10; his great sway in the ministry, 10; he combines with Laco, 10; is executed by command of Otho, 33.
Iceni, a powerful people in Britain, i. 290; their bravery and defeat, 290.

Illyrium, the legions there recruited, i. 450.
Imperator, its original meaning, i. 3; who the last general saluted by that name, 153.
Incendiaries, how readily delivered to punishment by their brethren, i. 23.
Incest, laws against, i. 276.
Indus, Julius, a Gaul, an enemy to Julius Florus, i. 133.
Informers, rise of, i. 51; their activity, 112, 131; styled the guardians of the laws by Tiberius, 174.
Inguiomerus, a German leader, his advice fiercer than that of Arminius, and thence better liked, i. 47; how he escaped upon a defeat, 65; his intrepidity, 67; he deserts to Maroboduus, 83.
Insechians, a people friendly to the Romans, i. 336.
Ireland, its situation, size, soil, and climate, ii. 368; a petty king from thence under the protection of Agricola, 368.
Italian allies deceived by promises from Rome, i. 124.
Italicus, son of Flavius, and nephew to Arminius, sent from Rome to rule over the Cherusci, i. 257; the beginning of his reign popular, but distressed with factions, 257; is intoxicated with good fortune, and grows tyrannical, 258; is expelled, but restored, and continues to afflict the Cheruscan state, 258.
Italy, how guarded, i. 157; a Servile War there, how checked, 171; from the Po to the Alps, possessed by the troops of Vitellius, ii. 80.
Iturea annexed to the province of Syria, i. 284.
Iturius, instigated to accuse Agrippina, i. 325; banished, 327; recalled from exile by Nero, 361.
Izates, king of the Adiabenians, deserts Meherdates, i. 280.

Jerusalem, its description and situation, ii. 274; its strong fortifications, 275; their alleged cause, 275; its sumptuous Temple, 275; the command of the city divided between Simon and John, surnamed Bargioras, 275; the defence and command of the Temple in the hands of Eleazar, 276; the city is besieged by Titus, 276.
Jews, their rise and antiquity, ii. 265; their religious ordinances, 267; their peculiar ceremonies and customs, 268; their various lot since the time of the Assyrian empire to the siege of Jerusalem by Titus, 272; their religious solemnities abolished at Rome, i. 106; a great number banished to Sardinia, 106; they are obliged to leave Italy, or renounce their national rites, 106; their insurrections in the time of Caligula and Claudius, 304.
John, surnamed Bargioras, one of the governors of Jerusalem, employs assassins, who murder Eleazar, ii. 276; he takes possession of the Temple there, 276.
Jordan, that river described, ii. 270.
Juba, king of Mauritania, i. 157.
Judæa, that province prays an abatement of taxes, i. 81; annexed to the government of Syria, 284; all except Jerusalem reduced by Vespasian, ii 70; its boundaries described, 270; its natural history, 270; a summary of its government from the Assyrian empire till the siege of Jerusalem by Titus, 272.
Julia, daughter of Augustus and wife of Tiberius, her character and intrigues, i. 37; banished by Augustus, and doomed to perish through want by Tiberius, 37.
Julia, daughter of Drusus, married to Nero, i. 125; her imprudence, 196; her second marriage, 228; her death caused by Messalina, 333.
Julia, daughter of Germanicus, birth of, i. 88; brought to Italy, 108; her marriage, 221.
Julia, grandaughter to Augustus, banished, i. 122; dies in exile, 203.
Julia Augusta, mother of Tiberius, i. 206; her death and funeral, 207; change in the conduct of Tiberius, 207.
Julia Calvina accused of incest, i. 274; banished, 276.
Julianus Tertius flees for fear of being murdered, ii. 123; appointed by Vitellius to reclaim the soldiers, and goes over to the side of Vespasian, 172; his character, 187; commands at Terracina, is taken by Lucius Vitellius, and murdered by him, 188.
Julianus Tertius degraded from the prætorship by the senate, ii. 224; is restored, 225.
Julius Auspex, dissuades the people of Rheims from revolt, ii. 248.
Julius Florus, an insurgent in Gaul, i. 132; kills himself, 133.
Julius Frontinus. See Frontinus.
Julius Mansuetus killed by his son at the battle of Bedriacum, ii. 151.
Julius Martialis, the tribune, suspected of the conspiracy against Galba, ii. 21.
Julius Sabinus. See Sabinus, Julius.
Julius Sacrovir, an insurgent in Gaul, i. 132; his device, 133; his successes, 133; is defeated, 135; kills himself, 136.
Julius Tutor. See Tutor.
Junia, sister of Marcus Brutus, death of, i. 153; her will, 153.
Junius, a dealer in charms, i. 73.

Junius Decimus, consul, i. 306.
Jupiter, his priest, generally confined to Rome, i. 143; what immunities he enjoyed, 165.
Justus Fabius, a speaker in the Dialogue on Oratory, ii. 390.
Juvenales, sports instituted by Nero, i. 363; in them men of all ranks debase themselves, 363.

Kings were the original magistrates of Rome, i. 1.
Knights, Roman, not suffered to associate with actors, i. 54; their oblation upon the recovery of Livia, 150; account of their judicial power, 307.

Labeo, Antistius, his accomplishments, i. 153; not a flatterer of power, and thence checked from rising to the first dignities, 152.
Labeo, Asconius, once tutor to Nero, distinguished with the consular ornaments, i. 319.
Labeo, Claudius. See Claudius.
Labeo, Pomponius. See Pomponius Labeo.
Labeo, Titidius, accused for not punishing the adulteries of his wife, i. 106.
Lacædemonians claim a right to the temple of Diana, i. 183; their plea, 183.
Laco, a noble Greek, falls by the cruelty of Tiberius, i. 223.
Laco. See Cornelius Laco.
Ladies, decree against such as married slaves, i. 303; many of illustrious quality enter the public lists as gladiators, 415.
Lamia, Ælius, proconsul of Africa, protects young Gracchus, i. 163; his death, employments, and character, 228.
Langobardi, a people few in number, but brave, ii. 331; their religion, 332.
Laodicea, city of, overthrown by an earthquake, i. 371; it recovers again, 371.
Lateranus, Plautius, restored by Nero to the rank of a senator, i. 319; when consul elect, conspires against Nero, 426; his precipitate doom, 434; he dies magnanimously, 434.
Latiaris, Latinius, a tool of Sejanus, i. 200; his treachery to Titius Sabinus, 200; is impeached, 214.
Law of violated majesty, perversion of, i. 51.
Laws, made by faction, i. 123; their number, the sign of a corrupt state, 124.
Laws, Roman, their impotence, and why, i. 3; they are vanquished by favour, 87; their history, 123; their sovereignty preferred to that of kings, 123; those of the Twelve Tables, how collected, 123.
Lecanius, a soldier suspected of the death of Galba, ii. 30.
Lecanius, Caius, consul, i. 415.
Lelia, the vestal, her death, i. 409.
Lemovians, ii. 335.
Lenas, Vipsanius, condemned, i. 332.
Lentinus, Terentius, a Roman knight, convicted of a fraud, i. 379.
Lentulus, Cneius, narrowly escapes being murdered by the soldiery, i. 21; softens the sentence against Caius Silanus, 149; his death and character, 185.
Lentulus, Getulicus, consul, i. 186; commander of the legions in Upper Germany, and accused, 231; his bold letter to Tiberius, and his accuser condemned to exile, 231.
Lepida, mother of Messalina, i. 271; present at her death, 271.
Lepida, Emilia, crimes and condemnation of, i. 120; kills herself, 239.
Lepidus, the triumvir, his power swallowed up in that of Augustus, i. 2; his turbulent pursuits, 124.
Lepidus, Marcus, guardian to the children of king Ptolemy, i. 97; in the judgment of Augustus, is qualified to reign, but not fond of it, 13; pleads for Cneius Piso, 113; defends his sister Emilia, 120; is sent governor to Asia, 127, 246; his character, 127; is named by Tiberius to the government of Africa, 130; declines it, 130; his speech against executing Lutorius Priscus, 137; it prevails not, 138; restores the monuments of his family, 151; his wisdom and moderation, 167; his death and nobility, 229.
Letters, by whom first invented, i. 256.
Lewdness and immodesty, their encouragement under Nero, i. 363.
Lex Julia, its provisions, i. 73, 86.
Lex Majestatis, perversion of, under the emperors, i. 51; punishment of Lutorius, 137.
Lex Papia Poppæa, mitigation of its enactments, i. 122.
Libanus, Mount, described, ii. 270.
Libels forbidden by the law of the Twelve Tables, i. 50; the penalties increased by Augustus, 50; conduct of Tiberius, 51.
Liberty, Roman, founded by Lucius Brutus, i. 1.
Libo, Drusus, maliciously seduced by Firmius Catus, falls under the charge of treason, i. 71; the deceitful countenance of Tiberius towards him, 72; is deserted by his friends, and why, 72; the strange and extravagant articles against him, 72; his slaves examined by torture, being first sold, 73; finds Tiberius obdurate, 73; is terrified by a guard of soldiers, 73; and falls upon his sword, yet the prosecution

carried on after his death, and his fortune given to the accusers, 73; decrees of the senate against his memory, 74.
Libo, Lucius, consul, i. 56.
Licinius, Marcus, consul, i. 196; ii. 415.
Licinius Mucianus. See Mucianus.
Ligur, Varius, bribes his accusers, i. 231.
Liguria, a woman of, her great intrepidity, and resolute answer to the plundering soldiers, ii. 77.
Lingones defeated by the Sequanians, ii. 246.
Livia, mother of Tiberius, i. 3; wife of Augustus, 3; her enmity to her step-sons, 3; her absolute sway over Augustus, 4; suspected of his death, 5; her management upon the death of Augustus to secure the sovereignty to Tiberius, 6; styled Augusta, 8; flattery of the senate towards her, 13; Tiberius is jealous of her credit, 14; she appears not at the funeral of Germanicus, and why, 109; is seized with a violent distemper, 146; lives upon ill terms with her son, 146; flattering decrees of senate upon her illness, and supplications for her recovery, 146; jealous of her power, 162; at variance with her son, 194; her ambition, and reproaches to him, 194; destroys most of the family of Augustus, and affects to show kindness to the rest, 203; her great age, death, and character, 206.
Livia, wife of Drusus, i. 83; delivered of male twins, 105; joy of Tiberius, 105; debauched by Sejanus, 156; plots against her husband, 156; her jealousy of Agrippina, 162; urges Sejanus to marry her, 180; her death, 227; decrees against her statues and memory, 213.
Livilla, wife of Drusus. See Livia.
Livineius, Regulus, banished, why, i. 365.
Livy, the historian, his many encomiums upon Pompey, i. 176; he reviles not the enemies of Cæsar and Augustus, but often commends them, 176; friendship of Augustus, 176.
Locusta, the poisoner, an instrument of imperial rule, i. 311; she prepares a deadly potion for Claudius, 311; and for Britannicus, 322.
Lollia, Paullina, recommended to Claudius for a wife by Callistus, i. 273; crimes being framed against her by Agrippina, she is doomed to banishment, and compelled to kill herself, 284; her great quality, and immense wealth, 284.
London, the city of, a noble mart, i. 374; abandoned by Suetonius, 375.
Longinus. See Emilius Longinus.
Longus, Cassius, chosen leader of Vitellius's army by the soldiers, ii. 144.
Lucan, the poet, ill used by Nero, i. 426; hates him, and conspires against him, 426; persists long in denying, at last, upon promises of pardon, owns the conspiracy, 431; informs against his mother, 431; is sentenced to die, and nobly undergoes the pains of death, 440.
Lucilius, a centurion, put to death by the soldiery, i. 19.
Lucilius Bassus. See Bassus.
Lucilius, Longus, a constant friend to Tiberius, his death affects the emperor, i. 164; his funeral honours, 164.
Lucius, Cæsar, grandson to Augustus, his early death imputed to Livia, i. 3.
Lucius Piso, proconsul in Africa, his murder, ii. 231.
Lucius Publius, his flattery, i. 74.
Lucius Vetus. See Vetus, Lucius.
Lupercus, Mummius, advances against Civilis, ii. 208; is obliged with his legions only to escape to the Old Camp, 209; which he fortifies, but neglects to secure provisions, 211; is besieged by Civilis, 211: is taken and sent to be presented to Veleda, but in the journey thither he is slain, 242.
Lupus, Curtius, quæstor, suppresses the Servile War in Italy, i. 171.
Lupus, Junius, the senator, accuses Vitellius, but is himself banished, i. 297.
Lupus, Numisius, joins Antonius Primus, and brings with him the eighth legion, ii. 141.
Lutorius, Caius Priscus, put to death, i. 137.
Luxury, that of Rome declaimed against, i. 74; defended, 74; its prevalence, 139; censured by Tiberius, 139; how hard to be cured, 140; how at last restrained, 141.
Lycurgus compiled good laws for Sparta, i. 123.
Lydia, whence so called, i. 192.
Lygdus, the eunuch, poisons his master Drusus, i. 159.
Lygians, their situation and customs, ii. 335.
Lyons, city of, damaged by fire, i. 450; bounty of Nero, 450.

Macedon, the government of that province changed, i. 53.
Macer, Clodius, his assassination, for raising commotion in Africa, ii. 6.
Macer, Martius, battle fought by him near Cremona, ii. 84; is in danger of being murdered by the soldiers, 92.
Macer, Pompeius, the prætor, i. 50.
Macrina, Pompeia, the strange crime alleged against her, and her banishment, i. 223.

Macro, captain of the guards to Tiberius, i. 221; his mischievous spirit, 231; his excessive power, 242; he pays court to Caligula, 242; his villanous practices against the lives of illustrious men, 244; his notorious enmity to Arruntius, 244; he is worse than Sejanus, 244; he murders his master, Tiberius, 246.
Mæcenas, first favourite to Augustus, i. 126.
Mæcenas, Cilnius, once governor of Rome, i. 219.
Magicians and astrologers expelled from Italy, i. 74.
Magistrates, choice of, transferred from the people to the senate, i. 14.
Magistrates, provincial, why prohibited from presenting public shows, i. 333.
Magnesia ruined by an earthquake, i. 85.
Magnesians, their claim to a sanctuary, whence, i. 145.
Magontiacum, siege of, ii. 223.
Majesty, violated, that law revived, i. 50; how different it was of old, 50; is perverted by Augustus, 50; by him libels made treason, 50.
Malori, i. 349.
Malovendus, general of the Marsians, i. 69.
Maluginensis, Servius, though priest of Jupiter, demands the government of Asia, i. 143; his suit disallowed, 150.
Manlius banished Italy for adultery with Varilia Apuleia, i. 86.
Manlius, Patruitus, a senator, complains to the senate of insults and indignities from the magistrates of Sienna, who are convicted, and suffer capitally, ii. 229
Marcellus, son-in-law of Augustus, i. 81.
Marcellus, Asinius, convicted of a fraud, i 379; his quality and character, 379; accounts poverty the worst of all evils, 379.
Marcellus, Claudius, nephew to Augustus, a mere youth, highly preferred, i. 3.
Marcellus, Eprius, created prætor for one day, i. 274; accused by the people of Lycia, 334; but acquitted through faction, 334; his furious speech against Thrasea in the senate, 459; his mighty rewards for accusing Thrasea, 460; dispute between him and Helvidius concerning sending ambassadors to Vespasian, ii. 202; his artful speech on that occasion, 202.
Marcellus, Granius, prætor of Bithynia, accused of treason, but acquitted, i. 51.
Marcomanni, their bravery, ii. 334; ravaged by Catualda, i. 94.
Mariccus, a Boian of mean birth, takes upon him the title of a god, ii. 108; at the head of eight thousand men invades the Æduans, 108; is taken and thrown amongst wild beasts, but not hurt by them, 108; is put to death in the presence of Vitellius, 148.
Marius, Publius, consul, i. 383.
Marius, Sextus, condemned and executed for incest, i. 223; his great riches proved his bane, 223; these seized by Tiberius, 224.
Maroboduus, king of the Suevians, unpopular at home, i. 83; his speech to his army, 84; he is vanquished by Arminius, 84; and invokes the aid of the Romans, 84; his power broken, 94; what a terrible enemy to the Romans, 94; he grows old in exile at Ravenna, 94.
Marriage, various forms of contracting, at Rome, i. 165; marriage with slaves, edict against, 303.
Marsians, their forces routed by Cæcina, i. 39.
Marsigni, their situation, speech, and dress, ii. 335.
Marsus, Vibius, i. 100; accuses Piso of the death of Germanicus, 102.
Marsus, Vibius, summons Piso to his trial at Rome, i. 102.
Martia, wife of Fabius Maximus, i. 5.
Martialis, Cornelius, the tribune, feared by Nero, and dismissed, i. 440.
Martianus, Granius, the senator, charged with treason, i. 238; he dies by his own hands, 238.
Martina, an infamous woman, i. 100; sent to Italy on suspicion of poisoning Germanicus, 100; her sudden death, 111.
Marullus, Junius, consul elect, his severe sentence against Antistius, i. 383.
Massa, Bebius, a procurator in Africa, one of the assassins of Lucius Piso, ii. 233.
Maternus, Curiatius, ii. 391; his tragedy of Cato, 391.
Mattiaci, their territories and customs described, ii. 319; a silver mine opened in their country, i. 260.
Maturus, Marius, governor of the maritime Alps, attempts to repel the forces of Otho, but on the first shock his people are dissipated, ii 77; the rage of the soldiery, 77; kindly receives Fabius Valens in his distress, 162.
Mauricus, Junius, moves Domitian to communicate the registers of the late emperors, thence to discover the accusers, ii. 226.
Maximilla, Egnatia, accompanies her husband in exile, i. 441.
Maximus, Cæsonius, doomed to banishment unheard, i. 441.
Maximus, Fabius, death of, i. 5.
Maximus, Trebellius, appointed to assess the Gauls, i. 382; governor of Britain, discarded, and his place supplied by Vettius Bolanus, ii. 110; his con-

duct and character whilst in Britain, 362.
Mazippa, general of the Moors in Africa, i. 87.
Meherdates, called to the crown of Parthia, i. 253; is sent by Claudius to take possession of the kingdom, 278; his ill conduct, 279; his confederates revolt, 280; is routed, and his ears cut off, 280.
Mela, Annæus, a Roman knight, brother to Seneca, and father to Lucan, accused, and dies by his own hands, i. 452; his great consideration and authority, with his immense legacy to Tigellinus and Cossutianus Capito, 453.
Memnon, a colossus in Egypt, i. 92.
Mennius, præfect of the camp, his boldness in quelling an insurrection of the veterans, i. 20.
Merula, Apidius, why struck from the list of senators, i. 183.
Merula, Cornelius, death of, i. 143.
Messala, Corvinus, gloried in having served under Cassius, yet exalted by Augustus, i. 177.
Messala, Valerius, consul, i. 334; his poverty and good character, 334.
Messala, Valerius, artful flattery of, i. 8.
Messala, Vipstanus, his character, ii. 140; his conduct in the field, 147; gains vast applause in the senate by pleading for his brother Aquilius Regulus, 226.
Messalina, the empress, her rapaciousness and rancour to Valerius Asiaticus, i. 248; she procures the death of Poppæa Sabina, the elder, 248; is bent to destroy Agrippina, 255; her desperate passion for Silius, 255; her boldness in the pursuit of it, 255; her extravagant lewdness, 265; in the absence of her husband she marries Silius, 265; her nuptials publicly and formally celebrated, 266; her frantic riot and voluptuousness, 266; she is threatened with the vengeance of the emperor, 268; yet ventures to meet him, 269; her importunate entreaties to Claudius, 269; is put to death by a tribune, 272; decree of the senate, 272.
Messalinus, Cotta, his flattering motion against the memory of Libo Drusus, i. 74; his odd motion, 168; his forwardness to gratify the cruelty and tyranny of Tiberius, 207; he is arraigned in the senate, 214; his crimes detested, 215; his character, 215; he appeals to Tiberius, and gains his protection, 215; his accuser punished, 216.
Messalinus, Valerius, his speech in defence of magistrates who carried their wives into the provinces, i. 129.
Messenians claim a right to the temple of Diana, i. 183; their plea, 183.
Miletus, people of, pretend a right to a sanctuary there, i. 146.
Milichus, a traitorous freedman, urged by his wife, betrays his lord, and first discovers the conspiracy against Nero, i. 430; his rewards, 440.
Milvian Bridge, the nightly revellings there, i. 345; massacre at the, ii. 5, 37.
Minos gives good laws to Crete, i. 123.
Minutius, Thermus, accused and condemned, but turns evidence, i. 216.
Miracles, some said to be performed by Vespasian, ii. 259.
Mithridates, king of Pontus, caused a general massacre of the Romans in Asia and the isles, i. 163.
Mithridates, of Bosporus, he gathers forces and seizes the kingdom of the Dandarides, 280; his desperate fortune and great distress, 282; he throws himself upon the mercy of Eunones, 282; is carried to Rome, 283; the boldness of his behaviour there, 283.
Mithridates, the Iberian, favoured by Tiberius, i. 233; gains the kingdom of Armenia, 252; his violent administration, 252; is dethroned and murdered by Rhadamistus his nephew, and the husband of his daughter, 300.
Mnester, freedman to Agrippina, slays himself at her funeral pile, i. 359.
Mnester, the comedian, i. 266; put to death, 271.
Mœsia, two legions there, i. 157.
Moneses, appointed general by Vologeses, i. 397; hastens into Armenia, but finds Tigranes ready to receive him, 397.
Monobazus incites Vologeses to war, i. 396.
Montanus, Alpinus. See Alpinus.
Montanus, Curtius, accused, i. 460; his virtue, innocence, and writings, 460; his sentence, 463.
Montanus, Curtius, the senator, proposes that public honours be paid to the memory of Piso, ii. 225; his speech in the senate against Regulus, one of the accusers, 228.
Montanus, Julius, a senator, assaulted by Nero in the dark, repulses him, and thence forced to die, i. 328.
Montanus, Traulus, a lovely youth, debauched by Messalina, i. 270; put to death, 271.
Montanus, Votienus, a man of celebrated wit, accused of invectives against Tiberius, i. 183; he is condemned, 183.
Moses, his address to secure the subjection of the Jewish nation to himself, ii. 267.
Mucianus, Licinius, his character, ii. 8; rules over Syria, 70; his speech to Vespasian, 116; administers to his soldiers the oath of allegiance to Vespasian, 119; his speech to the people of

Antioch, 120; holds a council at Berytus, 121; is appointed leader against Vitellius, 122; his disposition of the fleet, 122; his base arts to raise money, 122; is stung with envy at the sudden victories of Antonius, 169; his treachery to him, 189; in his letters boasts to the senate of his services, 197; arrives in Rome, and bears universal sway, 202; murders Calpurnius Galerianus, 202; his artful address and management in regard to Antonius, 224; harangues the senate in behalf of the accusers, 229; his disposal of the soldiery, 230; sends over Papirius, a centurion, to Africa, to murder Lucius Piso the proconsul, 232; he makes choice of Gallus Annius and Petilius Cerealis for commanders of the army in Germany, 247; makes changes and promotions, 247; orders the son of Vitellius to be slain, 258; cannot endure that Antonius should attend Domitian in the intended expedition, 258; when informed of the success of the Romans against the Treverians, he advises Domitian to retire to Lyons, where they arrive, 262.

Mummius. See Lupercus.

Musonius the Tuscan, i. 391.

Musonius, Rufus, banished for his signal reputation by Nero, i. 441; derided and abused by the soldiery, for recommending the blessings of peace, and enlarging on the calamities of war, ii. 190; accuses Publius Celer of having, by false witnesses, procured the death of Barea Soranus, 202; obtains sentence against him, 225.

Musulanians, a people of Africa, i. 87.

Mutilius, Papius, his flattery, i. 74.

Naharvali, their situation and religion, ii. 335.

Naples, the vast conflux of people there to hear Nero sing on the stage, i. 415; the theatre falls without hurting anybody, 415; Nero's inference from this, and great joy, 415.

Narbon Gaul, privilege allowed to the senators of that province, i. 284.

Narcissus, a freedman, i. 267; suborns two courtesans to accuse Messalina to Claudius, 267; confirms the charge of her marriage with Silius, and frightens the emperor, 267; of his own accord orders Messalina to be executed, 271; his public reward, and pestilent sway, 272; inveighs boldly against Agrippina, 306; his griefs and complaints, 310; is doomed by Agrippina to perish in prison, 313; is beloved by Nero for his vices, 314.

Narisci, ii. 334.

Nasica, Cæsius, commands a legion in Britain, i. 295.

Naso, Valerius, chosen to supervise the building of a temple to Tiberius in Asia, i. 193.

Natalis, Antonius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 436; is the great confidant of Caius Piso, 436; is terrified with the rack, and confesses, 431; is pardoned, 440.

Nauportum, plunder of, by mutineers, i. 17.

Nen, the river, ii. 289.

Nepos, Flavius, the tribune, feared by Nero, and dismissed, i. 441.

Nepos, Marius, for his vices degraded from the senate, i. 85.

Nero, the emperor, accession of, i. 312, his title reckoned unjust by the populace, 313; his humour profuse and rapacious, 314; above the control of slaves, 314; makes a funeral panegyric upon Claudius, composed by Seneca, 314; the first Roman emperor who needed another man's eloquence, 315; he wanted not some grounds of science, 315; his first speech to the senate, and scheme of future government, 315; makes preparations for the war in Parthia, 317; refuses compliments and statues, restrains accusations, does acts of mercy, and professes great clemency, 319; falls in love with Acte, 319; is consul, 319; his pleasures why indulged by his ministers, 319; loathes his wife Octavia, 319; surrenders himself entirely to Seneca, 320; is aware of his mother's arts, 320; makes her a mighty present, 320; dismisses Pallas, 321; is jealous of Britannicus, 322; causes him to be poisoned, 322; affects to lament his death, 324; gives vast gifts to his friends, 324; his wild nocturnal rambles and revellings in Rome, 328; bereaves his aunt Domitia of Paris her freedman, 331; is consul a second time, 332; erects a wooden amphitheatre in the field of Mars, 332; is consul a third time, 332; is proclaimed Imperator, 340; the many flatteries of the senate to him, 340; falls in love with Poppæa, 344; removes Otho from Rome, 345; his vileness and suspicions, 345; dreads Cornelius Sylla, and drives him into banishment, 345; his purpose to abolish all taxes, 347; the reasonings of the senate against this, 347; resolves to kill his mother, 353; is transported with a passion for Poppæa, and instigated by her arts, 353; avoids his mother, 354; finds it difficult to dispatch her, and wheedles her out of Rome, 354; his prodigious falsehood, and show of filial tenderness, 355; his fears when his mother escaped, 357; urges

Anicetus to finish the murder, 357; his horror and agonies afterwards, 359; affects great sorrow for her death, 360; transmits to the senate many heavy charges against her, 360; receives flattering compliments upon the death of his mother from the officers, 361; and from the senate, 361; his profligate court, 361; after the murder of his mother fears to return to Rome, 362; but is there received with flattery by all, and thence abandons himself to all iniquity and vileness, 362; his propensity to the harp, and apology for that diversion, 362; diverts himself with chariot-driving, at first privately, at last in the face of the people, 362; engages several noble Romans by money to perform upon the stage, 363; mounts the stage as a public singer and player upon the harp, 363; is addicted to poetry, 364; likes to hear the disputes of philosophers, 364; is the fourth time consul, 365; his voluptuousness and sickness, 368; institutes a wrestling school, 382; is suspected of causing Burrus to be poisoned, 385; is attached only to mischievous and wicked men, 385; his deceitful speech to Seneca, 388; his many caresses to him, and extreme malice, 389; dooms Sylla to die, 390; jests upon seeing his bloody head, 390; orders Plautus to die, 390; what he declared upon seeing his head, 391; complains of both to the senate after their death, 391; banishes his wife Octavia from his bed, and espouses Poppæa his mistress, 392; suborns Anicetus to declare himself Octavia's adulterer, 393; publishes an edict against her, and dooms her to exile, 394; and to death, 395; boasts his management and frugality, 407; his statue is melted by lightning, 409; a daughter is born to him by Poppæa, 409; his transport of joy upon this occasion, and of grief for her death, 409; his behaviour to Thrasea, 410; his raillery upon Cæsennius Pætus, 411; he sings upon the public stage at Naples, 415; purposes to visit Greece, there to gain the victory in song, 415; in the midst of his gaieties he riots in feats of blood, 416; but professes clemency, 416; purposes to visit Egypt and the East, but is dismayed and changes his mind, 416; his popular declarations, 416; his riot well-pleasing to the populace, 417; banquets frequently in public places, 417; his abominable pollutions, 417; personates a woman, and marries Pythagoras, 417; relieves and assists the people after the burning of Rome, 419; but is supposed to have caused it, and to have chanted the destruction of Troy during the fire, 419; builds an immense palace, 421; attempts works impossible, 421; causes Rome to be rebuilt, and directs the manner of building, 421; the new and the late city compared, 422; to acquit himself charges the Christians with having burnt Rome, 423; and treats them with many horrible cruelties, 423; exhausts the empire, spoils Italy, the Roman provinces, the allies of Rome, cities, temples, deities, and all things, 423; attempts to poison Seneca, 424; is wont to expiate omens by illustrious murders, 425; universally detested, and his destruction sought, 425; his tyranny threatens the empire with dissolution, 426; his great dismay upon discovering the extent of the conspiracy against him, 432; his rage against Seneca, 435; dooms him to die, 435; but prevents the voluntary death of Paullina, Seneca's wife, 436; his earnestness to destroy Vestinus the consul, 439; his intimacy with him, his dread of him, and resentment for his bitter sarcasms, 439; can charge him with no crime, yet dooms him to perish, 439; is an insulting tyrant, and sports with the misery and fears of men, 439; his mighty donative to the soldiery, after he had suppressed the conspiracy, 441; his friendship for Cocceius Nerva and Tigellinus, and his favour to Petronius Turpilianus and Nymphidius, 441; his discourse to the senate and edict to the people, 442; he publishes the evidence against the conspirators, but is sorely reproached by the public voice, 442; accidents seeming to presage his sudden fall, 443; becomes the jest of fortune, 443; is infatuated with hopes of mighty treasure to be found in Africa, 444; hence his fresh feats of prodigality and waste, 444; enters the public theatre as a competitor for the prizes there, 445; his great assiduity in acting, and court to the judges, 445; is shamefully applauded by the commonalty of Rome, 445; such as do not applaud him beaten by the soldiers, 446; he employs observers to watch the faces of the audience, 446; many are punished for neglecting to applaud him, 446; his acting continued night and day, 446; kills his wife with a kick, 446; pursues the destruction of Caius Cassius and Lucius Silanus, 447; his rancour towards Lucius Vetus and his family, 448; is petitioned by Vetus's daughter in his behalf, but is inexorable, 449; his mock mercy to them after they were dead, 449; lives in continual dread, 452; his cruelty his strongest appetite, 454; his vengeance

ence, and her doom, 455;
e bloody vengeance of Ti-
5; is bent to extirpate vir-
e earth, 455; and to destroy
d Soranus, 455; sends a
riting to the senate against
ithout naming him, 459;
and what public joy it
4; his wild profuseness,
erfeit Nero appears, 74.
ermanicus, i. 109; favour-
us, 125; his marriage, 125;
and gracefulness, 164; his
i Sejanus, 164; his impru-
formal accusation against
is death, 209.
n to Publius Suilius, ar-
acquitted, i. 342.
us, his dignity and accom-
i. 194; accompanies Ti-
retirement, 194; in perfect
hooses a voluntary death,
a the sadness of the times,

ius, prætor elect, distin-
h the ornaments of tri-
l.
, consul, i. 200; a second
, 425.
us, i. 147; his accomplish-
vayward ambition, 148.
is, the tribune, his trem-
onsternation in executing
rius, i. 438; boasts his own

ial lake for the reception
s, i. 93.
ome, bribed into bondage,

us, consul, i. 38.
cius, consul, i. 92.
is, a Roman knight, his
i the life of Claudius, i.
vehemently racked, but
close his accomplices, 261.
colony supplied, i. 333;
his colony with that of
364; its inhabitants are
i numbers of them slaugh-
aimed, 364.
332.
accused of exercising
acquitted, i. 169.
us. See Lupus.
ifus, commander of a le-
Old Camp, besieged by
11; is slain by command
is and Tutor, 250.
abinus, distinguished with
r ornaments, i. 441; his
se, 442; his designs upon
nty, ii. 4; perishes, 4.

i Sabinus, quæstor of the
censured by Helvidius
31.

Occia, chief vestal, her death, age and holiness, i. 106.
Octavia, the daughter of Claudius by Messalina, i. 268; she is betrothed to Lucius Silanus, 274; but withholden from him, 274; and betrothed to Nero, 277; is forced to dissemble her sorrow and surprise upon the murder of her brother Britannicus, 323; is falsely accused of adultery, her maids racked, and her innocence asserted, 392; the passionate affections of the people towards her, 393; a further false charge against her, 394; her banishment, and forlorn lot, 394; is doomed to die, and executed, 395; her head presented to Poppæa, 395.
Octavius Sagitta banished at the motion of Mucianus, ii. 229.
Odryseans, a people of Thrace, i. 131.
Olennius, a centurion, oppressive conduct of, i. 203.
Omens. See Prodigies.
Opsius, Marcus, his infamy, i. 200; meets his just doom, 200.
Oracles, their style dark and doubtful, i. 89.
Orators question of the payment of, i. 250.
Oratory, dialogue concerning, ii. 390; its utility, 394; pleasure of its exercise, 395; fame of the orator, 397; neglect of the poet, 399; reply, 403; are the modern inferior to the ancient orators? 410; Roman orators enumerated, 412; criticisms on Cicero, 416, 422; on Corvinus, 418; reply, 426; criticism on the moderns, 430; discipline of the ancient orators, 434; Demosthenes, 439, 447; course of study, 440; the Rhetoricians, 442 absurd questions debated by them, 443; oratory thrives best in troubled times, 444, 447; no limit imposed on the ancient orator, 448; condition of the pleader in the courts, 449; true eloquence the offspring of licentiousness, misnamed liberty, 450; therefore not to be met with in an orderly state, 452.
Ordovicians, a people of Britain, under revolt, attacked by Publius Ostorius, i. 290; they are defeated, 291.
Orfitus, Servius Cornelius, consul, i. 295.
Ornospades, the Parthian, his great power and command, i. 236.
Orodes, son of Arabanes, commands the Parthian army against Pharasmanes, i. 234; his speech to his men, 234; is routed, 235.
Orphitus, Pactius, fights against the orders of Corbulo, and is defeated, i. 336; he is doomed with his men to notable disgrace, 336.
Oscan, the farce so called, what tumults it caused, i. 164.

Osii, a German nation, ii. 335.
Ostorius Scapula, Marcus, son of the general in Britain, acquires the civic crown, i. 289; a satire upon Nero said to have been read in his house, i. 383; denies to have heard it, 383; is accused, 451; dies by his own hands, 451.
Ostorius Scapula, Publius, pro-prætor in Britain, ii. 359; routs and slays the revolters there, i. 289; disarms all such as he suspects, 289; his successful battle against the Icenians and their confederates, 289; reduces the Brigantes, settles a colony to bridle the conquered nations, and marches against the Silures, 290; attacks them with the Ordovicians and other confederates, 290; the great difficulties which he found, 290; yet gains a signal victory, 291; sends their general, Caractacus, with his wife and brothers, prisoners to Rome, 292; and is distinguished with the triumphal ornaments, 293; his misfortunes and losses, anxiety, and death, 294.
Otho, his intimacy with Nero, i. 319; his passion for Poppæa, 344; marries her, and extols her beauty to Nero, 344; governs Lusitania with great honour, 345; his various character, 345; ii. 11; Nero's confidence in him, ii. 11; his course of life riotous and expensive, 17; his rage against Galba, and envy of Piso, inflame his inordinate ambition, 17; his fears for his life, 17; believes in an astrologer, 18; his artifices with the soldiery, 18; commits the direction of his treason to Onomastus, 19; is saluted emperor by twenty-three prætorians only, 21; his behaviour to the soldiery, 26; his inflammatory harangue to them, 27; orders the common armoury to be thrown open, 28; the ill effects of this, 28; he commands the soldiers to march into Rome, 29; receives news of the death of Piso with the utmost delight, 31; orders the murder of Laco and Icelus, 33; tribunitial authority, and the name of Augustus, are decreed to him, 34; grants leave to bury the heaps of slain, 34; his known vices make him dreaded, 36; he suspends his pleasures, dissembles his luxury, and causes Celsus, whom he had confined, to be pardoned, 51; dooms Tigellinus to death, 52; his letters to Vitellius first deceitful, then abusive, 53; attempts to destroy Vitellius, 54; appoints consuls, and makes other promotions, 56; is suspected to have intended public honours to Nero, 57; his joy on the victory in Mœsia, 58; is struck with fear from an outrageous tumult, 59; speaks to the soldiery, and calms them. 60; his disposal of his army sent against Vitellius, 64; urged by the approach of Cæcina, he leaves Rome, 66; commits to his brother, Salvius Titianus, the management of the empire and city, 67; the first motions of the war propitious to Otho, and four more legions join him, 75; his forces in Narbon Gaul have the advantage over those of Vitellius, 77; he is made uneasy by false news, sends for his brother Titianus, and to him commits the direction of the war, 85; is bent upon engaging Cæcina and Valens, 91; returns to Brixellum, 91; his motions no secret to the army of Vitellius, 91; his army defeated near Bedriacum, 95; is determined in his purpose, and waits undismayed for an account of the battle, 99; his speech and calm behaviour before he dies, 99; his death and funeral, 101; some of his soldiers, from affection to him, kill themselves, 101; his character, 102.
Otho, Junius, prætor, a creature of Sejanus, i. 147; he is condemned to exile, 243.
Otho, Salvius, consul, i. 302; father of the emperor, ii. 102.
Oxiones, ii. 342.

Pacarius, Decimus, governor of Corsica, his attempt to engage the Corsicans for Vitellius, ii. 79; kills Claudius Pyrrhicus and Quinctius Certus for opposing him, 79; is himself killed in his bath, 80.
Paconianus, Sextus, a tool of Sejanus, i. 214; accused by Tiberius, 214; makes satirical verses against him, and is strangled in prison, 238.
Pacorus occupies the realm of Media, i. 397.
Pactius, Africanus, charged with having accused the two brothers of the Scribonian house, endeavours to evade the punishment of his guilt by showing others as guilty, ii 226.
Pætus, one infamous for accusations and informing, condemned to banishment, i. 327.
Pætus, Cæsennius, sent to command in Armenia, i. 399; his forces, boasts, and folly, 399; sad presages upon his passing the Euphrates, 400; his ill conduct and vainglory, 400; he is not furnished with intelligence, nor with firmness, 400; his foolhardiness and cowardice, with his rashness in dispersing his forces, 401; his forces defeated, 402; deserts all the duties of a captain, and sends humble entreaties to Corbulo for succours, 402; his consternation and that of his men, 403; their despair, 403; expostulates by letter with Vologeses, 404; desires a conference

)4; his interview with Va-
his scandalous treaty and
405; his soldiers insulted
like captives, 405; his
venant with the Parthians,
urch like a flight, 405; he
n meet Corbulo and his
urates, 405; the sorrowful
the two armies, 405; he
lo to proceed to Armenia,
raws to Cappadocia, 406;
Rome, 411; his dread of
, but escapes it, 411.
ius, consul, i. 372.
lman, a reigning favourite
ius, i. 267; his authority
ius, 286; advises him to
tius (Nero), 286; his in-
Agrippina, 286; public
d extravagant present de-
him, 303; his immense
is dismissed by Nero, but
sing any account, 321; is
h a conspiracy, but inno-
his arrogance, 328; his
supposed to have been
order of Nero, on account
wealth, 395.
famous astrologer, in exile,

ius, proprætor of Mœsia,

legions there mutiny, i.
e unruly and debauched,
volt suppressed, 22; how
is there, 157.
, the law so called, what,
gour softened by Tiberius,

ctus, consul, death of, i.

enturion, one of the mur-
lodius Macer, ii. 233; sent
is to destroy Lucius Piso,
n Africa, is executed by
f Piso, 232.
layer, charges Agrippina
piracy against her son, and
, i. 325; is the instrument
ror's debauches, 327; the
artiality to him, 327.
notions in, i. 56; ambas-
, 232; intrigues in, 233;
rmenia, 235; civil wars in,

e, seek a king from Rome,
lissatisfied with him, and
im, 57; send ambassadors
to seek Phraates for their
are not expert in sieges,

famous observation of his
Caligula, i. 224.
t of reigning the most ve-
ll, i. 429.
ee Manlius.

Patuleius, a rich Roman knight, leaves part of his estate to Tiberius, who resigns it to Servilius, i. 85.
Paulinus, Pompeius, commander in Germany, perfects the dam for restraining the overflowing of the Rhine, i. 348.
Paulinus, Suetonius, appointed commander by Otho, ii. 64; his character, 64; signal exploits by him, 85; his discourse on the state of the war, and the concurrence of Celsus and Gallus with him, 90; is pardoned by Vitellius, 107; governor of Britain, 360; quells a revolt there, 361.
Paulinus, Valerius, a brave officer, and fast friend to Vespasian, diverts Valens from his designs, ii. 162; sends after him, and takes him prisoner, 163.
Paullina, wife to Seneca, resolves to die with her husband, and has her veins cut, but is restrained from dying by Nero, i. 436; ever reverences the memory of her husband, nor lives long after him, 436.
Paullus, Venetus, the centurion, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Paxæa, wife of Pomponius Labeo, dies by her own hands, i. 230.
Pedo, commander of the cavalry sent by Germanicus along the confines of the Frisians, i. 42.
Pelago, the eunuch, superintendent of Nero's cruelties, i. 391.
Pelignus, Julius, the emperor's buffoon, made governor of Cappadocia, his absurd attempts and vile behaviour, i. 301; he becomes the hireling of the usurper Rhadamistus, 301.
Peloponnesus divided amongst the descendants of Hercules, i. 184.
People, those of Rome, their grief and lamentation at the funeral of Germanicus, i. 109; their prayers for Agrippina and her children, 110; why not sorry for the death of Drusus, 162; their fondness for the house of Germanicus, 162; their extreme debasement, 205; their licentious behaviour towards Tiberius, 220; they are reproved by a decree of senate, 221; their descantings upon the war with Parthia, in the beginning of Nero's reign, 316; how sensibly they feel the many evils of war, ii. 65.
People of Vienne, their humble submission and application to the army, ii. 47.
Percennius, an incendiary amongst the legions in Pannonia, i. 15; his character and harangue, 15; he is executed by the command of Drusus, 22.
Petilius Cerealis. See Cerealis.
Petina, Ælia, recommended to Claudius for a wife by Narcissus, i. 273; she was once married to the emperor before, but divorced, 273.
Petræ, two illustrious Roman knights

of that name, and brothers, put to death under Claudius for a dream, i. 249.
Petronius, Caius, his luxury and accomplishments, pleasures and abilities, i. 453; is acceptable to Nero, thence hated by Tigellinus, 454; is accused, seized, and opens his veins, 454; his manner of dying, 454; his remarkable will, 454.
Petronius. See Turpilianus.
Peucini, their situation and customs, ii. 340.
Pharasmanes, king of the Iberians, espouses the interest of Tiridates, i. 233; leads an army into Armenia against the Parthians, 233; gains the city of Artaxata, 234; his speech to his army, 235; he attacks Orodes, and defeats him, 235; his advice to his brother Mithridates, 251; his treacherous designs and cruelty towards Mithridates and family, 298; he aids the Romans, 336.
Philadelphia ruined by an earthquake, i. 85.
Philippopolis, the city of, by whom founded, i. 132.
Philopater, king of Cilicia, his death, i. 59.
Phœbus, Nero's freedman, his insolence and menaces to Vespasian, i. 446.
Phœnicians, first brought the use of letters into Greece, i. 256; but had them from Egypt, 256.
Phœnix, one seen in Egypt, i. 229; the several accounts of that bird, 229.
Phraates, what court he paid to Augustus, and why, i. 56; is destined by Tiberius to the Parthian diadem, but dies in Syria, 233.
Phrixus, the oracle of, in Colchis, i. 234.
Physicians, Roman, dark picture of the, i. 156.
Pilate, Pontius, i. 423.
Piso, Caius, a conspirator against Nero, his popularity and noble descent, his great accomplishments, and his vices, i. 425; is jealous of Lucius Silanus, 428; of Vestinus the consul, 428; the brave advice given him when the conspiracy was first detected, 433; neglects it, and dies by opening his veins, but in tenderness to his wife flatters Nero in his will, 433.
Piso, Cneius, his dispute in the senate with Asinius Gallus, i. 76; is a man of a violent spirit, and preferred to the government of Syria in despite to Germanicus, 82; his character, 82; he reproaches Germanicus, 89; insults and hates the Athenians, why, 89; his behaviour to Germanicus, 89; hastes to Syria, and corrupts the army there, 90; his insolence and disobedience to Germanicus, 91; parts from him in open enmity, 91; his intemperate joy and exultation for the death of Germanicus, 101; is flattered by the centurions, 101; is prompted by Domitius Celer, 101; his letter to Tiberius against the conduct of Germanicus, 102; he raises forces, 102; his disdainful answer to Marsus, 102; seizes a castle and harangues his men, 103; his forces flee, 103; is forced to abandon Syria, 103; is doomed to vengeance by the public voice, 111; his son is civilly received by Tiberius, 112; he arrives with his wife Plancina at Rome, 112; their magnificent entrance resented by the populace, 112; is arraigned, and by whom, 112; his trial, 112; the charge against him, 114; his defence impotent, except in one instance, 115; his judges implacable, and why, 115; the fury and indignation of the people towards him, 115; he finds all things threatening and boding, 116; waves all further defence, 116; and is found dead in his chamber with his throat cut, 116; his crimes thought to be suborned by Tiberius, who in the senate recites a letter from him, and affects to complain of his manner of dying, 116; his son acquitted by the emperor, 117; his sons defend their mother, 117; their favour from the emperor, 117; the sentence awarded against him, 117; it is softened by Tiberius, 118.
Piso, Lucinianus, his extraction, character, and adoption by Galba, ii. 11, 34; his modest behaviour, 14; his speech to the cohort upon duty at the palace, on occasion of the insurrection of Otho, 22; is sent to the camp, 25; returns, and is encountered by numbers of mutineers, but by the assistance of Sempronius Densus escapes to the temple of Vesta, 31; by order of Otho, he is murdered in the porch, 31; is buried by his wife Verania, and his brother Scribonianus, 34.
Piso, Lucius, consul, i. 332; he, Ducennius Geminus and Pompeius Paulinus set over the public revenue, 406.
Piso, Lucius, governor of Spain, assassinated there, i. 185; desperate spirit of the assassin, 185.
Piso, Lucius, pontiff, his death and character, i. 219; a public funeral decreed to him, 220.
Piso, Lucius Calpronius, his boldness in the senate, and prosecution of Urgulania, i. 75; he pleads for Cneius Piso, 113; is charged with treasonable words, 168; kills himself, 168.
Piso, Marcus, son of Cneius, i. 101, 102; his reception by Tiberius, 112; screened by him from punishment, 117.

Pituanus, Lucius, a magician, cast from the Tarpeian rock, i. 74.
Placentia, besieged by Cæcina, ii. 82; gallant behaviour of the besieged, 82.
Plancina, wife of Cnelus Piso, instructed by Livia to persecute Agrippina, i. 82; her unseemly behaviour and invectives against Agrippina and Germanicus, 90; her arrogant joy for the death of Germanicus, 101; returns to Rome, 112; secures the protection of Livia, 115: her trial, 117; screened from punishment by Tiberius, 118; again accused, kills herself, 228.
Plancus, Minutius, a senator of consular dignity, in danger from the soldiers, i. 29.
Plautius, Aulus, the first governor of Britain of consular quality, ii. 359; triumphs for his exploits there, i. 333.
Plautius Quintus, consul, i. 238.
Plautus, doomed to be murdered in Asia by order of Nero, i. 390; is advised to resist, 390; but peaceably submits to die, 391; his head presented to Nero, 391; is degraded from the rank of senator after his death, 391.
Plautus, Rubellius, his great quality, i. 325; is mentioned in a plot, 325; but not questioned, 327; his nobility and virtues, 368; is destined to succeed Nero by the public voice, and thence obliged to retire to Asia, 368.
Players, their factions, i. 38; they are exempt from stripes, according to the judgment of Augustus, 54; are laid under restrictions, and their wages limited, 54; their insolence, 102; they promote private debauchery, and disturb the public, 104; are driven out of Italy, 164.
Pleaders, how mercenary, i. 249; are debarred by law from taking fees, 250; are attacked in the senate, 250; their apology for themselves, 251; their fees ascertained, 251.
Plotius Firmus, a common soldier, chosen as commander of the prætorians, ii. 32; his devotion to Otho, 99.
Pœnius, Posthumus, præfect of the camp in Britain, slays himself, and why, i. 377.
Pollio, Annius, charged with treason, i. 218; his trial postponed, 218; is banished for his friendship to Seneca, ii. 255.
Pollio, Asinius, his daughter made chief vestal, i. 106.
Pollio, Asinius, the historian, praises Brutus and Cassius, yet in favour with Augustus, i. 177.
Pollio, Cælius, a Roman commander in Armenia, his perfidiousness and venality, i. 99.
Pollio, Julius, tribune of the guards, his part in the murder of Britannicus, i. 322.
Pollio, Memmius, consul elect, his motion in the senate for the marriage of Nero and Octavia, 277.
Pollio, Vinicianus, charged with treason, i. 218.
Pollutia, widow of Rubellius Plautus, doomed to destruction by Nero, i. 448; her sorrowful widowhood, and supplications for her father, Lucius Vetus, 449; she advises him to die, and dies with him, 449; they are condemned after death, 449.
Polyclitus, a manumitted slave of Nero, sent to inspect the state of Britain, i. 378; his amazing state and retinue, 378; is an object of derision to the Britons, 378.
Pompeium, that colony checked by a decree of senate, i. 365; overthrown by an earthquake, 409. See Nuceria.
Pompeius, a Roman knight, doomed to the pains of treason, i. 221.
Pompeius, the tribune, feared by Nero, and dismissed, i. 440.
Pompeius, Macer, prætor, i. 50.
Pompeius, Sextus, consul, i. 7.
Pompeius, Sextus, his reproaches upon Marcus Lepidus, i. 127.
Pompey, his power swallowed up in that of Cæsar, i. 2; is chosen to correct the public enormities, 124; his remedies worse than the disease, 124; his theatre, burnt and restored by Tiberius, 151; retains its old name, 151; was the first founder of a permanent theatre, 366.
Pomponius, Flaccus, his flattering motion against the memory of Libo Drusus, i. 74; is preferred to the government of Mœsia, 96; deceives and seizes Rhescuporis, 97; dies prætor of Syria, 228.
Pomponius Labeo, governor of Mœsia, i. 186; kills himself, 250.
Pomponius, Lucius, consul, i. 80; commander in Upper Germany, defeats the invading Catti, 287; is rewarded with a triumph, 287; he is a celebrated poet, 287.
Pomponius, Quintus, the accuser, his notorious impudence, i. 223.
Pomponius, Secundus, accused, i. 210; his character, 210; outlives Tiberius, 210.
Pomponius Silvanus, proconsul in Africa, accused of mal-administration, i. 348; how acquitted, 348.
Ponticus, Valerius, banished for a fraud, i. 379.
Pontius, Caius, consul, i. 242.
Poppæa Sabina the Elder, her death procured by Messalina, i. 248.
Poppæa Sabina the Younger, her descent, character, and mischievous charms, i. 344; marries Rufius Crispinus, but is carried away by Otho,

344; she manages and intoxicates Nero, 344; inflames him against his mother and his wife, 353; rules him implicitly, 392; is married to him, 392; forges a charge of adultery against Octavia, 392; her statues thrown down by the populace, 392; her artful and inflammatory discourse to Nero, 393; is delivered of a daughter, 409; the servile vows and zeal of the senate upon her pregnancy and delivery, 409; assists Nero in his cruelties, 435; her death and panegyric, both by Nero, 446; her pompous and royal funeral, with the popular joy for her death, 447.

Poppæus Sabinus. See Sabinus, Poppæus.

Populace, at Rome, their resentments and complaints towards Tiberius and Livia, about Germanicus, i. 117; their tumult during a famine, 297; their vile behaviour in the contest between Galba and Otho, 24; they rejoice at the murder of the emperor, 32; many present memorials to Otho for rewards for their crime, 32; just punishment of the authors by Vitellius, 32; their dread and anguish, occasioned by two such princes as Otho and Vitellius, 36; they raise a terrible tumult, 58; are filled with suspicion and distrust, 63; want bread, and employment to earn it, 63; some of them elated by the public commotions, 65; they rejoice when Vitellius is proclaimed, 104.

Porcius, Cato, his infamous practice, i. 200.

Præneste, a tumult of the gladiators there suppressed, i. 424; it causes public terror, 424.

Prætorian guards, their institution, i. 7; collected into one camp by Sejanus, 155; honours proposed for them, 213; place Otho on the throne, ii. 21; sent on active service by him, 64, 66; their treatment by Mucianus, 230.

Prætors, Tiberius reserves to himself the nomination of four, i. 14; they manage the treasury, 52; are employed to punish unruly spectators at the theatre, 54.

Prasutagus, a British king, leaves the emperor joint heir with his own daughters, i. 372; the policy of this, 372.

Primus, Antonius, convicted of a fraud, i. 379; his daring spirit, 379; expelled the senate, 379; his revolt to Vespasian, and character, ii. 124; his speech for despatch and pushing the war in Italy, 135; is leader of the army into Italy, 137; acquires great fame by ordering the statues of Galba to be restored, 139; assaults the enemy, 140; is reinforced by the seventh legion, 140; and by the third and eighth, 141; appeases a tumult, and saves Ampius Flavianus from being murdered, 142; is suspected to occasion the seditions which obliged Flavianus and Saturninus to retire, 142; when informed of the distractions of the enemy, he determines to engage them before Valens could head them, 145; encamps at Bedriacum, and is informed of the enemy's approach, 145; his disposition of his army, and his gallant behaviour, 146; obtains the victory, 146; is joined by the whole power of Vespasian's army, who are for attacking Cremona instantly, 147; but restrained by his address and eloquence, 148; is informed of the approach of the enemy, with six legions, 149; the disposal of his army, 149; his behaviour and management, 150; besieges Cremona, 153; orders the most sumptuous buildings without the city to be burnt, 154; on sign of submission he orders all violence to be stayed, 155; is supposed to have given orders for burning the city, 156; is struck with shame, and orders that none should hold as a captive any citizen of Cremona, 157; oppresses Italy, debauches the army, and commits rapine, 167; finds himself ill-used by Mucianus, and writes to Vespasian, 169; his speech to pacify the soldiers at Carsulæ, 175; his behaviour to the revolters, and disposal of them, 177; is suspected of treachery, 188; he advances along the great Flaminian road, 189; and approaches Rome, 191; is principal in authority there, 96; obtains the consular dignity, 198; his power and authority quite sunk by the arrival of Mucianus, 202; is reported to have persuaded Scribonianus Crassus to assume the sovereignty, 224; goes to Vespasian, 258; his reception and treatment, 258; grows daily more despised and despicable, 258.

Princes, in what sense the representatives of the gods, i. 131; the force of their example, 141; their death ever accompanied with dismal tales, 161; their passions and prejudices unaccountable, 168; how dangerous to upbraid them, 173; they may easily distinguish true applause from flattery, 174; their most lasting monuments, 179; always remember sharp railleries, 207; how they behold the instrument of their cruelties, 393.

Priscus, Ancharius, impleads Cæsius Cordus, i. 149.

Priscus, Caius Lutorius, his celebrated poem upon the death of Germanicus, and its reward, i. 137; is accused by

an informer for preparing another upon the death of Drusus, when it should happen, 137.
Priscus, Fabius, leader of the fourteenth legion from Britain, takes the Nervians and Tungrians under the Roman protection, ii. 258.
Priscus, Helvidius, sent to regulate the disorders in the East, and his prudent management there, i. 301; why suddenly recalled, 301; is accused, 460; his innocence, 460; his banishment, 464; his discourse and sentiments gain him great glory in the senate, ii. 198; his great character, 198; speech of his, 199; as prætor he consecrates the floor of the capitol, 235.
Priscus, Helvidius, son of the abovementioned, executed under Domitian, ii. 387.
Priscus, Julius, commander of an army under Vitellius, ii. 171; abandons the camp, 176; on the death of Vitellius, and reduction of Rome to Vespasian, he kills himself, 203.
Priscus, Nonius, a friend of Seneca, and for this banished by Nero, i. 441.
Priscus, Petronius, banished, i. 441.
Priscus, Tarquitius, condemned for public rapine in Bithynia, i. 382.
Proculus, Cervarius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426; his confession and pardon, 440.
Proculus, Cestius, accused and acquitted. i. 332.
Proculus, Considius, suddenly charged with treason, and executed, i. 223; Sancia, his sister, banished, 223.
Proculus, Licinius, captain of the prætorian guards to Otho, advises to engage Cæcina and Valens, ii. 91; is pardoned by Vitellius, 107.
Proculus, Volusius, helped to murder Agrippina, Nero's mother, i. 427; discontented with Nero, and threatens vengeance, 427.
Prodigies observed at Rome, i. 297; many and various, with their supposed portent, 425.
Propertius, Celer, a poor senator, relieved and supported by Tiberius, i. 53.
Prostitutes of old punished only by infamy, i. 106.
Provinces, Roman, why not averse to the sovereignty of one, i. 3; a decree for preventing their application to the senate for public thanks to their governors, i. 409.
Proximus, Statius, the tribune, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426; is pardoned, but offends again, and dies, 440.
Ptolemy, son of Juba, king of Mauritania, i. 170; honours paid to him by the senate, 171.
Pulchra, Claudia, accused and condemned, i. 189.
Puteoli, dissensions between the senate and the populace there, i. 346; dignified by Nero with the title of a colony, 371.
Pyramids of Egypt, their immense bulk and situation, i. 93; by whom raised, 93.

Quadi, governed by kings, ii. 334.
Quadratus, Numidius, governor of Syria, i. 299; he connives at the usurpation and cruelty of Rhadamistus, yet seems to oppose him, 300; composes the trouble in Judæa, and protects Felix, 304.
Quadratus, Seius, accused, i. 216.
Quæstorship, origin of the office, and how supplied, i. 261.
Quietus, Cluvidienus, banished, i. 441.
Quinta, Claudia, the vestal, her statue twice spared by the fire, i. 198.
Quintianus, Afranius, the senator, a man of evil fame, but active in the conspiracy against Nero, i. 426; he denies the charge long, 431; is corrupted by a promise of pardon, and informs against Glitius Gallus, 431; suffers death with resolution, 440.
Quintilianus, tribune of the people, his motion concerning one of the Sibyl's books, i. 220.
Quirinalis, Clodius, his conviction, and voluntary death, i. 332.
Quirinius, Sulpicius, husband to Emilia Lepida, his mean character, i. 136; his public funeral at the request of Tiberius, 136; his merits towards the emperor, 136; his warlike exploits and unpopularity, 137.

Red Sea, the boundary of the Roman empire, i. 93.
Regulus, the consul, his quarrel with Trio, i. 211, 214.
Regulus, Aquilius, charged as the accuser and destroyer of the illustrious house of the ancient Crassi, and that of Orphitus, ii. 227; his horrid cruelty set forth in a speech of Curtius Montanus, 227.
Regulus, Livineius, pleads for Cneius Piso, i. 113.
Regulus, Memmius, his death and illustrious character, i. 382; Nero's opinion of him, 382.
Remmius, a veteran soldier, slays Vonones, i. 97.
Reudigni, ii. 332.
Revels, popular, censured, i. 366; defended, 366.
Rhadamistus, son of Pharasmanes, king of Iberia, his ambition and guile, i. 298; by force and fraud he seizes Ar-

menia, the kingdom of Mithridates, his father-in-law and uncle, 298; and causes him and his wife (sister to Rhadamistus) to be murdered, as also their children, 300; is driven out of Armenia with his Iberians, 301; recovers it once more, and is more bloody than ever, 301; the people incensed, and he forced again to flee, 302; his love and barbarity to Zenobia, his wife, 302; he renounces all further struggles, 316; is put to death by his father, 336.
Rhamses, an Egyptian king, his wide conquests, i. 93.
Rhemetalces, a king of Thrace, i. 95; another, 97; besieged in Philippopolis, and relieved by the Romans, 132; serves with them against his countrymen, 186.
Rhescuporis, a king of Thrace, his treachery, i. 96; his imprisonment and death, 97.
Rhine, its course described, i. 59; how many legions guarded it, 157.
Rhodes, the city of, often loses and recovers its liberties, i. 306.
Rhoxolanians, a people of Sarmatia, invade Mœsia, ii. 57; their character, and overthrow by Marcus Aponius, 57.
Riots connected with the theatre, i. 53; factions of the players, 38.
Rivers, to alter their courses reckoned unholy, according to the opinion of the Latins, i. 55.
Roman dominions, extent of the, i. 157; fleets and legions, 157.
Roman government, various forms of the, i. 1, 175; change in its character, 4.
Romans, lost all spirit of liberty under Augustus, i. 4; their reasonings and fears about his successor, 4; under the conduct of Germanicus, their great victory over the Germans, 65; their generosity to their foes, 107; they chiefly delight to magnify ancient exploits, 107; are alarmed with the revolt in Gaul, 134; inveigh against Tiberius, 134. See Populace.
Rome, city of, its first magistrates were kings, i. 1; excessive servility and flattery there upon the accession of Tiberius, 7; extreme public sorrow for the death of Germanicus, 104; and expostulations of the populace, 104; what forces guarded the city, 157; mutual fears amongst all men there, whence, 201; many bloody executions, 238; great fires, 197, 241; its circumference enlarged by Claudius, 285; its ancient bounds, 285; false rejoicings there, 406; state of public provisions, 406; terrible conflagration, 418; terrors and misery of the people, 419; the flames wilfully heightened by incendiaries, who allege authority for their behaviour, 419; Nero suspected for the author of this calamity, 420; many public buildings and monuments destroyed, 420; public supplications and devotions after the fire, 422; public rejoicings and inward mournings, 440; a terrible pestilence, 450; is entered by the forces of Vespasian, ii. 192; the terrible havoc which ensues, 192; calamitous condition of the city after the death of Vitellius, 195; uneasinesses and terrors there, lest Africa should rebel, 223; the city restored to her pacific form, 225.
Rome, office of præfect of, i. 219.
Romulus, arbitrary in the administration of justice, i. 123; his wisdom, 263.
Roscius, Regulus, appointed consul for a day only, ii. 159.
Rubellius Blandus, marries Julia, the daughter of Drusus, i. 228.
Rubellius Geminus, consul, i. 206.
Rubrius, a Roman knight, strange sort of treason charged against him, i. 51.
Rufilla, Annia, her remarkable insolence, i. 130.
Rufinus, Vincius, a Roman knight, convicted of a fraud, i. 379.
Rufius, Crispinus, husband to Poppæa, i. 344.
Rufus, Aufidienus, præfect of the camp, ill-treatment of, i. 17; driven out, 19.
Rufus, Curtius, history of, i. 260.
Rufus, Fenius, made superintendent of public provisions, i. 327; captain of the guards to Nero, and in credit with the public, 386; hence disliked by the emperor, 386; his authority depressed, 389; is one of the conspirators against Nero, 426; his constant danger from Tigellinus, 426; his violent behaviour in examining the other conspirators, to prevent being suspected himself, 432; is accused of the conspiracy, and seized, 437; dies meanly, 438.
Rufus, Numisius. See Numisius.
Rufus, Petilius, a tool of Sejanus, i. 200.
Rufus, Trebellienus, appointed to administer the government in Thrace, i. 97; accused, and kills himself, 238.
Rufus, Verginius, consul, i. 409.
Rugii, ii. 336.
Ruminalis, the tree so called at Rome decays and revives, i. 352.
Rusticus. Arulenus. See Arulenus.
Rusticus, Junius, keeps a journal of the proceedings of the senate, i. 208; warns them against prosecuting Agrippina and Nero, 208.

Sabinus, Calvisius, charged with treason, i. 218; commander of a legion

under Cæsennius Pætus in the East, i. 400.
Sabinus, Flavius, brother to the emperor Vespasian, consul elect, appointed by Otho to command in Macer's room, ii. 92; with the forces under his command, he goes over to Vitellius, 103; draws up all the forces in Rome, who are by him sworn to Vitellius, 104; he is persuaded to leave Vitellius, 175; is suspected to envy his brother's fortune, 177; his character, 178; he attacks a party of Vitellius's men, but is forced to retire, and shuts himself in the capitol, 181; sends to Vitellius to expostulate, 181; is besieged in the capitol, 183; is taken and murdered, 186; funeral honours are paid to him as censor, 231.
Sabinus, Julius, one of the Lingones, values himself as being descended from Julius Cæsar, ii. 237; causes himself to be proclaimed Cæsar, 246; leads a huge host of his countrymen, the Lingones, to invade the Sequanians, 246; he is put to flight by them, and thence the war is stayed, 247.
Sabinus, Ostorius, accuses Barea Soranus, i. 457; as also his daughter, Servilia, 461; his charge against her, 461; his great rewards, 463.
Sabinus, Poppæus, governor of Mœsia, i. 55; his successful exploits against the Thracians, 106; his death and character, 238.
Sabinus, Publius, captain of the prætorian guards to Vitellius, ii. 128; put in chains, 158.
Sabinus, Titius, a Roman knight, i. 166; his faithful adherence to the family of Germanicus, 201; by what vile fraud circumvented, 201; he is condemned and executed, 202; his last words, 202.
Sacerdos, Carsidius, once prætor, banished, i. 245.
Sacrovir, Julius, incites the Gauls to revolt, i. 132; speech to them, 132; his deceit, 133; his great forces, 133; he holds as hostages all the noble youths of Gaul, 133; harangues his army, 135; is routed, and slays himself, 136.
Sagitta, Octavius, his extravagant passion for Pontia, i. 343; he murders her, and is condemned, 343; the generous spirit of his freedman, 343.
Sallustius, Crispus, his credit at court, and counsel to Livia, i. 6; aids Tiberius by his counsel, 79; his death, favour, and character, 126.
Salonina, wife to Cæcina, ii. 82.
Saloninus, Asinius, his death and illustrious descent, i. 153.
Salt, singular way of producing, in Germany, i. 351.
Salvianus, Calpurnius, accuses Sextus Marius unseasonably, i. 178; and thence banished, 178.
Salvius, Titianus. See Titianus.
Samos, the people of, claim a right of sanctuary to the temple of Juno, i. 63.
Sancia, banishment of, i. 223.
Sanctuaries, rights of, examined, i. 144; limited by the senate, 146; other claims, 163.
Sanquinius, Maximus, his speech in the senate, i. 214; governor of Lower Germany, dies, 258.
Sardis, the city of, destroyed by an earthquake, i. 85; it claims a right to a sanctuary, 146.
Sariolenus, Vocula, expelled the senate for endeavouring to introduce the practice of accusing under Nero and Vitellius, ii. 226.
Sarmatians, manners of the, ii. 341; their wars with the Romans, 2. 57, 151; engage for pay on different sides, i. 234; their conduct in battle, 235.
Satrius Secundus, an agent of Sejanus, i. 176; betrays his conspiracy, 244.
Saturnius, a turbulent tribune, i. 123.
Saturnius, Aponius, commander in Mœsia, attempts to murder Tertius Julianus, ii. 123.
Scævinus, Flavius, the senator, a vicious man, active in the conspiracy against Nero, i. 426; his behaviour and preparations observed by Milichus, his freedman, 430; is by him accused, and thence brought before the tribunal, 430; his bold defence, 431; suffers death with resolution, 440.
Scapula. See Ostorius.
Scaurus, Mamercus, offends Tiberius, who conceals his own rancour, i. 13; his quarrel with Lucius Sylla, 127; prosecutes Caius Silanus, 147; is accused of adultery and magic, 231; kills himself, 231.
Scaurus, Maximus, a centurion, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Scipio, husband to Poppæa the Elder, his temper and address, i. 249.
Scipio, Cornelius, his monstrous flattery to Pallas, i. 303.
Scipio, Publius, his courteous demeanour in Sicily of old, i. 92.
Scipio, Publius, consul, i. 328.
Scribonianus, Camillus, takes arms in Dalmatia, i. 302.
Scribonianus Crassus declines the offer of Antonius, ii. 224.
Scribonianus, Furius, doomed to exile, why, i. 302; Junia his mother involved in his crime, 302; he soon dies, 302.
Scribonii, the two brothers of that name compose the troubles at Puzzoli, i. 346.
Sea-fight, mock, exhibited by Claudius, i. 305.

Secular games, exhibition of the, i. 253.
Secundus, Julius, ii. 391.
Secundus, Pedaneus, governor of Rome, murdered by one of his slaves, i. 380; all the rest executed, 382.
Segestes, a German chief, in alliance with the Romans, i. 38; his advice to Varus, 38; his affinity to Arminius, and variance with him, 39; he prays relief from Germanicus against his own countrymen, 39; is rescued by Germanicus, 40; his daughter wife to Arminius, her behaviour and great spirit in captivity, 40; his speech and apology to Germanicus, 40; his desertion, how variously it affected the Germans, 41.
Segimund, son to Segestes, a deputy from his father to Germanicus, i. 40; a priest among the Ubians, 40; had once revolted from the Romans, but is graciously received, 40.
Sejanus, commands the prætorian guards, i. 154; his origin and character, 154; appointed governor to Drusus, 19; his great credit with Tiberius, 19; his arts to incense him against Agrippina and others, 48; marries his daughter to the son of Claudius, 125; this resented by the people, 125; his aspiring views, 125; a statue erected to him by the senate, 151; the partiality of Tiberius to him, 151; his mighty sway with Tiberius, 154; how ruinous to the state, 154; his designs against Drusus, 155; debauches his wife, 159; divorces his own, 156; at first recommends himself by good counsels, 150; studies to destroy the house of Germanicus, 162; his wicked artifices and instruments, 162; he continually instigates Tiberius against Agrippina, 162; his excessive power and infatuation, 180; he seeks Livia (the widow of Drusus) in marriage, 180; reply of Tiberius, 181; is alarmed, and urges the emperor to leave Rome, 182; his views in this, 183; he exposes his life for Tiberius, 195; hence the increase of his power, 195; sets himself to destroy the offspring of Germanicus, 195; no access to honours but through his favour, this purchased only by iniquity, 200; how he managed the prince's cruelty, 203; his great power and insolence, 205; his conspiracy and death, 209; his two children executed, and their bodies exposed, 210; his effects how disposed of, 213; all those under accusation of any attachment to him put to death, 224.
Seleucia, the city of, by whom founded, i. 239; its government, and flattery to Tiridates, 239; it baffles the whole power of the Parthian monarchy for seven years together, 253.
Semnones, their religious customs, ii. 331; their authority, 331.
Senate, the Roman, discussion in, on the funeral of Augustus, i. 8; humiliating importunity to Tiberius, 12; its conduct in the affair of Libo, 72, 74; independence of Piso, 76; application of Hortalus, 77; they meet the ashes of Germanicus, 109; their adulation, 142; they retain a shadow of their old jurisdiction, 144; why not sorry for the death of Drusus, 162; their fear and flattery, 205; their suppleness, 209; their sycophancy to Claudius, 275; they legitimate his marriage with his niece, 276; infamous flights of flattery upon the murder of Octavia, 395; their care to avert Nero's public shame, 445; but to no purpose, 445; more flattering acts to Nero, 449; decree tribunitial authority, and the name of Augustus, to Otho, ii. 34; are insulted by the soldiers, 103; and in suspense and terror at the death of Otho, 103; all turn their thoughts and obedience to Vitellius, 103; are frighted by Cœnus, a freedman of Nero, 104; decree all honours at once to Vitellius, 165; pronounce judgment on Cæcina, 158; decree to Vespasian all titles and prerogatives, 197; and the consulship, with Titus for his colleague, 197; also the prætorship and consular authority to Domitian, 197; award thanks to the generals, to the armies, and confederate kings, 224; appoint Plotius Griphus prætor in the room of Tertius Julianus, 224; devise an oath, by which they severally appeal to the deities, that they had in no degree sought the damage or life of any person, 226; their abhorrence of accusers, 226.
Senators not suffered to enter the house of an actor, i. 54; many of them fight upon the stage as gladiators, 415.
Senators, poor, some relieved by Tiberius, i. 77; others expelled, or allowed to retire, 85.
Seneca, Annæus, recalled from banishment, i. 277; his great abilities, 277; is appointed with Burrus to govern the youth of Nero, 314; his accomplishments, and fine genius, 314; composes speeches for Nero, 319; is reviled by Suilius, 340; his share in the death of Agrippina, 357; incurs popular censure, 357; is traduced to Nero by wicked counsellors, 385; their charge against him, and their flattery to Nero, 385; his speech to the emperor, 386; offers to resign all his wealth and power, and begs to retire, 386; avoids the court, 424; his constant danger and abstemious life, 424; his destruction sought by Nero, 434; his accusation, defence, and firmness

of mind, 434; his great calmness when doomed to die, 435; is anxious for the lot of his wife, 435; his exhortations to her, 436; orders all his veins to be opened, but without effect, and utters excellent discourses, 436; swallows poison, but in vain, 437; is suffocated in a hot bath; his last words, and plain funeral, 437; a purpose among the conspirators of transferring the empire to him, 437.
Senecio, Claudius, his intimacy with Nero, i. 319.
Senecio, Tullius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426; is intimate with him, 426; informs against Annius Pollio, 431; suffers death with resolution, 440.
Sentius, Cneius, becomes governor of Syria, on the death of Germanicus, i. 100; defeats the attempts of Pisc, 103.
Septimius, a centurion, murder of, i. 24.
Septimius, Portius, his character, ii. 138.
Sequanians, the, ravaged by Caius Silius, i. 134; obtain a victory over the Lingones, ii. 246.
Serapis, god of the Egyptians, sends a man to Vespasian to be cured of blindness, ii. 259; another to be cured of lameness, 260; history of this deity, according to the archpriests of Egypt, 260.
Serenus, Annæus, cloaks Nero's passion for Acte, i. 320.
Serenus, Caius Vibius, joins in the prosecution of Libo, i. 72; offends the emperor by his remonstrances on that occasion, 173; condemned and banished, 163; accused of treason by his son, 172; condemned to death, but his life spared by Tiberius, 173.
Serenus, Vibius, the younger, threatened by the populace, flees from the city, but is brought back, i. 173; his false accusation against Fonteius Capito, 178.
Servæus, Quintus, governor of Commagena, i. 91; is accused, and condemned, 216; saves himself by accusing others, 216.
Servilia, the daughter of Soranus, accused with him, i. 461; risks her own life to save his, 461; her affecting speech and behaviour in the senate, 462; is permitted to choose her own death, 463.
Servilius accuses Mamercus Scaurus, i. 231; takes a bribe, and is banished, 231.
Servilius, Marcus, consul, i. 232; his death and character, 365.
Servitude, with peace, preferred to a perilous struggle for liberty, i. 3.
Servius Galba. See Galba.
Servius Tullius, commended for his laws, i. 123.
Sestius, Caius, his complaint in the senate against turning the statues of the emperors into sanctuaries, i. 130; is consul, 232.
Severn, the river, i. 289.
Severus preferred to pontifical honours, i. 119.
Severus, Cæcina, his motion in the senate against the influence of women, i. 128.
Severus, Titus Alledius, a Roman knight, his strange court to Agrippina, i. 276.
Severus, Verulanus, commander of a legion sent to succour Tigranes, i. 397.
Sextia, wife to Mamercus Scaurus, persuades her husband to die, and dies with him, i. 231.
Sextia, mother-in-law to Lucius Vetus, accused, 448; kills herself, 449; is condemned after death, 449.
Sextilia, mother to Vitellius, ii. 110; her good character, 110; her death, 179.
Sextilius. See Felix.
Sibylline books not suffered to be consulted, i. 53; they are suppressed by authority, and called in, 220.
Sicily, privilege allowed the senators of that province, i. 284.
Sido and Italicus, kings of the Suevians, join Vespasian's army, ii. 138, 149.
Siege of Cremona, by Antonius, ii. 152; of the Old Camp, 211; of Magontiacum, 223; of Placentia, by Cæcina, 82.
Sigimer, brother of Segestes, surrenders himself, with his son, to Stertinius, i. 49.
Silana, the wife of Caius Silius, divorced to oblige Messalina, i. 255, 325; her character, 325; forms a plot against Agrippina, 325; is banished, 327; returns to Italy, and dies at Tarentum, 361.
Silanus, Appius, charged with treason, i. 218.
Silanus, Caius, proconsul of Asia, accused of robbing the public, i 147; guilty, but hardly used, 148; and doomed to exile, 149.
Silanus Creticus, governor of Syria, i. 58; removed by Tiberius, because of his friendship and affinity to Germanicus, 82.
Silanus, Decius, banished under Augustus, i. 121; is restored under Tiberius, 121; but arrives at no preferment, 121.
Silanus, Junius, consul, i. 200; is poisoned, by whom and why, 313; his innocence and great quality, 313.
Silanus, Lucius, engaged to Octavia, the daughter of Claudius, i. 274; unjustly accused of incest, and degraded from the rank of a senator, 274; deprived of Octavia, and divested of his prætorship, 276; kills himself, 276.
Silanus, Lucius, the younger, his emi-

nent character, i. 447; accused by Nero, 447; is doomed to exile, 448; is murdered by a centurion and band of soldiers, 448; dies like a brave man, 448.
Silanus, Marcus, his flattery of Tiberius, i. 142.
Silanus, Torquatus, his accusation, and voluntary death, i. 416.
Silia, why doomed to banishment, i. 455.
Silius, Caius, commands under Germanicus in Upper Germany, i. 23; is distinguished with the ornaments of a triumph, 50; directs the building of a fleet, 60; invades the Cattians, 60; takes their prince, with his wife and daughter, 60; is despatched with an army against the Catti, 69; sends forces against the revolted Gauls, 133; the alacrity of his men, 134; his speech to them, 135: routs Sacrovir, 135; is arraigned, 166; the friendship of Germanicus fatal to him, 166; hurts himself by boasting his services, 166; kills himself before condemnation, 167; yet his estate confiscated, 167.
Silius, Caius, the younger, consul elect, his speech against mercenary pleaders, i. 250; is obliged by Messalina to divorce Silana, his wife, 255; the danger of this amour, 255; his desperate intoxication, 265; he marries the emperor's wife, 266; his riot with Messalina, 268; is alarmed with the approach and menaces of Claudius, 268; is presented before the tribunal, 270; begs a despatch of his doom, 270; his acccomplices executed, 270.
Silures, a people of Britain, very fierce and hard to be reclaimed, i 250; are defeated, 294; yet continue implacable, 294; are repulsed by Didius, 294.
Silvanus, Granius, the tribune, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426; is pardoned, but falls by his own hands, 440.
Silvanus, Plautius, the prætor, murders his wife, i. 169; and dies by opening his veins, 169.
Silvanus, Poppæus, governor of Dalmatia, ii. 167.
Simon, one of the governors of Jerusalem, ii. 275.
Simplex, Cæcilius, appointed consul, ii. 108.
Sinnaces, his great credit in Parthia, i. 236; supports Tiridates, 237.
Sirpicus, a centurion so called, the cause of a quarrel between two legions, i. 19.
Sisenna, a centurion, flees from the counterfeit Nero, ii. 74.
Sitones, their situation and government, ii. 340.
Slaves, a regulation concerning them, i. 333; their doom, where one of them killed his lord, 382.
Smyrna, the city of, claims a right to a sanctuary, i. 146; its claim not proved, 146; its antiquity, and early friendship to Rome, 192.
Sofonius Tigellinus. See Tigellinus.
Sohemus, king of Iturea, his death, i. 285.
Sohemus created king of Sophene by Nero, i. 317; joins the party of Vespasian, ii. 121.
Soldiers, those newly levied in Rome, debauch the rest, i. 24; their fury and excesses, 24; their barbarity to the centurions, 24; how stubborn in their sedition, 24; after a sedition they deliver up the authors to execution, 32; their behaviour to Galba, ii. 42; to Otho, 26; their terrible march into Rome, 29; they destroy many citizens, and murder Galba, 30; and Titus Vinius, 30; all things transacted by their will, 32; they insist upon being exempt from paying fees to their centurions, 33; advance Flavius Sabinus to the government of Rome, and choose their officers, 33; reflections on them, 37; some in Germany break in pieces the image of Galba, to whom just before they had sworn, 40; terrible instances of their fury, cruelty, and madness, 42, 45; they insist on destroying the Helvetians, who are saved by the eloquence of Claudius Cossus, 58; horrible instance of their rage, frenzy, and cruelty, 58; are calmed by a speech of Otho's, 60; those in Judæa, Syria, and Egypt, are uneasy that they have no share in the disposal of the empire, 72; they burn, plunder, and lay waste, without distinction, their own country, 77; their insolence to Annius Gallus, especially of those who had murdered Galba, 84; those of Vitellius let loose to spoil and ravage, 105; their outrageous behaviour at Ticinum, 112; great numbers of them discharged, 111; they magnify their service to Vitellius, 114; their behaviour in regard to Vespasian, 123; many cruel murders committed by them, 125; a great mortality among them, 129; they doom to death Titus Ampius Flavianus, 141; are kept from murdering him by Antonius Primus, 142; they then assail Aponius Saturninus, 142; those of Vitellius go over to Vespasian, 176; their insolence and baseness, 190; a cruel instance of their outrage to Gallus, 215; they murder Hordeonius Flaccus, 222; and are disappointed of murdering Vocula, by his escape, 222; put themselves again under the command of Vocula, 223; and again they take the oath to Vespasian, 223; new sedition, 230; quieted by Mucianus,

230; their bravery and fortitude in the Old Camp, 241; their destruction, 241; agitation at Novesium, 243; as they are led by Claudius Sanctus, a squadron of horse go off from him, and meeting Longinus they butcher him, 243.
Solon, the Athenian lawgiver, i. 123.
Soothsaying, edict of the senate respecting, i. 256.
Sophene, region of, bestowed on Sohemus by Nero, i. 317.
Soranus, Bareas, consul elect, his flattery to Pallas, i. 303; is destined to destruction by Nero, 455; his excellent government in Asia, and benevolent behaviour there, matter of jealousy and hate to Nero, 457; is accused, 461; imputations against him, 461; tenderness and generosity of his daughter Servilia, 461; his concern for her, 462; is permitted to choose his own death, 463.
Sosianus, Antistius. See Antistius.
Sosibius, tutor to Britannicus, his treacherous counsel to Claudius, i. 247; rewarded, 249.
Spaniards allowed to build a temple to Augustus, i. 54; they are desirous to build one to Tiberius and his mother, 178; not allowed, 179.
Spartacus never admitted to treat with the Romans, i. 151.
Speech, freedom of, how insecure under Tiberius, i. 107.
Speech of Tiberius, on the trial of Piso, i. 113; refusing divine honours, 179; of Germanicus, to his soldiers, 30; on his death-bed, 98; of Seneca to Nero, 387; Nero's reply, 388; of Galba to Piso, upon his adopting the latter, ii. 12; of Piso to the cohort upon duty, upon the first revolt of the army, 22; of Fabius Valens to Vitellius, 39; of Otho to the soldiers, 27, 60; and a remarkable one before his death, 99; of Mucianus to Vespasian, 116; and to the people of Antioch, 120; of Antonius to his army, 148; and to his soldiers at Carsulæ, 175; of the leading men of Rome to Sabinus, 177; of the followers of Vitellius to him, 178; of Helvidius Priscus in the senate, 199; of Eprius Marcellus there, 200; of Civilis to the Batavians, 215; a glorious one of Curtius Montanus in the senate, 227; of Vocula to Classicus and Tutor, 238; of Vocula to the soldiers, 239; of the ambassador of the Tencterians to the Agrippinian colony, 244; their answer, 245; of Civilis to the Tungrians, 246; of the soldiers of Cerealis. 251; of Cerealis to his army, 278; of Civilis to Cerealis, when the former submitted to the Romans, 285; of Calgacus to his army, 372; of Agricola to his army at the same time, 374.
Spurinna, Vestricius, appointed commander by Otho, ii. 76; commands in Placentia, 80; he defends the place against Cæcina, 82; sends word of the siege being raised to Annius Gallus, 84; leaves Placentia and joins the army, 92.
Statius, Domitius, the tribune, feared by Nero, and bereft of his command, i. 441.
Statues, those of the emperors, become the sanctuaries of profligates, i. 130.
Stella, Arruntius, receives the submission of Sigimer, i. 49; appointed to direct public shows, 327.
Stertinius routs the Bructeri, i. 42; takes vengeance on the Angrivari, 60; with Æmilius relieves the Batavians, beset with a host of Germans, 61.
Strabo, Acilius, complaints of the Cyrenæans against, i. 365.
Strabo, Seius, captain of the prætorian guards, i. 7.
Stratoniceans, their claim to a sanctuary, whence, i. 145; what deity they worshipped, 145.
Suardones, ii. 332.
Suetonius, Caius, consul, i. 451.
Suetonius, Paullinus, a general of renown, governor of Britain, i. 372; ii. 360; gains a great victory in Mona, i. 373; his vigour and strength of men, 374; he embattles his army, 375; harangues them, 376; gains a mighty victory, 377; his army recruited, 378; his devastations throughout Britain, 379; is maligned and misrepresented by Julius Classicianus, the procurator, 278; ordered to resign his command, 378
Suevia, the riches of that kingdom, i. 288.
Suevians, at war with the Cheruscans, their country and customs, i. 83.
Suillius, Cæsonius, an abandoned accuser, i. 271; he takes an immense reward, yet betrays the cause, 249; defends pleading for hire, 250; is arraigned, 341; his mischievous spirit and stubbornness, 341; inveighs against Seneca, 341; the many charges against him, and his impotent defence, 342; is convicted and banished, 342.
Suillius, Marcus, consul, i. 285.
Suillius, Publius, sells judgment for money, is convicted and banished. i. 174; proves afterwards a mischievous minister under Claudius, 248.
Suiones, their situation and power, ii. 337; their ships, and manner of working them, 337; their government, 337.
Sulpitius, Caius, consul, i. 138.
Sulpitius, Camerinus, proconsul in

Africa, accused of maladministration, and acquitted, i. 348.
Sumptuary law, discussion on, in the senate, i. 74; remarks on, 139.
Superstition, its force upon ignorant minds, i. 23.
Sygambrians, the, subjected by Tiberius, i. 70; serve against the Thracians, 187.
Sylla, the dictator, his domination not long, i. 2; his regulations, 124.
Sylla, Cornelius, for his vices degraded from the senate, i. 85; mentioned in a plot, 327; his splendid descent and alliance, 327; falsely accused and banished to Marseilles, 345; suddenly murdered at Marseilles by order from Nero, 390: his head presented to the emperor, 391; degraded from the dignity of a senator after his death, 391.
Sylla, Faustus, consul, i. 302.
Sylla, Lucius, his contests with Domitius Corbulo, i. 127; he becomes consul, 221.
Syracusans, a decree in their favour, i. 346.
Syria, that province prays an abatement of taxes, 81; the legions there how much debauched, 335.

Tacfarinas raises war in Africa, i. 87; his progress and strength, 87; the revolt suppressed, 88; renews the war 119; his arrogant embassy to Tiberius 151; is distressed, pursued, and forced to retire, 152; his strength and stratagems, 169; his forces surprised and defeated, 171; he dies bravely, 171.
Tacitus, his design in writing these Annals, what, i. 2; declares his impartiality, 2; laments the subject of his history as melancholy and confined, 175; is one of the quindecemviral priesthood under Domitian, 254; and prætor, 254; laments so many tragical deaths under Nero, and the passiveness of the Romans, 452; his advancement by Vespasian, by Titus, and by Domitian, and his design to write of Nerva and Trajan, ii. 2; his digressions on the state of affairs, 2, 93; and on the burning of the capitol, 184; during the counsulship of Agricola, he is contracted to his daughter, 353; his account of Britian, 353; his reflections on the prudence and moderation of Agricola, 383; his relation of the death of Agricola, 383.
Tanfana, a celebrated temple of the Germans, razed, i. 36.
Tarquitius Priscus accuses Statilius Taurus, and is expelled from the senate, i. 307.
Taurians, a barbarous people, slay some of the Romans, i. 282.
Taurus, Sisenna Statilius, consul, i. 56.
Taurus, Statilius, once governor of Rome, i. 220; his accusation and voluntary death, 306.
Tax, that of the hundredth penny confirmed, i. 54.
Taxes, regulations about gathering them, i. 347; the true measure of public taxes, 347.
Tax-gatherers, complaints against them, i. 347; their power restrained, 347.
Telesinus, Lucius, consul, i. 451.
Temple, that of Venus at Paphos, its tradition and customs, ii. 69; that of Jerusalem described, 272.
Tencterians, embassy of the, ii. 244; their territories, manners, customs, described, 322.
Tenos, isle of, its claim to a right of sanctuary, i. 146.
Terentius, Marcus, a Roman knight, accused for his friendship with Sejanus, i. 217; his bold defence, 217; is acquitted, and his accusers banished, 218.
Tertius Julianus. See Julianus Tertius.
Teutoburgium, the forest of, contained the bones of Varus and the legions there slain, i. 42; the monuments there described, as also the slaughter, 42; the bones buried by the army, 43.
Thames, phantoms seen in its marshes, i. 373.
Theatre at Rome, dissensions and bloodshed there, i. 53; the usual guard removed from thence, 328; and recalled, 329.
Theatres, formerly erected occasionally, 366; Pompey founded the first permanent one, 366.
Thebes, in Egypt, its mighty opulence of old, i. 93; obelisks and ancient characters there, 93.
Theophanes of Mitylene, i. 223.
Thermus, Numicius, once prætor, his innocence and bloody doom, i. 455.
Thrace, Roman interference in, i. 95, 97; commotions in, 131.
Thracians, uneasy under the Roman government, and revolt, i. 131; are routed, 131; their fresh insurrection, 186; their defiance and warlike songs, 187; their misery and distress, 188; their despair and furious onset, 189; their defeat, 189.
Thrasea, Pætus, opposes a decree of the senate, i. 346; invectives of his enemies against him, 346; his apology for his conduct, 347; he provokes the vengeance of Nero, 361; his speech about punishing Antistius the prætor, 363; his great influence in the senate, 384; his firmness and credit, 384; his speech upon the trial of Timarchus of

Crete, 408; is warned of Nero's vengeance, 410; his undaunted spirit, 410; is destined to destruction by Nero, 455; forbid even to attend Tiridates' entry into Rome, 457; offers to vindicate himself, 457; his great spirit and free speech hateful to Nero, 458; reasonings of his friends for and against his repairing to the senate, and attempting a defence, 458; his advice to Arulenus Rusticus, 459; the senate beset with armed men upon his trial, 459; dismay of the senators, 461; his composed and noble behaviour, when apprised of his doom, 463; his conversation with the philosopher Demetrius, his concern for his friends, and advice to Arria his wife, 463; his veins opened, his magnanimity and noble discourse, 464.

Thrasullus, the astrologer, i. 224; a signal proof of his skill, 224; he is dear to Tiberius, 225; his son foretold the empire to Nero, 226.

Throsobor heads the rebellious peasants in Cilicia, i. 304; is put to death, 305.

Thumelicus, a son of Arminius, i. 41.

Tiberius, the Emperor, his history why falsified, i. 2; his favour and great command, 4; his dissimulation upon the murder of young Agrippa, 6; he would seem to decline the sovereignty, yet acts as sovereign, 7; affects to derive all his authority from the commonwealth, 7; his fear of Germanicus, 7; his resolution, and its causes, 8; his speech against assuming the sovereignty, 11; he is naturally obscure and distrustful, 11; is blamed at Rome for not going in person to quell the insurrection of the armies in Germany, 33; determines not to leave Rome, 33; yet feigns to go, 34; he repines at the glory of Germanicus, though glad of his success, 37; extols him in the senate in a style very pompous and insincere, 37; his temper and politics different from those of Augustus, 38; displeased at the proceedings of Germanicus, 43; affects self-denial, but gains no public esteem, 50; is exasperated by satirical verses, 50; his letter to the senate, 51; is assiduous in the tribunals of justice, 52; private justice promoted under him, but public liberty overthrown, 52; what virtue he long retained, 53; his austereness, 53; he suppresses all inquiries, human as well as divine, 53; his absence from popular entertainments, to what ascribed, 53; his policy in prolonging provincial governments, 55; not fond of eminent virtue, yet hated vice, 55; why pleased with the commotions in the East, 58; is proclaimed Imperator by the army, 66; urges Germanicus to return to Rome, 71; he discourages the restraint of luxury, 75; his prudence and moderation, 76; he helps some necessitous senators, 77; opposes the application of Hortalus to the senate for relief, 78; is suspected of evil purposes toward Germanicus, 81; studies to remove him far from Rome, and finds a pretence, 81; proposes to the senate to send him into the East, 82; encourages no wills in his own favour, but often rejects inheritances, 85; finishes and consecrates certain temples, 86; is consul for the third time, 88; his pacific policy, 95; his art and dissimulation, 96; he turns all things to his own glory, 105; lessens the price of grain, 106; refuses flattering titles, 106; appears not at the funeral of Germanicus, 109; checks the people by an edict, for their excessive grief for Germanicus, 110; his artful speech to the senate about the trial of Cneius Piso, 113; is proof against the temptation of money, 118; his strange subtilty and variations, 120; his fourth consulship, 126; his dark spirit and distrusts, 131; his firmness against popular reproaches, 134; his reasons to the senate for not repairing to the war, 136; his affected moderation, his policy and rigour, 138; his letter to the senate about the cure of luxury 139; desires the senate to confer upon his son Drusus the tribunitial power, 142; his modest character of Drusus, 142; restrains the honours decreed to Drusus, 144; censures extravagant flattery, 144; his exclamation against the servile spirit of the senate, 147; his rigour in the trial of Caius Silanus, 148; yet moderates his punishment, 149; much affected with the arrogance of Tacfarinas, 151; instructs Blæsus how to seduce his followers, 162; grows more tyrannical, 154; reckons the death of Germanicus a blessing, 154; blind to the designs of Sejanus, 155; his reign moderate till the death of Drusus, 159; shows no concern for the death of his son, 159; his speech to the senate, 159; recommends to them the sons of Germanicus, 160; his admonition to the latter, 160; his hollow proposal to restore the commonwealth, 160; his speech about creating a priest of Jupiter, 165; encourages zeal for things divine, 165; cloaks his acts of tyranny under venerable names, 167; grows rapacious, 167; his vengeful and unforgiving spirit, 168; how long he retained his wrath, 173; he mitigates a severe motion, 173; is a shameless advocate for the accusers, 174; an

instance of his mercy applauded by the public, 174; his discernment, yet a tyrant, 174; though usually wary and slow of speech, yet in pleading he spoke readily, 174; his wise reasoning against admitting divine honours, 179; variously construed, 180; his artful answer to Sejanus, 181; he praises and disappoints him, 182; what determined him to shun all assemblies of the Fathers, 183; grows more cruel, because charged with cruelty, 183; makes no answer to the suit of Agrippina, and why, 191; retires from Rome, 193; his pretence for this, 193; the true causes of his retirement, 194; his life threatened by an accident, 195; his bounty to such as had suffered by fire, 198; his statue unhurt by the flames, 198; shuts himself up in the isle of Capreæ, 199; in what fear he pretends to live, 202; he protects the ministers of his cruelties from others, but sometimes crushes them himself, 202; is solicitous to hide his purposes, 203; his neglect of his mother in her sickness, 206; abridges the honours decreed to her, 207; grows a complete tyrant, 207; is animated by Sejanus, 208; his prodigious pollution and lewdness, 212; his agonies, and the horrors that haunted him, 215; the sadness of the times under him, 216; avoids returning to Rome, 221; he commands horrid massacre, 224; his extreme tyranny, 224; his presage concerning Galba, 224; is addicted to astrology, 224; avows his cruelty to his grandson, 226; knows how much he is hated, 232; is insatiable of blood, 237; approaches Rome, as it were to behold the many tragical executions there, 238; his bounty, 242; he is sparing in buildings, private and public, 242; various new honours decreed to him, 242; in settling the succession he is regardless of the public weal, 243; he wavers about it, 243; foretels the cruelty and bloody reign of Caligula, 243; is taken ill, but conceals his condition, and continues his voluptuousness, 243; ridicules physicians, 243; is near his end, yet feigns perfect health, 243; is seized with a deep swoon, but recovers, 246; is smothered by Macro, 246; his character, 247.

Tigellinus, Sofonius, captain of the guards to Nero, polluted with every abomination, i. 385; his great sway with the emperor, whence, 386; his power grows more mighty, 389; he inflames the emperor's cruelty, and incites him to the murder of Sylla and Plautus, 389; urges Octavia's maids to accuse their lady of adultery, 392; his prodigious entertainment made for Nero on the lake of Agrippa, 417; the monstrous scenes of lewdness seen at it, 417; by forged crimes he labours the destruction of Fenius Rufus, 426; is minister of blood to Nero, 435; is distinguished with the ornaments of triumph, 441; suborns one of the slaves of Caius Petronius to accuse his master, 454; a summary of his life and wicked character, ii. 52; he is doomed to die, and kills himself, 52.

Tigranes, king of Armenia, reigns not long, i. 58; is put to death under Tiberius, 239.

Tigranes, the Cappadocian, created king of Armenia by Nero, i. 370; his nobility, education, and servile spirit, 370; he overruns the Adiabenians, 396.

Tigranocerta, surrendered to Corbulo, and spared by him, i. 369; its citadel forced to yield, 370; possessed by Tigranes, 370; its situation and strength, 370; well garrisoned and victualled, 370.

Tigris, the river, i. 237, 279.

Timarchus, Claudius, of Crete, his accusation and trial, i. 407.

Tiridates destined by Tiberius to the crown of Parthia, i. 233; his progress and strength, 236; he passes the Euphrates, and takes possession of several cities, 239; the hopes conceived of him by the Parthians, 239; his ill conduct and miscarriage, 240; he is deserted, and retires into Syria, 241.

Tiridates, brother to king Vologeses, gains the kingdom of Armenia, i. 301; speedily loses it, 301; his efforts to gain Armenia prove abortive, 337; he expostulates with Corbulo, 337; his fraudulent dealings and retreat, 337; his perplexity and distress, 339; his impotent assaults, 339; marches away, 339; is bereft of all hopes of Armenia, 370; his advice to Vologeses, 396; is crowned by his brother Vologeses, 397; meets Corbulo, 413; lays his diadem at the feet of the statue of Nero, 413; undertakes to sue for the same to the emperor in person, 413; gives his daughter as an hostage, and writes a suppliant letter to Nero, 414; arrives in Rome to receive from Nero the crown of Armenia, 457.

Titianus, Salvius, brother to Otho, ii. 67; has the whole command committed to him, 85; advises to engage Cæcina and Valens, 91.

Titus, son of Vespasian, promoted the author, ii. 1; is sent by his father to congratulate Galba, hears of his murder, and stops in Greece, 68; visits the tem-

ple of Venus, at Paphos, 69; consults the oracle there, has an auspicious answer, and returns to his father, 70; is decreed colleague to his father in the consulship, 197; is left by his father to command the army, and to prosecute the war against the Jews, 234; his speech to his father at parting, 234; his winning behaviour to his soldiers, 264; his army described, 264; encamps near Jerusalem, 264; besieges the city, 274.
Torquata, a vestal, her sanctity and interest, i. 149.
Trade, duties upon, regulated, i. 347.
Treason, how common a charge, i. 131; the bulwark of all accusations, 131; what minute and harmless things pass for it under Nero, such as looks, smiles, and accidents, 432.
Trebellienus, Rufus, made administrator of Thrace during a minority there, i. 97.
Trebellius, lieutenant to Vitellius in Syria, overcomes the rebellious Clitæans, i. 239.
Trebellius Maximus. See Maximus Trebellius.
Treveri, insurrection of the, i. 133.
Triaria, wife to Lucius Vitellius, her wicked character, ii. 109; an instance of her barbarity, 188.
Tribunes, military, with consular authority, lasted not long, i. 2.
Tribunes of the people, their jurisdiction restrained, i. 331.
Tribunitial power, a title devised by Augustus, and why, i. 142.
Trio, Fulcinius, an informer, i. 72; he accuses Libo Drusus, 72; arraigns Cneius Piso, 112; advice of Tiberius, 119; is consul, 211; his quarrel with Regulus, 212; he leaves a will full of invectives against Tiberius and his ministers, 237.
Triumphal arch raised near the temple of Saturn for the victories of Germanicus, i. 80.
Troxobor, a chief of the Clitæans, i. 304, 305.
Tubero, Seius, commands the horse under Germanicus, i. 66.
Tugurinus, Julius, a Roman knight, one of the conspirators against Nero, i. 426.
Turonii, insurrection of the, i. 133.
Turpilianus, Petronius, consul, i. 372; is governor of Britain, 378; his lifeless administration, 378; is distinguished with the ornaments of triumph, 441; put to death, ii. 5.
Turranius, Caius, the intendant, i. 7.
Tutor, Julius, the Treverian, his character, ii. 237; commands the Treverians, and shares the direction of the war with Classicus, 241; neglects to fortify the bank of the Rhine, 249; is routed by Cerealis, 250; advises to attack Cerealis instantly, 255; is defeated, 257.
Tyrants, how miserable and insecure, i. 215; how readily forsaken by their servile adherents, 236.
Tyrrhenians, whence their name, i. 192.

Ubians, calamity to the, i. 352.
Urgulania, a friend of the empress Livia, i. 75; a suit against her prosecuted by Piso, 75; deference shown to her, 76; advises suicide to Plautius, her grandson, 169.
Usipii, memorable adventure of a cohort of them, ii. 370. See Tencterians.
Uspes, city of, besieged, stormed, and its inhabitants put to the sword, i. 281.
Usurers attacked by the accusers, i. 223.
Usury, the laws against, i. 223; its excesses and evil consequences, with the expedients to remove them, 223.

Valens, Fabius, commander of a legion, his speech to Vitellius, ii. 39; revolts with the first legion, and salutes him emperor, 42; is suspected of taking a great sum for saving Vienne from being sacked, 48; his prodigality and venality, 48; sends letters to the prætorian bands, and city cohorts, 54; sends forces under Julius Classicus to defend the coast of Narbon Gaul against Otho's fleet, 78; a battle ensues, when the victory inclines most to Otho's side, 79; quells a mutiny and insurrection, 87; joins Cæcina, 89; derides him, yet to promote the same cause concurs with him, 89; is infamous for pillage and feats of rapine, 105; resides at Bononia, and there exhibits a combat of gladiators, 115; advances at the head of a huge host against Antonius Primus, 161; his scandalous behaviour, 161; embarks, and is well received by Marius Maturius, 162; is taken prisoner, 163; he is slain in prison, at Urbinum, 176; his character, 176.
Valens, Manlius, commander of the Italic legion, ill used by Fabius Valens, ii. 46.
Valentinus, Tullius, an ambassador of the Treverians, and promoter of the war, ii. 248; his harangue at Rheims, 248; is opposed by Julius Auspex, 248; his character, 249; joins Tutor, and they put to death Herennius and Numisius, commanders of legions, 250; their reasons for it, 250; is vanquished at Rigodulum, and taken by

Cerealis, 251; his wonderful fortitude and intrepidity at his death, 263.
Valerius Asiaticus. See Asiaticus.
Valerius, Marcus, consul, i. 109.
Valerius, Paulinus. See Paulinus.
Vangio and Sido, joint kings of Suevia, at first beloved by their subjects, afterwards hated, i. 288.
Vannius, king, a Quadian, i. 95; made king of the Suevians, i. 287; popular in the beginning of his reign, 287; he grows insolent with power, and provokes a conspiracy, 288; fights bravely, but is overthrown and flies, 288; is allowed a settlement by Claudius, 288.
Vardanes, the son of Vologeses, seeks to dethrone his father, i. 317.
Varilia, Apuleia, charged with opprobrious words against Augustus, Tiberius, and his mother, and with adultery, i. 86; is banished from Rome, 86.
Varini, ii. 332.
Varro, Cingonius, his severe motion against freedmen, i. 382; consul elect, slain by Galba, as an accomplice in the conspiracy of Nymphidius, iii. 6.
Varro, Vibidius, for his vices degraded from the senate, i. 85.
Varro, Visellius, governor of Lower Germany, i. 133; he sends forces against Sacrovir, is consul, the tool of Sejanus, 167.
Varus, Alphenus, routs a body of gladiators, and attacks the forces of Otho in flank, ii. 97; is appointed commander by Vitellius, 171; abandons the army, 176.
Varus, Arrius, his character, ii. 138; accompanies Antonius Primus, 138; is appointed at Rome commander of the prætorian guards, 195; and prætor, 198; is displaced by Mucianus, and set over the public grain, 247.
Varus, Quintilius, the emperor's kinsman, accused, i. 198; his trial postponed by the senate, 199.
Varus, Quintilius, i. 4; scene of the defeat of, 43; Germanicus buries the dead, 43.
Vasaces, general of horse to Vologeses, his conference and stipulations with Cæsennius Pætus, i. 404.
Vatinius, a favourite of Nero, an upstart buffoon, and wicked instrument, i. 415; presents Nero with a combat of gladiators at Beneventum, 415.
Veiento, Fabricius, his invectives against the senate, i. 384; is convicted of selling the emperor's favours, and banished, 384.
Veleda, a German virgin and prophetess, ii. 242; is treated as a deity, 245.
Velleius, Publius, routs the Thracians, i. 132.
Venedi, ii. 340.
Venusius, a British general, i. 295.
Verania, wife to Lucinianus Piso, buries him, ii. 34.
Veranius, Quintus, governor of Cappadocia, lessens the public taxes, i. 90; prepares a charge against Piso and Plancina, i. 100; accuses Piso for the death of Germanicus, 112; is preferred to pontifical honours, 119; governor of Britain, ii. 360; his death and great reputation, i. 372; the servile strain of his last will, 372.
Verginius, slow in declaring for Galba, ii. 7; is applied to by the soldiery to accept of the sovereignty, 103; refuses it, 103; is with much difficulty saved from the fury of the soldiers by Vitellius, 112.
Verginius, the rhetorician, banished for his great fame by Nero, i. 441.
Verona, city of, possessed by Antonius Primus, ii. 139.
Verritus and Malorix, heads of the Frisians, go with a petition to Rome, i. 349; their behaviour in the theatre there, 349; are created Roman citizens, but their petition rejected, 349.
Verulamium, the slaughter there, i. 375.
Vescularius, Flaccus, a Roman knight, his part in the ruin of Libo Drusus, i. 71; is by Tiberius doomed to die, 218.
Vespasian, his frugality, its effect, i. 141; his life in danger for having nodded whilst Nero acted, 446; serves in Britain, ii. 359; commands the army against the Jews, 8; his great character as a general, 70; agrees with Mucianus by means of Titus, 73; deliberates on the business of war and arms, 115; is encouraged by the speech of Mucianus, and the propitious answers of oracles, and determines to strive for the empire, 119; is acknowledged emperor, and has allegiance first sworn to him at Alexandria, 119; all the forces in the East, with the provinces, swear allegiance to him, 121; establishes a council, 121; and promotes many deserving men, 121; invites all to join him who were discharged by Vitellius, 122; several legions revolt to him, 123; despatches are sent to Britain, Spain, and Gaul, 125; his forces, led by Antonius Primus, arrive in Italy, 125; they obtain a victory at Bedriacum, 145; and at Cremona, 149; is informed of the battle of Cremona, and hastes to Alexandria, 166; his scheme for distressing the enemy, 166; his sovereignty confirmed by the senate, 197; commences consul a second time, 223; receives news of the victory at Cremona, and fate of Vitellius, 234; ambassadors from Vologeses offer to assist him with forty thousand Parthian horse, 234; hears ill reports of Domitian, and commits to Titus the

army for subduing the Jews, 234; their discourse at parting, 234; he arrives at Rome, and gives orders for restoring the capitol, 235; said to work many miracles, 259.
Vestals wont to attend the tribunals, when their evidence was required there, i. 76.
Vestilius, Sextus, accused by Tiberius, though lately his friend, i. 218; he dies by his own hand, 218.
Vestinus, Atticus, consul, i. 425; is not concerned in the conspiracy against Nero, 428; not trusted by the conspirators, 439; intimate with Nero, and scorns his vile spirit, 439; a great guard sent against him, with his quick and manly death, 439.
Vestinus, Lucius, the restoring of the capitol committed to his care, ii. 235.
Vestricius. See Spurinna.
Veterans, concessions made to them during their mutiny cancelled, i. 54.
Vettius Bolanus. See Bolanus.
Vettonianus, Funisulanus, commander of a legion under Cæsennius Pætus in the East, i. 400.
Vetus, Antistius, a principal nobleman of Macedonia, accused, and condemned to exile, i. 131.
Vetus, Lucius, commander in Germany, makes a canal between the rivers Arar and Moselle, i. 348; the prosecution of the work marred by the envy of Ælius Gracilis, lieutenant of Belgic Gaul, 348; his destruction sought by Nero, 448; dies by his own hands, 449; condemned after his death, 459.
Vibidia, the chief vestal, intercedes for Messalina, i. 268.
Vibilius, captain of the Hermundurians, i. 94, 287.
Vibius Caius supports the charge against Libo Drusus, i 72.
Vibius, Secundus, a Roman knight, condemned to exile for public rapine, i. 372.
Vibius, Serenus, the younger, accuses his father of treason, i. 172; is threatened by the populace with the pains of parricide, flees, but is forced to return, 173.
Vibulenus, his speech to the mutinous soldiers, i. 18; executed by the command of Drusus, 22.
Vibullius, the prætor, his judgment confirmed by the senate, i. 331.
Vinicius, Marcus, marries Julia, granddaughter to Tiberius, i. 221; his character and descent, 221.
Vinius, Titus, colleague in the consulship with Galba, ii. 134; is minister to Galba, 5; his character, 5; the more powerful he grows, the more detestable he is, 10; shares the sovereignty with Cornelius Laco, 10; is in the interest of Otho, 10; his advice to Galba, 24; is opposed by Laco, 25; is killed by Julius Carus, 30; his head carried on a pole round the camp, 31; is buried by his daughter Crispina, 34.
Vipsania, formerly wife of Tiberius, married to Asinius Gallus, i. 12; her death, 119.
Vipsanius, Lenas, condemned, i. 332.
Vipsanius, Lucius, consul, i. 242.
Vipstanus, Caius, consul, i. 353.
Vistilia, a lady of great quality, publishes herself a prostitute, i. 106; banished, 106.
Vitellia, her firmness, i. 137.
Vitellius, Aulus, consul, i. 262; sent by Galba to command in the Lower Germany, ii. 7; above a hundred and twenty distinct memorials of the murderers of Galba fall into his hands, all claiming rewards, 32; he causes the authors to be put to the sword, 32; tidings of him put the people of Rome under the greatest consternation, 36; rise and cause of the commotion and revolt begun by him, 37; a short character of him, 38; gratifies the cruel revenge of his soldiers, 42; dooms to destruction four centurions, for adhering to their duty, 43; many forces join him, 43; his stupidity and slothfulness, 45; sends letters to Otho, treats him absurdly, and abuses him, 53; attempts the death of Otho, 54; writes to Otho's brother with menaces, 54; some of his forces in Narbon Gaul worsted by Otho's, 78; his forces under Cæcina repulsed at Placentia, 82; they are routed, and forced to fly at Castorum, 85; which loss rather reforms than dismays them, 87; is joined by Valens, 89; his army under Cæcina and Valens obtain a victory near Bedriacum, 96; the senate and people swear allegiance to him, 105; all honours decreed him, 105; his army let themselves loose to spoil and ravage, nor dared their general to restrain them, 105; receives intelligence of the victory at Bedriacum, and of the death of Otho, 105; dignifies his freedman Asiaticus with knighthood, 106; he takes no notice of the murder of several great men, nor makes inquiry into any affairs, 106; celebrates the praises of Valens and Cæcina, 107; orders his son to be brought, and names him Germanicus, 107; he puts to death all the centurions who had been faithful to Otho, 107; hardly admits Paullinus and Proculus to trial, but pardons them, 107; punishes Hilarius for falsely accusing Cluvius, 110; discharges the prætorian cohorts, 111; with difficulty saves Verginius from the soldiery, 112; views the field of battle near Bedriacum without any sign of remorse, 114; his

train of followers described, 114; his partiality in the disposal of the consulship, 114; upon news that the eastern provinces had sworn to him, he becomes abandoned to all the excesses of cruelty, lust, and rapine, 115; grows daily more stupid and slothful, 125; his followers described, 125; cities consumed to furnish him provisions, 125; his entry into Rome described, and his public speech, 127; all the functions of sovereignty administered by Cæcina and Valens, 128; they both dread and despise Vitellius, 128; he humours the common soldiers, and by that means ruins his army, 130; solemnizes the obsequies of Nero, 130; his prodigality, 131; hears of the first revolt, 131; sends for succours, 131; the remissness of his commanders, 131; he orders Cæcina and Valens to take the field, 133; resigns himself to voluptuousness, 158; upon receiving news of the revolt of the fleet and of Cæcina, he puts Sabinus in chains, 158; murders Blæsus, 159; feigns that all his proceedings prosper, and murders those who contradict it, 170; orders an army to secure the passes of the Apennine, 171; himself, accompanied by a vast number of senators, arrives at the camp, 171; his irresolute behaviour there, 171; returns to Rome, 172; sends his brother Lucius Vitellius to quell the insurrection in Campania, 173; wants to assume the title of Cæsar, is ashamed, terrified, and forsaken, 174; his troops desert and go over to Vespasian, 176; he is deaf to all magnanimous counsels, 179; his abject demeanour, 179; he raises pity and compassion in all, and offers to abdicate, 180; but he is not permitted, 181; attempts to escape from Rome, 193; his tragical death, 194; his character, 194.

Vitellius, Lucius, father of the emperor, consul, i. 229; is set over the East by Tiberius, 233; his variable character, a good governor, a slavish flatterer, 233; his management of the Parthians, 235; his advice to Tiridates, 236; he is the tool of Messalina, 248; his great hypocrisy and falsehood, 248; his silence and reserve, 269; engages in the counsels of Agrippina, 274; falsely accuses Lucius Silanus, 274; his servile spirit, 274; he prostitutes the office of censor, 274; brings the senate to legitimate the marriage of Claudius with his niece to Agrippina, 275; is accused, but protected by Agrippina, and his accuser banished, 297; his spirit slavish and insolent, 384.

Vitellius, Lucius, brother of the emperor, follows undistinguished in the train of Otho, ii. 65; presents himself to the senate to be flattered, 104; proposes judgment against Cæcina for his revolt, accuses Blæsus, is appointed to take care of the city, 171; storms and takes Terracina, 187; his infamous spirit, 188; delivers himself up to Vespasian's party, 198; is put to death, 198; his character, 198.

Vitellius, Publius, his dangerous march, i. 48; collects the tribute in Gaul, 59; a friend of Germanicus, 100; his speech against Piso, 114; receives pontifical honours, 119; his death, 210.

Vitellius, Quintus, for his vices degraded from the senate, i. 86.

Vitia, an aged woman, executed for bewailing the death of her son, i. 218.

Vocula, Dillius, commander of the eighteenth legion, sent to raise the siege of the Old Camp, ii. 213; a signal instance of his intrepidity and courage, whence he obtains the command in chief, 214; is surprised by the enemy in the camp at Gelduba, 219; is blamed, 220; engages Civilis, and routs him, but makes no pursuit, 220; thence suspected of intention to prolong the war, 221; obtains a victory, and narrowly escapes being murdered by his own soldiers, 222; in distress he is obliged to use dissimulation with the enemy, 238; his speech to Classicus and Tutor, 238; he returns to Novesium, 239; speech to the soldiers, 239; is restrained by his own slaves from putting a period to his life, 240; is murdered by Emilius Longinus at the command of Classicus, 241.

Volcatius, Moschus, dies in exile at Marseilles, and to that city leaves his estate, i. 184.

Vologeses, king of Parthia, i. 280; he seizes Armenia for his brother Tiridates, 301; loses it again, 301; meditates fresh war against Armenia, 396; is animated by Monobazus, governor of the Adiabenians, and by his own brother Tiridates, 396; his speech to his council, 397; crowns Tiridates, and commands his general Moneses to drive Tigranes from Armenia, 397; intends to head a great army against the Romans, 397; is afraid of the Romans, his many disappointments and misfortunes, 398; his answer to Corbulo, 399; his ambassadors to Nero unsuccessful, 400; advances against Armenia with a great host, 401; overthrows the forces of Pætus, 402; besieges him in his camp, 403; his answer to Pætus, 404; takes possession of the Roman fortresses and stores, 405; raises a pompous trophy, 405: his message to Corbulo, and the answer of the latter, 406; sends other ambassadors to

Rome, 410; his letters and offers, 410; the ambassadors dismissed with presents, but without success, 411; demands a truce, 412; his tenderness for the honour of Tiridates his brother, and request to Corbulo on his behalf, 414.
Volusius, Lucius, his death, preferments and character, i. 126, 332.
Volusius, Quintus, consul, i. 328; is appointed to assess the Gauls, 382.
Vonones, king of Parthia, his unpopularity and expulsion, i. 57; he finds a retreat and a crown in Armenia, 58; but is forced to relinquish it, 58; he is held a captive by the Romans, 58; is removed to Pompeiopolis, in Cilicia, 91; his great court and presents to Plancina, 92; he escapes, is taken and slain, 95.
Vonones, governor of Media, created king of Parthia, i. 281; his short reign, 281.

WAHAL, a branch of the Rhine, i. 59.
Wives, those of provincial magistrates generally sharers in the guilt of their husbands, i. 128; apt to meddle in affairs, and to be corrupted in the absence of their husbands, 129.
Women, British, their frantic behaviour in their armies, i. 372; the German, ii. 308
Women, laws against the licentiousness of, i. 106; their counsel the worst, 430.
Writers, their punishment gains credit to their writings, i. 178.
Writings, obnoxious and forbidden, are eagerly read, i. 384; otherwise neglected, 384.

XENOPHON, physician to Claudius, his credit, i. 308; helps to poison his master, 312.

ZEAL, popular, too mighty for magistracy and laws, i. 144.
Zeno of Pontus, made king of Armenia by Germanicus, i. 90.
Zenobia, wife to Rhadamistus, wounded by her husband and thrown into the river Araxes, i. 302; saved by some shepherds, and entertained by Tiridates, 302.
Zorsines, king of the Siracians, i. 281; assists Mithridates of Bosporus, 282; deserts him, and submits to the Romans, 282.

THE END.

LONDON :
R. CLAY, SON, AND TAYLOR, PRINTERS,
BREAD STREET HILL.



3 2044 019 099 530

THE BORROWER WILL BE CHARGED AN OVERDUE FEE IF THIS BOOK IS NOT RETURNED TO THE LIBRARY ON OR BEFORE THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW. NON-RECEIPT OF OVERDUE NOTICES DOES NOT EXEMPT THE BORROWER FROM OVERDUE FEES.

CANCELLED
BOOK DUE
NOV 13 1985
1705671

CANCELLED
MAR 5 1987
MAR 12 1987
2191936

WIDENER
DEC 14
JAN 09 1999
CANCELLED
BOOK DUE